

EMACC Handbook

| Edition Number | 3.1 |
|----------------|-------------------|
| Edition Date | 25 September 2018 |
| Status | Endorsed |



MILITARY AIRWORTHINESS AUTHORITIES FORUM

DOCUMENT CONTROL

DOCUMENT APPROVAL

The following table identifies the persons who have approved this document

| Edition No: | | MAWA Forum / Advisory Group | Authorised by | DATE |
|----------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|
| 3.1 | Prepared by | DPAG | Guy Bodet DPAG Chair | 06 Sep 2018 |
| | Endorsed for Release | MAWA Forum | Henk Corporaal MAWA Forum Chair | 25 Sep 2018 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 2 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|--------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|--------------------|

DOCUMENT CHANGE RECORD

| Edition Number | Edition Date | Status | Reason for change (detailed) | Sections or pages affected |
|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|--|--|
| 1.0 | 30/11/2010 | Working Draft | Draft issue for review by TF4. | All sections |
| 1.1 | 17/12/2010 | Working Draft | Formal Issue as part of Contract 09-ARM-008 | - |
| 1.2 | 24/02/2011 | Working Draft | Change of title and Embodiment of TF4 comments | Title page, Sections 5.2.4, 5.3.1, 9.4.6 & 14.2.3 |
| 1.3 | 28/11/2012 | Working Draft | Draft issue for review by TF4 as part of Contract 10.ARM.OP.23 | All Sections |
| 2.0 | 24/01/2013 | Endorsed | Endorsement for release by MAWA Forum | - |
| 2.1 | 17/09/2015 | Draft | Formal Issue as part of Contract 14.CPS.OP.040 | Sections 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 16, 18, 19, 20. |
| 2.1 | 12/10/2015 | Endorsed | Endorsement for release by MAWA Forum | - |
| 3.0 | 1/02/2018 | Endorsed | Revise all Criteria to align with MIL-Hdbk 516C | All Sections |
| 3.1 | | Draft | Added heading to 8.5.12.10, corrected reference to address landing gear instead fuel system in 8.5.12.16, correct order of paragraphs in section 15, correct 15.1.8 and 15.1.9 to reflect the content approved by the DPAG, Removed duplicated paragraph in section 16 | Section 8: 8.5.12.10, 8.5.12.16, Section 15, 16 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 3 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|--------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|--------------------|

<u>STATUS</u>

The Status of the document can take 3 values:

Working Draft: First version provided during the elaboration of the document by Task Force.

Draft: Draft version when issued by Task Force and proposed to MAWA Forum.

Endorsed: The document is endorsed by the participating Member States for release.

EDITION

Edition will have the following template: Edition X.Y The value of X will change after a major modification of the document The value of Y will change after a minor modification of the document

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 4 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|--------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|--------------------|

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| SECTION 1 - SCOPE | 8 |
|--|-----|
| 1.1 Purpose | |
| 1.2 Applicability | |
| 1.3 Cross References | |
| 1.4 Information Sources | |
| SECTION 2 - APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS | 11 |
| 2.1 General | |
| 2.2 Defence Standards | |
| 2.3 STANAGs | |
| 2.4 EASA Certification Specifications | |
| 2.5 Department of Defence Specifications | |
| 2.6 Federal Aviation Administration Code of Federal Regulation (CFR) | |
| SECTION 3 - DEFINITIONS & ABBREVIATIONS | 21 |
| 3.1 Definitions | |
| 3.2 Abbreviations and Acronyms | |
| SECTION 4 - SYSTEMS ENGINEERING | |
| 4.1 Design criteria. | |
| 4.7 Design chiena. | |
| 4.3 Materials selection. | |
| 4.4 Manufacturing and quality | |
| 4.5 Operator's and maintenance manuals/technical orders. | |
| 4.6 Configuration identification. | |
| SECTION 5 - STRUCTURES | 46 |
| 5.1 Loads | |
| 5.2 Structural dynamics. | |
| 5.3 Strength | |
| 5.4 Damage tolerance and durability (fatigue) | |
| 5.5 Mass properties | |
| 5.6 Flight release | 80 |
| SECTION 6 - FLIGHT TECHNOLOGY | 83 |
| 6.1 Flying qualities. | |
| 6.2 Vehicle control functions (VCF). | |
| 6.3 Aerodynamics and performance | 181 |
| SECTION 7 - PROPULSION AND PROPULSION INSTALLATIONS | 188 |
| 7.1 Propulsion Risk Management | |
| 7.2 Gas turbine engine applications. | |
| 7.3 Alternate propulsion systems | |
| SECTION 8 - AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS | 265 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 5 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|--------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|--------------------|

| 8.1. HYDRAULIC AND PNEUMATIC SYSTEMS. | 265 |
|---|------|
| 8.2. ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL SYSTEM (ECS). | 278 |
| 8.3. FUEL SYSTEM. | 290 |
| 8.4. FIRE AND HAZARD PROTECTION | 311 |
| 8.5. LANDING GEAR AND DECELERATION SYSTEMS | 335 |
| 8.6. AUXILIARY/EMERGENCY POWER SYSTEM(S) (APS/EPS) | 389 |
| 8.7. AIR-TO-AIR REFUELLING (AAR) SYSTEM | 401 |
| 8.8 MECHANISMS | 429 |
| 8.9 CARGO HOOK SYSTEMS | 451 |
| 8.10 HOIST/WINCH SYSTEMS | 454 |
| 8.11 ABSEIL BOLSTER / FAST ROPE INSERTION/EXTRACTION SYSTEM (FRIES) | 456 |
| SECTION 9 - CREW SYSTEMS | 458 |
| 9.1. ESCAPE AND EGRESS SYSTEM | 458 |
| 9.2. CREW STATIONS AND AIRCRAFT INTERIORS. | 465 |
| 9.3. AIRCRAFT LIGHTING. | |
| 9.4. HUMAN FACTORS | |
| 9.5. LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEMS | - |
| 9.6. TRANSPARENCY INTEGRATION | |
| 9.7. CRASH SURVIVABILITY. | |
| 9.8. LAVATORIES, GALLEYS, AND AREAS NOT CONTINUOUSLY OCCUPIED. | - |
| SECTION 10 - DIAGNOSTICS SYSTEMS | |
| 10.1. FAILURE MODES | 50.4 |
| 10.1. FAILURE MODES | |
| SECTION 11 - AVIONICS | 511 |
| 11.1. AVIONICS ARCHITECTURE. | |
| 11.2. AVIONICS SUBSYSTEMS. | - |
| 11.3. AVIONICS INSTALLATION. | |
| SECTION 12 - ELECTRICAL SYSTEM | 526 |
| 12.1. ELECTRIC POWER GENERATION SYSTEM | 526 |
| 12.2. ELECTRICAL WIRING SYSTEM, INCLUDING POWER DISTRIBUTION. | |
| | |
| SECTION 13 - ELECTROMAGNETIC ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS (E3) | |
| 13.1. COMPONENT/SUBSYSTEM E3 QUALIFICATION. | |
| 13.2. SYSTEM-LEVEL E3 QUALIFICATION | 550 |
| SECTION 14 - SYSTEM SAFETY | 558 |
| 14.1. SYSTEM SAFETY PROGRAM. | 558 |
| 14.2. SAFETY DESIGN REQUIREMENTS. | 562 |
| 14.3. SOFTWARE SAFETY PROGRAM | 572 |
| SECTION 15 - COMPUTER SYSTEMS AND SOFTWARE | 580 |
| 15.1. AIRCRAFT PROCESSING ARCHITECTURE | 580 |
| 15.2 Design and functional integration of SPA elements. | |
| | 580 |
| 15.3 Processing hardware/electronics | |
| 15.3 Processing hardware/electronics | 596 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 E | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 6 /675 |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|--------------------|
|-----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|--------------------|

| 15.5 Software architecture and design. | |
|--|-----|
| 15.6 Software qualification and installation | 606 |
| SECTION 16 - MAINTENANCE | 611 |
| 16.1. MAINTENANCE MANUALS/CHECKLISTS | 611 |
| 16.2. INSPECTION REQUIREMENTS | 616 |
| 16.3 INSTRUCTIONS FOR CONTINUED AIRWORTHINESS | 619 |
| SECTION 17 - ARMAMENT/STORES INTEGRATION | 620 |
| 17.1 Gun/rocket integration and interface | 621 |
| 17.2 Stores integration | 624 |
| 17.3 Laser integration and interface. | 630 |
| SECTION 18 - PASSENGER SAFETY | 635 |
| 18.1. SURVIVABILITY OF PASSENGERS. | 635 |
| 18.2. FIRE RESISTANCE | 645 |
| 18.3. PHYSIOLOGY REQUIREMENTS OF OCCUPANTS. | 647 |
| SECTION 19 - MATERIALS | 649 |
| 19.1 Properties and processes. | 650 |
| 19.2 Corrosion | 654 |
| 19.3 Nondestructive inspection | |
| 19.4 Wear and erosion | 659 |
| SECTION 20 - OTHER CRITERIA | 660 |
| 20.1 Air transportability and airdrop. | 660 |
| 20.2 Mission/test equipment operations and installation. | |
| 20.3 Pan-platform criteria | 674 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 7 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|--------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|--------------------|

SECTION 1 - SCOPE

1.1 PURPOSE

This document establishes the airworthiness certification criteria to be used in the determination of airworthiness of all manned and unmanned, fixed and rotary wing aircraft systems. It is a foundational document to be used by the relevant military airworthiness authority or authorities or other entity to define the aircraft's airworthiness certification basis.

1.2 APPLICABILITY

The criteria within this document may be tailored and applied at any point throughout the life of an aircraft system when an airworthiness determination is necessary, especially when there is a change to the functional or product baseline.

Rotary wing aircraft and unmanned air system/remotely operated aircraft (UAS/ROA) features demand unique safety-of-flight (SOF) system requirements. Therefore, unique criteria are included for these types of systems to ensure that minimum levels of design for safe operation and maintenance are established. The UAS/ROA operating system can be built into the vehicle or be part of the control station for remotely operated aircraft. The UAS/ROA system comprises the control station, data links, flight control system, communications systems/links, etc., as well as the aircraft. UAS/ROA vary greatly in size, weight, and complexity. Because they are unmanned, SOF risks associated with loss of aircrew may not apply. However, as with manned aircraft, SOF risk associated with personnel, damage to equipment, property, and/or environment must be considered. As such, the airworthiness criteria may be tailored for this unique application, including when a UAS/ROA is designed to be "expendable" or where the UAS/ROA will conduct missions with "minimum life expectancy." Consideration should be given to the environment in which the UAS/ROA will be operated (controlled test range, national airspace, fleet usage, including ship based applications), to the airframe life for which the aircraft is designed, and to the "expendability" of the UAS/ROA in close proximity to the control system, personnel, property, or other equipment.

Similarly, aircraft intended for use in ship-borne operations have unique requirements in areas such as structural integrity, propulsion system dynamic response and tolerance to steam ingestion, control systems response to approach and landings in high turbulence conditions, electromagnetic environmental effects, deck handling, support and servicing, and pilot field of view.

Commercial derivative aircraft (CDA) are initially approved for safety of flight by a National approving Authority for Civil Aviation and may have an approved Type Certificate (or equivalent document). Any non-Civil approved alteration to a CDA may render all civil certifications invalid. While alterations to CDA are covered by rules unique to each Nation (both Civil and Military regulations), the operating Nations' service always has the responsibility for the airworthiness certification approval under public aircraft rules. Therefore, when planning any alterations to a civil certified CDA, the modifier should contact the appropriate National Military Airworthiness Authority at the earliest opportunity.

In all instances, complete and accurate documentation of both applicability and system specific measurable criteria values is critical to ensuring consistent, timely, and accurate airworthiness assessments.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 8 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|--------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|--------------------|

1.2.1 Tailoring to Create the Certification Basis

Not all of the airworthiness criteria within this document apply to every type of aircraft; platform-unique, previously undefined criteria, may also need to be added to fully address safety aspects of unique configurations. Therefore, it may be necessary to tailor the total set of criteria to identify a complete (necessary and sufficient) subset of applicable airworthiness criteria, creating the system's certification basis. This certification basis should be fully documented and maintained under strict configuration control.

To meet individual Nation needs, tailoring may be required to ensure the following aspects are respected:

- The approach to governance and the associated contracting model(s);
- The approach to development, production and ongoing upkeep of the product basis of certification, including the acceptable approaches to means of compliance;
- Sufficient flexibility and adaptability within the criteria to meet the operational needs, scenarios and role for the Product(s).

The primary objective in tailoring is to maintain the intent and context of the criteria. It is not an exercise intended to relax and/or degrade the criteria. Indeed, for military operations, tailoring may result in a more arduous certification basis. Where possible, it is recommended that a risk based approach to the evaluation of the potential impacts (if any) of the tailoring exercise is conducted.

Guidance for tailoring the criteria within the EMACC is provided within the EMACC Guidebook. As an overview tailoring rules are as follows:

a. Identify each criterion as either applicable or non-applicable, considering system or product complexity, type, data, and intended use. Document the rationale for identifying any criteria as non-applicable;

b. Applicable criteria may not be deleted in any manner. However, if a portion of otherwise applicable criteria does not apply or is modified, identify the applicable and non-applicable portions and any modification, and document the rationale. It is not recommended that the criteria be modified, but in the event a criteria is modified, it is essential that the intent and context is maintained;

c. Supplement applicable criteria with specific measurable parameters, where appropriate (i.e., they add value to the definition of airworthiness requirements);

d. Develop additional criteria, as appropriate, for any capabilities or systems (including the whole/complete system) not fully addressed by the criteria contained in this document.

The TCB should be created using a 'Top-down' approach, ensuring that all appropriate sections of this EMACC Handbook are captured. In some cases an aircraft or modification may appear to have a narrow scope, and therefore may seem to only affect a small number of sections of this Handbook, however it is important to capture the effect that changes to one system may have on the design, function or operating environment for other systems.

Consideration should be given to defining quantitative airworthiness parameters that are compatible with performance requirements.

Consideration should be given to operational requirements for safe operation when defining the certification basis.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 9 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|--------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|--------------------|

Some criteria within this EMACC Handbook are merged with other criteria to simplify the content of this Handbook while maintaining similarity with MIL-HDBK-516. Where a section is merged with another, it is important to review both sections to ensure that the merged criterion is adequately captured within the TCB.

1.3 CROSS REFERENCES

The criteria included in this document are written with the intent that an experienced engineer, trained in the specific technical area under consideration, should be able to interpret, tailor, apply, and evaluate a particular system's compliance with the criteria.

1.4 INFORMATION SOURCES

Each Airworthiness Certification Criteria is matched with corresponding Title 14, Code of Federal Regulations reference (14CFR reference) and Joint Service Specification Guides (JSSG), where available. In addition, cross-references are provided to the relevant sections within EASA Certification Specifications (CS), Defence Standard 00-970 and NATO STANAG documents.

The FAA Code of Federal Regulations Part and EASA CS (i.e. 23, 25, 27, 29) referenced is dependent on aircraft type and must be consistent with aircraft size and usage. The list shown is not exhaustive. The user is cautioned to refer to the reference material only as a guide and not for the purposes of citing requirements. The user is also advised to use additional FAA and EASA Advisory Circulars, Def-Stan 00-970 leaflets or other acceptable means of compliance documents to assist in understanding the implementation of the relevant regulatory requirements.

With respect to the cross-referencing of NATO STANAGs, Nations should examine their ratification status for each STANAG prior to assuming that the document is applicable.

This document will be periodically updated through review and cross-checking of the referenced documents. Users should always refer to the current version of the referenced documents. Where a conflict exists between the reference documents and this document then this should be brought to the attention of the EMACC sponsor.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 10 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

SECTION 2 - APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS

2.1 GENERAL

The documents listed below are not necessarily all of the documents referenced herein but are those necessary to understand the information provided by this handbook. Refer to the current version of these documents, unless otherwise indicated.

2.2 DEFENCE STANDARDS

The following specifications, standards, and handbooks form a part of this document to the extent specified herein:

- Defence Standard 00-56 Safety Management Requirements for Defence Systems;
- Defence Standard 00-970 Design and Airworthiness Requirements for Service Aircraft.

The table below details the issue status of the various sections of Defence Standard 00-970 as used in the cross-references in this document.

| Part No: 0: Procedures for Use, Content and Definitions | Issue 6 dated: 22/01/10 |
|--|-----------------------------|
| Part No: 1: Fixed Wing | |
| Section No: 1: "General" | Issue 6 dated: 05/02/10 |
| Section No: 2: "Flight" | Issue 5 dated: 31/01/07 |
| Section No: 3: "Structure" | Issue 6 dated: 05/02/10 |
| Section No: 4: "Design and Construction" | Issue 6 dated: 05/02/10 |
| Section No: 5: "Powerplant" | Issue 5 dated: 31/01/07 |
| Section No: 6: "Equipment" | Issue 6 dated: 05/02/10 |
| Section No: 7: "Operating Limitations and Information" | Issue 5 dated: 31/01/07 |
| Section No: 8: "Gas Turbine Auxiliary Power Unit Installation" | Issue 5 dated: 31/01/07 |
| Section No: 9: "Military Specific Systems" | Issue 6 dated: 05/02/10 |
| Part No: 7: Rotorcraft | |
| Section No: 1: "General and Operational Requirements" | Issue 3 dated: 29/01/10 |
| Section No: 2: "Structural Strength and Design for Flight" | Issue 3 dated: 29/01/10 |
| Section No: 3: "Structural Strength and Design for Operation on Specified Surfaces" | Issue 2 dated: 31/01/07 |
| Section No: 4: "Detail Design and Strength of Materials" | Issue 2 dated: 31/01/07 |
| Section No: 5: "Aero-Elasticity and Strength of Materials" | Issue 2 dated: 31/01/07 |
| Section No: 6: "Flight and Ground Handling Qualities" | Issue 2 dated: 31/01/07 |
| Section No: 7: "Installations" | Issue 3 dated: 29/01/10 |
| Section No: 8: "Maintenance" | Issue 2 dated: 31/01/07 |
| Section No: 9: "Flight Tests - Handling" | Issue 2 AL1 dated: 04/12/07 |
| Section No: 10: "Flight Tests - Installations and Structures" | Issue 2 dated: 31/01/07 |
| Part No: 9: UAV Systems | Issue 5 dated: 20/04/09 |
| Part No: 11: Engines | Issue 1 dated: 27/01/06 |
| Part No: 13: Military Common Fit Equipment | Issue 2 dated: 15/01/10 |
| Part No: 15: Items with no Specific Military Requirements | Issue 4 dated: 27/01/06 |

2.3 STANAGs

Each Airworthiness Certification Criteria is matched with corresponding Title 14, Code of Federal Regulations reference (14CFR reference) and Joint Service Specification Guides (JSSG). In addition, cross-references are provided to the relevant sections within EASA Certification Specifications (CS), Defence Standard 00-970 and NATO STANAG documents.

The user is cautioned to look at the reference material only as a guide and not for purposes of citing requirements. The user is also advised to use additional Advisory Circulars, Def-Stan 00-970 leaflets or other acceptable means of compliance documents to assist in understanding the implementation of the relevant regulatory requirements.

With respect to the cross-referencing of NATO STANAGs, pMS should examine their ratification status for each STANAG prior to assuming that the document is applicable. Users should always refer to the current version of the referenced documents. For NATO STANAG, this is reflected in the NATO Standardization Document Database (NSDD). The table below details the ratification status for pMS for all reference STANAGs as of 3rd June 2010.

| STANAG Reference No. | Edition | STANAG Title | Relevant section of EMACC Handbook | Ratified by pMS | Ratified with reservation/comment / not implementing |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|--|---|---|--|
| 2445 | Edition 3 | Criteria for the clearance of Helicopter Underslung Load Equipment (HUSLE) and Underslung Loads (USL's). | 8.10 | Belgium Czech Republic Germany Hungary Italy Netherlands Poland UK | Luxemburg Spain |
| 3098 | Edition 10, Amdt.3 | Aircraft jacking. | 8.5.12 | Belgium France Germany Greece Netherlands Poland | Spain UK |
| 3105 | Edition 6, Amdt.1 | Pressure refuelling connections and defueling for aircraft. | 8.3 | Czech Republic Germany Greece Hungary Italy Netherlands UK | Belgium France Spain |

| Edition Number: 3.1 Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 12 /675 |
|---|------------------|---------------------|
|---|------------------|---------------------|

| STANAG Reference No. | Edition | STANAG Title | Relevant section of EMACC Handbook | Ratified by pMS | Ratified with reservation/comment / not implementing |
|----------------------------|----------------------|--|---|---|--|
| 3109 | Edition 5, Amdt.6 | Symbol marking of Aircraft servicing and Safety/Hazard points. | 16 | Belgium Czech Republic France Germany Greece Italy Netherlands Spain UK | Hungary |
| 3198 | Edition 4, Amdt.4 | Functional requirements of Aircraft oxygen equipment and pressure suits. | 8.2.8, 18.3 | France Germany Greece Italy Netherlands Spain UK | Belgium |
| 3217 | Edition 6 | Operations of controls and switches at Aircrew stations. | 9.2 | Belgium Bulgaria Czech Republic Estonia Romania UK | Germany Poland Spain |
| 3224 | Edition 7 | Aircraft interior and exterior lighting Night Vision Goggle (NVG) and Non- NVG compatible. | 9.2 | Czech Republic Germany Netherlands Spain | Estonia Greece UK |
| 3230 | Edition 7 | Emergency markings on Aircraft. | 9.1 | Czech Republic Germany Netherlands Poland Slovenia UK | Belgium Greece Hungary Italy Portugal Spain |
| 3278 | Edition 8, Amdt.1 | Aircraft towing attachments and devices. | 8.5.12 | Czech Republic Germany Netherlands | Belgium France Greece Poland UK |
| 3294 | Edition 4, Amdt.1 | Aircraft fuel caps and fuel cap access covers. | 8.3 16.1 | Belgium France Germany Italy Netherlands Portugal Spain UK | Greece |

| Edition Number: 3.1 Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 13 /675 |
|---|------------------|---------------------|
|---|------------------|---------------------|

| STANAG Reference No. | Edition | STANAG Title | Relevant section of EMACC Handbook | Ratified by pMS | Ratified with reservation/comment / not implementing |
|----------------------------|----------------------|---|---|---|--|
| 3368 | Edition 3, Amdt.1 | Internal Aircraft engine starting system. | 8.6 | Belgium France Germany Netherlands UK | Greece Italy Portugal Spain |
| 3372 | Edition 6, Amdt.2 | Low Pressure air and associated electrical connectors for aircraft. | 8.1 | Belgium Germany Greece Netherlands Portugal UK | Spain |
| 3400 | Edition 3, Amdt.5 | Restraint of cargo in Fixed Wing Aircraft. | 20.1 | Belgium France Greece Italy Netherlands Portugal Spain UK | |
| 3436 | Edition 4, Amdt.4 | Colours and markings used to denote operating ranges of Aircraft instruments. | 9.2 | Belgium France Germany Greece Italy Netherlands UK | Portugal Spain |
| 3447 | Edition 3. Amdt.4 | Aerial refuelling equipment dimensional and functional characteristics | 8.7 | Belgium France Germany Greece Netherlands Portugal Spain UK | |
| 3455 | Edition 4, Amdt.1 | Basic symbols for Aircraft electrical circuits. | 12.2 | Belgium Czech Republic France Germany Greece Italy Netherlands Portugal Spain UK | Hungary |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 14 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|--|

| STANAG Reference No. | Edition | STANAG Title | Relevant section of EMACC Handbook | Ratified by pMS | Ratified with reservation/comment / not implementing |
|----------------------------|----------------------|---|---|--|--|
| 3456 | Edition 6, Amdt.1 | Aircraft electrical power systems characteristics. | 12.2 | France Greece Netherlands Spain UK | Belgium Germany Portugal |
| 3510 | Edition 3, Amdt.2 | The provision of hydraulic power for servicing Aircraft hydraulic systems. | 8.1 | Belgium France Germany Italy Netherlands Portugal | Greece Spain UK |
| 3516 | Edition 5 | Electromagnetic Interference, test methods for Aircraft electrical and electronic equipment. | 13 | Germany Netherlands Spain UK | Czech Republic Poland |
| 3548 | Edition 3 | Tie-down fitting on air transported and air dropped equipment and cargo carried internally by Fixed Wing Aircraft. | 20.1 | Belgium France Portugal Spain UK | Germany |
| 3610 | Edition 2, Amdt.1 | Characteristics of controlled breathable air supplied to Aircraft. | 9.5 8.2.10 | France Greece Italy Netherlands Spain UK | |
| 3614 | Edition 5 | Electromagnetic Environmental effects (E3) – Requirements for Aircraft systems and equipment. | 13 | Czech Republic Germany Netherlands Poland Spain UK | Portugal |
| 3616 | Edition 2 | Responsibility for the design and provision of adaptors necessary for the compatibility of air cargo loading, securing, unloading and dropping systems in Fixed Wing Aircraft. | 9.8 | Belgium France Germany Greece Italy Netherlands Portugal UK | |

| STANAG Reference No. | Edition | STANAG Title | Relevant section of EMACC Handbook | Ratified by pMS | Ratified with reservation/comment / not implementing |
|----------------------------|----------------------|--|---|---|---|
| 3659 | Edition 4 | Electrical bonding requirements for metallic Aircraft systems. | 12.2.6, 13.2.8 | Belgium Germany Greece Spain UK | Czech Republic Italy Poland |
| 3681 | Edition 3, Amdt.1 | Criteria for Pressure fuelling/defuelling of Aircraft. | 8.3 | Belgium Germany Greece Italy Netherlands Portugal Spain UK | Czech Republic France Poland |
| 3682 | Edition 5, Amdt.2 | Electrostatic safety connection procedures for aviation fuel handling and liquid fuel loading/unloading operations during ground transfer and aircraft fuelling/defueling. | 8.3 | Belgium Germany Greece Italy Netherlands Portugal Spain UK | |
| 3701 | Edition 3, Amdt.2 | Aircraft interior colour schemes. | 9.2 | Germany Netherlands UK | Belgium France Greece Spain |
| 3705 | Edition 3, Amdt.2 | Human Engineering design criteria for controls and displays in Aircrew stations. | 9.2 | Belgium Germany Netherlands UK | Greece Spain |
| 3828 | Edition 3 | Minimum requirements for Aircrew protection against the Hazards of Laser target designators. | 9.2, 9.3.4 | Belgium Czech Republic Estonia | Bulgaria Germany Greece Hungary Italy Luxemburg Romania Slovakia UK |
| 3847 | Edition 1, Amdt.5 | Helicopter In-Flight Refuelling (HIFR) equipment. | 8.7 | France Germany Netherlands UK | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 16 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

| STANAG Reference No. | Edition | STANAG Title | Relevant section of EMACC Handbook | Ratified by pMS | Ratified with reservation/comment / not implementing |
|----------------------------|---------------------|---|---|--|--|
| 3896 | Edition 5 | Aircraft emergency rescue information (Fire Protection). | 8.4 | Belgium Czech Republic France Greece Italy Spain UK | |
| 3950 | Edition 2 Amdt.1 | Helicopter design criteria for crew crash protection and anthropometric accommodation. | | Belgium France Germany Greece Netherlands UK | Czech Republic Italy Spain |
| 3967 | Edition 2 | Design and performance requirements for aviation fuel filter separator, coalescer and separator elements. | 8.3 | Belgium Italy Netherlands | Portugal Spain UK |
| 3971 | Edition 6 | Air to Air Refuelling – ATP-56(A). | 8.7 | Belgium Czech Republic Greece Italy Netherlands Poland Spain | Bulgaria Estonia France Romania UK |
| 7011 | Edition 2 | Automated fuel system monitoring and control equipment. | 8.3 | Belgium Germany Netherlands Spain | France Greece Italy UK |
| 7029 | Edition1 | Characteristics of Aircraft fuelling hoses and couplings. | 8.3 | Belgium Germany Greece Italy Netherlands Portugal UK | |
| 7039 | Edition 1 Amdt.2 | Test procedures to ensure compatibility of equipment with Aircraft systems. | 13 | Belgium France Germany Portugal UK | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 17 /675 |
|---|------------------|---------------------|
|---|------------------|---------------------|

| STANAG Reference No. | Edition | STANAG Title | Relevant section of EMACC Handbook | Ratified by pMS | Ratified with reservation/comment / not implementing |
|----------------------------|----------------------|--|---|--|---|
| 7116 | Edition 1 | Verification methodology for the electromagnetic hardness of Aircraft. | 13 | Czech Republic Germany Netherlands Romania Spain UK | Bulgaria Estonia Latvia Lithuania Slovakia |
| 7139 | Edition 3 | Aircraft engine controls, switches, displays, indicators, gauges and Arrangements. | 9.2 | Estonia Germany Spain | Belgium Bulgaria Czech Republic Italy Lithuania Netherlands Poland Romania Slovakia UK |
| 7140 | Edition 1 | Aircraft flight instruments – Layout and display. | 9.2 | Germany Spain | Belgium France Greece Netherlands UK |
| 7187 | Edition 1 | On Board Oxygen Generating System (OBOGS) performance standards. | | Netherlands | Belgium Bulgaria Czech Republic Estonia Germany Lithuania Romania Slovakia Spain UK |
| 7068 | Edition 2: Amdt.1 | Aircraft stores certification procedure. | | Czech Republic Germany Greece Luxemburg Netherlands Spain UK | Belgium |
| 4671 | Edition 1 | Unmanned aerial vehicle systems airworthiness requirements (USAR). | Various | | |

| STANAG Reference No. | Edition | STANAG Title | Relevant section of EMACC Handbook | Ratified by pMS | Ratified with reservation/comment / not implementing |
|----------------------------|-----------|--------------------|---|---|--|
| 4101 | Edition 2 | Towing Attachments | | Belgium Netherlands Portugal Spain UK | Germany |

2.4 EASA CERTIFICATION SPECIFICATIONS

The following specifications, standards, and handbooks form a part of this document to the extent specified herein:

- EASA CS 23 Normal, Utility, Aerobatic and Commuter Category Aircraft Amendment 4;
- EASA CS 25 Large Aeroplanes Amendment 18;
- EASA CS 27 Small Rotorcraft Amendment 3;
- EASA CS 29 Large Rotorcraft Amendment 3;
- EASA CS E Engines Amendment 4;
- EASA CS P Propellers Amendment 1.

2.5 DEPARTMENT OF DEFENCE SPECIFICATIONS

The following specifications, standards, and handbooks form a part of this document to the extent specified herein:

- JSSG-2000B Air System, dated 21st September 2004;
- JSSG-2001A aircraft, dated 22nd October 2002;
- JSSG-2005 Avionic Subsystem, Main Body;
- JSSG-2006 Aircraft Structures, dated 30th October 1998;
- JSSG-2007B Engines, Aircraft, Turbine, dated 6th December 2007;
- JSSG-2008 Vehicle Control and Management System (VCMS);
- JSSG-2009 aircraft Subsystems, dated 30th October 1998; and,
- JSSG-2010 Crew Systems.

It should be noted that some JSSG documents are not freely available. In some cases it has therefore not been possible to perform an in-depth review of the sections of JSSG documents. Where a section of a JSSG has not been reviewed, references within this Handbook state '(Unverified)'. Care should be taken when referencing such unverified sections to ensure that the referenced section is appropriate.

2.6 FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATION (CFR)

The following specifications, standards, and handbooks form a part of this document to the extent specified herein:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 19 /675 | 1 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|---|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|---|

- TITLE 14 Aeronautics and Space
 - Part 23, Airworthiness Standards: Normal, Utility, Acrobatic and Commuter Category Aeroplanes;
 - Part 25, Airworthiness Standards: Transport Category: Airplanes;
 - Part 27, Airworthiness Standards: Normal Category Rotorcraft;
 - Part 29, Airworthiness Standards: Transport Category: Rotorcraft.

| Edition Number: 3.1 Ed | dition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 20 /675 |
|------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

SECTION 3 - DEFINITIONS & ABBREVIATIONS

3.1 DEFINITIONS

All definitions, unless otherwise referenced, are to be considered within the context of this document.

Where appropriate, consistency has been maintained between this definitions list and the European Military Airworthiness Document - EMAD 1 - Acronyms and Definitions Document; Edition 1.3; dated 10 Oct 2017, referred to in this list simply as 'EMAD 1'.

| TERM | DEFINITION/EXPLANATION |
|---------------------------|---|
| Aircraft | Any vehicle that is capable of atmospheric flight including the installed |
| | equipment (hardware and software). |
| | (As defined in EMAD 1) |
| Airworthiness | The ability of an aircraft, or other airborne equipment or system, to |
| | operate in flight and on ground without significant hazard to aircrew, |
| | ground crew, passengers (where relevant) or to other third parties. |
| | (As defined in EMAD 1) |
| Airworthiness Limitations | A section of the Instructions for Continued Airworthiness that contains |
| | each mandatory replacement time, structural inspection interval, and |
| | related structural inspection task. This section may also be used to |
| | define a threshold for the fatigue related inspections and the need to |
| | control corrosion to Level 1 or better. The information contained in the |
| | Airworthiness Limitations section may be changed to reflect service |
| | and/or test experience or new analysis methods. |
| Authority | Unless otherwise defined in specific EMARs, Authority means a National |
| | Military Airworthiness Authority (NMAA) responsible for the airworthiness |
| | of military aircraft hereto and "the Authorities" means all the military |
| | Authorities responsible for airworthiness hereto. |
| | (As defined in EMAD 1) |
| Certification | Recognition that a production, part or appliance, organisation or person |
| | complies with the applicable airworthiness requirements followed by a |
| | declaration of compliance. |
| | (As defined in EMAD 1) |
| Certifcation Review Item | A document recording Deviations, Special Conditions, new Means of |
| | Compliance or any other certification issue which requires clarification |
| | and interpretation, or represents a major technical or administrative |
| | issue. |
| | (As defined in EMAD 1) |
| Configuration Control | A systematic process that ensures that changes to released |
| | configuration documentation are properly identified, documented, |
| | evaluated for impact, approved by an appropriate level of authority, |
| | incorporated, and verified. |
| | (As defined in EMAD 1) |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 21 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|--|

| TERM | DEFINITION/EXPLANATION |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Configuration Management | A management process for establishing and maintaining consistency of a |
| | product's performance, functional, and physical attributes with its |
| | requirements, design and operational information throughout its life. |
| | (As defined in EMAD 1) |
| Continuing Airworthiness | All of the processes ensuring that, at any time in its operating life, the |
| | aircraft complies with the airworthiness requirements in force and is in a |
| | condition for safe operation. |
| | (As defined in EMAD 1) |
| Continued (design) | All tasks to be carried-out to verify that the conditions under which a |
| Airworthiness | type-certificate or a supplemental type-certificate has been granted |
| | continue to be fulfilled at any time during its period of validity. |
| | (As defined in EMAD 1) |
| Control Surface Float Angle | The position a control surface will 'Float' to under aerodynamic load but |
| | with zero hinge moment (i.e. stick free stability) |
| Credible Combination of | All credible combination of failure(s), based on the outcome of a safety |
| Failures | analysis process, which can include a single event/failure, which may |
| Critical Location | result in an unacceptable level of safety. A critical location in an aircraft structure is one that has been identified |
| Chical Eocation | through analysis, test, or service history as a being especially sensitive |
| | to the presence of damage. |
| Damage Tolerance | Damage tolerance is the attribute of a structure that permits it to retain its |
| Damage Tolerance | required residual strength for a period of un-repaired usage after the |
| | structure has sustained specific levels of fatigue, corrosion, accidental, |
| | and/or discrete source damage. An item is judged to be damage tolerant |
| | if it can sustain damage and the remaining structure can withstand |
| | reasonable loads without structural failure or excessive structural |
| | deformation until the damage is detected. |
| Design Service Life | The design service life is the period of time (e.g., years, flight cycles, |
| _ | hours, landings, etc.) established at design, during which the structure is |
| | expected to maintain its structural integrity when flown to the design |
| | loads/environment spectrum. |
| Delamination/Debonding | Structural separation or cracking that occurs at or in the bond plane of a |
| | structural element, within a structural assembly, caused by in service |
| | accidental damage, environmental effects and/or cyclic loading. |
| Durability | Durability is the ability of the aircraft structure to resist cracking, |
| | corrosion, thermal degradation, delamination, wear, and the effects of |
| | foreign object damage for a prescribed period of time. |
| Economic Life | The economic life is the period during which it is more cost-effective to |
| | maintain and repair an aircraft than to replace it. Economic life can be |
| | applied on a component, aircraft, or force basis. |
| Electrical Wiring | An electrical connection between two or more points including the |
| Interconnection System | associated terminal devices (e.g., connectors, terminal blocks, splices) |
| (EWIS) | and the necessary means for its installation and identification. |
| Factor of Safety | Factor of Uncertainty as referred to within JSSG 2006 is the same as the |
| | Factor of Safety, i.e. a figure that is applied to prescribed Limit Loads |
| L | used in calculating the Ultimate Load. |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 22 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

| TERM | DEFINITION/EXPLANATION | | |
|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| Failure | The inability of an item to perform within previously specified limits. | | |
| Failure Condition | The effect on the aircraft and its occupants, both direct and | | |
| | consequential, caused or contributed to by one or more failures, | | |
| | considering relevant adverse operational or environmental conditions. | | |
| Failure Effect | What is the result of a functional failure? | | |
| Fatigue Damage (FD) | The initiation of a crack or cracks due to cyclic loading and subsequent | | |
| | propagation. | | |
| Fatigue Related Sampling | Inspections on specific aircraft selected from those which have the | | |
| Inspection | highest operating age/usage in order to identify the first evidence of | | |
| | deterioration in their condition caused by fatigue damage. | | |
| Fault | An identifiable condition in which one element of a redundant system has | | |
| | failed (no longer available) without impact on the required function output | | |
| | of the system (MSI). At the system level, a fault is not considered a | | |
| | functional failure. | | |
| Functional Baseline | The approved configuration documentation describing a system's or top | | |
| | level configuration item's performance (functional, inter-operability, and | | |
| | interface characteristics) and the verification required to demonstrate the | | |
| | achievement of those specified characteristics. | | |
| Functional Failure | Failure of an item to perform its intended function within specified limits. | | |
| Graceful Degradation | In the presence of a failure(s), system characteristics are such that there | | |
| | is a gradual, observable and manageable reduction in functionality. The | | |
| | progression and sustainment of aircraft control, related to aircrew | | |
| | workload and situational awareness, must be safely achieved. | | |
| Initial Quality | Initial quality is a measure of the condition of the aircraft structure relative | | |
| | to flaws, defects, or other discrepancies in the basic materials or introduced during manufacture of the aircraft structure. | | |
| | | | |
| Install/Installation | To connect or set in position and prepare for use or to load and configure | | |
| | software into an information system. | | |
| Integrate/Integration | The process of bringing together component sub-systems into one | | |
| | system (an aggregation of subsystems cooperating so that the system is | | |
| | able to deliver the overarching functionality) and ensuring that the | | |
| Itom | subsystems function together. | | |
| Item | Any level of hardware assembly (i.e., system, sub-system, module, accessory, component, unit, part, etc.). | | |
| Maintananaa | | | |
| Maintenance | Any one or combination of overhaul, repair, inspection, replacement, | | |
| | modification or defect/fault rectification of an aircraft or component, with | | |
| | the exception of pre-flight inspection. (As defined in EMAD 1) | | |
| Maintenance Manual | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| | That part of the Military Air System document set which identifies the | | |
| | particular maintenance procedures and periodicity necessary to maintain the airworthiness of the Military Air System. | | |
| | (As defined in EMAD 1) | | |
| | | | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 Edition Date: 25 | ep 2018 Status: endorsed | Page 23 /675 |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|

| TERM | DEFINITION/EXPLANATION | |
|---|---|--|
| Mission-Critical Part | As shown on figure 1, a mission-critical part is a structural component in | |
| | which damage or failure could result in the inability to meet critical | |
| | mission requirements or could result in a significant increase in | |
| | vulnerability. | |
| Non-destructive Inspection | Non-destructive inspection is an inspection process or technique that | |
| (NDI) | reveals conditions at or beneath the external surface of a part or material | |
| | without adversely affecting the material or part being inspected. | |
| Other Structure | Structure which is judged not to be a Structural Significant Item. "Other | |
| | Structure" is defined both externally and internally within zonal | |
| | boundaries. | |
| Pilot Induced Oscillations | Oscillations of aircraft movement caused or exacerbated by pilot input. | |
| Potential Failure | A defined identifiable condition that indicates that a degradation process | |
| | is taking place that will lead to a functional failure. | |
| Protective Device | Any device or system that has a function to avoid, eliminate or reduce | |
| | the consequences of an event or the failure of some other function. | |
| Probability of detection | A POD is a statistical measurement of the likelihood, with a specified | |
| (POD) | confidence level, of finding a flaw of a defined size using a specific | |
| | inspection technique. | |
| Residual Strength | The strength of a damaged structure. | |
| Single load path | Single load path is the distribution of applied loads through a single | |
| | member, the failure of which would result in the loss of the structural | |
| | capability to carry the applied loads. | |
| Structural Integrity | Structural integrity is the condition which exists when a structure is sound | |
| | and unimpaired in providing the desired level of structural safety, | |
| Structural Operating | performance, durability, and supportability. | |
| Structural Operating Mechanisms | Structural operating mechanisms are those operating, articulating, and control mechanisms which transmit structural forces during actuation and | |
| movement of structural surfaces and elements. | | |
| Structural Element | Two or more structural details which together form an identified | |
| | manufacturer's assembly part. | |
| Time Limited Dispatch | Time Limited Dispatch (TLD) refers to the process of obtaining type | |
| | design approval of engines with degraded electronic engine control | |
| | systems. | |
| | TLD analysis focusses on redundancy when these systems are to be | |
| | dispatched with Faults present for | |
| | limited time intervals before maintenance actions are required | |
| | CS-E CSE 1030 and associated AMC refers. | |
| Type Certification Basis | An agreed set of airworthiness requirements a product must be | |
| | compliant with in order to obtain a Military Type Certificate. | |
| | (As defined in EMAD 1) | |
| Unmanned Aerial Vehicle | A reusable aircraft which is designed to operate by being remotely | |
| | piloted (no human pilot or passengers on board) or automatically flying a | |
| | pre-programmed flight profile. | |
| | (As defined in EMAD 1) | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 24 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|--|

| TERM | DEFINITION/EXPLANATION | | |
|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| UAV System (May also be | Comprises individual UAV System elements consisting of the unmanned | | |
| called a UAS or RPAS) | aerial vehicle (UAV), the UAV control station and any other UAV System | | |
| | elements necessary to enable flight, such as a command and control | | |
| | data link, communication system and take-off and landing element. | | |
| | There may be multiple UAV, UCS, or take-off and landing elements | | |
| | within a UAV System. | | |

| 14CFR | Title 14, Code of Federal Regulations |
|-----------|--|
| AAR | Air-to-Air Refuelling |
| AC | Advisory circulars |
| ADS | Aeronautical Design Standard |
| AFGS | Air Force Guide Specification |
| AFI | Air Force Instruction |
| AFPD | Air Force Policy Directive |
| AFR | Air Force Regulation |
| AMC | Acceptable Means of Compliance |
| APC | Aircraft pilot coupling |
| APS | Auxiliary power system |
| APU | Auxiliary power unit |
| AR | Army Regulation |
| ARSAG | Aerial Refueling Systems Advisory Group |
| BARO VNAV | Barometric vertical navigation |
| BIT | Built-in-test |
| CAD | Cartridge actuated devices |
| CDR | Critical design review |
| CFD | Computational fluid dynamics |
| CFR | Code of Federal Regulations |
| CofG | Centre of gravity |
| CI | Configuration item |
| CNS/AT | Communication, navigation, surveillance/air traffic management |
| Comm'l | Commercial |
| CSA | Configuration status accounting |
| CSCI | Computer software configuration item |
| CSI | Critical safety item |
| DoD | Department of Defense |
| DOD | Domestic object damage |
| EASA | European Aviation Safety Agency |
| ECP | Engineering change proposal |
| ECS | Environmental control system |
| E3 | Electromagnetic environmental effects |
| EHMS | Engine health monitoring systems |
| EMACC | European Military Airworthiness Certification Criteria |
| EMAR | European Miliatry Airworthiness Requirements |
| | |

| EMAR 21 Certification of military aircraft and related products, parts and appliances, and design and production organisations EMI Electromagnetic interference EMP Electromagnetic pulse EMS Environmental management system EPS Emergency power system FAA Federal Aviation Administration FAA Federal Acquisition Regulation FCA Functional configuration audit FMECA Failure modes, effects, and criticality analysis FMET Failure modes and effects testing FOD Foreign object damage FRACAS Failure report and corrective action system FSCAP Flight safety critical aircraft part g Acceleration or load factor in units of acceleration of gravity HCF High cycle fatigue HERF Hazards of electromagnetic radiation to ordnance HERP Hazards of electromagnetic radiation on personnel HUD Head-up display |
|---|
| EMIElectromagnetic interferenceEMPElectromagnetic pulseEMSEnvironmental management systemEPSEmergency power systemFAAFederal Aviation AdministrationFARFederal Acquisition RegulationFCAFunctional configuration auditFMECAFailure modes, effects, and criticality analysisFMETFailure modes and effects testingFODForeign object damageFRACASFailure report and corrective action systemFSCAPFlight safety critical aircraft partgAcceleration or load factor in units of acceleration of gravityHCFHigh cycle fatigueHERFHazards of electromagnetic radiation to ordnanceHERPHazards of electromagnetic radiation on personnel |
| EMPElectromagnetic pulseEMSEnvironmental management systemEPSEmergency power systemFAAFederal Aviation AdministrationFARFederal Acquisition RegulationFCAFunctional configuration auditFMECAFailure modes, effects, and criticality analysisFMETFailure modes and effects testingFODForeign object damageFRACASFailure report and corrective action systemFSCAPFlight safety critical aircraft partgAcceleration or load factor in units of acceleration of gravityHCFHigh cycle fatigueHERFHazards of electromagnetic radiation to ordnanceHERPHazards of electromagnetic radiation on personnel |
| EMSEnvironmental management systemEPSEmergency power systemFAAFederal Aviation AdministrationFARFederal Acquisition RegulationFCAFunctional configuration auditFMECAFailure modes, effects, and criticality analysisFMETFailure modes and effects testingFODForeign object damageFRACASFailure report and corrective action systemFSCAPFlight safety critical aircraft partgAcceleration or load factor in units of acceleration of gravityHCFHigh cycle fatigueHERFHazards of electromagnetic radiation to ordnanceHERPHazards of electromagnetic radiation on personnel |
| EPSEmergency power systemFAAFederal Aviation AdministrationFAAFederal Acquisition RegulationFARFederal Acquisition RegulationFCAFunctional configuration auditFMECAFailure modes, effects, and criticality analysisFMETFailure modes and effects testingFODForeign object damageFRACASFailure report and corrective action systemFSCAPFlight safety critical aircraft partgAcceleration or load factor in units of acceleration of gravityHCFHigh cycle fatigueHERFHazards of electromagnetic radiation to fuelHERPHazards of electromagnetic radiation on personnel |
| FAAFederal Aviation AdministrationFARFederal Acquisition RegulationFCAFunctional configuration auditFMECAFailure modes, effects, and criticality analysisFMETFailure modes and effects testingFODForeign object damageFRACASFailure report and corrective action systemFSCAPFlight safety critical aircraft partgAcceleration or load factor in units of acceleration of gravityHCFHigh cycle fatigueHERFHazards of electromagnetic radiation to ordnanceHERPHazards of electromagnetic radiation on personnel |
| FCAFunctional configuration auditFMECAFailure modes, effects, and criticality analysisFMETFailure modes and effects testingFODForeign object damageFRACASFailure report and corrective action systemFSCAPFlight safety critical aircraft partgAcceleration or load factor in units of acceleration of gravityHCFHigh cycle fatigueHERFHazards of electromagnetic radiation to fuelHERPHazards of electromagnetic radiation on personnel |
| FCAFunctional configuration auditFMECAFailure modes, effects, and criticality analysisFMETFailure modes and effects testingFODForeign object damageFRACASFailure report and corrective action systemFSCAPFlight safety critical aircraft partgAcceleration or load factor in units of acceleration of gravityHCFHigh cycle fatigueHERFHazards of electromagnetic radiation to fuelHERPHazards of electromagnetic radiation on personnel |
| FMETFailure modes and effects testingFODForeign object damageFRACASFailure report and corrective action systemFSCAPFlight safety critical aircraft partgAcceleration or load factor in units of acceleration of gravityHCFHigh cycle fatigueHERFHazards of electromagnetic radiation to fuelHEROHazards of electromagnetic radiation on personnel |
| FMETFailure modes and effects testingFODForeign object damageFRACASFailure report and corrective action systemFSCAPFlight safety critical aircraft partgAcceleration or load factor in units of acceleration of gravityHCFHigh cycle fatigueHERFHazards of electromagnetic radiation to fuelHEROHazards of electromagnetic radiation on personnel |
| FRACASFailure report and corrective action systemFSCAPFlight safety critical aircraft partgAcceleration or load factor in units of acceleration of gravityHCFHigh cycle fatigueHERFHazards of electromagnetic radiation to fuelHEROHazards of electromagnetic radiation to ordnanceHERPHazards of electromagnetic radiation on personnel |
| FSCAPFlight safety critical aircraft partgAcceleration or load factor in units of acceleration of gravityHCFHigh cycle fatigueHERFHazards of electromagnetic radiation to fuelHEROHazards of electromagnetic radiation to ordnanceHERPHazards of electromagnetic radiation on personnel |
| gAcceleration or load factor in units of acceleration of gravityHCFHigh cycle fatigueHERFHazards of electromagnetic radiation to fuelHEROHazards of electromagnetic radiation to ordnanceHERPHazards of electromagnetic radiation on personnel |
| HCFHigh cycle fatigueHERFHazards of electromagnetic radiation to fuelHEROHazards of electromagnetic radiation to ordnanceHERPHazards of electromagnetic radiation on personnel |
| HERFHazards of electromagnetic radiation to fuelHEROHazards of electromagnetic radiation to ordnanceHERPHazards of electromagnetic radiation on personnel |
| HEROHazards of electromagnetic radiation to ordnanceHERPHazards of electromagnetic radiation on personnel |
| HERP Hazards of electromagnetic radiation on personnel |
| |
| HUD Head-up display |
| |
| ICD Interface control document |
| I/O Input/output |
| JACG Joint Aeronautical Commanders Group |
| JFS Jet fuel starter |
| JSSG Joint Service Specification Guide |
| LCF Low cycle fatigue |
| LEP Laser eye protection |
| MAWA Military Airworthiness Authorities |
| MSL Mean sea level |
| MWL Maximum wear limit |
| NATO North Atlantic Treaty Organization |
| NBC Nuclear, biological, and chemical |
| NDI Non-destructive inspection |
| NFPA National Fire Protection Association |
| NVIS Night vision imaging system |
| OEM Original Equipment Manufacturer |
| OFP Operational flight program |
| PAD Pyrotechnic actuated devices |
| PCA Physical configuration audit |
| PDR Preliminary design review |
| PFR Primary flight reference |
| PIO Pilot-induced oscillations |
| PLA Power lever angle |
| PLOC Probability loss of control |
| POD Probability of detection |
| PTO Power take-off |
| PVI Pilot vehicle interface |
| RAT Ram air turbine |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 26 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

| RF | Radio frequency |
|--------|--|
| RNAV | Radio navigation |
| RNP | Required navigation performance |
| ROA | Remotely operated aircraft |
| RVSM | |
| | Reduced vertical separation minima |
| RTO | Rejected take-off |
| SAE | Society of Automotive Engineers |
| SAWE | Society of Allied Weight Engineers |
| SDIMP | Software development integrity master plan |
| SD | Software development plan |
| SFAR | Special Federal Aviation Regulation |
| SOF | Safety-of-flight |
| SPM | System program manager |
| SRS | Software requirements specification |
| SSHA | Subsystem hazard analysis |
| STANAG | Standardization agreement |
| STLDD | Software top-level design document |
| TBD | To be determined |
| TEMP | Test and evaluation master plan |
| Т.О. | Technical order |
| TSO | Technical standard order |
| UAS | Unmanned air system |
| UAV | Unmanned aircraft |
| VCF | Vehicle control function |
| VCMS | Vehicle control and management system |
| VL/ML | Limit speed |
| VNAV | Vertical navigation |
| | |

SECTION 4 - SYSTEMS ENGINEERING

This section details the minimum necessary criteria to establish, verify, and maintain an airworthy design. The criteria go beyond pure airworthiness certification, covering best practice with respect to ensuring initial design certification, continuing airworthiness and through life quality management.

Included within the scope of this section are:

- Definition of a robust set of design criteria addressing all aspects of safety, at the system, sub-system and component levels, including coverage of system integration and software aspects;
- The use and validation of design and performance verification analysis tools, prediction methods, models, and/or simulations;
- The process for materials selection and validation of material properties;
- Manufacturing and quality processes and procedures;
- The production and management of the operator maintenance manual;
- Platform design & build standard and configuration control.

The criteria are expected to form part of an over-arching process that has been established, undertaken and maintained. The process will be selected to suit the specific needs and constraints of the capability, product and/or service, typically EMAR 21.

It is expected that the selected process can be integrated into the companion qualification process.

TYPICAL CERTIFICATION SOURCE DATA

- 1. Reliability, quality, and manufacturing program plans
- 2. Contractor policies and procedures
- 3. Durability and damage tolerance control plans
- 4. Work instructions
- 5. Process specifications
- 6. Production/assembly progress reports
- 7. Quality records
- 8. Defect/failure data
- 9. Failure modes, effects, and criticality analysis (FMECA) documentation
- 10. Tech data package
- 11. As-built list to include part numbers/serial numbers for all critical safety items/components
- 12. List of deviations/waivers and unincorporated design changes
- 13. List of approved class I engineering change proposals (ECPs)
- 14. Proposed DD Form 250, Material Inspection and Receiving Report
- 15. Configuration management plans/process description documents
- 16. Diminishing Manufacturing Sources Plan
- 17. Obsolete Parts Plan
- 18. Test reports
- 19. Test plans
- 20. FAA Airworthiness Directives and Advisory Circulars
- 21. Manufacturer-issued service bulletins
- 22. Civil aviation authority certification plan
- 23. Civil aviation authority certification basis
- 24. Civil aviation authority certification report

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 28 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

25. System Safety Analysis Report

CERTIFICATION CRITERIA

4.1 DESIGN CRITERIA.

4.1.1 Requirements allocation.

The design criteria, including requirements and ground rules, adequately address airworthiness and safety for mission usage, full permissible flight envelope, duty cycle, interfaces, induced and natural environment, inspection capability, maintenance philosophy, and design life.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Defining processes for requirements allocation and design criteria definition/tailoring;

b. High level mission and safety requirements;

c. Extending the design criteria to cover use and impact of (complex) GSE as part of the maintenance philosophy.

d. Requirements to satisfy Extended Range Twin Operations (ETOPS) where appropriate.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Production of process documentation;

2. Ensuring traceability between design criteria, requirements, solutions and verification/validation activities;

3. Consistency between design criteria and airworthiness and safety requirements.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | Appropriate design criteria paragraphs of JSSG-2000, 2001, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, and others | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 S1 00-970 P1 S2 00-970 P1 S4 00-970 P1 S6 00-970 P1 S7 00-970 P7 S9 L900/1 4 00-970 P7 S9 L906 7.14 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.21 4671.45 4671.141 4671.143 4671.251 4671.301 4671.601 4671.611 4671.1309 4671.1529 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.21-23.3, 25.21-25.33 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.21 CS 23.45 CS 23.141 CS 23.143 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 29 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

| CS 23.251 CS 23.301 CS 23.601 CS 23.611 CS 23.1309 CS 23.1529 CS 25.21 CS 25.101 CS 25.143 |
|--|
| CS 23.301 CS 23.601 CS 23.611 CS 23.1309 CS 23.1529 CS 25.21 CS 25.101 |
| CS 23.601 CS 23.611 CS 23.1309 CS 23.1529 CS 25.21 CS 25.101 |
| CS 23.611 CS 23.1309 CS 23.1529 CS 25.21 CS 25.101 |
| CS 23.1309 CS 23.1529 CS 25.21 CS 25.101 |
| CS 23.1529 CS 25.21 CS 25.101 |
| CS 25.21 CS 25.101 |
| CS 25.101 |
| |
| 03 23.143 |
| CS 25.251 |
| CS 25.301 |
| CS 25.601 |
| |
| CS 25.611 |
| CS 25.1309 |
| CS 25.1529 |
| CS 27.21 |
| CS 27.45 |
| CS 27.141 |
| CS 27.143 |
| CS 27.251 |
| CS 27.301 |
| CS 27.601 |
| CS 27.611 |
| CS 27.1309 |
| CS 27.1529 |
| CS 29.21 |
| CS 29.45 |
| CS 29.141 |
| CS 29.143 |
| CS 29.251 |
| CS 29.301 |
| CS 29.601 |
| CS 29.611 |
| CS 29.1309 |
| CS 29.1529 |

4.1.2 Safety critical hardware and software.

The airworthiness and safety design criteria shall address all components, system and subsystem levels, including interfaces, latencies, software and information assurance.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Identification of critical safety items within the design solution;
- b. Safety critical functions and functional chains.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Documentation records that safety critical software, hardware and associated design criteria and critical characteristics resulting from this process are verified.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 30 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

2. Documentation records that security requirements and mitigation techniques that affect flight safety are incorporated into safety critical software and hardware.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | Appropriate design criteria paragraphs of JSSG-2000, 2001, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, and others | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 S1 00-970 P1 2.16.19 00-970 P1 3.1.3 00-970 P1 3.10.33-3.10.37 00-970 P1 S4 00-970 P1 S6 00-970 P1 6.5.33-6.5.47 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.601 4671.1301 4671.1309 4671.1351 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.21, 23.601-23.629, 25.601-25.631 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.601 CS 23.1301 CS 23.1309 CS 23.1351 CS 25.601 CS 25.1301 CS 25.1309 CS 25.1703 CS 25.1705 CS 27.601 CS 27.1301 CS 27.1309 CS 27.1351 CS 29.601 CS 29.1301 CS 29.1351 |

4.1.3 Commercial derivative aircraft.

For commercial derivative aircraft, the aircraft's certification basis shall address all design criteria appropriate for the planned military usage.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring the intended military utilisation, including environment, and flight envelope of the aircraft are shown to be wholly within the existing commercial certification basis;

b. Identifying any military "delta" conditions and environments over and above those covered by the commercial certification;

c. Requirements to satisfy Extended Range Twin Operations (ETOPS) where appropriate.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 31 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

1. Military aircraft airworthiness certification documentation details the difference between Civil and Military usage, defines all appropriate certification requirements that apply to those differences, and demonstrates compliance against those requirements.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | Appropriate design criteria paragraphs of JSSG-2000, 2001, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, and others | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | The Def Stan 00-970 requirement appropriate to the aircraft and role being considered. |
| | | STANAG Reference: | The STANAG requirement appropriate to the aircraft and role being considered. |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.21, 23.601-23.629, 25.601-25.631 | EASA CS Reference: | The EASA requirement appropriate to the aircraft and role being considered. |

4.1.4 Failure conditions.

Safety of flight related failure conditions shall be adequately addressed in the design criteria.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Hazard Identification and Analysis;
- b. Definitions of operating envelopes, classes of airspace, restrictions and placard limitations;
- c. Single points of failure.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Hazard analysis verifies that safety critical hazards have been identified;

- 2. Operating limitations are defined;
- 3. Analysis includes and specifies known parameters and assumptions where appropriate.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 1.1.13 00-970 P1 1.1.14 00-970 P1 S4 00-970 P1 S6 Par. 1.1 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.572-4671.575 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.571-23.575 CS 23.1309 CS 25.571 CS 25.1309 CS 27.571 CS 27.573 CS 27.1309 CS 29.571 CS 29.571 CS 29.573 CS 29.1309 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 32 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

4.1.5 Operating environment.

The air system, including the aircraft and control station equipment, is qualified to operate in the intended natural and induced environments.

Consideration should be given to:

The air system, including the aircraft and control station equipment, is qualified to operate in the intended natural and induced environments such as:

- a. Temperature;
- b. Humidity;
- c. Precipitation:
- d. lcing:
- e. Fungus:
- f. Salt fog;
- g. Particulate and liquid contamination;
- h. Shock and vibration;
- i. Explosive atmosphere.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

- 1. Analysis, demonstration and test verify that equipment provides required function and performance.
- 2. Qualification testing which verifies that equipment is qualified for its intended environments.

| Inf | ormation Sources | | |
|--------------|------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 2.20 00-970 P1 7.2.2 |
| | | Nordrende. | 00-970 P1 7.2.3 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 7.2.4 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 7.2.6 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 7.2.8 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 7.2.9 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 7.3.2 |
| | | STANAG | 4671.603 |
| | | Reference: | 4671.881 |
| | | | 4671.1181 |
| | | | 4671.U1703 |
| | | | 4671.905 |
| | | | 4671.1203 |
| | | | 4671.613 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.603 |
| | | Reference: | CS 23.609 |
| | | | CS 23.613 |
| | | | CS 23.773 |
| | | | CS 23.1093 |
| | | | CS 23.1419 |
| | | | CS 25.603 |
| | | | CS 25.609 |
| | | | CS 25.613 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 33 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|------------|
| | CS 25.773 |
| | CS 25.1093 |
| | CS 25.1324 |
| | CS 25.1419 |
| | CS 25.1420 |
| | CS 25.1435 |
| | CS 25.1527 |

4.1.6 Flight and safety critical functions.

The design criteria identifies flight and safety critical functions, modes and states for the air system, including the aircraft.

The aircraft detects and responds appropriately, predictably, safely and in a timely manner to:

- Flight or safety critical function degraded states or failures.
- Aircraft flight or safety critical function degraded states or failures, with or without operator intervention.
- Loss of flight and safety critical command and control data link(s) between the operator and aircraft.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Inspection of documentation verifies that design criteria and processes identify flight and safety critical functions, modes and states; flight and safety critical function degraded states and failures; and loss of flight and safety critical command and control data link(s).

2. Inspection of documentation verifies that design criteria and processes ensure air system responses are appropriate for the intended airspace.

3. Analysis verifies that flight and safety critical functions, modes and states for the air system, including the aircraft, are identified.

4. Analysis verifies that flight and safety critical function degraded states and failures are identified.

5. A combination of ground testing and simulation verifies that the air system (including aircraft) detects and responds appropriately, predictably, safely and in a timely manner.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P9 S2 U1788 00-970 P9 S2 U1613 00-970 P9 S2 UK901c |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.171 4761.U1490 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | |

4.1.7 Flight Termination System.

Design criteria ensure that the flight termination function operates reliably and in a timely manner when commanded.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Pilot accessibility to Termination System operation.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 34 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|--|

b. Likelihood of uncommanded operation of Termination System.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Design criteria are in place to ensure that the flight termination function operates reliably and appropriately, and only when required.

2. Test and simulation data verifies that the flight termination function operates appropriately, only when required, and results in the expected defined flight state(s).

| Inf | ormation Sources | | |
|--------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P9 S3 U1412a |
| | | Reference: | 00-970 P9 S3 U1412b |
| | | STANAG | 4671.U1742 |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

4.2 TOOLS AND DATABASES.

4.2.1 Tool and database processes.

All tools, methods, and databases used in the requirements definition/allocation, design, risk control and assessments of safety shall be adequately validated and/or certified.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring all design and performance verification analysis tools, prediction methods, models, and/or simulations are applied appropriately and exhibit accuracy commensurate with their application;b. Use of competent and accredited design organisations.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Ensuring processes are in place to demonstrate that tools and databases are validated and under configuration control.

2. Analysis, modelling and simulation tools and databases are of appropriate accuracy and fidelity for the intended applications.

3. Validation basis of design analysis, models and simulations is substantiated and based on actual hardware/software test data.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-----------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | Appropriate design criteria | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | paragraphs of JSSG-2000, 2001, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, and others | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | contact for this discipline (listed | Reference: | |
| | in section A.2) | | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 35 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|--|

4.3 MATERIALS SELECTION.

4.3.1 Selection of materials.

The material selection process shall use validated and consistent material properties data, including design mechanical and physical properties such as material defects, and corrosion and environmental protection requirements.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The impact of processing (joints, coating, ageing, etc) on material properties adequately assessed for intended design.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Documentation confirms that materials are adequately covered by specifications as approved by the procuring agency.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 3.2.3-3.2.4 00-970 P1 3.2.8-3.2.20 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 4.1.4 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 4.1.8-4.1.12 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 4.1.13-4.1.33 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 6.2.54 |
| | | | 00-970 P7 S2 L200 3-4 |
| | | | 00-970 P13 1.4.5.9 |
| | | STANAG | 4671.307 |
| | | Reference: | 4671.603 |
| | | | 4671.609 |
| | | | 4671.613 |
| | | | 4671.1123 |
| FAA Doc: | DOT/FAA/AR-MMPDS-01 | EASA CS | CS 23.307 |
| | | Reference: | CS 23.603 |
| | | | CS 23.609 |
| | | | CS 23.613 |
| | | | CS 23.1123 |
| | | | CS 25.307 |
| | | | CS 25.603 |
| | | | CS 25.609 |
| | | | CS 25.613 |
| | | | CS 25.1123 |
| | | | CS 27.307 |
| | | | CS 27.603 |
| | | | CS 27.609 |
| | | | CS 27.613 |
| | | | CS 27.1123 |
| | | | CS 29.307 |
| | | | CS 29.603 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 Status: endorsed Page 36 | /675 |
|--|------|
|--|------|

| Int | ormation Sources | |
|-----|------------------|------------|
| | | CS 29.609 |
| | | CS 29.613 |
| | | CS 29.1123 |

4.4 MANUFACTURING AND QUALITY.

4.4.1 Key characteristics.

Key product characteristics (including critical characteristics) shall be identified.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Identifying all critical safety items (CSI);

b. Analysing CSI installations taking account of, for instance: weight bearing requirements, physical space and access, and thermal and other environmental conditions;

c. Recording the key characteristics of those CSIs and Flight Critical Components along with any associated tolerances;

d. Manufacturing process controls for specific key product characteristics.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Key product characteristic (including critical characteristics) and tolerance definitions are verified by inspection and analysis of program design documentation at the applicable levels of the product hierarchy;

2. Identifying approaches for verification of these characteristics during manufacture, operation and maintenance.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | ASME Y14.5 "Dimensioning and Tolerancing" AS 9100 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | ASC/EN Manufacturing Development Guide, Section 6.5, "Key Characteristics and Processes" AFI 63-501 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 3.1.1 00-970 P1 4.0.1 00-970 P1 4.1.4 00-970 P1 4.3.1 00-970 P1 S6 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.305 4671.601 4671.603 4671.609 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: 23.601- 23.605, 25.601-25.603 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.305 CS 23.601 CS 23.603 CS 23.609 CS 23.1309 CS 25.302 CS 25.305 CS 25.601 CS 25.603 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 37 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|------------|
| | CS 25.609 |
| | CS 25.1309 |
| | CS 27.305 |
| | CS 27.309 |
| | CS 27.601 |
| | CS 27.602 |
| | CS 27.603 |
| | CS 27.609 |
| | CS 27.1309 |
| | CS 29.305 |
| | CS 29.309 |
| | CS 29.601 |
| | CS 29.602 |
| | CS 29.603 |
| | CS 29.609 |
| | CS 29.1309 |
| | |

4.4.2 Critical processes.

Key product characteristic requirements shall be ensured by appropriate manufacturing processes.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Listing critical processes and organisations approved to carry them out;
- b. Ensuring that approved organisations have sufficient capacity and competency;
- c. Utilising an existing organisational approval (e.g. EMAR 21, EASA Part 21).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Design and process control documentation which records critical process capabilities and control plans.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | ASME Y14.5 "Dimensioning and Tolerancing" AS 9100 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | ASC/EN Manufacturing Development Guide, Section 6.6, "Variability Reduction," for additional information on Cole | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.1.8 00-970 P1 4.6.1-4.6.14 00-970 P1 4.7.1-4.7.9 |
| | additional information on Cpk, Critical Processes, and Process Control Plans AFI 63- 501 | STANAG Reference: | 4671.601 4671.605 4671.613 4671.621 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.601- 23.605, 25.601-25.603 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.601 CS 23.605 CS 23.613 CS 23.621 CS 25.601 CS 25.605 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 38 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|-----------|
| | CS 25.613 |
| | CS 25.621 |
| | CS 27.601 |
| | CS 27.602 |
| | CS 27.605 |
| | CS 27.613 |
| | CS 27.621 |
| | CS 29.601 |
| | CS 29.602 |
| | CS 29.605 |
| | CS 29.613 |
| | CS 29.621 |

4.4.3 Critical process controls.

All critical manufacturing process controls shall exist to assure key product characteristic requirements are met.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The approval granted to the manufacturing facility should be in accordance with EASA CS 21A Subpart G, or equivalent, and this approval should cover process controls;

b. Non-destructive inspection (NDI) accept/reject criteria.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. First article inspections or first article tests to ensure design conformance.

2. Manufacturing process control data, and/or periodic hardware quality audits.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|---|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | ASME Y14.5 "Dimensioning and Tolerancing" AS 9100 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | ASC/EN Manufacturing Development Guide AFI 63- 501 Joint Aeronautical Commander's Group's Performance Based Product Definition Guide, Section 5.0, "Performance Based Approach," for additional information on Product Acceptance Criteria. | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.1.4 00-970 P1 4.6 00-970 P1 4.7 4671.605 4671.613 4671.621 |
| FAA Doc: | 14 CFR references: 23.601- 23.605, 25.601-25.603 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.605 CS 23.613 CS 23.621 CS 25.605 CS 25.613 CS 25.621 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 39 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

| In | formation Sources | |
|----|-------------------|-----------|
| | | CS 27.602 |
| | | CS 27.605 |
| | | CS 27.613 |
| | | CS 27.621 |
| | | CS 29.602 |
| | | CS 29.605 |
| | | CS 29.613 |
| | | CS 29.621 |
| | | |

4.4.4 Quality system.

Production allowances and tolerances shall be within acceptable limits and assure conformance to design.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Suitable processes to ensure that the 'as-built' configuration matches the 'as designed' configuration.

b. Manufacturer approval in accordance with EASA CS 21A Sub-part G, or equivalent, assuring conformance to design through the application of suitable assurance processes.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

- 1. Quality System policies, processes and procedures;
- 2. Internal and 3rd party audit against an appropriate standard (e.g. ISO 9001);
- 3. Continual recording of tolerances to ensure that variance does not creep over time.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|---|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | ASME Y14.5 "Dimensioning and Tolerancing" AS 9100 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | ASC/EN Manufacturing Development Guide, Section 5, "Quality Systems," and Section 6.6 "Variability Reduction" AFI 63-501 Joint Aeronautical Commander's Group's "Engineering and Manufacturing Practices for Defect Prevention" FAR Part 46, "Quality Assurance" | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 2.1.27 00-970 P1 4.1.4 00-970 P1 4.4.54 00-970 P1 4.5.4 00-970 P1 4.4.62 00-970 P1 4.18.4 00-970 P7 L805 7 4671.603 4671.605 4671.619 |
| | | | 4671.623 4671.625 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: 23.601- 23.605, 25.601-25.603 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.603 CS 23.605 CS 23.619 CS 23.623 CS 23.625 CS 25.603 CS 25.605 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 40 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|-----------|
| | CS 25.619 |
| | CS 25.623 |
| | CS 25.625 |
| | CS 27.602 |
| | CS 27.603 |
| | CS 27.605 |
| | CS 27.619 |
| | CS 27.623 |
| | CS 27.625 |
| | CS 29.602 |
| | CS 29.603 |
| | CS 29.605 |
| | CS 29.619 |
| | CS 29.623 |
| | CS 29.625 |

4.4.5 Merged with 4.4.3

4.5 OPERATOR'S AND MAINTENANCE MANUALS/TECHNICAL ORDERS.

4.5.1 Procedures and limitations.

Processes shall be in place to identify and document all procedures, limitations, restrictions, warnings, cautions and notes.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Procedures for identifying and documenting all restrictions, warnings, and cautions.

b. Procedures for identifying which documents particular restrictions, warnings, and cautions should be recorded in (i.e. aircrew or groundcrew manual etc).

c. Regularly review of procedures for applicability and to ensure complete coverage of the aircraft.

d. Provision for updating original information as necessary.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Aircraft Flight Manual (or other document where appropriate) should record procedures, limitations, restrictions, warnings, cautions and notes.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-38784, Standard | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | Practice for Manuals, | Reference: | |
| | Technical: General Style and Format Requirements | STANAG | 4671.1501 |
| | i offici requirements | Reference: | 4671.1529 |
| | | | 4671.1541 |
| | | | 4671.1581 |
| | | | 4671.1589 |
| | | | 4671 Appendix G |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: 23.1581, 25.1581, 23.1541, 25.1541 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1501 CS 23.1529 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 41 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|------------------|
| | CS 23.1541 |
| | CS 23.1581 |
| | CS 23.1589 |
| | CS 23 Appendix G |
| | CS 25.1501 |
| | CS 25.1529 |
| | CS 25.1541 |
| | CS 25.1581 |
| | CS 25.1591 |
| | CS 25J.1521 |
| | CS 25 Appendix H |
| | CS 27.1501 |
| | CS 27.1529 |
| | CS 27.1541 |
| | CS 27.1581 |
| | CS 27.1589 |
| | CS 27 Appendix A |
| | CS 29.1501 |
| | CS 29.1529 |
| | CS 29.1541 |
| | CS 29.1581 |
| | CS 29.1589 |
| | CS 29 Appendix A |

4.5.2 Line Deleted

4.5.3 Maintenance of safety.

Procedures shall be in place for establishing and managing integrity.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Structural, propulsion, and systems integrity through-life.

b. Ensuring that the correct mix of specialists is involved from across the maintenance and operational aspects of the platform, and that representation is consistent.

c. The intended usage of the aircraft

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Operator and maintenance manuals (i.e., change pages) provide processes for the recording of traceability to change events.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-HDBK-515, Weapon System Integrity Guide MIL- STD-1530, Aircraft Structural Integrity Program MIL-HDBK-87244, Avionics/Electronics Integrity | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 Pt 1 3.2.2 00-970 Pt 1 3.2.3 00-970 Pt 1 3.2.21 00-970 Pt 1 3.2.22 00-970 Pt 1 3.2.29 |
| | JSSG-2001A: 3.3.5.1, 3.3.7.1 | STANAG | 4671.570 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 42 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|----------|-----------------------|------------|-----------|
| | JSSG-2009: Appendix I | Reference: | 4671.572 |
| | | | 4671.573 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.571 |
| | | Reference: | CS 23.572 |
| | | | CS 23.573 |
| | | | CS 25.302 |
| | | | CS 25.571 |
| | | | CS 27.571 |
| | | | CS 27.573 |
| | | | CS 29.571 |
| | | | CS 29.573 |

4.6 CONFIGURATION IDENTIFICATION.

4.6.1 Functional baseline.

The functional baseline shall be properly documented, established, and brought under configuration control.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Methods used to document the functional baseline - requirements capture.

b. Methods used to maintain, and amend as necessary, the functional baseline ensuring that an audit trail is kept of changes.

c. Methods used to establish and maintain configuration control.

d. Methods used to assure configuration control - QA processes.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Inspection of documentation verifies that the functional baseline has been documented and approved.

2. Inspection of the approved engineering documentation and engineering release system verifies adequate capture of the functional baseline.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-----------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-961E, Defense and | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | Program Unique Specifications | Reference: | |
| | Format and Content, Appendix | STANAG | |
| | MIL-HDBK-61A, Configuration | Reference: | |
| | Management, sections 3, and | | |
| | 5.5.1 Configuration Baselines | | |
| | for definitions and purposes of | | |
| | configuration baselines | | |
| FAA Doc: | 14011(101010100.20.21, | EASA CS | |
| | 25.21, 23.601, 25.601, 23.1301, 25.1301 | Reference: | |

4.6.2 Allocated baseline.

The allocated baseline shall be properly documented, established, and brought under configuration control.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 43 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|--|

Consideration should be given to:

a. Methods used to document the allocated baseline - requirements capture.

b. Methods used to maintain, and amend as necessary, the allocated baseline ensuring that an audit trail is kept of changes.

c. Methods used to establish and maintain configuration control.

d. Methods used to assure configuration control - QA processes.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Inspection of documentation verifies that the allocated baseline has been documented and approved.

2. Inspection of the approved engineering documentation and engineering release system verifies adequate capture of the allocated baseline.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-----------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-961E, Defense and | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | Program Unique Specifications | Reference: | |
| | Format and Content, Appendix | STANAG | |
| | MIL-HDBK-61A, Configuration | Reference: | |
| | Management, sections 3, and | | |
| | 5.5.1 Configuration Baselines | | |
| | for definitions and purposes of | | |
| | configuration baselines | | |
| FAA Doc: | 14011(101010100.20.21, | EASA CS | |
| | 25.21, 23.601, 25.601, 23.1301, 25.1301 | Reference: | |

4.6.3 Product baseline.

The product baseline shall be properly documented, established, and brought under configuration control.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Methods used to document the product baseline - requirements capture.

b. Methods used to maintain, and amend as necessary, the product baseline ensuring that an audit trail is kept of changes.

c. Methods used to establish and maintain configuration control.

d. Methods used to assure configuration control - QA processes.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Inspection of documentation verifies that the product baseline has been documented and approved.

2. Inspection of the approved engineering documentation and engineering release system verifies adequate capture of the product baseline.

| Int | ormation Sources | | |
|--------------|------------------|-----------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 44 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|----------|-------------------|------------|--|
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

4.6.4 Safety critical item configuration management.

A configuration management system shall have the capability to track the configuration of safety-critical items.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Ensuring that all safety-critical items have been included.
- b. Provision of QA checks for system effectiveness.
- c. Provision of a clear and unambiguous interface showing when events are due.
- d. Ability to demonstrate the history of items.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Inspection of CSA records and reports for CI/CSCIs verifies accuracy of the configuration status accounting system and that the system is able to track and record changes to the configuration.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 45 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

SECTION 5 - STRUCTURES

This section covers criteria for the design, installation, arrangement and compatibility of the aircraft structure.

The aircraft structure includes the fuselage, wing (fixed or rotating), empennage, structural elements of landing gear, the control system, control surfaces, drive system, rotor systems, radome, antennae, engine mounts, nacelles, pylons, thrust reversers (if not part of the engine), air inlets, AAR mechanisms, structural operating mechanisms, structural provisions for equipment/payload/cargo/personnel, etc.

TYPICAL CERTIFICATION SOURCE DATA

- 1. Design criteria
- 2. Loads analyses
- 3. Internal load and stress analyses
- 4. Materials, processes, corrosion prevention, non-destructive evaluation and repair data
- 5. Results from any design development tests conducted
- 6. Proof test results
- 7. Flutter, mechanical stability and aeroservoelastic analyses
- 8. Loads wind tunnel test data
- 9. Flutter wind tunnel test data
- 10. Ground vibration test results
- 11. Damage tolerance and durability analyses
- 12. Component/full-scale static and fatigue test results
- 13. Live fire test results and ballistic analysis
- 14. Bird strike test and analysis results
- 15. Arresting wire strike test and analysis results
- 16. User and maintainer manuals, or equivalent
- 17. Flight operating limits
- 18. Strength summary and operating restrictions
- 19. Damage tolerance and durability test results
- 20. Full-scale durability test results
- 21. Functional test results
- 22. Flight loads test results
- 23. Instrumentation and calibration test results
- 24. Control surface, tabs and damper test results
- 25. Thermoelastic test results
- 26. Limit-load rigidity test results
- 27. Flight flutter test results
- 28. Mass properties control and management plan (interface)
- 29. Weight and balance reports (interface)
- 30. Inertia report
- 31. Design trade studies and analyses
- 32. Fuel system test results
- 33. Results of actual weighing
- 34. Weight and balance handbook, or equivalent
- 35. Hazard analysis
- 36. Environmental criteria and test results
- 37. Vibration and acoustic test results

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 46 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

- 38. Aircraft tracking program
- 39. Landing gear and airframe drop test plans and results
- 40. Mechanical stability test plans and results
- 41. Whirl test plans and results
- 42. Tie-down test plans and results
- 43. Structural description report
- 44. Tipover and rollover stability analyses
- 45. External store interface and release data

46. Ground and/or air transport rigging procedures, interface loads, and associated inspection requirements

- 47. Failure modes, effects, and criticality analysis (FMECA) documentation
- 48. Ground and rotor blade clearance dimensional data
- 49. Loss of lubrication testing
- 50. Heat generation/rejection analysis
- 51. Airframe and component fatigue analyses and test results
- 52. Hydraulic and Control System "RAP" test results

CERTIFICATION CRITERIA

5.1 LOADS

5.1.1 Design flight and ground loads.

Verify that the loads used in the design of the aircraft include the maximum, minimum and most critical combination of loads that can result from authorized ground and flight loading conditions for the aircraft. These include loads during piloted or autonomous manoeuvres, loss of control manoeuvres, gusts, pressurization, turbulence, take-off, landing, catapult (if applicable), shipboard and land based arrestments (if applicable), ground operations, maintenance activity, systems failures from which recovery is expected (to include rapid depressurization) and loads expected to be seen throughout the specific lifetime of usage.

Typical system failures shall include:

Tyre failures, Propulsion system failures, Radome failures, Mechanical failures, Hydraulic failures, Flight control system failures, Transparency failures, Hung stores and other failures.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The loads used should consider critical combinations of configurations, gross weights, centres of gravity, thrust, power, altitudes, speeds, control surface deflections, control input variation and environmental factors and are used in the design of the aircraft.

b. Loads should be established for both primary and secondary structural components by selection of flight parameters likely to produce critical applied loads.

c. Symmetric and asymmetric flight operations considered should include symmetric and unsymmetrical fuel and payload loadings and adverse trim conditions.

d. Loads should also consider normal and failure modes of operation, including rapid pressurization and depressurization, and loads expected to be seen throughout the specific lifetime of usage.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 47 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|--|

Verification methods include analysis and inspection of documentation. Multiple variables and factors are needed to account for development of maximum and minimum load factors. The following compliance paragraphs are applicable to all standards.

a. Load factor selection considers the following items:

- (1) Mission and flying techniques employed to execute the required mission.
- (2) Weapon types and possible delivery methods.
- (3) Anticipated weight and power plant growth.
- (4) Maximum speed and time spent at maximum speed.
- (5) Utilization of external stores and external fuel tanks.
- (6) Training.
- (7) Past experience with similar types of aircraft, mission, etc.

b. Load factors are defined which include appropriate ranges for symmetrical, asymmetrical, directional manoeuvres, and atmospheric turbulence for each configuration. The defined load factors are attainable by the aircraft, which should be demonstrated by analysis.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2006: A.3.2.9, A.4.2.9 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 3.1.2 00-970 P1 3.1.4 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 3.1.6 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 3.1.16 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 3.1.22 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 3.2.15 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 3.3.2 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 3.4.2 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 3.5.3 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 3.5.5 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 3.6.2 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 4.1.3 |
| | | STANAG | 4671.143 |
| | | Reference: | 4671.301 |
| | | | 4671.305 |
| | | | 4671.321 |
| | | | 4671.333 |
| | | | 4671.345 |
| | | | 4671.361 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: | EASA CS | CS 23.143 |
| | Section 23.141, 23.301, | Reference: | CS 23.301 |
| | 23.305, 23.321, 23.333, | | CS 23.305 |
| | 23.343, 23.361 ; | | CS 23.321 |
| | Section 25.143, 25.301, | | CS 23.333 |
| | 25.321, 25.303, 25.331, | | CS 23.343 |
| | 25.341, 25.343, 25.349, | | CS 23.361 |
| | 25.361 ; | | CS 25.143 |
| | Section 27.301, 27.305, | | CS 25.301 |
| | 27.321, , 27.341, 27.473 ; | | CS 25.303 |
| | Section 29.301, 29.305, | | CS 25.321 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 48 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|-------------------------|-----------|
| 29.321, 29.341, 29.473. | CS 25.331 |
| | CS 25.341 |
| | CS 25.343 |
| | CS 25.349 |
| | CS 25.361 |
| | CS 27.143 |
| | CS 27.301 |
| | CS 27.305 |
| | CS 27.473 |
| | CS 29.143 |
| | CS 29.301 |
| | CS 29.305 |
| | CS 29.321 |
| | CS 29.473 |

5.1.2 Use of probabilistic vs deterministic loads.

Verify that the limit loads, to be used in the design of elements of the airframe subject to deterministic design criteria, shall be the maximum and most critical combination of loads which can result from authorized ground and flight use of the aircraft, including maintenance activity and system failures from which recovery is expected.

This requirement defines the load capability that the airframe must possess to achieve adequate structural safety and economic operation. Where such loads are the result of randomly occurring loads, the minimum frequency of occurrence of these loads must be defined. This insures the inclusion of loads which are of sufficient magnitude to size elements of the airframe and whose frequency of occurrence warrants their inclusion. It is typically only necessary to include loads whose frequency of occurrence is greater than or equal to 1 x 10-7 per flight.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Combined load-strength probability analysis to predict the risk of detrimental structural deformation and structural failure in order to substantiate deterministic values.

b. Ensuring limit design loads are the maximum loads anticipated on the aircraft during its lifetime of service.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

a. Correlated ground and flight loads analyses to provide details of magnitudes and distribution of all applied external loads.

b. Wind tunnel tests for development of aerodynamic loads.

c. Stiffness and ground vibration tests to update flexibility vs. rigid characteristics of analytical models.

d. Flight controls and aerodynamic flight tests inform aircraft simulation models.

e. Loads calibration tests to develop ground/flight load equations.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2006: A.3.2.11, A.4.2.11 | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 3.1.10 |
| | | Reference: | 00-970 P1 3.1.12 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 3.2.11 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 49 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|----------|--|-----------------------|---|
| | | | 00-970 P1 3.2.12 00-970 P1 3.2.13 00-970 P1 3.2.14 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.23 4671.301 4671.307 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: Section 23.23, 23.301, 23.305, 23.307 ; Section 25.23, 25.301, 25.303, 25.305, 25.307 ; Section 27.301, 27.305, 27.307 ; Section 29.301, 29.305, 29.307 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.23 CS 23.301 CS 23.305 CS 23.307 CS 25.23 CS 25.301 CS 25.303 CS 25.305 CS 25.307 CS 27.301 CS 27.305 CS 27.305 CS 29.301 CS 29.305 CS 29.307 |

5.1.3 Foreign Object Damage (FOD).

Loads used in the design of the airframe shall include loads due to FOD from birds, hail, runway, taxiway, and ramp debris.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The aircraft should be designed to withstand the impact of FOD during any phase of taxi, take-off, flight and landing without loss of the aircraft, incapacitation of the pilot or crew and without detectable or undetectable damage to structural elements that result in reductions in structural strength below ultimate load carrying capability throughout the flight envelope (including manoeuvres).

b. The aircraft, including main and tail rotor systems, should be designed to ensure the capability of continued safe flight and landing following impact. Windshields should be designed to withstand impact without penetration. Fairings that may be used to shield or enclose flight critical components (e.g., flight control computers) should be designed with sufficient strength to ensure capability of continued safe flight and landing.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

Verification methods include analysis, test, and inspection of documentation. Probabilistic analyses are performed to address FOD occurrences. Lab tests such as bird strike tests are performed to validate analytical model(s) and/or structural capabilities.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2006 3.2.24 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 3.1.2 00-970 P1 3.1.25 00-970 P1 4.9 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 50 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|----------|-------------------|------------|----------------------------|
| | | | 00-970 P5 UK25.473b |
| | | | 00-970 P5 UK25.631a 00-970 |
| | | | P5 UK25.721b |
| | | | 00-970 P7 L206 2.11 |
| | | STANAG | 4671 U631 |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

5.1.4 Repeated loads.

All sources of repeated loads shall be considered and included in the development of the service loads spectra and shall not detract from the airframe service life. The following operational and maintenance conditions shall be included as sources of repeated loads: Manoeuvres, including load spectra covering, Gusts, Suppression Systems, Vibration and Acoustics, Landings, Buffeting, Effects of Pressurisation, Repeated Operations of Movable Structures, Stored Loads, Heat Flux and other loads including all ground loads.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Manoeuvres - Designed such that final spectra accounts for variables such as manoeuvre capability, tactics, and flight control laws reflecting projected average usage with the design utilization distribution and also usage such that 90% of the fleet (95% for all fatigue damaging conditions for rotorcraft) is expected to meet the service life.

b. Gusts - Designed such that gust load spectra developed by continuous turbulence analysis methods.

c. Suppression system which enhances ride qualities such as active oscillation control, gust alleviation,

flutter suppression and terrain following.

d. Vibration and aeroacoustics.

e. Landings - Designed with cumulative occurrences of sink speed per 1000 landings, by type of landing, typical of projected service usage.

f. For rotorcraft - Designed with consideration of CF loads due to rotor start and stop cycle and torsional loads due to rotor braking cycles.

g. Buffet due to non-linear flow caused by vortex shedding during high angle of attack manoeuvers, rotary-wing blade stall and transonic shock instabilities - Designed such that analytical predictions of the structural response are generated during flight operations in the buffet regime and adjusted as needed by test data.

h. Ground operation loads - Designed with: (1) the number of hard and medium braking occurrences per full stop landing along with associated braking effects; (2) number of pivoting occurrences; and (3) definition of roughness characteristics of the airfield(s) to be utilized and the number of taxi operations on each airfield.

i. Pressurization - Designed with the total number of cycles projected for one service life.

j. Impact, operational, and residual loads occurring from the normal operation of movable structures such as control surfaces.

k. Store carriage and employment loads.

I. Heat flux.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

Verification methods include analysis, test, and inspection of documentation, including:

1. Ground and flight loads analyses, correlated with test data.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 51 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

2. For rotorcraft, flight load survey testing to gather loads data (e.g. maximum, minimum, average, frequency etc) for each regime in the usage spectrum.

- 3. Wind tunnel tests for development of buffet loads.
- 4. Buffet flight tests to verify analytical buffet predictions.

5. Incorporation of loads associated with the vibration and aeroacoustic environments.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2006: A.3.2.14.3, A.4.2.14 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 3.2.11 00-970 P1 3.2.13 00-970 P1 3.2.14 |
| | | STANAG | 4671.570 |
| | | Reference: | 4671.572 4671.573 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: Section 23.571, 23.572, 23.573, 23.574 ; Section 25.571 ; Section 27.571 ; Section 29.571. | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.571 CS 23.572 CS 23.573 CS 23.574 CS 25.571 CS 27.571 CS 27.573 CS 29.571 CS 29.573 |

5.1.5 Propulsion loads.

The aircraft airframe shall be designed for the power or thrust of the installed propulsion system. This includes the ground and flight conditions of intended use, including system failures, and the capabilities of the propulsion system and crew. This should also take into account the flight and ground loads, including gyroscopic loads and forces associated with the power or thrust of the installed propulsion system, over all ranges of thrust and torque from zero to maximum.

Consideration should be given to:

Flight loading conditions should be based on aircraft response to pilot induced or autonomous manoeuvres, loss of control manoeuvres, pressurization and turbulence. These conditions should consider both required, and expected to be encountered, critical combinations of configurations, gross weights, centres of gravity, thrust, power, altitudes, speeds, critical combinations of control system (surfaces and rotor system) deflections, control input variation and environmental factors and are used in the design of the aircraft. Considered flight loading conditions should include symmetric and asymmetric flight operations and should be established for both primary and secondary structural components by selection of flight parameters likely to produce critical applied loads. Symmetric and asymmetric flight operations include symmetric and unsymmetrical fuel and payload loadings and adverse trim conditions. Loads also consider normal and failure modes of operation, including rapid pressurization and depressurization, and loads expected to be seen throughout the specific lifetime of usage.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

Verification methods include analysis and inspection of documentation. Multiple variables and factors account for development of maximum and minimum load factors. The following compliance paragraphs are applicable to all standards.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 52 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

a. Load factor selection considers the following items:

(1) Mission and flying techniques employed to execute the required mission.

(2) Weapon types and possible delivery methods.

(3) Anticipated weight and power plant growth.

(4) Maximum speed and time spent at maximum speed.

(5) Utilization of external stores and external fuel tanks.

(6) Training.

(7) Past experience with similar types of aircraft, mission, etc..

b. Load factors are defined which include appropriate ranges for symmetrical, asymmetrical, directional manoeuvres, and atmospheric turbulence for each configuration. Analysis verifies that the load factors are attainable by the aircraft.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2006: A.3.2.17, A.4.2.17 | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 3.1.13 |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | 4671.321 |
| | | Reference: | 4671.371 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: | EASA CS | CS 23.371 |
| | Section 23.371 | Reference: | CS 25.321 |
| | Section 25.321, 25.371 | | CS 25.371 |

5.1.6 Flight control and automatic control device loads.

In the generation of loads, consideration shall be given to flight control and automatic control devices, including load alleviation and ride control devices. This shall include all Flight Control and ACS operating modes (operative, inoperative, and transient) including but not limited to such identified system degradations and failures as Tire failures, Propulsion system failures, Radome failures, Mechanical failures, Hydraulic failures, Flight control system failures, Transparency failures, Hung stores and other failures.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Stability augmentation.
- b. Load and flutter alleviation.
- c. Pilot cueing software and vibration control devices.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Verification methods include analyses, inspection of documentation, simulations, wind tunnel and ground and flight test.

2. Analyses and tests to verify normal operation and emergency associated modes of operation.

- 3. Correlated ground and flight loads analyses.
- 4. Wind tunnel tests for development of aerodynamic loads.
- 5. Flight controls and aerodynamic flight tests to ensure that aircraft simulation models are up-to-date.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | |
|--------------|----------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2006: A.3.2.18 and A.4.2.18 | 00-970 P1 3.10.54 00-970 P1 3.10.55 00-970 P1 3.10.56 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 53 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

| 00-970 P1 3.10.57 00-970 P1 3.10.58 00-970 P1 3.10.59 STANAG 4671.141 Reference: 4671.331 4671.337 4671.395 4671.459 4671.683 4671.1329 | |
|---|--|
| 00-970 P1 3.10.59 STANAG 4671.141 Reference: 4671.321 4671.331 4671.337 4671.395 4671.459 4671.683 4671.683 | |
| STANAG 4671.141 Reference: 4671.321 4671.331 4671.337 4671.395 4671.459 4671.683 4671.683 | |
| Reference: 4671.321 4671.331 4671.337 4671.395 4671.459 4671.683 4671.683 | |
| 4671.331 4671.337 4671.395 4671.459 4671.683 | |
| 4671.337 4671.395 4671.459 4671.683 | |
| 4671.395 4671.459 4671.683 | |
| 4671.459 4671.683 | |
| 4671.683 | |
| | |
| 4671 1329 | |
| | |
| FAA Doc: 14CFR reference: EASA CS CS 23.141 | |
| Section 23.321, 23.331, <i>Reference:</i> CS 23.321 | |
| 23.337, 23.683, 23.1329 ; CS 23.331 | |
| Section 25.321, 25.331 25.337 CS 23.337 | |
| 25.395, 25.683 25.1329 ; CS 23.395 | |
| Section 27.141, 27.321, 27.337 CS 23.683 | |
| 27.395, 27.683 27.1329 ; CS 23.1329 | |
| Section 29.141, 29.321, 29.337 CS 25.321 | |
| 29.395, 29.683 29.1329. CS 25.331 | |
| CS 25.337 | |
| CS 25.395 | |
| CS 25.683 | |
| CS 25.1329 | |
| CS 27.141 | |
| CS 27.321 | |
| CS 27.337 | |
| CS 27.395 | |
| CS 27.1329 | |
| CS 29.141 | |
| CS 29.321 | |
| CS 29.337 | |
| CS 29.395 | |
| CS 29.683 | |
| CS 29.1329 | |

5.1.7 Analysis and testing of realistic flight loading conditions.

Flight loading conditions shall reflect all flight operations including but not limited to symmetric, asymmetric, directional and evasive manoeuvres, turbulence, AAR and delivery, speed and lift control, braking wheels in air, extension and retraction of landing gear, pressurisation, aero elastic deformation effects and dynamic response during flight operations.

Symmetric and asymmetric flight operations include symmetric and asymmetric fuel and payload loadings as well as adverse trim conditions.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Both primary and secondary structural components.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 54 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|---|
| | | | | L |

b. Symmetric and asymmetric fuel and payload loadings (including external stores) and adverse trim conditions.

c. Symmetric manoeuvres including steady pitching, abrupt pitching, flaps down pull-outs, aerial delivery pull-outs, and emergency stores release.

d. Directional manoeuvres which include sideslips, rudder kicks, rudder reversals, asymmetrical thrust with zero sideslip, engine failure, and engine out operation.

g. Vertical and lateral gusts.

h. Pressurization.

m. Aeroelastic deformations.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Correlated flight loads analyses of magnitudes and distribution of all applied external loads.

2. Service and maximum loads expected to be encountered are established for operation under all flight conditions.

3. Wind tunnel tests for development of aerodynamic loads.

4. Stiffness and ground vibration tests to update flexibility vs. rigid characteristics of loads analytical model.

5. Flight controls and aerodynamic flight tests to update aircraft simulation models.

6. Loads calibration tests to develop flight load equations.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|---|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2006: A.3.4.1, A.3.4.1.1- 15 JSSG-2006: Power Spectrum Equation on pg 264 under A.3.4.1.6 (for standard development) JSSG-2006: Table XI "Turbulence Field Parameters," pg 441 (for standard development) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 3.1.2 00-970 P1 3.1.16 00-970 P1 3.1.29 00-970 P1 4.20.18 00-970 P1 4.20.19 4671.321 4671.331 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: Section 23.321, 23.331 ; Section 25.301, 25.321, 25.331 ; Section 27.321 ; Section 29.321. | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.321 CS 23.331 CS 25.301 CS 25.321 CS 25.331 CS 27.321 CS 29.321 |

5.1.8 Analysis and testing of realistic ground loading conditions.

Ultimate loads used in airframe design shall be obtained through the multiplication of limit loads by prescribed factors of safety. The factor of safety is not typically lower than 1.5. If a factor of safety is less than 1.5, justification should be agreed with the Certifying Authority. For crash case conditions, specific ultimate load factors are to be applied allowing a structural design to give each occupant every reasonable chance of escaping serious injury. The aircraft structure shall be designed so that the ultimate loads do not generate stresses higher than relevant allowable stress values (i.e. rupture).

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 55 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|--|

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Maximum landing touchdown vertical sink speeds
- b. Crosswinds at take-off and landing
- c. Landing touchdown roll, yaw, pitch attitude, and sink speed combinations
- d. Bumps and dips during taxiing
- e. Forces applied during jacking of the aircraft.
- f. Ground loading conditions expected to be encountered in critical combinations of configurations.
- g. Symmetric and asymmetric fuel and payload loadings and adverse trim conditions.

h. Ground operations consisting of taxing, turning, pivoting, braking, landing (including arrestment) and take-off.

- i. Ground handling conditions consisting of towing, jacking, and hoisting.
- j. Dynamic response and shimmy during ground operations as well as for rough runway conditions.
- k. Ground winds as a result of weather and jet blast.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

- 1. Tests are utilized to correlate analytical model and substantiate the design loads. Such as:
- a. Correlated ground loads analyses including dynamic response analyses.
- b. Dynamic stability/taxi analyses.
- c. Ground vibration tests and landing gear shimmy lab tests.
- d. Loads calibration tests.

2. Demonstrate the safe operation of the aircraft to the maximum attainable operating limits consistent with the structural design and to verify that loads used in the structural analysis and static tests are not exceeded at the structural design limits of the airspeed and load factor envelope.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|---|---|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2006: A.3.2.10, 3.2.10.1-6, A.4.2.10, Figure 4 & 5 JSSG-2006: Figure 4, pg 459, "Discrete bumps and dips for slow speeds up to 50 knots- single and double excitations". (for standard development) JSSG-2006: Figure 5, pg 460, "Discrete bumps and dips for high speeds above 50 knots- single and double excitations". | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 3.1.4 00-970 P1 3.4.6 00-970 P1 3.1.7 4671.301 4671.305 4671.307 |
| FAA Doc: | (for standard development) 14CFR reference: Section 23.301, 23.303, 23.305, 23.561 Section 25.301, 25.303, 25.362, 25.561 Section 27.301, 27.303, 27.305, 27.561 Section 29.301, 29.303, 29.305, 29.561 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.301 CS 23.305 CS 23.561 CS 25.301 CS 25.303 CS 25.362 CS 25.561 CS 27.301 CS 27.305 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 56 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--|-----------|--|
| | | CS 27.561 | |
| | | CS 29.301 | |
| | | CS 29.305 | |
| | | CS 29.561 | |

5.1.9 Crash loads.

The airframe, although it may be damaged in emergency landing conditions on land or water, shall be designed to protect personnel during crash landings. The intent of this requirement is to establish crash load factors for structural requirements of airframe installations and backup structures required to protect personnel during crash landings.

The airframe shall also not inhibit personnel egress.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Seat installations;
- b. Fuel tanks and installations;
- c. Fixed and removable equipment;
- d. Cargo;
- e. Litters;
- f. Bunks.

The airframe should provide a protective shell surrounding the personnel, and should minimise the loads experienced by personnel so that (hopefully) they will be less than human tolerance limits. Mass items are to be supported in such a manner so as to prevent lethal or injurious blows to personnel.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Correlated ground loads analyses which detail of magnitudes and distribution of all critical design loads are established.

2. Ground loads test demonstrations to correlate analytical models and substantiate the design loads.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|---|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | ADS-36 (Army use) JSSG-2006: A.3.4.2.11, Table XIV, "Seat Crash Load Factors," pg 443 (for standard development) 32 JSSG-2006 A.3.4.2, A.3.4.2.1, A.3.4.2.2, A.3.4.2.3, A.3.4.2.4, A.3.4.2.5, A.3.4.2.6, A.3.4.2.7, A.3.4.2.8, A.3.4.2.9, A.3.4.2.10, A.3.4.2.11, A.3.4.2.12, A.3.4.2.13 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG | 00-970 P1 3.1.13 00-970 P1 4.22.2 00-970 P1 4.22.6 00-970 P1 4.22.8 00-970 P1 4.22.11 00-970 P1 4.22.23 00-970 P1 4.22.23 00-970 P1 4.22.26 00-970 P1 4.22.27 00-970 P1 4.22.51 00-970 P1 4.22.51 |
| | | Reference: | 4671.301 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: Section 23.471, 23.473, | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.561 CS 23.562 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 57 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|-------------------------|--------------------|
| 23.497, 23.499, 23.505, | , 23.511 CS 25.561 |
| ; | CS 25.562 |
| Section 23.511, | 25.471, CS 27.561 |
| 25.473, 25.489, 25.511, | , 25.519 CS 27.562 |
| ; | CS 29.561 |
| Section 27.471, | 27.473, CS 29.562 |
| 27.497, 27.501, 27.549 | ; |

5.2 STRUCTURAL DYNAMICS.

5.2.1 Aeroelastic design - general.

Verify that the aircraft, in all configurations including store carriage and system failures, is free from flutter, whirl flutter, divergence, and other related aeroelastic or aeroservoelastic instabilities, including transonic aeroelastic instabilities at any point within the flight envelope enlarged at all points by an airspeed margin of safety.

Also, verify that all aerodynamic surfaces and components of the aircraft are free from aeroelastic divergence and that the inlet, transparency, and other aerodynamically loaded panels are designed to prevent flutter and limited amplitude oscillations when exposed to high transonic or supersonic flow.

Adequate tolerances shall be established for quantities which affect flutter; including speed, damping, mass balance and control system stiffness.

The aeroservoelastic model shall be validated by tests or other approved methods to be agreed with the authority.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring that the margin of safety is maintained in equivalent airspeed (Ve) at all points on the VL/ML envelope of the aircraft, both at constant Mach number and separately, at constant altitude.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

- 1. Flutter analyses of the complete aircraft.
- 2. Analyses involving variable fuel conditions for external tanks.
- 3. Divergence and buzz analyses as well as panel flutter analyses.
- 4. Wind tunnel and unsteady pressure model tests.
- 5. Laboratory tests such as component ground vibration and stiffness tests.
- 6. Complete aircraft ground vibration modal tests.
- 7. Aeroservoelastic ground tests.
- 8. Flight flutter tests and flight aeroservoelastic stability tests.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2006: A.3.1.2, A.3.7.1, A.3.7.1.2, A.3.7.1.4, A.3.7.1.5, A.3.7.1.6, A.3.7.1.7, A.3.7.2, A.3.7.3, A.3.3.9, A.4.3.9, A.4.7 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 3.10.98 00-970 P1 3.10.99 00-970 P1 4.8.4 00-970 P1 4.8.10 |
| | | STANAG | 4671.629 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 58 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|-----------|--|-----------------------|--|
| | | Reference: | 4671.1329 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: Section 23.409, 23.629, 23.677, 23.687; Section25.409, 25.629, 25.677; Section 27.687; Section 29.687. | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.409 CS 23.629 CS 23.677 CS 23.687 CS 25.409 CS 25.629 CS 25.629 CS 25.677 CS 27.687 CS 29.687 |

5.2.2 Aeroelastic design - aeroservoelasticity.

aircraft components which are exposed to the airstream shall be designed to prevent any aeroelastic or aeroservoelastic instability.

All control surfaces and tabs shall be designed for the most severe combination of airspeed and tab deflection likely to be obtained within the flight envelope for any usable loading condition.

Tab controls must be irreversible unless the tab is properly balanced and has no unsafe flutter characteristics.

All control surfaces and tabs shall contain sufficient static and dynamic mass balance, or sufficient bending, torsional, and rotational rigidity; or a combination of these means to prevent flutter; or limited-amplitude instabilities of all critical modes under all flight conditions for normal and failure operating conditions of the actuating systems.

In addition, interactions of aircraft systems, such as the control systems coupling with the airframe, shall be controlled to prevent the occurrence of any aeroservoelastic instability.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

a. Aeroservoelastic stability analyses correlated with aeroservoelastic ground tests that are conducted for the critical flight conditions, taking into account the flight control systems gain scheduling and control surface effectiveness.

b. Flight aeroservoelastic stability tests of the aircraft and its flight augmentation system.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2006: A.3.7.1.1, A.3.7.1.3, A.3.7.1.8, A.3.7.2, A.3.7.3, A.3.7.4, A.3.7.5, A.4.7, A.4.7.5 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 3.9.42 00-970 P1 3.9.43 00-970 P1 3.9.44 00-970 P1 3.10.98 00-970 P1 3.10.99 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.409 4671.677 4671.687 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: Section 23.409, 23.677, | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.409 CS 23.677 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 59 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

| Information Sources | | |
|---------------------|------------------------|-----------|
| 23 | 3.687; | CS 23.687 |
| Se | ection 25.409, 25.677; | CS 25.409 |
| Se | ection 27.687; | CS 25.651 |
| Se | ection 29.687. | CS 25.677 |
| | | CS 27.629 |
| | | CS 27.687 |
| | | CS 29.629 |
| | | CS 29.687 |

5.2.3 Aeroelastic design - control surfaces and other components.

The control surfaces and tabs shall contain sufficient static and dynamic mass balance, or sufficient bending, torsional, and rotational rigidity; or a combination of these means to prevent flutter; or limited-amplitude instabilities of all critical modes under all flight conditions for normal and failure operating conditions of the actuating systems.

All control surfaces and parts thereof shall be free from single-degree-of-freedom flutter, such as buzz.

All other aircraft components exposed to the airstream, such as spoilers, dive brakes, scoops, landing gear doors, weapon bay doors, ventral fins, movable inlet ramps, movable fairings, and blade antennae shall be free from aeroelastic instability.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Exposure to any natural or manmade environment throughout the service life of the airframe.
- b. Ensuring control surface free play limits are not exceeded during the service life of the airframe.
- c. Establishment of maximum allowable inertia properties.
- d. Establishment of mass balance design requirements.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

- 1. Flutter analyses including non-linear analyses of the aircraft's control surfaces and tabs.
- 2. Parametric variation flutter analyses.
- 3. Mass measurements of all control surfaces and tabs.
- 4. Rigidity, stiffness and wear tests which are conducted for both normal and design failure conditions.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2006 3.7 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 3.5.10 00-970 P1 L45 3.5 00-970 P1 4.8 00-970 P7 L500 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.629 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.629 CS 25.629 CS 27.629 CS 29.629 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 60 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

5.2.4 Aeroelastic design - fail safe.

Following a structural failure, as well as for aircraft augmentation system failures, the aircraft shall be free from flutter, limited amplitude oscillations, divergence, and other related aeroelastic or aeroservoelastic instabilities, including limit cycle oscillations.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The designed margin of safety is maintained in equivalent airspeed (Ve) at all points on the VL/ML envelope of the aircraft, both at constant Mach number and separately, at constant altitude.

b. The total (aerodynamic plus structural) damping coefficient, for any critical flutter mode or for any significant dynamic response mode for all altitudes and flight speeds from minimum cruising speeds up to VL/ML.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

a. Flutter analyses of the complete aircraft including external stores.

b. Divergence and buzz analyses as well as panel flutter analyses.

c. Wind tunnel and unsteady pressure model tests.

d. Laboratory tests such as component ground vibration and stiffness tests, mass measurements of control surfaces/tabs, balance weight attachment verification tests, damper qualification tests, thermoelastic tests as well as control surface, tab, and actuator rigidity, free play, and wear tests.

e. Complete aircraft ground vibration modal tests as well as aeroservoelastic ground tests.

f. Flight flutter tests and flight aeroservoelastic stability tests of the aircraft which substantiate the aircraft is free from aeroelastic instabilities.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2006 3.7 | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 3.5.10 |
| | | Reference: | 00-970 P1 L45 3.5 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 4.8 |
| | | | 00-970 P7 L500 |
| | | STANAG | 4671.629 |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.629 |
| | | Reference: | CS 25.629 |
| | | | CS 27.629 |
| | | | CS 29.629 |

5.2.5 Environment design - sonic fatigue.

The airframe structure (including cavities), equipment, and equipment provisions shall withstand the aeroacoustic loads and the vibrations induced by aeroacoustic loads (including acoustic fatigue) for the defined service life and usage of the aircraft without cracking or functional impairment.

Verify that the airframe structure (including cavities), equipment, and equipment provisions withstand the aeroacoustic loads and vibrations induced by the aeroacoustic environment for the aircraft specified service life and usage without cracking or functional impairment.

Consideration should be given to:

a. All aeroacoustic loads sources associated with the aircraft and its usage.

b. The application of an uncertainty factor for predicted aeroacoustic sound pressure levels.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 61 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

Verification methods include analyses and tests. The following compliance instruments are applicable in addressing the standards:

a. Predictions of the near field aeroacoustic loads and fatigue life encompassing the aircraft service life and usage and the identified aeroacoustic load sources.

b. Wind tunnel, jet models which define acoustic levels.

c. Component acoustic fatigue tests based on fatigue life predictions.

d. Ground and flight aeroacoustic measurements from full scale test aircraft including internal noise measurements.

| Inf | ormation Sources | | |
|--------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2006: A.3.5.1, A.4.5.1 | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | 4671.251 |
| | | Reference: | 4671.570 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: | EASA CS | CS 23.251 |
| | Section 23.25; | Reference: | CS 25.251 |
| | Section 25.251; | | CS 25.771 |
| | Section 25.251, 27.771; | | CS 27.251 |
| | Section 29.251, 29.771 | | CS 27.771 |
| | | | CS 29.251 |
| | | | CS 29.771 |

5.2.6 Merged with 5.2.5

5.2.7 Environment design - personnel exposure to aircraft noise.

Requirements associated with Sound Pressure levels and personnel exposure are detailed at section 9.4.6.

5.2.8 Environment design - vibration.

The airframe shall be designed such that the structure and components withstand the vibrations resulting from all vibration sources for the defined service life and usage of the aircraft.

Typical sources of vibration to which the airframe may be exposed are listed below.

a. Forces and moments transmitted to the aircraft structure mechanically or aerodynamically from the propulsion systems, secondary power sources, propellers, jet effluxes and aerodynamic wakes, downwashes and vortices (including those from protuberances, speed brakes, wings, flaps, etc.) and cavity resonances;

b. Forces from gun recoil or gun blast;

c. Buffeting forces;

d. Unbalances, both residual and inherent, of rotating components such as propellers, and rotating components of engines;

e. Forces from store and cargo carriage and ejection;

f. Forces due to operation from airfields and ships;

g. Structural response due to gusts.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 62 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

There shall be no vibration or buffeting severe enough to result in structural damage, fatigue cracking or excessive vibration of the airframe structure or components, under any appropriate speed and power conditions within the flight envelope.

Excessive vibrations are those structural displacements which result in components of the aircraft systems not being fully functional.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

Verification methods include analyses and tests. The following compliance instruments are applicable in addressing the standards:

a. Updated predictions of the vibration environment.

b. Component tests verifying analytical fatigue life predictions and which demonstrate that components meet service usage requirements in the vibration environment.

c. Ground and flight vibration tests which identify the response characteristics of the aircraft to forced vibrations and impulses.

| Inf | ormation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2006: A.3.5.1 - A3.5.2, A.4.6.2 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.8.2 00-970 P1 4.8.3 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 4.8.5 00-970 P1 4.8.10 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 4.8.11 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 4.8.12 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.251 4671.570 |
| | | | 4671.572 |
| | | | 4671.573 |
| | | | 4671.629 4671.963 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: Section 23.251, 23.629, | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.251 CS 23.629 |
| | 23.963; | | CS 23.963 |
| | Section 25.251, 25.305, | | CS 25.251 |
| | 25.683, 25.963; Section 27.251, 27.659; | | CS 25.305 CS 25.683 |
| | Section 29.251, 29.659. | | CS 25.875 |
| | , | | CS 25.963 |
| | | | CS 27.251 |
| | | | CS 27.659 |
| | | | CS 29.251 |
| | | | CS 29.659 |

5.2.9 Environment design - vents and louvers.

Verify that equipment and structure behind and near vents and louvers are designed for the effects of flow through the vents and louvers during conditions of normal and reverse flows.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 63 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

Hot gases from auxiliary power units as well as from propulsion systems may be drawn into the airframe through vents and louvers under some conditions thus damaging equipment and structure. If necessary to maintain their required usefulness, equipment and structure behind and near vents and louvers shall be designed for the effects of flow through the vents and louvers during conditions of normal and reverse flows. Thermal, sand abrasion, rain, ice and other foreign object damage effects are to be covered.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

Verification methods include analyses, tests and review of documentation, including: Analytical predictions of the effects of gas temperatures and airflow environment through vents and louvers, updated by component tests.

| Information Sources | | | | | |
|---------------------|------------|-------------|---------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-200 | 06: A.3.3.8 | | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.3.2 00-970 P1 4.3.8 |
| | | | | | 00-970 P1 4.3.85 |
| | | | | | 00-970 P1 4.24.9 |
| | | | | | 00-970 P1 4.24.32 |
| | | | | | 00-970 P1 4.24.35 |
| | | | | STANAG | 4671.365 |
| | | | | Reference: | 4671.609 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR ret | | | EASA CS | CS 23.365 |
| | Section | 23.365, | 23.609, | Reference: | CS 23.609 |
| | 23.831, 23 | 3.859; | | | CS 23.831 |
| | Section | 25.365, | 25.609, | | CS 23.859 |
| | 25.831, 25 | 5.859; | | | CS 25.365 |
| | Section | 27.609, | 27.831, | | CS 25.609 |
| | 27.859; | | | | CS 25.831 |
| | Section | 29.609, | 29.831, | | CS 25.859 |
| | 29.859. | | | | CS 27.609 |
| | | | | | CS 27.831 |
| | | | | | CS 27.859 |
| | | | | | CS 29.609 |
| | | | | | CS 29.831 |
| | | | | | CS 29.859 |

5.3 STRENGTH

5.3.1 Static strength verification.

The airframe structure must be able to support $p \times limit$ loads (proof loads) without detrimental, permanent deformation. At any load up to proof loads, the deformation may not interfere with safe operation if the aircraft. The ratio p is typically defined between 105% and 115% as to be agreed by the Certifying Authority.

The airframe structure must be able to support ultimate loads without failure for at least three seconds, except local failures or structural instabilities between limit and ultimate load are acceptable only if the structure can sustain the required ultimate load for at least three seconds.

| Edition Number: 3.1 Editio | Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 64 /675 |
|----------------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|----------------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------------|

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Verification of sufficient strength is required for operations, maintenance functions, occurrences of system failures, and any tests that simulate load conditions, including modifications, new or revised equipment installations, major repairs, extensive reworks, extensive refurbishment, or remanufacture.

2. Validation information includes formal checked and approved internal loads and strength analysis reports. Analytical distributions on major components are correlated with test instrumentation measurements of stress and strain from static test and the structural strength analysis is updated.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|-----------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| Comm'l Doc: DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2006: A.3.2.13, A.3.10.5, A.3.10.9, A.3.10.10 (for standard development); A.4.10.5, A.4.10.5.1, A.4.10.5.2, A.4.10.9, A.4.10.10 (for compliance development) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 3.1.1 00-970 P1 3.1.4 00-970 P1 3.1.8 00-970 P1 3.1.8a 00-970 P1 3.1.10 00-970 P1 3.1.11 00-970 P1 3.1.14 00-970 P1 3.1.22 00-970 P1 3.1.27 00-970 P1 3.2.2 00-970 P1 3.2.11 00-970 P1 3.2.13 |
| | | STANAG | 00-970 P1 3.2.57 00-970 P1 3.7.43 00-970 P1 4.1.6 00-970 P1 4.1.41 00-970 P1 4.4.35 00-970 P1 4.4.37 4671.305 |
| | | Reference: | 4671.303 4671.307 4671.321 4671.570 4671.572 4671.573 4671.603 4671.613 4671.629 4671.681 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference:Section23.305,23.307,23.573,23.575,23.603,23.613,23.629,23.681;Section25.305,25.307,25.571,25.603,25.613, | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.305 CS 23.307 CS 23.573 CS 23.575 CS 23.603 CS 23.613 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 65 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|--|

| Information Sources | |
|--------------------------------|-----------|
| 25.629, 25.681 ; | CS 23.629 |
| Section 27.305, 27.307, | CS 23.681 |
| 27.309, 27.603, 27.613 ; | CS 25.305 |
| Section 29.305, 29.307, | CS 25.307 |
| 29.309, 29.571, 29.603, 29.613 | CS 25.603 |
| ; | CS 25.613 |
| | CS 25.629 |
| | CS 25.681 |
| | CS 27.305 |
| | CS 27.307 |
| | CS 27.309 |
| | CS 27.603 |
| | CS 27.613 |
| | CS 29.305 |
| | CS 29.307 |
| | CS 29.309 |
| | CS 29.603 |
| | CS 29.613 |

5.3.2 Materials and Processes

Materials selection for use within the airframe structure shall be selected taking into account the criticality of the application within the airframe structure and the limits of the material properties using estimated minima derived using appropriate statistical compensations that take account of the criticality of the part and the nature of the selected material. Appropriate selection will take into account, fabrication processes, repair techniques, environmental changes and the variability of materials through established fabrication and processes, and verification of suitability shall be demonstrated through appropriate testing, verification and analyses.

The allowable structural properties shall include all applicable statistical variability and environmental effects, such as exposure to climatic conditions of moisture and temperature; exposure to corrosive and corrosion causing environments; airborne or spilled chemical warfare agents; and maintenance induced environments commensurate with the usage of the airframe. Specific material requirements are:

a. Where applicable, average values of crack growth data (da/dN) should be used in the crack growth analysis;

b. Where applicable, minimum values of fracture toughness should be used for residual strength analysis; c. "A" basis design allowables should be used in the design of all critical parts. "A" basis design allowables should also be used in the design of structure not tested to ultimate load in full scale airframe static testing. "B" basis design allowables may be used for all other structure.

The processes used to prepare and form the materials for use in the airframe as well as joining methods shall be commensurate with the material application. Further, the processes and joining methods shall not contribute to unacceptable degradation of the properties of the materials when the airframe is exposed to operational usage and support environments.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Use of standardized test methods to establish metallic and composite material systems properties.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 66 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|--|

2. Documentation of materials and processes development and characterization and the selection process.

3. Second source materials (when established as a program requirement) are qualified and demonstrated through testing to have equivalent performance and fabrication characteristics as the selected baseline material.

4. Environmentally conditioned tests performed at the appropriate development test level to meet relevant design conditions.

5. Materials and processes characteristics for critical parts comply with the requirements of parts control processes.

6. Environmental compliance with all applicable environmental statutes and laws for all materials systems and processes selected is verified. This includes life cycle management of hazardous materials.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|---|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-1568 MIL-HDBK-1587 JSSG-2006: A.3.2.19, A.3.2.19.1, A.3.2.19.2 (for standard development) JSSG-2006: A.4.2.19, A.4.2.19.1, A.4.2.19.2 (for compliance development) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 3.2.8 00-970 P1 3.2.9 00-970 P1 3.2.10 00-970 P1 3.2.42 00-970 P1 4.1.2 00-970 P1 4.1.4 00-970 P1 4.1.13 00-970 P1 4.1.14 00-970 P1 4.5.2 00-970 P1 4.5.4 00-970 P1 4.6.2 00-970 P1 4.6.2 00-970 P1 4.7.6 4671.573 4671.603 |
| | | | 4671.613 4671.625 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: Section 23.573, 23.603, 23.605, 23.625; 25.603, Section 25.573, 25.603, 25.605, 25.625; 27.603, Section 27.573, 27.603, 27.605, 27.625; Section Section 29.573, 29.603, 29.605, 29.625. 29.603, | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.573 CS 23.603 CS 23.605 CS 23.625 CS 23.625 CS 25.307 CS 25.603 CS 25.605 CS 25.613 CS 25.625 CS 27.603 CS 27.603 CS 27.625 CS 27.625 CS 29.603 CS 29.605 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 67 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|-----------|
| | CS 29.613 |
| | CS 27.625 |

5.3.3 Stress and strain design controls.

Appropriate use of nominal data, design and material selection shall ensure required stresses, strain and structural strength within airframe component members. The airframe structure must be able to provide sufficient static strength for reacting all loading conditions loads without degrading the structural performance capability of the airframe. Sufficient strength shall be provided for operations, maintenance functions, and any tests that simulate load conditions.

Consideration should be given to the following aspects and typical values are provided.

a. All structure are designed to nominal dimensional values or 110 percent of minimum values, whichever is less.

b. The determination of margins of safety is based on the allowable of §5.3.2.

c. Thermal stresses and strains are determined for structures that experience significant heating or cooling whenever expansion or contraction limited by external or internal constraints. Thermal stresses and strains are combined with concurrent stresses produced by other load sources in a conservative manner.

d. In laminated composites, the stresses and ply orientation are compatible and residual stresses of manufacturing are accounted for, particularly if the stacking sequence is not symmetrical.

e. For each fitting and attachment whose strengths are not proven by limit and ultimate load tests in which actual stress conditions are simulated in the fitting and surrounding structure, the design stress values are increased in magnitude by multiplying these loads or stress values by a fitting factor. The fitting factor is 1.15 for all bolted and welded joints and for structure immediately adjacent to the joints. A fitting factor does not have to be used for continuous lines of rivets installed in sheet-metal joints.

f. The design stress values for bolted joints with clearance (free fit) that are subjected to relative rotation under limit load or shock and vibration loads, are increased in magnitude by multiplying by a 2.0 bearing factor times the stress values. This bearing factor does not have to be multiplied by the fitting factor.

g. Structural doors and panels as well as access doors and components with one or more quick-opening latches or fasteners do not fail, open, vibrate, flap or flutter in flight. The most critical combinations of latches or fasteners are designed for left unsecure.

h. Castings are classified and inspected, and all castings conform to applicable process requirements. A casting factor of 1.33 is used. The factors, tests and inspections of this section are applied in addition to those necessary to establish foundry quality control. The use of castings or C/Hipped parts for primary or critical applications and/or castings with a casting factor less than 1.33, have successfully completed a developmental and qualification program. These castings meet the analytical requirements without a casting factor and meet the service life requirements for both crack initiation and crack growth for flaws representative of the casting and manufacturing process.

i. Due to the nature of some structural designs or materials, high variability may be encountered around the nominal design. Such design features must have a minimum level of structural integrity at the acceptable extremes of dimensions, tolerances, material properties, processing windows, processing controls, end or edge fixities, eccentricities, fastener flexibility, fit up stresses, environments, manufacturing processes, etc. In addition to meeting the standard strength requirements, the structure must have no detrimental deformation of the maximum once per lifetime load and no structural failure at 125 percent of design limit load for the critical combinations of the acceptable extremes.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 68 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

1. Validation information includes formal checked and approved internal loads and strength analysis reports.

2. All castings are shown to satisfy the casting factor requirements by analysis.

3. 100 percent inspection by visual and magnetic particle or penetrant or approved equivalent nondestructive inspection methods.

4. High variability structure is shown to satisfy the requirements by analyses considering critical combinations of component characteristics.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2006: A.3.3.1.1, A.3.10.4, A.3.10.4.1, A.3.10.4.2, A.3.10.4.3, A.3.10.4.4, A.3.10.5 (for standard development) JSSG-2006: A.4.10.4, A.4.10.4.1, A.4.10.4.2, A.4.10.4.3, A.4.10.4.4 (for compliance development) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 3.1.3 00-970 P1 3.1.4 00-970 P1 3.1.7 00-970 P1 3.1.8 00-970 P1 3.1.8a 00-970 P1 3.1.9 00-970 P1 3.4.15 00-970 P1 4.3.85 00-970 P1 4.3.86 00-970 P1 4.6.10 00-970 P1 4.7.4 00-970 P1 4.7.5 00-970 P1 4.7.6 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.301 4671.321 4671.607 4671.613 4671.621 4671.623 4671.625 4671.627 4671.783 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: Section 23.301, 23.607, 23.613, 23.621, 23.625, 23.627, 23.783 ; Section 25.301, 25.607, 25.613, 25.621, 25.625, 25.783 ; Section 27.25, 27.301, 27.607, 27.613, 27.621 ; Section 29.25, 29.301, 29.607, 29.613, 29.621, 29.783. | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.301 CS 23.607 CS 23.613 CS 23.621 CS 23.623 CS 23.625 CS 23.627 CS 23.783 CS 25.301 CS 25.607 CS 25.613 CS 25.621 CS 25.623 CS 25.625 CS 25.783 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 69 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|-----------|
| | CS 27.25 |
| | CS 27.301 |
| | CS 27.573 |
| | CS 27.607 |
| | CS 27.613 |
| | CS 27.621 |
| | CS 27.623 |
| | CS 29.25 |
| | CS 29.301 |
| | CS 29.573 |
| | CS 29.607 |
| | CS 29.613 |
| | CS 29.621 |
| | CS 29.623 |
| | CS 29.783 |

5.4 DAMAGE TOLERANCE AND DURABILITY (FATIGUE)

5.4.1 Damage tolerance.

The airframe structure and associated components, whose failure would be catastrophic, must be shown by analysis supported by test evidence and, if available, service experience, to meet the fatigue requirements of a damage tolerant or, if not applicable a safe life design methodology over the design service life of the aircraft. The fatigue evaluation must include the requirements of subparagraph (1), (2), and (3) and also must include a determination of the probable locations and modes of damage caused by fatigue, considering environmental effects, intrinsic/discrete flaws, or accidental damage.

(1) The airframe shall have adequate (as defined by the type of aircraft and application) residual strength in the presence of flaws for the period of service usage before they are detected.

(2) The damage tolerance evaluation must include a determination of the probable locations and modes of damage due to fatigue, corrosion, or accidental damage. Damage at multiple sites due to fatigue must be included where the design is such that this type of damage can be expected to occur. The evaluation must incorporate repeated load and static analyses supported by test evidence. The extent of damage for residual strength evaluation at any time within the operational life of the aeroplane must be consistent with the initial detectability and subsequent growth under repeated loads.

(3) Replacement time evaluation and/or inspection interval. It must be shown that the probability of catastrophic fatigue failure provides an acceptable level of safety, as defined by the relevant authority, within a replacement time or inspection interval as specified within the relevant continued airworthiness documentation.

Based on the evaluations required by this paragraph, established as necessary to avoid catastrophic failure, inspections, replacement times, combinations thereof, or other procedures must be included in the relevant airworthiness limitations section of the appropriate continued airworthiness documentation.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Analyses and tests are performed to verify that the airframe structure meets the damage tolerance requirements.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 70 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|--|

2. Damage tolerance testing of a complete airframe to demonstrate compliance with requirements.

3. Fatigue reliability is appropriately considered within the fatigue methodology to avoid airworthiness impacts.

4. Flight load survey testing for each regime in the usage spectrum.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2006: A.3.12 Damage Tolerance, pg 398 JSSG-2006: A.4.12 Damage Tolerance, pg 400 (for compliance development) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 3.2.2 00-970 P1 3.2.3 00-970 P1 3.2.8 00-970 P1 3.2.9 00-970 P1 3.2.10 00-970 P1 3.2.11 00-970 P1 3.2.12 00-970 P1 3.2.13 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.305 4671.570 4671.572 4671.573 4671.575 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: 23.571, 23.572, 23.573; 25.571; 27.571; 29.571. | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.571 CS 23.572 CS 23.573 CS 23.575 CS 25.571 CS 27.571 CS 27.573 CS 29.571 CS 29.573 |

5.4.2 Durability.

The durability capability of the airframe shall be adequate to resist fatigue cracking, corrosion, thermal degradation, delamination, and wear during operation and maintenance such that the operational and maintenance capability of the airframe is not degraded and the service life and usage conditions are not adversely affected (including consideration of adverse effects on safety, economic, operational, maintenance, repair, and modification costs throughout the intended service life). These requirements apply to metallic and non-metallic structures, including composites, with appropriate distinctions and variations as indicated. Durability material properties shall be consistent and congruent with those properties of the same material, in the same component, used by the other structures disciplines.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Fatigue cracking/delamination damage.

For one lifetime when the airframe is subjected to the environment and service usage, except where it is desired to meet special life provisions, the airframe shall be free of cracking, delaminations, disbonds, deformations, or defects which:

i. Require repair, replacement, inspection to maintain structural integrity, or undue inspection burden for ship based aircraft.

ii. Cause interference with the mechanical operation of the aircraft.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 71 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

iii. Affect the aircraft aerodynamic characteristics.

iv. Cause functional impairment.

v. Result in sustained growth of cracks/delaminations resulting from steady-state level flight or ground handling conditions.

vi. Result in water intrusion.

vii. Result in visible damage from a single impact.

b. Corrosion prevention and control.

i. The airframe shall operate in corrosion producing environments.

ii. Corrosion (including pitting, stress corrosion cracking, crevice, galvanic, filiform, and exfoliation) which affects the operational readiness of the airframe through initiation of flaws which are unacceptable from a durability, damage tolerance, and residual strength viewpoint shall not occur during the defined service life and usage for the aircraft.

iii. Corrosion prevention systems shall remain effective during the service life and usage of the aircraft in defined chemical, thermal and climatic environments.

iv. Specific corrosion prevention and control measures, procedures and processes must be identified and established commensurate with the operational and maintenance capability required of the airframe.

c. Thermal protection assurance.

Thermal protection systems shall remain effective during the service life and usage the aircraft in defined chemical, thermal and climatic environments.

d. Wear and erosion.

The function of structural components, elements, and major bearing surfaces shall not be degraded by wear during the service life and usage of the aircraft.

The criteria applies to the following typical components:

- i. Structural surfaces which move
- ii. Structural and maintenance access panels and other removable parts
- iii. Doors and ramps
- iv. Other structure
- v. Leading edges
- vi. Radomes

vii. Housings

viii. Other protrusions

e. Special life requirement structure.

The following structural components shall comply with special life requirements:

i. Limited life structure

ii. Extra life structure.

f. Non-destructive testing and inspection (NDI).

NDI shall be utilized during the design, development, production, and deployment phases of the program to assure that the system is produced and maintained with sufficient structural integrity to meet performance requirements. Other requirements apply as appropriate.

The methods of fabrication used must produce a consistently sound structure. If a fabrication process (such as gluing, spot welding, or heat treating) requires close control to reach this objective, the process must be performed under an approved process specification. In addition, each new aircraft fabrication method must be substantiated by a test programme.

To ensure sufficient durability over the useful operating life of the aircraft, protective measures should be applied to the materials and structure, particular with respect to environmental degradation, corrosion and abrasion.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 72 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

The variability of material properties (including hazardous materials) and fabrication processes shall be considered for when determining the durability capability of the airframe structure, ensuring that the workmanship employed is of a high standard and that reference is made to the relevant specifications and design data. Consistent material properties at the fabrication process stage will ensure that variability between materials, structures and components is reduced.

Considerations for AMC:

Verification methods include analysis, test, demonstration and inspection of documentation.

a. Durability analyses and tests are performed to verify that the airframe structure meets the durability requirements. A full scale airframe is durability tested to show that the structure meets the required service life which satisfies the following:

(1) The airframe is as close to structurally identical to the operational airframe, as practices allow. Significant differences require additional tests. When changes are not significant and additional testing cannot be accomplished, the re-design, repair, or modification is designed to three (3) lifetimes of the service life and usage.

(2) Two (2) lifetimes of testing plus the indicated inspections verify adequate durability.

(3) Test anomalies which occur within the duration of the test are evaluated for production and retrofit modifications. Test anomaly analysis is correlated to test results and adjusted results are shown to meet the durability requirements. Modifications are also shown to satisfy durability and damage tolerance requirements by either test or analysis at the discretion of the acquisition activity.

(4) The test is subjected to the design flight-by-flight loads spectra. Truncation, elimination, or substitution of load cycles is allowed subject to approval by the acquisition activity.

(5) Inspections are performed as an integral part of the durability tests and at the completion of testing and include design inspections, special inspections, and post-test teardown inspections.

(6) A minimum of two (2) lifetimes of durability testing is required to certify the airframe structure. A third lifetime testing is performed to support damage tolerance, repairs and modifications, usage changes, and life extension potential.

(7) Durability testing demonstrates that the onset of widespread fatigue damage will not occur during the design service life.

b. A flight-by-flight durability stress spectra and chemical and thermal environment spectra is developed and spectra interaction effects are accounted for.

c. For rotorcraft, a fatigue methodology and composite worst case usage spectrum are established and documented for the platform, including consideration of maneuvering loads, maneuver to maneuver load cycles, centrifugal (CF) loads due to rotor start and stop cycles, and torsional loads due to rotor braking cycles. Fatigue reliability is appropriately considered within the fatigue methodology to avoid airworthiness impacts (specifically, the methodology includes appropriate considerations of strength, loads, and usage variability). In addition to expanding the load factor, aeromechanical, or aero-elastic stability limitations, envelope expansion flight testing establishes airspeed, gross weight, center of gravity, and density altitude restrictions for each configuration to avoid level flight fatigue damage. Flight load survey testing is performed for each regime in the usage spectrum. For safe-life components, fatigue strength curve shapes and coefficients of variation are established in the fatigue methodology based on historical testing of similar components or based on coupon testing with appropriate adjustments due to full-scale component size and fabrication/design details. Component fatigue laboratory testing is performed to establish endurance limits with appropriate confidence, typically using identical instrumentation as used in the flight load survey testing. Fatigue substantiation analysis is performed in accordance with the applicable platform fatigue methodology based on flight and laboratory test data.

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|--|
| | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 73 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2006: A.3.11 Durability, pg 378 JSSG-2006: A.4.11 Durability, pg 379 (for compliance development) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 3.2.4 00-970 P1 3.2.5 00-970 P1 3.2.7 00-970 P1 3.2.8 00-970 P1 3.2.9 00-970 P1 3.2.10 00-970 P1 3.10.55 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.572 4671.573 4671.603 4671.609 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: Section 23.573, 23.603, 23.609 ; Section 25.603, 25.609 ; Section 27.603, 27.609 ; Section 29.603, 29.609. | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.573 CS 23.603 CS 23.609 CS 25.603 CS 25.609 CS 27.573 CS 27.603 CS 27.609 CS 29.573 CS 29.609 |

5.4.3 Durability and damage tolerance control processes.

A Durability Control Program shall be established for the aircraft structure. This program shall identify and define all the tasks necessary to ensure compliance with the durability requirements (including damage tolerance). The disciplines of fracture mechanics, fatigue, materials and processes selection, environmental protection, corrosion prevention and control, design, manufacturing, quality control, non-destructive inspection, and probabilistic methods shall be considered when the durability (including damage tolerance) control processes are developed. This program shall include the requirement to perform durability (including damage tolerance) design concept, material, weight, performance, and cost trade studies early during the aircraft's design so as to obtain structurally-efficient and cost-effective designs.

This program shall also include the definition of means for tracking each individual aircraft fatigue consumption and crack growth life, as well as the definition of a suitable inspection program to be included in the instructions for continued airworthiness.

The durability (including damage tolerance) control process should include as a minimum the following tasks:

a. A disciplined procedure for durability design should be implemented to minimise the possibility of incorporating adverse residual stresses, local design details, materials, processing, and fabrication practices into the problems (i.e., to find these problems which otherwise have historically been found during durability testing or early in service usage).

b. Basic data (i.e., initial quality distribution, fatigue allowables, KIC, KC, KISCC, da/dn, etc.) utilized in the initial trade studies and the final design and analyses should be obtained from existing sources or developed as part of the contract.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 74 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|--|

c. A criteria for identifying and tracing maintenance critical parts should be established by the contractor and should require approval by the procuring agency. It is envisioned that maintenance critical parts will be expensive, non-economical-to-replace parts. A maintenance critical parts list should be established by the contractor and should be kept current as the design of the airframe progresses.

d. A criteria for identifying and tracing fatigue/fracture critical parts should be established by the contractor and should require approval by the procuring agency. It is envisioned that fatigue/fracture critical parts will be expensive or safety of flight structural parts. A fatigue/fracture critical parts list should be established by the contractor and should be kept current as the design of the airframe progresses.

e. Design drawings for the maintenance critical parts and fatigue/fracture critical parts should identify critical locations, special processing (e.g., shot peening), and inspection requirements.

f. Material procurement and manufacturing process specifications should be developed and updated as necessary to ensure that initial quality and fracture toughness properties of the critical parts exceed the design value.

g. Experimental determination sufficient to estimate initial quality by microscopic or fractographic examination should be required for those structural areas where cracks occur during full scale durability testing.

h. Durability analyses, corrosion cracking assessment, damage tolerance analyses, development testing, and full scale testing should be performed in accordance with this specification.

i. Complete non-destructive inspection requirements, process control requirements, and quality control requirements for maintenance, fatigue/fracture critical parts should be established by the contractor and should require approval by the procuring agency. This task should include the proposed plan for certifying and monitoring subcontractor, vendor, and supplier controls.

j. The durability and damage tolerance control process should include any special nondestructive inspection demonstration programs conducted in accordance with the requirements of this specification.

k. Traceability requirements should be defined and imposed by the contractor on those fatigue and fracture critical parts that receive prime contractor or subcontractor in-house processing and fabrication operations which could degrade the design material properties.

I. For all fracture critical parts that are designed for a degree of inspectability other than inservice noninspectable, the contractor should define the necessary inspection procedures for field use for each appropriate degree of inspectability as specified in the specification.Consideration should be given to Individual Aircraft Tracking task.

Considerations for AMC:

1. Documented durability and damage tolerance control process.

2. Criteria for identifying and tracing fatigue/fracture critical parts are established and are approved by the procuring agency.

3. Complete nondestructive inspection requirements, process control requirements, and quality control requirements are established for maintenance.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|---|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-HDBK-6870 for guidance in the development of Nondestructive Inspection procedures. JSSG-2006: A.3.13, pg 417 JSSG-2006: A.4.13, pg 419 (for compliance development) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 3.2.6 00-970 P1 3.2.7 00-970 P1 3.2.13 4671.573 4671.575 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 75 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|----------|-------------------|------------|-----------|
| | MIL-HDBK-1568 | | |
| | MIL-STD-1530C | | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | Section 23.575; | Reference: | CS 25.571 |
| | Section 25.571; | | CS 27.571 |
| | Section 27.571; | | CS 27.573 |
| | Section 29.571. | | CS 29.571 |
| | | | CS 29.573 |

5.4.4 Corrosion prevention and control.

Throughout the service life of the aircraft, corrosion prevention measures shall be provided against deterioration or loss of strength in materials by providing resistance and protection from any effects of environmental degradation.

Evaluations into material strength, detailed design and fabrication shall show that all forms of corrosion, including and not limited to pitting, stress corrosion cracking, crevice, galvanic, filiform, and exfoliation will not result in catastrophic failure to the aircraft.

Protective finishes applied to materials and structure, including the appropriate selection of materials against deterioration or loss of strength, along with applicable processes, procedure and control methods shall be commensurate within the operational and maintenance philosophy applied to the aircraft during service life and should be recorded within the relevant Airworthiness Limitations section of the Continued Airworthiness documentation.

An Environmental Protection Control Plan shall be prepared consistent with the design service life defining corrosion prevention and control requirements and all the measures that minimise the potential for environmental degradation (including corrosion) throughout the structure. The plan shall take into account at least the following:

a. An evaluation of the susceptibility of the aircraft structure to environmental degradation (including corrosion) shall be conducted identifying locations where the structure might be susceptible to environmental degradation (including corrosion) and the expected type(s) of degradation and corrosion (e.g., exfoliation, uniform, crevice, intergranular, and stress-corrosion cracking, etc.) that could occur at these locations. To identify potential environmental degradation and corrosion damage locations, the evaluation shall account for the materials, manufacturing processes, corrosion prevention systems (e.g. coatings, sealants, etc.), preventative maintenance approaches (e.g. hangaring, wash cycles, wash fluids, etc.), the inspectability of the location, and structural fabrication techniques as well as the expected operational environments to which the aircraft are subjected.

b. The criteria for the selection of corrosion resistant materials and their subsequent treatments shall be defined.

c. Organic and inorganic coatings for all airframe structural components and parts, and their associated selection criteria shall be defined.

d. Procedures for requiring drawings to be reviewed by and signed off by materials and processes personnel shall be defined.

e. Finishes for the airframe shall be defined. General guidelines shall be included for selection of finishes in addition to identifying finishes for specific parts, such that the intended finish for any structural area is identified.

f. The organizational structure, personnel, and procedures for accomplishing these tasks shall be defined and established.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 76 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|--|

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. The criteria for the selection of corrosion resistant materials and their subsequent treatments are defined.

2. The specific corrosion control and prevention measures are defined and established.

3. Organic and inorganic coatings for all airframe structural components and parts, and their associated selection criteria are defined.

4. Finishes for the airframe are defined.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|---|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2006: A.3.11.2 Corrosion Prevention and Control, pg 389 JSSG-2006: A.4.11.2 Corrosion Prevention and Control, pg 392 (for compliance development) MIL-HDBK-6870 MIL-HDBK-1568 MIL-STD-1530C | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 3.2.4 00-970 P1 3.2.8 00-970 P1 3.2.9 00-970 P1 3.2.10 00-970 P1 3.2.12 4672.575 4671.603 4671.609 4671.613 STANAG 7011 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: Section 23.575, 23.603, 23.609 Section 25.571, 25.603, 25.609 Section 27.571, 27.603, 27.609 Section 29.571, 27.603, 27.609. | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.575 CS 23.603 CS 23.609 CS 25.571 CS 25.603 CS 25.609 CS 27.571 CS 27.573 CS 27.603 CS 27.609 CS 29.571 CS 29.573 CS 29.603 CS 29.609 |

5.5 MASS PROPERTIES

5.5.1 Evaluation of Mass Properties

Mass properties shall fully support safe vehicle operations at each appropriate combination of mass and centre of gravity within the range of loading conditions for which certification is requested. This shall be shown -

• By tests upon an aeroplane of the type for which certification is requested, or by calculations based on, and equal in accuracy to, the results of testing; and,

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 77 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

• By systematic investigation of each probable combination of mass and centre of gravity, if compliance cannot be reasonably inferred from combinations investigated.

Ranges of mass and centres of gravity within which the aeroplane may be safely operated shall be established and shall include the range for lateral centres of gravity if possible loading conditions can result in significant variation of their positions.

Consideration should be given to all masses, measured using agreed standards, with defined and appropriate tolerances, between:-

a. The minimum mass; and

b. The maximum mass at which the aeroplane can reach the altitude considered.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. The mass properties (masses and centre of gravities) are verified by inspections, analyses, and actual vehicle weighing. Pieces and parts are verified by calculation as drawings are released and actual weighing when parts are available.

2. The Mass Properties are verified to reflect the current configuration of the aircraft and comply with defined mission requirements.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAWE RP No. 7: 3.2.6 and 3.3 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2006: 3.2.5 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 3.3.13 00-970 P1 3.3.14 00-970 P1 3.4.16 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.21 4671.23 4671.25 4671.29 4671.321 4671.343 4671.659 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: Section 23.21, 23.23, 23.25, 23.29, 23.321, 23.343, 23.659; Section 25.21, 25.25, 25.29, 25.321, 25.343; Section 7.21, 27.23, 27.25, 27.29, 27.321, 27.659; Section 29.21, 29.25, 29.29, 29.321, 29.659. | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.21 CS 23.23 CS 23.25 CS 23.29 CS 23.321 CS 23.343 CS 23.659 CS 25.21 CS 25.25 CS 25.29 CS 25.29 CS 25.321 CS 25.343 CS 27.21 CS 27.23 CS 27.25 CS 27.29 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 Status: endorsed Page 78 /67 | Edition Number: 3.1 |
|---|---------------------|
|---|---------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|-----------|
| | CS 27.321 |
| | CS 27.659 |
| | CS 29.21 |
| | CS 29.25 |
| | CS 29.29 |
| | CS 29.321 |
| | CS 29.659 |

5.5.2 Weight and centre of gravity.

Centre of gravity margins shall be properly defined to handle aerodynamic, centre of gravity, and inertia changes resulting from fuel usage, store expenditure, asymmetric fuel and store loading, fuel migration at high angle-of-attack and roll rates, and AAR, and release of external sling loads, and air drop of internal cargo.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. The centre of gravity is verified to remain in the approved envelope for all mission scenarios.

2. The provisions for determining the weight, centre of gravity, and inertias are verified to adhere to stated requirements through inspections, analysis and test.

3. The centre of gravity envelope is verified to encompass all possible mission and production variations to ensure safe flight.

4. The fuel system calibration methodology is verified by determination of trapped fuel weight and centre of gravity, determination of unusable fuel weight and centre of gravity, determination of the usable fuel mass properties (weight and centre of gravity), and comparison of on-board fuel indicating equipment to actual usable fuel mass properties.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAWE RP No. 7: 3.4.9, 3.5, 3.2.7.3.1, and 3.2.7.3.1.4 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2006: 3.2.6 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 3.3.14 00-970 P1 3.4.16 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.21 4671.23 |
| | | | 4671.29 |
| | | | 4671.321 |
| | | | 4671.1519 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: | EASA CS | CS 23.21 |
| | Section 23.21, 23.29, 23.529, | Reference: | CS 23.29 |
| | 23.1519; | | CS 23.529 |
| | Section 25.21, 25.23, 25.27, | | CS 23.1519 |
| | 25.29, 25.1519; | | CS 25.21 |
| | Section 27.21, 27.27, 27.29, | | CS 25.23 |
| | 27.1519; | | CS 25.27 |
| | Section 29.21, 29.27, 29.29, | | CS 25.29 |
| | 29.1519. | | CS 25.1519 |
| | | | CS 27.21 |
| | | | CS 27.27 |
| | | | CS 27.29 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 79 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|------------|
| | CS 27.1519 |
| | CS 29.21 |
| | CS 29.27 |
| | CS 29.29 |
| | CS 29.1519 |

5.5.3 Manuals.

The mass and centre of gravity ranges determined for the aeroplane shall be established as operating limitations and furnished in the aeroplane flight and maintenance manuals.

Verify that flight and maintenance manuals (or equivalent) are consistent and contain all required checklists and loading data necessary to conduct required mass and balance checks while complying with specific mass and balance requirements.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Information contained within manuals is verified through analysis and test with actual part weighing of inventory items.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|---|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAWE RP No. 7 3.4.9 and DI- MGMT-81502 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | DI-MGMT-81502; TO 1-1B-50 "USAF Weight and Balance"; TM 55-1500-342-23 "Army Aviation Maintenance Engineering Manual - Weight and Balance"; NA 01-1B-50 "USN/USMC Aircraft Weight and Balance Control" 35 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 4671.1519 4671.1583 4671.1589 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: Section 23.1519, 23.1583, 23.1589, 23.1501; Section 25.1583, 25.1501; Section 27.1583, 27.1501; Section 29.1583, 29.1501. | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1519 CS 23.1583 CS 23.1589 CS 23.1501 CS 25.1583 CS 25.1501 CS 27.1583 CS 27.1501 CS 29.1583 CS 29.1501 |

5.6 FLIGHT RELEASE

5.6.1 Substantiation of release.

The structural evidence supporting the type certificate (or equivalent document) shall be based on up-todate design criteria and mass properties, and the completion of all required analyses; laboratory, ground, and flight tests relating to loads, strength, durability, damage tolerance, structural dynamics, and stiffness.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 80 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

The structural data generated by the required analysis and test shall substantiate the integrity and flight worthiness of the design.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Structural analysis (external loads, internal loads and strength, limited durability and damage tolerance, structural dynamics) is correlated to all available ground and flight testing.

2. Inspection and maintenance intervals are established to ensure continued safe operations

3. Wind tunnel tests. Component ground vibration, acoustic and stiffness tests. Mass measurements of control surfaces/tabs. Control surface, tab, and actuator rigidity, free play, and wear tests. Complete aircraft ground vibration modal tests. Aeroservoelastic ground tests. Updated predictions of near field aeroacoustic, vibration and internal noise. Ground loads test demonstrations, shimmy ground tests, rough runway tests.

4. Successful completion of appropriate flight flutter, vibroacoustics, loads testing (100%) and ultimate loads static tests.

5. Structural analyses are validated and updated for all testing such that the predictive methods ensure adequate strength levels and understanding of the structural behaviour.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2006: A.3.5, A.3.6, A.3.7, A.4.7, A.4.10.5.3, A.4.10.5.4, A.4.10.5.5 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 3.1.25 00-970 P1 3.5.9 00-970 P1 3.7.11 00-970 P1 4.8.4 00-970 P1 4.8.10 00-970 P1 4.8.12 00-970 P1 4.10.12 00-970 P1 4.15.77 00-970 P1 4.15.78 00-970 P1 4.15.80 00-970 P1 4.26.80 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.21 4671.251 4671.307 4671.629 4671.963 4671.965 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: Section 23.251, 23.343, 23.629, 23.963, 23.965; 25.251, 25.305, Section 25.251, 25.305, 25.629, 25.683, 25.771, 25.963, 25.965; 27.771, Section 27.251, 27.771, 27.963, 27.965; Section 29.251, 29.771, 29.963, 29.965. 29.965. 29.771, | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.251 CS 23.343 CS 23.629 CS 23.963 CS 23.965 CS 25.251 CS 25.305 CS 25.629 CS 25.683 CS 25.771 CS 25.963 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 81 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|-----------|
| | CS 25.965 |
| | CS 27.251 |
| | CS 27.771 |
| | CS 27.963 |
| | CS 27.965 |
| | CS 29.251 |
| | CS 29.771 |
| | CS 29.963 |
| | CS 29.965 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 82 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

SECTION 6 - FLIGHT TECHNOLOGY

Flight technology comprises the flight mechanics functional areas consisting of stability and control, flying qualities, flight control functions, external aerodynamics, internal aerodynamics and performance. The aircraft aerodynamic and stability configuration, engine/inlet/nozzle compatibility, performance and integrated control airworthiness of an aircraft should be assessed using the criteria provided in the text below (not all items apply in each case; similarly, items may have to be added for vehicles employing new or innovative technology/techniques).

EXAMPLES OF TYPICAL CERTIFICATION SOURCE DATA

- 1. Design criteria.
- 2. Design studies and analyses.
- 3. Design, installation, and operational characteristics.

4. Simulation tests, modelling, and results (including simulation verification, validation and accreditation data).

- 5. Design approval and function/system compatibility tests.
- 6. Component and functional level qualification and certification tests.
- 7. Electromagnetic environmental effects.
- 8. Installed propulsion compatibility tests.
- 9. Acceptance criteria for test results.
- 10. Failure modes, effects, and criticality analysis/failure modes and effects testing (FMECA/FMET).
- 11. Hazard analysis and classification.
- 12. Safety certification program.
- 13. Computational, theoretical, and/or semi-empirical prediction methods.
- 14. Configuration: aerodynamic design and component location.
- 15. Wind tunnel test results and correction methods.
- 16. Mathematical representation of system dynamics.
- 17. Ground resonance and loop stability tests.
- 18. Aeroservoelastic design criteria and analysis.
- 19. Performance analysis.
- 20. Flight manual.
- 21. Natural environmental sensitivities.

22. Flight path guidance analysis and simulation to include ship launch and recovery routines if applicable (including sensor or processor failure modes and effects on flight control).

- 23. Interface/integration control documents.
- 24. Function, sub-function, and component specifications.

25. Selection criteria and patterns selected for screens constructed to demonstrate inlet/engine compatibility.

26. Flight test plan.

- 27. Detailed flight profiles.
- 28. Aircraft/engine operating limitations.
- 29. Control laws.
- 30. Flight test reports.
- 31. Aerodynamic and air data uncertainty sensitivity studies.
- 32. Force and Moment Accounting system.

33. Mass properties: weights, centres of gravity, and inertias.

CERTIFICATION CRITERIA, STANDARDS AND METHODS OF COMPLIANCE

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 83 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|--|

The following criteria, standards and methods of compliance apply to all aircraft and represent the minimum requirements necessary to establish, verify, and maintain an airworthy design.

The documents referenced under any criterion, standard and/or method of compliance may provide other standards. References provide supporting rationale, guidance, lessons learned and other important information useful in properly understanding, interpreting, and applying the relevant criterion, standard and/or method of compliance.

6.1 FLYING QUALITIES.

Flying qualities are those characteristics of the complete aircraft which allow the pilot/operator to perform to his/her satisfaction the flying tasks required to safely accomplish the mission, with an acceptable workload, while operating in the real world environment for which it is intended to operate. These characteristics are equally applicable for assuring the flight safety of an Unmanned Air System (UAS).

6.1.1 Preliminary steps in application of flying qualities.

6.1.1.1 Determining operational missions.

The operational mission requirements of the aircraft system, for which flight safety is to be assured, shall be determined and adequately defined.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Speed profiles,
- b. Altitude profiles,
- c. Environmental requirements,
- d. Manoeuvre and flight handling requirements,
- e. Dynamic and/or static stability requirements
- f. Payload (fuel, cargo, munitions, etc.) requirements,

g. Take-off and landing performance requirements, including take-off/landing distance, climb performance and engine-off requirements,

h. Requirements for use of specific equipment (e.g. defensive aids, weapons, hoists, under-slung loads, fuel tank inerting systems, oxygen generation systems, etc.).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

| Inf | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-1797, section 4.1.1. ADS-33-PRF section 3.1.1 and 3.1.3. JSSG 2001B 3.1.1, 3.1.2 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 S2.1.10 00-970 P1 S2.1.6 00-970 P1 S7.1.2 00-970 P5 UK25.321a 00-970 P7 L600 S5 00-970 P7 L600 S8 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.U17 4671.U19 4671.1501 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.21 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 84 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

| Inf | ormation Sources | | |
|-----|------------------|------------|------------|
| | | Reference: | CS 23.141 |
| | | | CS 23.1583 |
| | | | CS 25.21 |
| | | | CS 25.143 |
| | | | CS 25.1583 |
| | | | CS 27.21 |
| | | | CS 27.141 |
| | | | CS 27.1583 |
| | | | CS 29.21 |
| | | | CS 29.141 |
| | | | CS 29.1583 |

6.1.1.2 Determining applicable flight phases.

The aircraft's applicable flight phases shall be determined where safety of flight is to be assessed for the aircraft's operational mission(s).

Consideration should be given to:

a. Common aircraft flight phases including; Flight Planning, Push-back, Taxi, Take-off, Climb, Cruise, Descent, Final Approach, and Landing.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-1797 section 4.1.2 ADS-33-PRF section 3.1.1, 3.1.3 and 3.11. | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 S2.1.10 00-970 P1 S2.1.6 00-970 P1 S7.1.2 |
| | JSSG 2001B 3.1.1, 3.1.2 | | 00-970 P5 UK25.321a 00-970 P7 L600 S5 00-970 P7 L600 S8 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.U17 4671.U19 4671.1501 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.21 CS 23.141 CS 23.1583 CS 25.21 CS 25.143 CS 25.1583 CS 27.21 CS 27.141 CS 27.1583 CS 29.21 CS 29.141 CS 29.141 CS 29.1583 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 85 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

6.1.1.3 Defining aircraft states.

The aircraft's applicable aircraft States shall be determined where safety of flight is to be assessed. This shall include determination of:

- a. Configuration of internal and external stored assessing all possible combinations.
- b. Configuration of aircraft loadings.
- c. The aircraft's moments and products of inertia.
- d. aircraft configurations.
- e. aircraft normal states.
- f. aircraft extreme states.
- g. aircraft failure states.
- h. aircraft special failure states.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The aircraft's average (mean, median and/or mode as considered appropriate) configuration for the expected aircraft missions.

b. The upper and lower limits of specific parameters of aircraft configuration (e.g. Longitudinal and Lateral Centre of Gravity masses and positions).

c. Limits of manoeuvre-based parameters, for example accelerations due to pitch, roll and yaw.

The State of the aircraft is defined by the selected configuration together with the functional status of each of the aircraft components or systems, throttle setting, weight, moments of inertia, centre–of–gravity position, and external store complement.

The trim setting and the positions of the pitch, roll, and yaw controls are not included in the definition of aircraft state since they are often specified in the requirements.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-1797 4.1.3.1-4.1.3.8 ADS-33-PRF section 3.1.6 JSSG 2001B 3.1.1, 3.1.2 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 S2.1.10 00-970 P1 S2.1.6 00-970 P1 S7.1.2 00-970 P5 UK25.321a 00-970 P7 L600 S5 00-970 P7 L600 S8 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.U17 4671.U19 4671.1501 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.21 CS 23.141 CS 23.1583 CS 25.21 CS 25.143 CS 25.1583 CS 27.21 CS 27.141 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 86 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|------------|
| | CS 27.1583 |
| | CS 29.21 |
| | CS 29.141 |
| | CS 29.1583 |

6.1.1.4 Defining the regions of handling.

The aircraft's region of handling shall be determined where safety of flight is to be assessed. This should include:

a. Regions of Satisfactory Handling,

b. Regions of Tolerable Handling, and,

c. Regions of Recoverable Handling.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Definitions of 'Satisfactory', 'Tolerable' and 'Recoverable' handling. Such definitions could be considered equivalent to Cooper-Harper ratings as follows:

i. Satisfactory = Rating 1-3,

ii. Tolerable = Rating 4-6,

iii. Recoverable = Rating 7-9

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-1797 4.1.4 JSSG 2001B 3.1.1, 3.1.2 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 S2.1.10 00-970 P1 S2.1.6 00-970 P1 S7.1.2 00-970 P5 UK25.321a 00-970 P7 L600 S5 00-970 P7 L600 S8 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.U17 4671.U19 4671.1501 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.21 CS 23.141 CS 23.1583 CS 25.21 CS 25.143 CS 25.1583 CS 27.21 CS 27.141 CS 27.1583 CS 29.21 CS 29.141 CS 29.141 CS 29.1583 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 87 /675 |
|---|------------------|---------------------|
|---|------------------|---------------------|

6.1.1.5 Modelling, simulation, analysis tools and databases.

Modelling, simulation and analysis tools and databases shall have appropriate fidelity and shall accurately represent the aircraft for evaluating airworthiness criteria and safety of flight.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The demonstration of an effective verification, validation and accreditation (VV&A) process.

b. Configuration control across all such tools to assure currency and traceability.

c. Verification and validation that predicted data, as well as offline and piloted simulation results, are generated by the most appropriate and accurate tools and processes.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Verification methods include inspection of maturity, fidelity and accuracy of analysis, modelling and simulation tools and databases, as well as the processes in place to assure their currency, traceability and configuration control. Analysis, modelling and simulation tools and databases, including the verification and validation of their results, reflect industry best practices for the purpose of their intended use.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG 2001B 3.1.1, 3.1.2 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 S2.1.10 00-970 P1 S2.1.6 00-970 P1 S7.1.2 00-970 P5 UK25.321a 00-970 P7 L600 S5 00-970 P7 L600 S8 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.U17 4671.U19 4671.1501 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.21 CS 23.141 CS 23.1583 CS 25.21 CS 25.143 CS 25.1583 CS 27.21 CS 27.141 CS 27.1583 CS 29.21 CS 29.141 CS 29.141 CS 29.1583 |

6.1.2 Primary flying qualities.

Flying qualities shall be defined and assessed for safety of flight for all Aircraft States (as referenced in section 6.1.1.3 of this handbook) encountered in the Flight Phases and tasks (as referenced in section 6.1.1.2 of this handbook) of the operational missions (as referenced in section 6.1.1.1 of this handbook). This should specifically include (but is not limited to):

a. Ensuring that all aircraft states have been considered for all expected environmental conditions.

b. Ensuring that allowable levels of aircraft normal states have been defined and assessed.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 88 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

c. Ensuring that allowable levels of aircraft extreme states have been defined and assessed.

d. Ensuring that primary requirements for aircraft failure states have been defined and assessed.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Combinations of Aircraft States, Flight Phases/Tasks and Operational Missions both within the bounds of the aircraft specification (and therefore expected to be encountered in the operation of the aircraft) and outside of the bounds of the aircraft specification.

b. The definition and assessment of flying qualities where combinations are considered within the bounds of the aircraft specification.

c. The specification of combinations considered outside of the bounds of the aircraft specification, (for example as a defined flight envelope or as a limitation in the Aircraft Flight Manual).

d. Preventative measures to prevent the aircraft entering a combination considered outside of the aircraft specification.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Verification methods include analysis, simulation, and inspection of requirements, design, and configuration documentation.

2. Aircraft states will typically be defined as follows:

i. Normal aircraft states typically cover operation of the aircraft within its defined limits (e.g. centre of gravity limits, airspeeds, etc.);

ii. Extreme aircraft states typically include operation of the aircraft in exceedance of 1 or more of its defined limits;

iii. Failure aircraft states typically include operation with 1 or more failure. Where failures are determined to be reasonably probable, it may be appropriate to include such failures within the Normal aircraft state.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-1797 section 5.1. ADS-33-PRF section 3.1 . JSSG 2001B 3.1.1, 3.1.2 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 S2.1.10 00-970 P1 S2.1.6 00-970 P1 S7.1.2 00-970 P5 UK25.321a 00-970 P7 L600 S5 00-970 P7 L600 S8 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.U17 4671.U19 4671.1501 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.21 CS 23.141 CS 23.1583 CS 25.21 CS 25.143 CS 25.1583 CS 27.21 CS 27.141 CS 27.1583 CS 29.21 CS 29.141 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 89 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|------------|
| | CS 29.1583 |

6.1.3 Flying qualities in degraded environmental conditions.

The effect that degraded environmental conditions have on the aircraft's flight handling qualities shall be defined and assessed considering the effect on the safety of flight.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The environmental conditions for which the aircraft is cleared to fly (as detailed in the aircraft specification) and the transitory environmental conditions that could be anticipated in the normal operation of the aircraft in the environments that it is cleared to fly in.

b. Degraded environmental conditions including (as appropriate):

i. Degradation of the ambient environmental parameters (temperature, humidity, pressure etc.)

ii. Operation in degraded visual environments (e.g. white-out and brown-out conditions)

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Verification methods include analysis, test, demonstration, simulation, and inspection of process, requirements, design, test, and configuration documentation.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG 2001B 3.2 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 S2.1.11 00-970 P1 S7.2 00-970 P7 L100 S8.1 00-970 P7 L600 S6 00-970 P7 L101 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | |

6.1.3.1 Flying qualities in icing conditions.

Flying qualities in icing conditions shall be defined and assessed for safety of flight.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The icing conditions for which the aircraft is cleared to fly (as specified in the aircraft specification) and the transitory icing conditions that could be anticipated in the normal operation of the aircraft in the environments that it is cleared to fly in.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

| Int | ormation Sources | |
|--------------|---|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG 2001B 3.2 MIL-HDBK-516C 6.1.3.1 | 00-970 P1 S2.1.11 00-970 P1 S7.2 00-970 P7 L100 S8.1 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 90 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|--|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|----------|-------------------|-----------------------|--|
| | | | 00-970 P7 L600 S6, 00-970 P7 L101 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.U292 4671.905 4671.929 4671.1419 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1419 CS 25.1419 CS 27.1419 CS 29.1419 |

6.1.4 Control margin.

Control margins and their effect on flight handling shall be defined and assessed for safety of flight.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Limits in control authority for each flight control surface both in isolation and in conjunction with other surfaces. Where appropriate, this should include:

i. Consideration of limits on flight control surfaces that cause a moment around different aircraft axes--for example the concurrent application of longitudinal control surfaces (e.g. ailerons) and lateral control surfaces (e.g. elevators), and,

ii. Consideration of limits on flight control surfaces that cause a moment around the same aircraft axis--for example the concurrent application of lateral control surfaces (e.g. elevators and horizontal tail plane).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Verification methods include analysis, simulation, and inspection of requirements, design, and configuration documentation.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG 2001B 3.3.11.1.3 MIL-HDBK-516B 6.1.4 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 S4.10.8 00-970 P7 L600 S12 00-970 P7 L601 S6 00-970 P7 L602 S6 00-970 P7 L603 S6 00-970 P7 L607 S6 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | |

6.1.5 General flying qualities

6.1.5.1 Approach to dangerous flight conditions.

Flight handling qualities in approaches to dangerous flight conditions shall be defined and assessed for safety of flight.

Consideration should be given to:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 91 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|--|

a. The pilots' ability to readily and safely return to the Service Flight Envelope without exceptional skill or technique.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Verification methods include analysis, test, demonstration, simulation, and inspection of process, requirements, design, test, and configuration documentation.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-HDBK-516C 6.1.5.1 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 S2.1.32 00-970 P1 S2.24 00-970 P7 L600 S8.3 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | |

6.1.5.2 Buffet.

Buffet characteristics shall be defined and assessed for safety of flight.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Prevention of degradation of the aircraft flight handling qualities below those stated in the aircraft specification for the specified aircraft states, phases/tasks and missions.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Verification methods include analysis, test, demonstration, simulation, and inspection of process, requirements, design, test, and configuration documentation.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG 2001B C.3.3 MIL-HDBK-516C 6.1.5.2 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P5 UK25.143a 00-970 P7 L600 S13.1.1 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.251 4671.253 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.251 CS 25.251 |

6.1.5.3 Release of stores.

The effect of release of stores shall be defined and assessed for safety of flight.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Prevention of the degradation of the aircraft flight handling qualities below those stated in the aircraft specification for the specified aircraft states, phases/tasks and missions.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 92 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|--|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-HDBK-516C 6.1.5.3 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 S2.24.17 00-970 P1 S2.24.18 00-970 P1 S7.1.7 00-970 P5 UK25.143a 00-970 P5 UK25.3.1.1 00-970 P7 L600 S13.1.2 00-970 P7 L601 S6.4.2 00-970 P7 L602 S6.4.2 00-970 P7 L604 S5.1 00-970 P7 L903 S7.8.2 00-970 P7 L903 S7.5.2 |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

6.1.5.4 Effects of armament delivery and special equipment.

The effects of armament delivery and special equipment on flight handling shall be defined and assessed for safety of flight

Consideration should be given to:

a. Prevention of degradation of the aircraft flight handling qualities below those stated in the aircraft specification for the specified aircraft states, phases/tasks and missions.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Verification methods include analysis, test, demonstration, simulation, and inspection of process, requirements, design, test, and configuration documentation.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-HDBK-516C 6.1.5.4 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 S2.17.7 00-970 P1 S2.17.33 00-970 P1 S2.24.19, 00-970 P5 UK25.143a |
| | | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | |

6.1.5.5 Failures.

Safety of flight following failures shall be verified.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The probability of a single or combination of failures that would cause the aircraft to be in an unsafe condition.

b. The effect of failure(s) for the specified range of aircraft states, phases/tasks and missions.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 93 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

| Inf | ormation Sources | | |
|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG 2001B section | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 S1.1.13 |
| | 3.3.11.1.1.3 | Reference: | 00-970 P1 S1.1.34 |
| | MIL-HDBK-516C 6.1.5.5 | | 00-970 P1 S2.1.22 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 S2.1.38 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 S2.8.25 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 S2.10.17 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 S2.14.22 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 S2.14.24 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 S2.15.19 |
| | | | 00-970 P7 L100 S9.1.1 |
| | | | 00-970 P7 L600 S3.5.1 |
| | | | 00-970 P7 L600 S7.2.2 |
| | | | 00-970 P7 L600 S8.1.1 |
| | | STANAG | 4671.51 |
| | | Reference: | 4671.143 |
| | | | 4671.367 |
| | | | 4671.459 |
| | | | 4671.572 |
| | | | 4671.573 |
| | | | 4671.787 |
| | | | 4671.903 |
| | | | 4671.933 |
| | | | 4671.953 |
| | | | 4671.1331 |
| | | | 4671.1351 |
| | | | 4671.1461 |
| | | | 4671.U1485 |
| | | | 4671.1585 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.51 |
| | | Reference: | CS 23.143 |
| | | | CS 23.145 |
| | | | CS 23.147 |
| | | | CS 23.367 |
| | | | CS 23.441 |
| | | | CS 23.573 |
| | | | CS 23.574 |
| | | | CS 23.672 |
| | | | CS 23.841 |
| | | | CS 23.853 |
| | | | CS 23.933 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 94 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|--|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|------------|
| | CS 23.937 |
| | CS 23.953 |
| | CS 23.959 |
| | CS 23.1306 |
| | CS 23.1308 |
| | CS 23.1309 |
| | CS 23.1353 |
| | CS 23.1461 |
| | CS 25.105 |
| | CS 25.143 |
| | CS 25.149 |
| | CS 25.207 |
| | CS 25.302 |
| | CS 25.307 |
| | CS 25.362 |
| | CS 25.365 |
| | CS 25.367 |
| | CS 25.571 |
| | CS 25.603 |
| | CS 25.629 |
| | CS 25.671 |
| | CS 25.672 |
| | CS 25.734 |
| | CS 25.735 |
| | CS 25.831 |
| | CS 25.841 |
| | CS 25.869 |
| | CS 25.933 |
| | CS 25.937 |
| | CS 25.952 |
| | CS 25.981 |
| | CS 25.991 |
| | CS 25.1307 |
| | CS 25.1316 |
| | CS 25.1322 |
| | CS 25.1331 |
| | CS 25.1333 |
| | CS 25.1351 |
| | CS 25.1353 |
| | CS 25.1438 |
| | CS 25.1461 |
| | CS 27.75 |
| | CS 27.79 |
| | CS 27.141 |
| | CS 27.143 |
| | CS 27.395 |
| | CS 27.571 |
| | 002/10/1 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 95 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| | | | |

| CS 27.573 CS 27.602 CS 27.603 CS 27.672 CS 27.674 CS 27.691 CS 27.903 CS 27.991 CS 27.1353 |
|--|
| CS 27.603 CS 27.672 CS 27.674 CS 27.691 CS 27.903 CS 27.991 |
| CS 27.672 CS 27.674 CS 27.691 CS 27.903 CS 27.991 |
| CS 27.674 CS 27.691 CS 27.903 CS 27.991 |
| CS 27.691 CS 27.903 CS 27.991 |
| CS 27.903 CS 27.991 |
| CS 27.991 |
| |
| CS 27 1353 |
| 0021.1000 |
| CS 27.1461 |
| CS 29.55 |
| CS 29.59 |
| CS 29.61 |
| CS 29.62 |
| CS 29.77 |
| CS 29.79 |
| CS 29.83 |
| CS 29.87 |
| CS 29.141 |
| CS 29.143 |
| CS 29.395 |
| CS 29.547 |
| CS 29.573 |
| CS 29.602 |
| CS 29.672 |
| CS 29.674 |
| CS 29.691 |
| CS 29.903 |
| CS 29.908 |
| CS 29.917 |
| CS 29.923 |
| CS 29.991 |
| CS 29.1303 |
| CS 29.1309 |
| CS 29.1331 |
| CS 29.1353 |
| CS 29.1355 |
| CS 29.1461 |
| CS 29.1517 |

6.1.5.6 Pilot induced oscillations.

It shall be verified that there are no pilot induced oscillation (PIO) tendencies Note that:

a. PIO (also known as aircraft-pilot coupling (APC)) is an interaction between a pilot and aircraft that causes sustained aircraft oscillations to occur over a range of amplitudes and frequencies.

b. Such oscillations can occur about each of the aircraft's directional axes (longitudinal, lateral and normal), and as such oscillations about each axis should be considered..

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 96 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

c. Oscillations can occur in stable, straight and level flight, or while performing a manoeuvre such as a banked turn or a descent

Consideration should be given to:

a. The effect of control surface movement and trim settings when determining the presence of PIO tendencies.

b. Variations in other aircraft parameters such as the aircraft's moments of inertia (mass and Centre of Gravity) and the engine(s) thrust vector (magnitude and direction of thrust).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Verification methods include analysis, test, demonstration, simulation, and inspection of process, requirements, design, test, and configuration documentation.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-1797 section 5.2.1.6 | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | ADS-33-PRF section 3.1.16 | Reference: | |
| | JSSG 2001B section C.3.7 | STANAG | |
| | MIL-HDBK-516C 6.1.5.6 | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

6.1.5.7 Residual oscillations.

It shall be verified that residual oscillations characteristics are safe.

Note that:

a. Residual oscillations are the oscillations in aircraft movement following completion of a manoeuvre.

b. Such oscillations can occur about each of the aircraft's directional axes (longitudinal, lateral and normal).

Consideration should be given to:

a. Oscillation about each aircraft axis both in isolation and in combination.

b. Variations in other aircraft parameters such as the aircraft's moments of inertia (mass and Centre of Gravity) and the engine(s) thrust vector (magnitude and direction of thrust).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-1797 section 5.2.1.7 ADS-33-PRF section 3.1.17 JSSG 2001B Appendix C.3.8 MIL-HDBK-516C 6.1.5.7 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 S2.21.8 00-970 P1 S2.22.1 00-970 P1 S2.22.7 00-970 P1 S2.25.14 00-970 P1 S2.25.45 00-970 P1 S2.25.50 00-970 P7 L600 S11.7.1 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 97 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--|------------|--|
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

6.1.5.8 Ride qualities.

The aircraft ground handling/ride qualities characteristics shall be safe.

Consideration should be given to the following:

a. All specified mission environments including prepared, unprepared, sloping ground, wet, snow, ice...etc. conditions;

b. All normal and abnormal centre-of-gravity locations for realisable fuel states during taxi, take-off, and landings;

c. Potential failure conditions (weight on wheel normal and failed conditions);

d. Positive steering control, including Steering/ Directional control with the nose wheel remaining on the ground whether using nose wheel steering, differential braking or asymmetric thrust;

e. Steering sensitivities;

f. Steering fade in/out;

g. Ground control paths;

h. The ability to taxi through 360 degrees with the nose wheel remaining on the ground whether using nose wheel steering, differential braking or asymmetric thrust;

- i. Determination of safe field lengths for take-off (including rejected take-off) and landing;
- j. Controllability whilst taxiing in crosswinds;
- k. Ability to withstand heavy landing / shock loading;
- I. Use of transportation equipment (UAS);
- m. Effects on control surfaces of ground gusts and taxiing down-wind;
- n. Dynamic Roll Over;
- o. Ground Resonance;
- p. Embarked operations.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-1797 section 5.2.1.8 MIL-HDBK-516C 6.1.5.8 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 2.3.18 00-970 P1 2.3.19 00-970 P1 2.3.20 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.55(c) 4671.75 4671.231 4671.233 4671.235 4671.249 4671.415 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.231 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 98 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|

| Information Sources | | |
|---------------------|------------|-----------|
| | Reference: | CS 23.233 |
| | | CS 23.235 |
| | | CS 23.237 |
| | | CS 23.239 |
| | | CS 23.499 |
| | | CS 23.749 |
| | | CS 25.231 |
| | | CS 25.233 |
| | | CS 25.235 |
| | | CS 25.237 |
| | | CS 25.239 |
| | | CS 25.499 |
| | | CS 25.745 |
| | | CS 27.231 |
| | | CS 27.235 |
| | | CS 27.239 |
| | | CS 27.241 |
| | | CS 29.231 |
| | | CS 29.235 |
| | | CS 29.239 |
| | | CS 29.241 |

6.1.6 Longitudinal flying qualities

6.1.6.1 Longitudinal response to the pitch controller.

It shall be verified that the longitudinal response to the pitch controller is safe.

Specific aspects that should be considered include:

a. Lower-order equivalent system dynamics (including phugoid dynamics and short-period dynamics);

- b. Time response of the pitch controller;
- c. Frequency response of the pitch controller;
- d. Closed-loop analysis with a pilot model;
- e. Pilot Induced Oscillations (PIO);
- f. Normal acceleration at the pilot station;
- g. Adequacy of longitudinal control power;
- h. Safety of longitudinal control forces and displacements.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The effect of variations in flight dynamics of pitch controlling flight surfaces (e.g. the angle-of-attack of wing surfaces, airspeed, etc.) and other pitching moments (e.g. the engine thrust vector).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-1797 section 5.2.2.1 | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P7 L601 S2.3 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 99 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|--|

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|-----------|-----------------------|------------|--|
| | MIL-HDBK-516C 6.1.6.1 | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

6.1.6.2 Longitudinal response to the designated flight path controller.

It shall be verified that the longitudinal response to the designated flight path controller is safe.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The effect of variations in flight dynamics of pitch controlling flight surfaces (e.g. the angle-of-attack of wing surfaces, airspeed, etc.) and other pitching moments (e.g. the engine thrust vector).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Verification methods include analysis, test, demonstration, simulation, and inspection of process, requirements, design, test, and configuration documentation.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-HDBK-516C 6.1.6.2 | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P7 L601 S2.3 |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

6.1.7 Lateral-directional flying qualities.

Directional flying qualities (i.e. bank and yaw qualities) shall be defined and assessed for safety of flight.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The assessment of handling qualities for bank and yaw both separately and together. Rotation about the longitudinal aircraft axis (bank) often induces rotation about the normal aircraft axis (yaw) and vice-versa (i.e. adverse yaw).

b. Variations in flight configurations. Variation in the aircraft's moments of inertia (mass and Centre of Gravity) and engine thrust settings will have an effect on the directional handling qualities for example.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Verification methods include analysis, simulation, and inspection of requirements, design, and configuration documentation.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-1797 section 5.2.3 JSSG 2001B section 3.3.11.1 MIL-HDBK-516C 6.1.7 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 S2.8 00-970 P5 UK25.147a 00-970 P7 L602 |
| | | STANAG | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 100 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | ormation Sources | | |
|----------|------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.147 |
| | | Reference: | CS 25.147 |
| | | | CS 27.143 |
| | | | CS 29.143 |

6.1.7.1 Lateral-directional modal characteristics.

Oscillatory directional flying qualities shall be assessed for safety of flight.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Handling qualities for bank and yaw both separately and together. Rotation about the longitudinal aircraft axis (bank) often induces rotation about the normal aircraft axis (yaw) and vice-versa (i.e. adverse yaw).

b. Variations in flight configurations. Variation in the aircraft's moments of inertia (mass and Centre of Gravity) and engine thrust settings will have an effect on the directional handling qualities for example.

c. The frequency and amplitude of flight handling oscillatory characteristics including other oscillatory aircraft characteristics (such as fuel sloshing, cargo movement, pilot/auto-pilot inputs etc.). Oscillations should have a frequency sufficiently different so as not to induce resonance.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Verification methods include analysis, test, demonstration, simulation, and inspection of process, requirements, design, test, and configuration documentation.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-----------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-1797 section 5.2.3.1 | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | including 5.2.3.1.1-5.2.3.1.5. ADS-33-PRF, 3.4.9 MIL-HDBK-516C 6.1.7 | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

6.1.7.2 Lateral-directional dynamic response characteristics.

The aircraft's dynamic response to directional inputs shall be defined and assessed as safe.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Combinations of yaw and bank inputs. Yaw and bank outputs should be considered for yaw inputs, bank inputs and yaw and bank inputs concurrently.

b. Instantaneous inputs. Both small and large instantaneous inputs should be considered.

c. Progressive inputs.

d. Oscillatory inputs, at a variety of frequencies and amplitudes to ensure that resonance can be suitably prevented.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 101 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-1797 section 5.2.3.2 including 5.2.3.2.1-5.2.3.2.8 ADS-33-PRF sections 3.3.2, | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 S2.8 00-970 P5 UK25.147a 00-970 P7 L602 |
| | 3.3.3, 3.3.4, 3.3.5, 3.3.6, 3.3.8, 3.4.6, 3.4.7 and 3.4.8 JSSG 2001B section 3.3.11.1 MIL-HDBK-516C 6.1.7.2 | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.147 CS 25.147 CS 27.143 CS 29.143 |

6.1.7.3 Roll PIO.

PIO in roll shall be prevented.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Direct and Indirect sources of roll. All inputs that can lead to a roll output should be considered (e.g. ailerons, asymmetric spoilers/airbrakes, rudder etc.) to ensure that all potential sources of PIO in roll are assessed.

b. Oscillating pilot inputs. A suitable variety of control input frequencies and magnitudes should be considered to ensure that PIO in roll is suitably prevented.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Verification methods include analysis, test, demonstration, simulation, and inspection of process, requirements, design, test, and configuration documentation.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-1797 section 5.2.3.3 | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | ADS-33-PRF section 3.1.16 | Reference: | |
| | MIL-HDBK-516C 6.1.7.3 | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

6.1.7.4 Yaw PIO.

PIO in yaw shall be prevented.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Direct and Indirect sources of yaw. All inputs that can lead to a yaw output should be considered (e.g. elevators, horizontal tail-plane (or all-moving tail-plane), canards, engine thrust vector, etc.) to ensure that all potential sources of PIO in roll are assessed.

b. Oscillating pilot inputs. A suitable variety of control input frequencies and magnitudes should be considered to ensure that PIO in yaw is suitably prevented.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 102 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

1. Verification methods include analysis, test, demonstration, simulation, and inspection of process, requirements, design, test, and configuration documentation.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-1797 section 5.2.3.4 | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | ADS-33-PRF section 3.1.16 | Reference: | |
| | MIL-HDBK-516C 6.1.7.4 | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

6.1.7.5 Lateral-directional dynamic response characteristics.

The effectiveness of the pilot's control of roll shall be safe.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Direct and Indirect sources of roll. All inputs that can lead to a roll output should be considered (e.g. ailerons, asymmetric spoilers/airbrakes, rudder etc.) to ensure that all potential sources of roll are considered.

b. Concurrent application of multiple inputs. Where it is possible for more than one source of roll to be applied by the pilot concurrently, the concurrent application of the sources should be considered.

c. Failures. For failures or other events that could reasonably occur in service that could affect the pilot's effective control of roll (for example the asymmetric jettison of stores or asymmetric failure of flight control surfaces) the effect of the event on the pilot's ability to control the aircraft should be considered.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Verification methods include analysis, test, demonstration, simulation, and inspection of process, requirements, design, test, and configuration documentation.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|---|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-1797 sections 5.2.3.5 including 5.2.3.5.1-5.2.3.5.3 JSSG 2001B section 3.3.11.1 MIL-HDBK-516C 6.1.7.5 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 S2.8 00-970 P5 UK25.147a 00-970 P7 L602 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.147 CS 25.147 CS 27.143 CS 29.143 |

6.1.7.6 Lateral-directional control with speed changes.

Directional control of the aircraft shall be safe despite changes in the aircraft's speed.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Varying flying conditions. Directional control should be maintained through changes in speed in both straight and level flight, and through pitch, roll and yaw manoeuvres.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 103 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Verification methods include analysis, test, demonstration, simulation, and inspection of process, requirements, design, test, and configuration documentation.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--|---|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-1797 section 5.2.3.6 including 5.2.3.6.1 ADS-33-PRF section 3.4.8.4 JSSG 2001B section 3.3.11.1 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG | 00-970 P1 S2.8 00-970 P5 UK25.147a 00-970 P7 L602 |
| | MIL-HDBK-516C 6.1.7.2 | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.147 CS 25.147 CS 27.143 CS 29.143 |

6.1.7.7 Yaw control forces in wave-off (go-around).

Yaw control forces during wave-off/go-around shall be safe.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The effect of side-winds expected in service.

b. The effect of asymmetric flying control surfaces that could be reasonably be anticipated in service.

c. The effect of asymmetric thrust due to the failure of one or more engines (as would be reasonably anticipated in service).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Verification methods include analysis, test, demonstration, simulation, and inspection of process, requirements, design, test, and configuration documentation.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-1797 section 5.2.3.7 | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | MIL-HDBK-516C 6.1.7.2 | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

6.1.7.8 Lateral-directional control forces and displacements.

Forces and Displacements induced in the directional controls shall be assessed as safe.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The pilot's ergonomic environment. Considerations may include the dimensions of the cockpit/flightdeck, seat position(s), positions of controls, the effect of any equipment that may be added/removed such as ballistic protection, etc.

b. Variations in pilots' anthropomorphic dimensions. Ranges of anthropomorphic dimensions considered should reflect the variation in sizes of aircrew anticipated to pilot the aircraft in service.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 104 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

c. Clothing and Aircrew Equipment Assemblies (e.g. helmets, respirators, Chemical, Biological, Radiological and Nuclear (CBRN) equipment, etc.).

d. The magnitude and direction of control input forces, reflecting the strength of aircrew anticipated to pilot the aircraft in service.

e. Both instantaneous application of control forces as well as progressive application.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Verification methods include analysis, test, demonstration, simulation, and inspection of process, requirements, design, test, and configuration documentation.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--|---|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-1797 section 5.2.3.8 including sections 5.2.3.8.1 - 5.2.3.8.6 ADS-33-PRF section 3.6 JSSG 2001B section 3.4.3 MIL-HDBK-516C 6.1.7.8 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 S4.19.2 00-970 P1 S4.19.2 00-970 P7 L600 S10.1.4 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.143 CS 25.143 CS 27.151 CS 29.151 |

6.1.7.9 Steady sideslips.

The steady sideslips that the aircraft can undergo shall be assessed as safe.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Altitude and yaw angles. Safety of sideslips should be assured through the range of aircraft attitudes where steady sideslip can occur, considering a variety of pitch, roll and yaw angles.

b. Aircraft configuration. The effect of variation in aircraft configuration (such as moments of inertia, engine thrust, flap setting, etc.) should be considered.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|---|---|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-1797 section 5.2.3.9 including sections 5.2.3.9.1 - 5.2.3.9.4 ADS-33-PRF section 3.4.10 MIL-HDBK-516C 6.1.7.9 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 S4.10.8 00-970 P5 UK25.349a 00-970 P7 L602 S3 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 105 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

6.1.7.10 Lateral-directional control in crosswinds.

Directional control and safety of flight shall be assured for crosswinds up to the limit(s) detailed in the aircraft specification and/or through the wind vectors (magnitudes and directions/azimuths) detailed in the aircraft specification.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The definition of limits. In specifying the limits for crosswinds, it may be more appropriate to prescribe a single magnitude crosswind limit, where the wind vector is assumed to be acting perpendicular to the aircraft's heading, or to prescribe a vector-plot of allowable wind-speed magnitudes and directions. The former approach is typically more appropriate for fixed wing aircraft where the crosswind magnitude is relatively small in comparison to the aircraft's airspeed while the latter approach is typically more appropriate to rotary wing aircraft where the aircraft's airspeed can be much smaller (for example in hover or approach to land).

b. Flight configuration. Variation in the aircraft's moments of inertia (mass and Centre of Gravity) and engine thrust settings will have an effect on the directional handling qualities for example.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Verification methods include analysis, test, demonstration, simulation, and inspection of process, requirements, design, test, and configuration documentation.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | D/MIL Doc: MIL-STD-1797 section 5.2.3.10 including 5.3.2.10.1-5.2.3.10.3. ADS-33-PRF section 3.9.3 MIL-HDBK-516C 6.1.7.10 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 S2.5.22 00-970 P1 S2.5.23 00-970 P1 S2.22.28-2.22.32. |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 25.237 CS 27.143 CS 29.143 |

6.1.7.11 Lateral-directional control with asymmetric thrust.

Directional control and safety of flight shall be assured for asymmetric thrust.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Instantaneous and progressive thrust asymmetry. Thrust asymmetry can have a variety of causes; for example total or partial failure can cause an engine to produce less thrust than other engines, or throttle settings can purposefully create differences in thrust. Progressive asymmetry should therefore be considered in addition to instantaneous asymmetry.

b. Increases in drag caused by a 'wind-milling' or stopped engine. For propeller engines, the ability to feather the propellers should not be assumed.

c. Engine criticality. Often, compliance is shown for the failure of the 'critical engine' only. Since the engines installed on an aircraft often have the same thrust capabilities and drag characteristics, the engine whose failure causes the greatest thrust asymmetry is generally the furthest outboard.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 106 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--|--|------------------|-----------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: MIL-STD-1797 section 5.2.3.11 | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 S2.14. | |
| | including 5.3.2.11.1-5.2.3.11.5. MIL-HDBK-516C 6.1.7.11 | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.147 |
| | | Reference: | CS 25.147 |

6.1.7.12 Wings-level turn.

The performance of a wings-level turn using the yaw controller should be assessed as safe.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Turn co-ordination. Generally, the introduction of yaw in forward flight to turn an aircraft would cause the aircraft to bank due to the greater airspeed of the wing on the outside of the turn (causing a wing-up moment) and the lesser airspeed of the wing on the inside of the turn (causing a wing-down moment). The performance of a wings-level turn may therefore require preventative control of roll.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Verification methods include analysis, test, demonstration, simulation, and inspection of process, requirements, design, test, and configuration documentation.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--|--|------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: MIL-STD-1797 section 5.2.3.12 | Def-Stan 00-970 | | |
| | including 5.3.2.12.1-5.2.3.12.4. MIL-HDBK-516C 6.1.7.12 | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

6.1.7.13 Lateral translation.

Lateral translation of the aircraft shall be assessed as safe.

Consideration should be given to:

a. All sources of lateral velocities and accelerations, including:

(1) The introduction of lateral accelerations along the aircraft due to the yawing motion of flight manoeuvres.

(2) Lateral velocities alongside a significant longitudinal velocity (i.e. slight lateral translation in forward flight).

(3) Lateral velocities without a significant longitudinal velocity (i.e. lateral translation when in hover).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| | | 1 | |
| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 107 /675 |

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--|--|----------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: MIL-STD-1797 section 5.2.3.13 | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P7 L602 | |
| | including 5.3.2.13.1-5.2.3.13.4. MIL-HDBK-516C 6.1.7.13 | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

6.1.8 Cross-axis responses.

The aircraft's cross-axis responses to control inputs shall be defined and assessed for safety of flight. This shall include (but is not limited to):

a. Definition and assessment of longitudinal (roll) control forces in sideslip flight.

b. Definition and assessment of directional (roll and yaw) control forces in dive and pull-out flight.

c. Definition and assessment of all cross-axis control forces in roll manoeuvres.

d. Definition and assessment of Pitch and Roll control crosstalk (i.e. the movement of the pitch controller inducing a roll and movement of the roll controller inducing a pitch change).

e. Definition and assessment of the aircraft's 'Control Harmony' (the balancing of control input forces) to ensure that required input forces are not disproportionate from one axis control to another.

f. Definition and assessment of control cross-coupling safety.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The force that can be applied at each of the aircraft's controls and the force required for a given level of control output--forces should be balanced proportionately. The strength of pilots (and the variation in that strength) should therefore also be considered.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Verification methods include analysis, simulation, and inspection of requirements, design, and configuration documentation.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|---|----------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-1797 section 5.2.4 including sections 5.2.4.1- 5.2.4.6 ADS-33-PRF section 3.3.9 and 3.4.5 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 S2.9 |
| | MIL-HDBK-516C 6.1.8 | | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

6.1.9 High angle-of-attack.

The aircraft's flight at high angles of attack shall be assessed and confirmed as safe..

This shall include (but is not limited to):

a. The adequacy of pilot warning(s) when approaching a stall.

b. Aircraft stability and safety of flight when approaching a stall.

c. Aircraft stability and safety of flight during a sustained stall.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 108 /675 | 1 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|---|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|---|

d. Aircraft stability and safety of flight through stall prevention measures and through recovery from a stall.

e. Aircraft stability and safety of flight through any departures from controlled flight.

f. Aircraft stability and safety of flight through the recovery from post-stall gyrations and spins.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The existing crew workload and any other distractions that may prevent the crew from realising that they are approaching or are in a stall, when considering the adequacy of pilot warnings.

b. The amount of time and altitude required to recover from a stall, considering the type of aircraft and the likely manoeuvres that it will perform in service.

c. The failure of sensors or other devices that are required for stall warning, prevention or recovery.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Verification methods include analysis, test, demonstration, simulation, and inspection of process, requirements, design, test, and configuration documentation.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|---|-----------------|----------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-1797 section 5.2.5 | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 S2.9 |
| | including sections 5.2.5.1- 5.2.5.6 MIL-HDBK-516C 6.1.8 | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

6.1.10 Shipboard operations.

Shipboard operations shall be assessed for safety of flight.

This shall include (but is not limited to):

- a. Deck handling.
- b. Catapult launches (where specified).
- c. Carrier approach and landing.
- d. Failed arrest (bolter).
- e. Go around (wave-off).
- f. Engine failure (of multi-engine aircraft).
- g. Launches and recoveries.
- h. Permitted wind envelopes.

i. Vertical launch and recovery of multiple aircraft at adjacent spots.

j. Adequacy of visual cues at planned spots at day and night both with and without the aid of Night Vision Devices (NVDs).

- k. The effect of the ship's air-wake.
- I. The effect of the aircraft's control law modes.
- m. Run-on landings.

n. Pilot workload.

- o. The motion of the ship and the application of suitable limits for operation.
- p. Use of ship-assisted recovery devices.
- q. Vertical replenishment and other externally slung loads.
- r. Rotorcraft performance in ship motion and ship air-wake conditions.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 109 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

Consideration should be given to:

a. The aircraft states and configurations where shipborne operations may occur.

b. The characteristics of the different ships where shipborne operations may occur and their effect on the aircraft's safety of flight.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Verification methods include analysis, test, demonstration, simulation, and inspection of process, design, test, or configuration documentation.

| Int | formation Sources | | | |
|--------------|-----------------------------------|--------|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG 2001B 3.4.8 MIL-HDBK-516C | 6.1.10 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P7 L606 S3 |
| | including 6.1.10.1-6.1. | 10.18 | STANAG | 4671.1194 |
| | | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | | EASA CS | |
| | | | Reference: | |

6.1.11 Vertical/Short Take-Off and Landing (V/STOL) aircraft.

Where applicable, V/STOL characteristics shall be defined and assessed for safety of flight.

This shall include (but is not limited to):

a. Ensuring that V/STOL operations are safe, specifically;

i. Ensuring that Short Take-Off (STO) is safe.

ii. Ensuring that Vertical Take-Off (VTO) is safe.

iii. Ensuring that any V/STOL shipboard recovery pattern is safe.

iv. Ensuring that V/STOL powered-lift landing is safe.

v. Ensuring that hover is safe.

vi. Ensuring that V/STOL vertical landing is safe.

vii. Ensuring that V/STOL ground handling is safe.

viii. Ensuring that V/STOL transition/conversion is safe.

iv. Ensuring that V/STOL hovering translation is safe.

b. Ensuring that V/STOL dynamic flight handling characteristics are safe, specifically;

i. Flying qualities in pitch, roll and yaw axes and in normal/vertical, longitudinal and lateral translation including cross-axis coupling and angular control.

ii. Flying qualities in the transition region..

Consideration should be given to:

a. The aircraft states and configurations where V/STOL operations may occur.

b. The effect that different landing conditions may have on the safety of the aircraft (e.g. shipborne operations, landing in dust, snow etc.).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Verification methods include analysis, test, demonstration, simulation, and inspection of process, requirements, design, test, and configuration documentation.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | |
|-------------|-------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 110 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-HDBK-516C 6.1.11 | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 S2.19 |
| | including 6.1.11.1-6.1.11.2 | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

6.1.12 Characteristics of the primary flight control system

6.1.12.1 Transfer to alternate control modes.

Transfer to and from alternate control modes shall be verified as safe.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The aircraft states and configurations where transfer between modes may occur.

b. The change of the control/handling input/output ratio from one mode to another, the required change in control inputs by the pilot(s) to compensate and the effect of a pilot not realising that the control mode has changed.

c. The effect of transfer of control mode when performing a manoeuvre or in a critical flight phase.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Verification methods include analysis, test, demonstration, simulation, and inspection of process, requirements, design, test, and configuration documentation.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-HDBK-516C 6.1.12.1 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 S2.7.10 00-970 P1 S4.10.11 00-970 P1 S4.10.13 00-970 P1 S4.10.23 00-970 P1 S4.10.24 00-970 P7 L903 S7.8.2 00-970 P7 L904 S7.5.2 |
| FAA Doc: | | STANAG Reference: EASA CS | 00-970 F7 L904 37.3.2 |
| FAA DOC: | | EASA CS Reference: | |

6.1.12.2 Augmentation systems.

Augmentation systems shall be verified as safe.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The change of the control/handling input/output ratio if the characteristics of the augmentation system were to alter of fail, the required change in control inputs by the pilot(s) to compensate and the effect of a pilot not realising that a change has occurred.

c. The effect of failure or alteration of the augmentation system when performing a manoeuvre or in a critical flight phase.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 111 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

1. Verification methods include analysis, test, demonstration, simulation, and inspection of process, requirements, design, test, and configuration documentation.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-HDBK-516C 6.1.12.2 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 S4.8.6 00-970 P1 S4.10.11 00-970 P1 S4.10.12 00-970 P1 S4.10.13 00-970 P1 S4.10.23 00-970 P7 L600 S8.2.1 00-970 P7 L600 S8.2.3 00-970 P7 L601 S4.2.1 00-970 P7 L602 S4.2.1 00-970 P7 L903 S7.8.2 00-970 P7 L904 S7.5.2 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.672 CS 25.672 CS 27.672 CS 29.672 |

6.1.12.3 Cockpit controller characteristics.

The characteristics of the pilots' controllers shall be verified as safe.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The change of the control/handling input/output ratio if the characteristics of the augmentation system were to alter of fail, the required change in control inputs by the pilot(s) to compensate and the effect of a pilot not realising that a change has occurred.

c. The effect of failure or alteration of the augmentation system when performing a manoeuvre or in a critical flight phase.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Verification methods include analysis, test, demonstration, simulation, and inspection of process, requirements, design, test, and configuration documentation.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG 2001B Section 3.4.3.1.5 MIL-HDBK-516C 6.1.12.3 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P7 L600 S9 00-970 P7 L600 S10 |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.671 CS 25.671 |
| | | | CS 27.671 CS 29.671 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 112 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

6.1.12.4 Displays and instruments.

The pilots' displays and instruments shall be verified as safe.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The physical aspects of the displays and instruments and their installation, including design, construction and integration with other aircraft systems (e.g. electrical supply).

c. The Human-Machine-Interface and the displays and instruments ability to adequately convey the information most relevant to the continued safe flight of the aircraft.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Verification methods include analysis, test, demonstration, simulation, and inspection of process, requirements, design, test, and configuration documentation.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|------------------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-1797A section | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | 5.2.8.4 | Reference: | |
| | JSSG 2001B Section 3.4.3.1.5 | STANAG | 4671.1301 |
| | MIL-HDBK-516C 6.1.12.4 | Reference: | 4671.1309 |
| | | | 4671.1329 |
| | | | 4671.1331 |
| | | | 4671.U1721 |
| | | | 4671.U1722 |
| | | | 4671.U1723 |
| | | | 4671.U1725 |
| | | | 4671.U1726 |
| | | | 4671.U1727 |
| | | | 4671.U1728 |
| | | | 4671.U1729 |
| | | | 4671.U1730 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.1301 |
| | | Reference: | CS 23.1303 |
| | | | CS 23.1305 |
| | | | CS 23.1309 |
| | | | CS 23.1311 |
| | | | CS 23.1321 |
| | | | CS 23.1322 |
| | | | CS 23.1323 |
| | | | CS 23.1325 |
| | | | CS 23.1326 |
| | | | CS 23.1327 |
| | | | CS 23.1329 |
| | | | CS 23.1331 |
| | | | CS 23.1335 |
| | | | CS 23.1337 |
| | | | CS 25.1301 |
| | | | CS 25.1302 |

Edition Number: 3.1Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018Status: endorsedPage 113/675

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|------------|
| | CS 25.1303 |
| | CS 25.1305 |
| | CS 25.1309 |
| | CS 25.1321 |
| | CS 25.1322 |
| | CS 25.1323 |
| | CS 25.1325 |
| | CS 25.1326 |
| | CS 25.1327 |
| | CS 25.1329 |
| | CS 25.1331 |
| | CS 25.1333 |
| | CS 25.1337 |
| | CS 27.1301 |
| | CS 27.1303 |
| | CS 27.1305 |
| | CS 27.1309 |
| | CS 27.1321 |
| | CS 27.1322 |
| | CS 27.1323 |
| | CS 27.1325 |
| | CS 27.1327 |
| | CS 27.1329 |
| | CS 27.1335 |
| | CS 27.1337 |
| | CS 29.1301 |
| | CS 29.1303 |
| | CS 29.1305 |
| | CS 29.1309 |
| | CS 29.1321 |
| | CS 29.1322 |
| | CS 29.1323 |
| | CS 29.1325 |
| | CS 29.1327 |
| | CS 29.1329 |
| | CS 29.1331 |
| | CS 29.1333 |
| | CS 29.1335 |
| | CS 29.1337 |

6.1.13 Characteristics of secondary flight control systems

6.1.13.1 Trim system.

The aircraft's trim system shall be verified as safe.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Flight configurations and aircraft states where prolonged corrective/preventative control system input could be required by the pilot, and therefore where a trim system would be beneficial, including:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 114 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

i. Thrust asymmetry (e.g. due to an engine failure), including effects of a vertically displaced engine (e.g. the Trident, 727 or DC-10),

ii. Longitudinally displaced centres of gravity (e.g. due to longitudinally displaced fuel, cargo, personnel) iii. Laterally displaced centres of gravity (e.g. due to laterally displaced fuel, cargo, personnel)

iv. Asymmetric drag (e.g. due to missing non-essential panels, landing gear failed in the extended position or asymmetric external stores)

b. The aircraft's ability to perform required manoeuvres with the aircraft trimmed correctly.

c. Requirements to trim the aircraft in the longitudinal (roll), lateral (pitch) and normal (yaw) axes.

d. The increased longitudinal trim required in transonic and supersonic flight regimes, correcting Machtuck.

e. The performance assessment of the aircraft with the combination of trim functions, including degraded modes.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Verification methods include analysis, test, demonstration, simulation, and inspection of process, requirements, design, test, and configuration documentation.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-1797A section 5.2.9.1 MIL-HDBK-516C 6.1.13.1 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 S2.6.21 00-970 P1 S2.6.22 00-970 P1 S2.8.20 00-970 P1 S2.8.21 00-970 P1 S2.8.22 00-970 P7 L903 S7.4.1 00-970 P7 L903 S7.8 00-970 P7 L904 S7.5 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.161 4671.677 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.161 CS 25.161 CS 27.161 CS 29.161 |

6.1.13.2 Operation of secondary control devices and in-flight configuration changes.

The operation of secondary control devices and the in-flight change of aircraft configuration shall be assessed as safe.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The operation of all secondary control devices both separately and together where the devices may be operated concurrently. Secondary control devices are used to influence the performance of the aircraft but are not the primary surfaces used for aircraft manoeuvres. Secondary control devices may include (but are not limited to):

i. Spoilers,

ii. Flaps,

iii. Slats,

iv. Air brakes.

b. All sources of change in aircraft configuration that could occur in-flight, including.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 115 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

i. Displacement of Centre of Gravity (e.g. due to movement of fuel, cargo, passengers, etc.),

ii. Changes in the aircraft's external surfaces (e.g. due to the opening of cargo doors, bomb-bay doors, landing bay doors, jettison of external stores, etc.)

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Verification methods include analysis, test, demonstration, simulation, and inspection of process, requirements, design, test, and configuration documentation.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | C: MIL-STD-1797A section 5.2.9.2 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 S4.10.14 - 4.10.21 00-970 P7 L600 S9.6 |
| | MIL-HDBK-516C 6.1.13.2 | STANAG Reference: | 4671.161 4671.677 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.405 CS 25.405 |

6.1.13.3 Auxiliary dive recovery devices.

Auxiliary dive recovery devices shall be verified as safe.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The loads induced through the airframe when operating a dive recovery device. This shall consider at least:

i. The airspeeds that may be encountered during a dive and the resulting airframe loads,

ii. The operation of a dive recovery device both in isolation and together with other pitch control devices,

iii. The effect that a dive recovery device may have on the aircraft's roll control (in the event that the device is operated during a spiral dive before wings-level flight is achieved),

iv. The effect that a dive recovery device may have on the location of centre of lift, including effects at transonic and supersonic airspeeds (e.g. Mach-tuck).

b. The lower and upper limits of vertical airspeed/rate of descent, airspeed, roll-rate and normal acceleration (g) where the dive recovery device should be operated.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Verification methods include analysis, test, demonstration, simulation, and inspection of process, requirements, design, test, and configuration documentation.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-1797A section | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 S4.10.20 |
| | 5.2.9.3 | Reference: | |
| | MIL-HDBK-516C 6.1.13.3 | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

6.1.14 Rotorcraft unique criteria.

Aspects of flight unique to rotorcraft shall be assessed as safe. This shall include but is not limited to: a. Translational rate response (sideways cyclic control),

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 116 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

- b. Vertical axis response in hover (collective and throttle control/governance),
- c. Hover in winds (in all aircraft axes of translation and rotation),
- d. Position hold (in all aircraft axes of translation and rotation),
- e. Rotor speed response (throttle control/governance),
- f. Engine torque response,
- g. Slope landing and take-off characteristics,
- h. Ground operation,
- i. Carriage, release and jettison of external slung loads,
- j. Water landing characteristics,
- k. Autorotation.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Characteristics that could affect the safety of the rotorcraft,
- b. Characteristics that should be considered desirable for the pilot(s) ease of handling,

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Verification methods include analysis, test, demonstration, simulation, and inspection of process, requirements, design, test, and configuration documentation.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|---|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | ADS-33-PRF sections 3.3.1, 3.3.10.1, 3.3.10.2, 3.3.10.3, 3.3.10.4, 3.3.11, 3.4.3.3, 3.4.5.1.3, 3.7.2, 3.7.3, 3.9.1, 3.9.2, 3.9.3, 3.9.4.1, 3.10 MIL-HDBK-516C 6.1.14 including 6.1.14.1-6.1.14.11 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P7: Rotorcraft Supplement 2: Flight (Subpart B) |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 27 Subpart B - Flight CS 29 Subpart B - Flight |

6.1.15 Manuals.

Technical Publications including Flight, Performance and Operations Manuals and any supplements shall contain the aircraft's operating limits and instructions to assure flight safety of the aircraft.

Consideration should be given to:

a. All of the aircraft's defined conditions, configurations, load-outs etc.

b. Cautions, Warnings, Advisories, Notes, Corrective Actions and other relevant pilot information.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Aircraft Flight Manual and other technical publications as appropriate which include the aircraft's operating limits and suitable operating instructions.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 S7 |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 117 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|-----------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | formation Sources | Reference: EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1581 CS 23.1583 CS 23.1585 CS 23.1585 CS 23.1587 CS 23.1589 CS 25.1581 CS 25.1583 CS 25.1585 CS 25.1587 CS 25.1591 CS 25.1593 CS 27.1581 CS 27.1583 CS 27.1585 CS 27.1585 CS 27.1587 CS 27.1589 CS 29.1581 CS 29.1583 |
| | | | CS 29.1585 CS 29.1587 CS 29.1589 |

6.2 VEHICLE CONTROL FUNCTIONS (VCF).

6.2.1 VCF architecture design.

6.2.1.1 Functional criteria.

The design of the aircraft VCF, including its sub-systems, shall be shown to be safe within the required performance envelope.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Definition of the required aircraft performance envelope;

b. Definition of the required aircraft safety levels and safety requirements of enabling systems;

c. Development of Test & Acceptance Plans or Validation & Verification Plan to record how the equipment, sub-system, system and aircraft level safety requirements are to be demonstrated;

d. Successful demonstration of achieved safety requirements (e.g. Test & Acceptance Report or Validation & Verification Report).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Document recording aircraft performance requirements (e.g. Top Level Aircraft Requirements Document);

2. Aircraft Loss Model;

3. Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA) / Failure Modes, Effects and Criticality Analysis (FMECA);

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|--|
| | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 118 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG 2008 3 thru 3.8, 4 thru 4.8 MIL-HDBK-516C 6.2.1.1 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 2.15 00-970 P1 2.16 00-970 P1 3.9 00-970 P1 3.10 00-970 P1 6.6 00-970 P1 6.11 00-970 P5 UK25.671a 00-970 P7 L600 S3.5.1 00-970 P7 L600 S8.1.1 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.685 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.141-23.257 CS 23.321-23.459 CS 23.1309 CS 23.1501-23.1529 CS 25.143-25.255 CS 25.321-25.459 CS 25.689 CS 25.1309 CS 27.141-27.251 CS 27.321-27.447 CS 27.1309 CS 27.1501-27.1529 CS 29.141-29.251 CS 29.321-29.427 CS 29.1309 CS 29.1501-29.1529 |

6.2.1.2 High-level architecture function.

Aspects of the VCF critical to the safe operation of the aircraft shall incorporate sufficient and appropriate risk mitigations to allow graceful degradation and interface with other systems to ensure power is available for continued safe operation.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. The following failure mitigation approaches:
- i. Failure Absorption;
- ii. Cross lane monitoring/voting and failure rejection;
- iii. Lane self-monitoring and failure rejection.
- b. The appropriate use of redundant and fail-safe designs in systems.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

- 1. Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA) / Failure Modes, Effects and Criticality Analysis (FMECA);
- 2. Test and & Acceptance Report or Validation & Verification Report.

| Inf | ormation Sources | |
|-------------|------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 119 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.6.18 00-970 P1 6.11.3 00-970 P1 6.12.2 00-970 P5 UK25.671a 00-970 P7 L600 S3.5.1 00-970 P7 L600 S8.1.1 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1309 4671.1329 4671.1412 4671.1413 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1309 CS 25.1309 CS 25.1310 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1309 CS 25.671* CS 25.672* *(unverified-Dutch 516) |

6.2.1.3 Safety critical functions and components.

The VCFs shall have appropriate levels of risk mitigations typically achieved through separation, redundancy, fault tolerance and self-test to prevent any unsafe function resulting in a loss of control.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. The appropriate use of redundant and fail-safe designs in systems;
- b. The use of Built-In Test (BIT) functions;
- c. The failure of software elements.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

- 1. Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA) / Failure Modes, Effects and Criticality Analysis (FMECA);
- 2. Test and & Acceptance Report or Validation & Verification Report.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG 2008 3.0, 4.0, 3.1, 4.1, 3.1.11-3.1.11.2, 4.1.11- 4.1.11.2 MIL-HDBK-516C 6.2.1.3 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 2.14.19 00-970 P1 2.15.17 00-970 P1 4.4.7 00-970 P1 4.4.46 00-970 P1 6.2.33 00-970 P1 6.5.29 00-970 P1 6.5.33 00-970 P5 UK25.671a 00-970 P7 L600 S3.5.1 00-970 P7 L600 S8.1.1 00-970 P7 L725 3.2.1 |
| | | STANAG | 4671.1309 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 Edition Date: 25 | Sep 2018 Status: endorsed | Page 120 /675 |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|----------|-------------------|------------|------------|
| | | Reference: | 4671.1323 |
| | | | 4671.1490 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.1309 |
| | | Reference: | CS 25.1309 |
| | | | CS 27.1309 |
| | | | CS 29.1309 |

6.2.1.4 Integration of functions.

Each aspect of the VCF (such as flaps, trim, auto-stabilisers, hydraulics) shall be suitably separated and protected to ensure graceful degradation of the VCF in the presence of failures and combination of failures.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Definition of the required aircraft performance envelope;

b. Definition of the required aircraft safety levels and safety requirements of enabling systems;

c. Development of Test & Acceptance Plans or Validation & Verification Plan to record how the equipment, sub-system, system and aircraft level safety requirements are to be demonstrated;

d. Successful demonstration of achieved safety requirements (e.g. Test & Acceptance Report or Validation & Verification Report).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Document recording aircraft performance requirements (e.g. Top Level Aircraft Requirements Document);

2. Aircraft Loss Model;

3. Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA) / Failure Modes, Effects and Criticality Analysis (FMECA);

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG 2008 3.1.1 - 3.1.4, 4.1.1 - 4.1.4 MIL-HDBK-516B 6.2.1.4 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 2.6.25 00-970 P1 2.8.25 00-970 P1 2.14.16 00-970 P1 2.14.24 00-970 P1 2.15.9 00-970 P1 2.15.11 00-970 P1 2.15.12 00-970 P1 2.15.13 00-970 P1 2.15.13 00-970 P1 2.15.15 00-970 P1 2.15.17 00-970 P1 2.15.21 00-970 P1 2.15.21 00-970 P1 2.15.23 00-970 P1 2.15.23 00-970 P1 2.15.24 00-970 P5 UK25.671a 00-970 P7 L600 S3.5.1 00-970 P7 L600 S8.1.1 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 121 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | | |
|---------------------|-----------------------|---|
| | STANAG Reference: | 4671.459 4671.701 |
| FAA Doc: | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.672 CS 25.671 CS 25.672 CS 27.672 CS 29.672 CS 29.672 CS 25.671* CS 25.672* *(unverified-Dutch 516) |

6.2.1.5 Failures.

No single failure, dual failure or reasonably credible combination of failures of the VCF (including AFCS if applicable) shall result in an unacceptable Probability of Loss of Control (PLOC).

Consideration should be given to:

a. Definition of the required aircraft performance envelope;

b. Definition of the required aircraft safety levels and safety requirements of enabling systems;

c. Development of Test & Acceptance Plans or Validation & Verification Plan to record how the equipment, sub-system, system and aircraft level safety requirements are to be demonstrated;

d. Successful demonstration of achieved safety requirements (e.g. Test & Acceptance Report or Validation & Verification Report).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Document recording aircraft performance requirements (e.g. Top Level Aircraft Requirements Document);

2. Aircraft Loss Model;

3. Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA) / Failure Modes, Effects and Criticality Analysis (FMECA);

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG 2008 3.0, 4.0, 3.1, 4.1, 3.1.11-3.1.11.2, 4.1.11- 4.1.11.2 MIL-HDBK-516B 6.2.1.6 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.5.33 00-970 P1 6.6.2 00-970 P5 UK25.671a 00-970 P7 L600 S3.5.1 00-970 P7 L600 S8.1.1 00-970 P7 L604 20.1.5 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1309 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1309 CS 25.671* CS 25.672* |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 122 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | |
|-----------|-------------------|-------------------------|
| | | *(unverified-Dutch 516) |

6.2.1.6 Reliability and redundancy.

The level of VCF redundancy and reliability shall be appropriate for the aircraft's size category and planned operational area/airspace.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Definition of the aircraft's size category;

b. The aircraft's planned operational area/airspace in both civil and military operations or combat and non-combat roles;

c. Definition of the required aircraft safety levels and safety requirements of enabling systems;

d. Development of Test & Acceptance Plans or Validation & Verification Plan to record how the equipment, sub-system, system and aircraft level safety requirements are to be demonstrated;

e. Successful demonstration of achieved safety requirements (e.g. Test & Acceptance Report or Validation & Verification Report).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Document recording aircraft requirements (e.g. Top Level Aircraft Requirements Document);

2. Aircraft Loss Model;

3. Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA) / Failure Modes, Effects and Criticality Analysis (FMECA);

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG 2008 3.0, 4.0, 3.1, 4.1, 3.1.11-3.1.11.2, 4.1.11- 4.1.11.2 MIL-HDBK-516C 6.2.1.6 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 S2.15 00-970 P1 S2.16 00-970 P1 S3.9 00-970 P1 S3.10 00-970 P1 S6.6 00-970 P1 S6.11 00-970 P5 UK25.671a 00-970 P7 L600 S3.5.1 00-970 P7 L600 S8.1.1 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1309 4671.1329 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.141 - 23.257 CS 23.321-23.459 CS 23.1501-23.1529 CS 25.143-25.255 CS 25.321-25.259 CS 25.689 CS 27.141-27.251 CS 27.321-27.247 CS 27.1501-27.1529 CS 29.141-29.251 CS 29.321-29.427 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 123 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Informa | tion Sources | |
|---------|--------------|----------------------------|
| | | CS 29.1501-29.1529 |
| | | CS 23 to CS 29 Clause 1309 |

6.2.1.7 Probability of loss of aircraft (PLOA).

An overall requirement for the allowed PLOA shall be defined and a sub-requirement for the allowed Probability of Loss of Control (PLOC) for the aircraft. Any estimates and assumptions used in these requirements shall be adequately substantiated/justified.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Definition of the required aircraft performance envelope;

b. Definition of the required aircraft safety levels and safety requirements of enabling systems;

c. Development of Test & Acceptance Plans or Validation & Verification Plan to record how the equipment, sub-system, system and aircraft level safety requirements are to be demonstrated;

d. Successful demonstration of achieved safety requirements (e.g. Test & Acceptance Report or Validation & Verification Report).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Document recording aircraft performance requirements (e.g. Top Level Aircraft Requirements Document);

2. Aircraft Loss Model;

3. Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA) / Failure Modes, Effects and Criticality Analysis (FMECA);

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG 2008 3.0, 4.0, 3.1, 4.1, 3.1.11-3.1.11.2, 4.1.11- 4.1.11.2 MIL-HDBK-516C 6.2.1.7 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 S2.15 00-970 P1 S2.16 00-970 P1 S3.9 00-970 P1 S3.10 00-970 P1 S6.6 00-970 P1 S6.11 00-970 P5 UK25.671a 00-970 P7 L600 S3.5.1 00-970 P7 L600 S8.1.1. |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1309 4671.1329 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.141 - 23.257 CS 23.321-23.459 CS 23.1501-23.1529 CS 25.143-25.255 CS 25.321-25.259 CS 25.689 CS 27.141-27.251 CS 27.321-27.247 CS 27.1501-27.1529 CS 29.141-29.251 CS 29.321-29.427 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 124 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

| Information | Sources | |
|-------------|---------|----------------------------|
| | | CS 29.1501-29.1529 |
| | | CS 23 to CS 29 Clause 1309 |

6.2.1.8 In-line fault coverage.

Where systems utilise dual redundancy, the probability of occurrence of all faults that could affect both systems shall be defined and integrated into the probability of loss of the aircraft.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Definition of the required aircraft performance envelope;

b. Definition of the required aircraft safety levels and safety requirements of enabling systems;

c. Development of Test & Acceptance Plans or Validation & Verification Plan to record how the equipment, sub-system, system and aircraft level safety requirements are to be demonstrated;

d. Successful demonstration of achieved safety requirements (e.g. Test & Acceptance Report or Validation & Verification Report).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Document recording aircraft performance requirements (e.g. Top Level Aircraft Requirements Document);

2. Aircraft Loss Model;

3. Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA) / Failure Modes, Effects and Criticality Analysis (FMECA);

4. Test and & Acceptance Report or Validation & Verification Report.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG 2008 3.0, 4.0, 3.1, 4.1, 3.1.11-3.1.11.2, 4.1.11- 4.1.11.2 MIL-HDBK-516C 6.2.1.8 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 S 2.15 00-970 P1 S2.16 00-970 P1 S3.9 00-970 P1 S3.10 00-970 P1 S6.6 00-970 P1 S6.11 00-970 P5 UK25.671a 00-970 P7 L600 S3.5.1 00-970 P7 L600 S8.1.1. |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1309 4671.1329 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.141 - 23.257, 23.321- 23.459, 23.1501-23.1529, 25.143-25.255, 25.321-25.259, 25.689, 27.141-27.251, 27.321-27.247, 27.1501- 27.1529, 29.141-29.251, 29.321-29.427, 29.1501- 29.1529 CS 23 to CS 29 Clause 1309 |

6.2.1.9 Unmanned aircraft (UAV) unrestricted operation

For UAVs cleared for operation in unrestricted airspace, it shall be determined that no single failure of the UAV System can result in a degraded operational state, or unacceptable increase in the Probability of Loss of Aircraft (PLOA) or Probability of Loss of Control (PLOC).

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 125 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

Consideration should be given to:

a. Definition of the required UAV System performance envelope;

b. Definition of the required UAV System safety levels and safety requirements of enabling systems;

c. Development of Test & Acceptance Plans or Validation & Verification Plan to record how the equipment, sub-system, system and UAV System level safety requirements are to be demonstrated;

d. Successful demonstration of achieved safety requirements (e.g. Test & Acceptance Report or Validation & Verification Report).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Document recording aircraft performance requirements (e.g. Top Level Aircraft Requirements Document);

2. UAV System Loss Model;

3. Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA) / Failure Modes, Effects and Criticality Analysis (FMECA);

4. Test and & Acceptance Report or Validation & Verification Report.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-HDBK-516C 6.2.1.9 | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | 4671.1309 |
| | | Reference: | 4671.1329 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

6.2.1.10 UAS degraded operation.

For UAVs cleared for operation in restricted airspace, warning areas, maritime environments and combat zones, it shall be determined that no single failure of the UAV System can result in an unacceptably degraded operational state, or unacceptable increase in the Probability of Loss of Aircraft (PLOA) or Probability of Loss of Control (PLOC).

Consideration should be given to:

a. Definition of the required UAV System performance envelope;

b. Definition of the required UAV System safety levels and safety requirements of enabling systems;

c. Development of Test & Acceptance Plans or Validation & Verification Plan to record how the equipment, sub-system, system and UAV System level safety requirements are to be demonstrated;

d. Successful demonstration of achieved safety requirements (e.g. Test & Acceptance Report or Validation & Verification Report).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Document recording UAV System performance requirements (e.g. Top Level Aircraft Requirements Document);

2. UAV System Loss Model;

3. Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA) / Failure Modes, Effects and Criticality Analysis (FMECA);

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|--|
| | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 126 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-HDBK-516C 6.2.1.9 | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | 4671.1309 |
| | | Reference: | 4671.1329 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

6.2.2 VCF Safety of Design

6.2.2.1 Safety protection functions and devices.

VCF safety provisions (protection functions, devices, procedures, limitations) shall not adversely affect the safety of the aircraft.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Applicable standards (including software) to be agreed and verified
- b. Use of an appropriate system safety programme as detailed in Section 14;
- c. Compatibility with weapon systems;
- d. Safety provisions from both component and software induced faults;
- e. Acceptable probabilities of occurrence, to be agreed and verified for;
- i. Any failure condition that would prevent the continued safe flight and landing of the aircraft;

ii. Any other failure condition that would significantly reduce the capability of the aircraft or the ability of the flight crew to cope with adverse operating conditions.

f. Flight envelope protection for UAS.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) / Failure Modes, Effects and Criticality Analysis (FMECA) / Fault Tree Analysis (FTA) considering the effect of safety provisions, their probability of failure and effect of failure on the aircraft.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.1.5.3, 3.1.5.2, 3.1.5.4, 3.1.9, 3.1.11.1, 3.1.10, 3.1.11.1, 3.1.13 to 3.1.13.2, 3.1.16, 3.2.2.5.4.1, 3.2.4 thru 3.2.4.6, and associated section 4 paragraphs (Note: Unverified - no access to JSSG-2008) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 S2.16.41 00-970 P1 S2.16.42 00-970 P1 S3.10.48 00-970 P1 S3.10.86 00-970 P1 S6.2.35 00-970 P7 L700 S1.3 00-970 P7 L725 S4.1 00-970 P9 UK FW.1309b 00-970 P9 UK RW.1309a 00-970 P9 UK LFW.30a |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1309 (AMC.1309(b)) |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.141- 23.253, 25.21-25.255, 23.321- 23.459, 25.321-25.459, 23.1501-23.1529, 25.1501- | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1309 CS 25.1309 (AMC.1309) CS 27.1309 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 127 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| ſ | Information Sources | |
|---|---------------------|------------|
| | 25.1529 | CS 29.1309 |

6.2.2.2 Flight critical components.

Flight-critical VCF component design shall be demonstrably safe. This includes preventing degradation in VCF operation from environmental conditions; resisting the formation of fungi; ensuring VCF physical characteristics do not cause a single point failure by virtue of components design, interfaces nor integration of functions.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Environments conditions (including, humidity, temperature, pressure altitude), to be agreed and specified;

b. Avoiding pockets, traps, wells, etc., into which water, condensed moisture or other liquids would collect;

c. Ensuring adequate drain provision;

d. Ensuring drain location adequate to prevent formation of hazardous quantities of ice on the aircraft;

e. Any deleterious effects due to tightening or slackening resulting from differential expansion;

f. Providing sufficient clearance to ensure the efficient operation of all detail fittings, such as jacks, bearings, guides, fairleads, etc., to be agreed and verified;

g. Withstanding physical, induced, chemical, biological and nuclear stresses.

h. Wherever possible, avoid materials which expand appreciably with moisture for such parts as fairleads and washers.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) / Failure Modes, Effects and Criticality Analysis (FMECA) / Fault Tree Analysis (FTA) considering the VCF components, their probability of failure and effect of failure on the aircraft.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.1.14 thru 3.1.14.9, 4.1.14 thru 4.1.14.9, 3.1.15 thru 3.1.18, 4.1.15 thru 4.1.18, 3.2.3 thru 3.2.3.3, 4.2.3 thru 4.2.3.3, 3.4 thru 3.5.2, 4.4 thru 4.5.2 (Note: Unverified - no access to JSSG-2008) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 2.15 00-970 P1 3.9.24 00-970 P1 3.9.25 00-970 P1 3.9.31 00-970 P1 S6.2.35 00-970 P7 L700 S1.3 00-970 P7 L725 S4.1 00-970 P9 UK FW.1309b 00-970 P9 UK RW.1309a 00-970 P9 UK LFW.30a |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.603 4671.1309 4671.1329(g) |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.141- 23.253, 25.21-25.255, 23.321- 23.459, 25.321-25.459, 23.1501-23.1529, 25.1501- 25.1529 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.21 CS 23.141-23.257 CS 23.321-23.459 CS 23.603 CS 23.1309 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 128 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|------------------|
| | CS 23.1329(g) |
| | CS 25.21 |
| | CS 25.143-25.255 |
| | CS 25.321-25.459 |
| | CS 25.603 |
| | CS 25.1309 |
| | CS 25.1455 |
| | CS 27.21 |
| | CS 27.141-27.251 |
| | CS 27.321-27.427 |
| | CS 27.603 |
| | CS 27.1309 |
| | CS 29.21 |
| | CS 29.141-29.251 |
| | CS 29.321-29.427 |
| | CS 29.603 |
| | CS 29.1309 |

6.2.2.3 Pre-flight checklists.

Comprehensive and all-inclusive pre-flight checklists shall be established which are sufficient to determine the flight-worthiness of the VCF. This includes ensuring that pre-flight tests, diagnostics, redundancy, and monitoring includes all test sequences required to determine the status of the VCF and integrated systems prior to take-off. It shall also be possible to conduct tests and checklists in a safe manner, such as to preclude injury.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring that all redundant elements, failure detection and signal selection algorithms, etc., are correctly functioning;

b. The use of an automatic, or where unavoidable, pilot-interactive pre-flight test function;

c. Ensuring the use of built-in-test (BIT) does not degrade system performance;

d. Ensuring the time to complete pre-flight tests meets the specified requirements, to be agreed and verified (typically 30 seconds for a complete automatic end to end check of the VCF).

e. Identifying any need for physical and/or visual checks by the pilot or supporting ground crew and the time and effort that such physical/visual may take to be performed satisfactorily.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Design documentation comprehensively detailing the pre-flight checks that are mandated, the type of check (e.g. automatic failure detection, pilot action, visual check, etc) and a simple risk assessment for each check.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.0, 3.1, 3.1.12, 3.1.13, 3.1.13.1, 3.1.14.7, 3.2.2.2, 3.2.2.5, 3.2.2.5.1, 3.2.2.5.2, 3.2.2.5.3, 3.3.6.2, 3.7.1, 3.7.1.1, and associated section 4 paragraphs (Note: | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 2.16.49 00-970 P1 2.16.50 00-970 P1 3.9.54 00-970 P1 3.10.63 00-970 P1 3.10.69-3.10.71 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 129 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|---------------------------|---|------------|-----------------------------|
| Unverified - no access to | | | 00-970 P1 6.5.29-6.5.31 |
| | JSSG-2008) | STANAG | 4671.1329(j) (AMC.1329 (j)) |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.141- | EASA CS | |
| | 23.253, 25.21-25.255, 23.321- 23.459, 25.321-25.459, 23.1501-23.1529, 25.1501- 25.1529 | Reference: | |

6.2.2.4 Loss of function.

The effects of loss of VCF function(s) on aircraft safety shall be established. This includes ensuring the probability of any reasonable credible combination of failures of VCF function(s) are acceptably improbable.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Complete hazard analysis combined with failure modes and effects testing;

b. Acceptable probability of failure limits to be agreed and verified;

c. Where redundancy is employed special care shall be taken to eliminate sources of common-mode failure.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) / Failure Modes, Effects and Criticality Analysis (FMECA) / Fault Tree Analysis (FTA) considering the effect of loss of VCF functions, their probability of failure and effect of failure on the aircraft.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|---|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.0 thru 3.3.8, 4.0 thru 4.3.8 (Note: Unverified - no access to JSSG-2008) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG | 00-970 P1 2.16.40-2.16.42 00-970 P1 3.9.18 00-970 P1 3.10.28 00-970 P1 3.10.30 00-970 P1 3.10.94 00-970 P1 S6.2.35 00-970 P7 L700 S1.3 00-970 P7 L725 S4.1 00-970 P9 UK FW.1309b 00-970 P9 UK RW.1309a 00-970 P9 UK LFW.30a |
| | | Reference: | 4671.143-4671.253 4671.1309 4671.1329 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.141- 23.253, 25.21-25.255, 23.321- 23.459, 25.321-25.459, 23.1501-23.1529, 25.1501- 25.1529 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.21 CS 23.141-23.257 CS 23.672 CS 23.1309 CS 23.1329 CS 25.21 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 130 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|------------------|
| | CS 25.143-25.255 |
| | CS 25.672 |
| | CS 25.1309 |
| | CS 25.1329 |
| | CS 27.21 |
| | CS 27.141-27.251 |
| | CS 27.672 |
| | CS 27.1309 |
| | CS 27.1329 |
| | CS 29.21 |
| | CS 29.141-29.251 |
| | CS 29.672 |
| | CS 29.1309 |
| | CS 29.1329 |

6.2.2.5 Functional modes and limiters.

Control law limiters shall achieve the intended limiting for all VCF functions and protect the air crew and aircraft from unsafe flight. This includes ensuring that no VCF function shall induce conditions that defeat control law limiters throughout the flight envelope, and during the most adverse conditions the limiters function in.

Note that control law limiters may consist of structural limiters or filters, angle of attack and sideslip limiters, data input rate limiters, command limiters, data input max and min limiters, time limiters, persistence limiters, stale data limiters, and other limiters defined by the application at hand.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Establishing what limiters are used and where in the in the control scheme;

b. Any Structural Load Limiting (SLL) implemented in such a manner that the pilot may choose to exceed these limits in emergency.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

- 1. Aircraft control system design documentation.
- 2. Flight simulation and flight handling testing.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|---|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.0, 3.1, 3.1.5.2, 3.1.5.8, 3.1.5.9, 3.1.7.2, 3.1.7.3, 3.1.11, 3.1.11.2, 3.1.13.1, 3.1.13.3, 3.1.14, 3.1.14.7, 3.2.2.2.4, 3.2.2.2.5, 3.2.2.2.9, 3.2.2.2.11, 3.2.2.4, 3.2.2.5.1, 3.2.2.5.1.1 thru 3.2.2.5.1.4, 3.2.2.5.4.1, 3.2.2.6, 3.3.2.1, 3.3.6.2, and | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 3.9.32, 00-970 P1 3.10.104, 00-970 P1 4.10.8, 00-970 P1/5 S3 L28 Para 2 4671.375 4671.675 4671.1329 |
| | associated section 4 paragraphs (Note: Unverified - no access to JSSG-2008) | | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.141- | EASA CS | CS 23.21 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 131 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

6.2.2.6 Failure mode and effects.

VCF failure mode effects for critical manoeuvers and critical flight regions shall be demonstrably safe. This includes ensuring the probability of aircraft or crew loss, or loss of aircraft control resulting from effects at these critical flight regimes does not adversely affect safety.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Specified levels of safety to be agreed and verified.

b. Effects of failure from each function or probable combinations of functions conducted at critical flight regimes.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) / Failure Modes, Effects and Criticality Analysis (FMECA) / Fault Tree Analysis (FTA) considering the effect of loss of VCF functions through critical manoeuvres and flight regions, their probability of failure and effect of failure on the aircraft.

| Int | ormation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.0, 3.1, 3.1.5, 3.1.5.7, 3.1.5.8, 3.1.5.9, 3.1.9, 3.1.14, 3.2.1.3, 3.2.1.2, 3.2.2.2, 3.2.2.5, 3.2.2.5.4, 3.2.2.6, 3.3, | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 3.10.8 00-970 P1 3.10.9 00-970 P1 3.10.28 00-970 P1 3.10.30 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 132 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|----------|--|-----------------------|--|
| | and associated section 4 paragraphs (Note: Unverified - no access to JSSG-2008) | STANAG | 00-970 P1 S6.2.35 00-970 P7 L700 S1.3 00-970 P7 L725 S4.1 00-970 P9 UK FW.1309b 00-970 P9 UK RW.1309a 00-970 P9 UK LFW.30a 4671.1329 |
| | | Reference: | 101 11 1020 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.141- 23.253, 25.21-25.255, 23.321- 23.459, 25.321-25.459, 23.1501-23.1529, 25.1501- 25.1529 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.672, CS 23.1329 CS 25.672, CS 25.1329 CS 27.672, CS 27.1329 CS 29.672, CS 29.672, CS 29.1329 |

6.2.2.7 Environmental requirements.

VCF related installed equipment's shall be protected where necessary, and shall be safely and suitably designed for its intended environment. Any VCF related equipment's that require specific installation protection for the required environmental operating conditions shall be adequately protected.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Temperature and humidity.
- b. EMC/EMI and lightning; including bonding.
- c. Corrosion, fungal growths, and sand and dust ingress.
- d. Vibration and shock.
- e. Nuclear, biological, radiological, chemical, and laser weapons.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Analysis, test and review of documentation.

2. Definition of the expected environmental conditions at the equipment's location of installation, supported by testing as appropriate.

3. Qualification of the equipment for the expected environmental conditions at the equipment's location of installation, including testing as appropriate.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.1.14, 4.1.14, 3.4, 4.4, 3.5, 4.5(all) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 2.15.25 00-970 P1 3.9.24-3.9.25 00-970 P1 3.10.8-3.10.10 00-970 P1 3.10.11 00-970 P1 3.10.13 00-970 P1 4.27.7-4.27.25 00-970 P1 6.1.5 00-970 P1 6.2.40-6.2.60 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 6.10 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 133 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|-----------|--|-----------------------|---|
| | | | 00-970 P1 S9.11 00-970 P5 UK25.1301a - UK25.1316a 00-970 P7 Section 2 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | Supplement 6: Equipment 4671.867 4671.1309 4671.1431 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.141- 23.253, 25.21-25.255, 23.321- 23.459, 25.321-25.459, 23.1501-23.1529, 25.1501- 25.1529 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.867 CS 23.1309 CS 23.1431 CS 25.1309 CS 25.1316 CS 25.1431 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1309 CS 29.1431 |

6.2.2.8 Emergency procedures.

The aircraft VCF emergencies and their associated procedures shall be clearly related and recorded. It shall be demonstrated through testing that the emergency procedures are appropriate and safe and are documented in a location such that operators will be aware of them.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring that all identified emergencies have an appropriate emergency procedure.

b. Ensuring that the identified emergencies provide an appropriate level of detail.

c. Ensuring that the Test and Acceptance Plan provides adequate proof that the emergency procedures are appropriate and safe.

d. The level of detail and location of recording of the emergency procedures.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Design documentation and Aircrew Operating Manuals detailing the VCF emergencies and their associated procedures.

2. An aircraft Loss Model featuring the mitigating effect of aircrew emergency procedures and any considerations that could affect their effectiveness.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|---|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | ADS-51-HDBK ADS-33E-PRF Refer to Army Aviation technical point of contact for this discipline for specific guidance (listed in section A.2) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 3.10.4 00-970 P1 3.10.88 00-970 P1 3.10.89 4671.1309 4671.1412 4671.1483 4671.1485 |
| FAA Doc: | TBD: Refer to technical point of | EASA CS | CS 23.1309 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 134 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| <u>Ir</u> | formation Sources | | |
|-----------|--|------------|--|
| | contact for this discipline (listed in section A.2) | Reference: | CS 23.1581 CS 23.1585 CS 25.1309 CS 25.1581 CS 25.1585 CS 27.1309 CS 27.1581 CS 27.1585 CS 29.1309 CS 29.1585 |

6.2.2.9 Flight termination system.

Where a Flight Termination System (FTS) is installed and utilizes the flight control system it shall be verified that that the probability of an erroneous termination command leading to a Loss of Aircraft (LOA) and/or Loss of Control (LOC) is at least one hundred times less likely than the Probability of Loss of Control (PLOC) and is included in the PLOC calculations.

Consideration should be given to:

a. All sources of an erroneous termination command.

b. All design precautions/protections preventing an erroneous termination command from leading to a Loss of Aircraft.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) / Failure Modes, Effects and Criticality Analysis (FMECA) / Fault Tree Analysis (FTA) identifying the probability of an erroneous termination command.

2. Aircraft Loss Model integrating the probability of an erroneous termination command into the Probability of Loss of Control (PLOC) and/or Probability of Loss of Aircraft (PLOA).

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P9 UK FW.U1412a 00-970 P9 UK FW.U1412b 00-970 P9 UK RW.U1412a |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P9 UK RW.U1412b |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | |

6.2.3 VCF actuator safety

6.2.3.1 Redundancy management.

Actuator redundancy management shall be shown to adequately support the aircraft's compliance with flight handling qualities (see section 6.1) and safety.

Consideration should be given to:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 135 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

a. The timely and accurate switching between failed and redundant functions and the effect that any timedelay may have on the aircraft when operating in flight critical phases or when performing manoeuvres.b. The isolation of the failed function and any residual probability for the failure to further affect control.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) / Failure Modes, Effects and Criticality Analysis (FMECA) / Fault Tree Analysis (FTA) including the effect of function redundancy and redundancy management on flight handling qualities.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2001B: 3.1.4 MIL-HDBK-516C 6.2.3.1 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 S1.1.13 00-970 P1 S2.15.12 00-970 P1 S3.9.18 00-970 P7 L100 S9.1.1 00-970 P9 UK FW.1301b 00-970 P9 UK RW.1301a |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671 USAR.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1309 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1309 |

6.2.3.2 Failure detection and isolation.

The VCF actuation failure detection and isolation design shall be determined safe.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The timely and accurate detection and isolation of failed functions and the effect that any time-delay may have on the aircraft when operating in flight critical phases or when performing manoeuvres.b. The isolation of the failed function and any residual probability for the failure to further affect control.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) / Failure Modes, Effects and Criticality Analysis (FMECA) / Fault Tree Analysis (FTA) including the effect of function failure detection and isolation on flight handling qualities.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2001B: 3.1.4 MIL-HDBK-516C 6.2.3.2 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 S1.1.13 00-970 P1 S2.15.12 00-970 P1 S3.9.18 00-970 P7 L100 S9.1.1 00-970 P9 UK FW.1301b 00-970 P9 UK RW.1301a |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671 USAR.1309 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 136 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|--|
| FAA Doc: | CS 23.1309 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1309 |

6.2.3.3 Hydraulic contamination.

VCF actuation shall not be susceptible to hydraulic contamination effects, and shall not cause loss of actuation with subsequent loss of control.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Contamination of hydraulic systems through various sources including:

i. Designated system filling points (e.g. reservoirs);

ii. Relative movement of hydraulic connectors;

iii. Broken, cracked and/or punctured seals;

iv. Damage to hydraulic system parts (e.g. pitting of linear actuator cylinders)

b. The performance of filtration systems (if any) and the build-up of contamination in hydraulic parts over time.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) / Failure Modes, Effects and Criticality Analysis (FMECA) / Fault Tree Analysis (FTA) including the likelihood and effect of hydraulic system contamination on flight handling qualities.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009A: B.3.4.2.1.6 MIL-HDBK-516C 6.2.3.3, 8.1 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 S4.12.36 00-970 P1 S6.11.52 00-970 P7 L704 S13.1 00-970 P9 UK FW.1301b |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P9 UK RW.1301a 4671 USAR.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1309 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1309 |

6.2.3.4 Bottoming and snubbing.

Bottoming of linear actuators shall be prevented. Snubbing of linear actuators shall be designed within tolerable limits.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The full range of linear actuator displacement that can occur in normal, extreme and failure conditions, taking account of deformation/deflection of aircraft structure and control surfaces as appropriate.

b. The range of actuator displacements where snubbing is to occur and the types of force required for the appropriate snubbing characteristics (for example the combination of Coulomb Friction, Viscous Friction and Stribeck Friction sources).

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 137 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Mechanical and Kinematic analysis of the linear actuator's assembly demonstrating that bottoming cannot occur through the assembly's full range of motion, and that snubbing characteristics are appropriate. Where appropriate, this should include combinations of deflections due to in-service loads.

2. Actuator design documentation detailing the range of linear displacement of the actuator and snubbing characteristics.

3. Rig, assembly and aircraft testing demonstrating the correct prevention of bottoming and appropriateness of snubbing characteristics.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-HDBK-516C 6.2.3.4, 8.1 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 S4.12.36 00-970 P1 S6.11.52 00-970 P7 L704 S13.1 00-970 P9 UK FW.1301b |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P9 UK RW.1301a 4671 USAR.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1309 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1309 |

6.2.3.5 Environmental requirements.

The actuation system shall be demonstrably safe and shall not permit unsafe VCF actuation. This includes ensuring VCF performance / stability is not degraded beyond specified operational limits under all specified conditions (e.g., burst pressure, normal performance, high and low temperature, pressure impulses) and environments.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Specified operational limits, to be agreed and verified;
- b. The most adverse environmental conditions, to be agreed and verified;
- c. The probability of loss of the actuation system, to be agreed and verified;
- d. The use of pneumatic actuation devices;

e. The use of electrically powered actuators, including electro-hydrostatic actuators and electro-mechanical actuation and electric power used to actuate relatively low-duty cycle;

f. Employing control actuation redundancy.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) / Failure Modes, Effects and Criticality Analysis (FMECA) / Fault Tree Analysis (FTA) including considerations of environmental effects and failure of necessary components (e.g. radiators, cooling fans, heat exchangers etc).

Calculations (e.g. Computation Fluid Dynamics, hand calculations etc as appropriate) of fluid-dynamics effects such as surge due to valve opening/closing, pump start-up/shut-down, etc on the working fluid's properties (pressure, volume, flow rate, temperature, viscosity, etc), and the acceptability of this variation.
 Stress analysis (e.g. Finite Element Analysis, hand calculations etc as appropriate) of the actuation

3. Stress analysis (e.g. Finite Element Analysis, hand calculations etc as appropriate) of the actuation system components including pipes, hoses, unions and equipment.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 138 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.0, 4.0, 3.1, 4.1, 3.1.5.6, 4.1.5.6, 3.1.14.1, 4.1.14.1, 3.1.14.3, 4.1.14.3, 3.2.2.1, 4.2.2.1 (Note: Unverified - no access to | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.11 00-970 P1 6.12 00-970 P7 L703 00-970 P7 L704 |
| | JSSG-2008) | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.141- 23.253, 25.21-25.255, 23.321- 23.459, 25.321-25.459, 23.1501-23.1529, 25.1501- 25.1529 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1309 CS 23.1435 CS 23.1438 CS 25.1309 CS 25.1435 CS 25.1436 CS 27.1309 CS 27.1435 CS 29.1309 CS 29.1309 CS 29.1435 |

6.2.3.6 Motor/torque tube driven and rotary actuators.

Motor, torque tube driven and other rotary actuators shall be determined to be safe.

Note that the other requirements of this section also apply to rotary actuators.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Redundancy management (see 6.2.3.1);

b. Failure detection and isolation from the system. Note that this includes functional/mechanical isolation of the failed component (i.e. fail-safe design) and isolation of the failed component from the power supply system (see 6.2.3.2);

- c. Contamination (see 6.2.3.3);
- d. Exceedance of actuator limits (see 6.2.3.4);
- e. Environmental requirements (6.2.3.5);
- f. Requirements for surface rate, hinge moment and stiffness (see 6.2.3.7); and,

g. Physical constraints and appropriate limits (see 6.2.3.8)

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) / Failure Modes, Effects and Criticality Analysis (FMECA) / Fault Tree Analysis (FTA) including the likelihood and effect of failure of rotary actuators.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG 2008: Sections 3.0, 4.0, 3.1, 4.1, 3.1.5.6, 4.1.5.6, 3.1.5.7, 4.1.5.7, 3.1.9, 4.1.9, 3.1.11, 4.1.11, 3.1.11.1, 4.1.11.1, 3.1.11.1, 4.1.11.1.1, 3.1.12, 4.1.12, 3.1.14.1, 4.1.14.1, 3.1.14.3, 4.1.14.3, 3.2.2.1, 4.2.2.1 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | Def Stan 00-970, Part 1 Sec 3.10.26, Part 1 Sec 6.11.80, Part 1 Section 1.1.13, Part 1 Section 2.15.12, Part 1 Section 3.9.18, Part 7 Leaflet 100 Section 9.1.1, Part 9 UK FW.1301b, Part 9 UK RW.1301a |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 139 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--|----------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671 USAR.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | | | CS 23.1309, 25.1309, 27.1309, 29.1309 |

6.2.3.7 Surface rate, hinge moment and stiffness.

Surface rate and hinge moments for VCF actuation shall not adversely affect aircraft control throughout the combined range of attainable angles of attack (both positive and negative) and sideslip in both normal and failure conditions. This includes ensuring no actuator hinge moments or blowback can cause a departure, loss of control or pilot coupling, under all specified flight, environmental and load conditions.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The most adverse combination of flight, environment and load conditions, to be agreed and verified.

b. Deep stall trim conditions;

c. All manoeuvring;

d. Factors such as pilot strength, regions of control-surface-fixed instability, inertial coupling, fuel slosh, the influence of symmetric and asymmetric stores, stall/post-stall/ spin characteristics, atmospheric disturbances and Aircraft Failure States;

e. Failure transients and manoeuvering flight appropriate to the Failure State;

f. The degree of effectiveness and certainty of operation of limiters, e.g. control malfunction or mismanagement, and transients from failures in the propulsion, flight control and other relevant systems; g. All configuration including Stores (symmetric and asymmetric).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Identification of maximum loads on each control surface and on each hinge and actuator using calculations (hand calculations, Computational Fluid Dynamics, Finite Element Analysis, etc) and testing (wind-tunnel mock-up, ground testing, flight testing, etc), considering normal and failure conditions.

2. Assessment of the effect of loads on structure using calculations (hand calculations, Finite Element Analysis, etc), and testing (coupon, sub-assembly and assembly testing) as appropriate.

3. Where surface rates are appreciably affected, evidence (e.g. flight handling tests) demonstrating that the reduced rate does not affect flight safety, and incorporation of the effect of the reduced rates into the aircraft loss model.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.1.5.6 thru 3.1.5.7, 4.1.5.6 thru 4.1.5.7, 3.2.2.1, 4.2.2.1, 3.2.1, 4.2.1, 3.2.1.1, 4.2.1.1 (Note: Unverified - no access to JSSG-2008) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 3.4.13 00-970 P1 3.9.9 00-970 P1 4.10.8 00-970 P1 S3.9.31 00-970 P7 L203 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.141- 23.253, 25.21-25.255, 23.321- 23.459, 25.321-25.459, 23.1501-23.1529, 25.1501- 25.1529 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.21 CS 23.141-23.257 CS 23.321-23.459 CS 23.657 CS 23.1501-23.1529 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 140 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|--------------------|
| | CS 25.21 |
| | CS 25.143-25.255 |
| | CS 25.321-25.459 |
| | CS 25.651 |
| | CS 25.657 |
| | CS 25.1501-23.1529 |
| | CS 27.21 |
| | CS 27.141-27.251 |
| | CS 27.321-27.427 |
| | CS 27.1501-27.1529 |
| | CS 29.21 |
| | CS 29.141-29.251 |
| | CS 29.321-29.427 |
| | CS 29.1501-29.1529 |

6.2.3.8 Physical constraints.

Each flight control surface and/or flight control actuator shall be adequately constrained to limit the range of motion to within the limits considered in the design of the surface and actuator.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The appropriate use of stops, including physical stops on the control surface/actuator(s) and/or stops built into the design of the actuation system (e.g. limit switches and/or software limits).

b. The strength of stops to withstand the loads that could be reacted during normal and failure conditions.

c. The load that can be reacted through the control system and through the pilot's controls before the limit is reached and the system's ability to react those loads without failure.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Mechanical analysis demonstrating the control surfaces' acceptable range of motion including the forces reacted by the control system and by the stops (where used).

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 S3.9.32 00-970 P7 L203 S4.2 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671 USAR.655 4671 USAR.675 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.655 CS 23.675 CS 25.655 CS 25.675 CS 27.675 CS 29.675 |

6.2.4 VCF air data safety

6.2.4.1 Accuracy and tolerances.

The accuracy and tolerance of the air data system shall be considered in the assessment of the aircraft's safety.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 141 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

Consideration should be given to:

a. The air data types and sources whose integrity could impact the safety of the aircraft, including (but not limited to):

- i. Dynamic pressure;
- ii. Static pressure;
- iii. Altitude;
- iv. Angle of attack;
- v. Angle of side-slip;
- vi. Mach number.

b. The probability of sensor failure or other source of data corruption (e.g. Electro-Magnetic Interference, blockage/occlusion of sensor, etc);

c. Redundancy or other duplication of sensors, and voting parameters, and its effect on the overall probability of failure;

d. The probability of and effect of failure of air data both in isolation and concurrently with other air data failures; and,

e. The probability of and effect of degradation of air data both in isolation and concurrently with other air data degradations.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) / Failure Modes, Effects and Criticality Analysis (FMECA) / Fault Tree Analysis (FTA) considering the probability and effect of loss and/or degradation of air data on the safety of the aircraft.

| Info | ormation Sources | | |
|--------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 S2.15.21 00-970 P1 S2.15.27 |
| | | Norchenee. | 00-970 P1 S2.15.28 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 S6.3.6 - 6.3.12 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 S6.10 |
| | | | 00-970 P5 UK25.1301d |
| | | | 00-970 P7 L725 S4.1 |
| | | | 00-970 P9 UK FW.1301b |
| | | | 00-970 P9 UK FW.1309b |
| | | STANAG | 4671 USAR.1309 |
| | | Reference: | 4671 USAR.1323 |
| | | | 4671 USAR.1325 |
| | | | 4671 USAR.1327 |
| | | | 4671 USAR.1337 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.1309 |
| | | Reference: | CS 23.1323 |
| | | | CS 23.1325 |
| | | | CS 23.1327 |
| | | | CS 23.1337 |
| | | | CS 25.1309 |
| | | | CS 25.1323 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 142 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|------------|
| | CS 25.1325 |
| | CS 25.1327 |
| | CS 25.1329 |
| | CS 25.1337 |
| | CS 27.1309 |
| | CS 27.1323 |
| | CS 27.1325 |
| | CS 27.1327 |
| | CS 27.1337 |
| | CS 29.1309 |
| | CS 29.1323 |
| | CS 29.1325 |
| | CS 29.1327 |
| | CS 29.1337 |

6.2.4.2 Integration.

Air data sensors shall be integrated safely in the design of the aircraft. Air data parameters from any single source or combination of sources shall be verified for accuracy prior to being transmitted, displayed to flight crew or utilised by the aircraft in any autonomous function.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Appropriate means of data verification. Such verification may include combinations of (note that this list is not exhaustive and the verification means will depend on aircraft requirements and sensor type):

i. Value limits (i.e. setting boundaries for possible limits of air data parameters);

ii. Limits on rate-of-change (i.e. identifying sensors as degraded/failed if they report a value that changes too quickly);

iii. Redundancy and voting (i.e. using multiple sensors and identifying degraded/failed sensors when a sensor reports a value different to others);

iv. Self-checking (i.e. intermittently forcing a sensor to read a known value and highlighting the sensor degraded/failed if a different value is read);

v. Other forms of Built-in-Test (BIT) as appropriate.

b. The demonstrated integrity of sensors, recorded through empirical means.

c. The Integrity of the systems interpreting the air data and verifying its accuracy.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) / Failure Modes, Effects and Criticality Analysis (FMECA) / Fault Tree Analysis (FTA) considering the probability and effect of loss and/or degradation of air data on the safety of the aircraft.

| Information Sources | | |
|---------------------|--|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | 00-970 P1 S2.15.21 00-970 P1 S2.15.27 00-970 P1 S2.15.28 00-970 P1 S6.3.6 - 6.3.12 00-970 P1 S6.10 00-970 P5 UK25.1301d |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 143 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | | |
|---------------------|------------|-----------------------|
| | | 00-970 P7 L725 S4.1 |
| | | 00-970 P9 UK FW.1301b |
| | | 00-970 P9 UK FW.1309b |
| | STANAG | 4671 USAR.1309 |
| | Reference: | 4671 USAR.1323 |
| | | 4671 USAR.1325 |
| | | 4671 USAR.1327 |
| | | 4671 USAR.1337 |
| FAA Doc: | EASA CS | CS 23.1309 |
| | Reference: | CS 23.1323 |
| | | CS 23.1325 |
| | | CS 23.1327 |
| | | CS 23.1337 |
| | | CS 25.1309 |
| | | CS 25.1323 |
| | | CS 25.1325 |
| | | CS 25.1327 |
| | | CS 25.1329 |
| | | CS 25.1337 |
| | | CS 27.1309 |
| | | CS 27.1323 |
| | | CS 27.1325 |
| | | CS 27.1327 |
| | | CS 27.1337 |
| | | CS 29.1309 |
| | | CS 29.1323 |
| | | CS 29.1325 |
| | | CS 29.1327 |
| | | CS 29.1337 |
| | | |

6.2.4.3 Ground provisions.

The effective ability for ground crew to verify the state of air data systems, and their safety while doing so, shall be assured.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ground crew access to provisions for Built-in-Test Equipment (BITE) and wiring and components for fault isolation;

b. Protection for ground crew from the elements and any other environmental hazards;

c. Isolation of power supply systems (electric, hydraulic, pneumatic, etc) to prevent potentially hazardous situations;

d. Procedures, processes or equipment to prevent damage to personnel and equipment.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Design documentation demonstrating acceptable access and safety provisions.

2. Aircraft Maintenance Manual identifying the processes and procedures for the effective and safe access by ground crew.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 144 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | ormation Sources | | |
|--------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 S4.4.7 |
| | | Reference: | 00-970 P1 L800 S9.1 |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

6.2.4.4 Ice prevention.

Air data sensors shall be provided with sufficient ice prevention means to prevent the build-up of moisture and ice. The ice prevention means shall ensure that air data sensors are not degraded by ice accumulation in all environments that the aircraft is cleared to operate in.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The environmental conditions in which the aircraft is cleared to operate that affect ice accretion (most notably humidity, temperature and airspeed). This should also include those conditions that the aircraft is not cleared to operate, but may encounter regardless (e.g. transient flight conditions).

b. Built-in-Test (BIT) and other fault finding means to ensure that ice prevention means are operating correctly.

c. The design of air data sensors to ensure that moisture is prevented (e.g. prevention of moisture traps).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Analysis and accompanying test documentation demonstrating that moisture and ice accretion is suitably prevented throughout a suitable range of environmental conditions.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG 2001B 3.2.2 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 S2.15.21 00-970 P1 S2.15.27 00-970 P1 S2.15.27 00-970 P1 S2.15.28 00-970 P1 S6.3.6 - 6.3.12 00-970 P1 S6.10 00-970 P1 S7.2.2 00-970 P1 S7.2.9 00-970 P5 UK25.1301d 00-970 P5 UK25.1419a 00-970 P5 UK25.1419a 00-970 P7 L711 00-970 P7 L725 S4.1 00-970 P9 UK FW.1301b 00-970 P9 UK FW.1309b |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671 USAR.1309 4671 USAR.1323 4671 USAR.1325 |
| | | | 4671 USAR.1325 4671 USAR.1327 4671 USAR.1337 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 145 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| | | 4671 USAR.1419 |
| Information Sources FAA Doc: | EASA CS Reference: | 4671 USAR.1419 CS 23.1309 CS 23.1323 CS 23.1325 CS 23.1327 CS 23.1327 CS 23.1337 CS 23.1419 CS 25.1309 CS 25.1323 CS 25.1325 CS 25.1325 CS 25.1327 CS 25.1327 CS 25.1329 CS 25.1329 CS 25.1337 CS 25.1419 CS 25.1419 CS 25.1420 CS 27.1309 CS 27.1323 |
| | | CS 27.1325 CS 27.1327 CS 27.1337 CS 27.1419 CS 29.1309 CS 29.1323 CS 29.1325 CS 29.1327 CS 29.1337 CS 29.1419 |

6.2.4.5 Safety provisions.

Adequate safety provisions shall be provided concerning the aircraft's air data system(s).

Consideration should be given to:

a. The provision of the following:

i. In-flight monitoring of the air data health and annunciation of integrity to the operator/crew when appropriate.

ii. Mitigation or accommodation for shorting or opening of power wires that removes electrical power.

iii. Mitigation or accommodation for loss of the mounting structure such as a radome that takes out more than one probe at a time.

iv. Alternate methods for air data to compensate for loss of air data.

v. Provisions to handle possible bird strikes.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Design documentation, analysis and testing (as appropriate) demonstrating the safety of the air data system installation(s).

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | |
|-------------|-------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 146 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Info | ormation Sources | | |
|--------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|
| DoD/MIL Doc: | - | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 S2.15.21 |
| | | Reference: | 00-970 P1 S2.15.27 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 S2.15.28 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 S6.3.6 - 6.3.12 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 S6.10 |
| | | | 00-970 P5 UK25.1301d |
| | | | 00-970 P7 L725 S4.1 |
| | | | 00-970 P9 UK FW.1301b |
| | | | 00-970 P9 UK FW.1309b |
| | | STANAG | 4671 USAR.1309 |
| | | Reference: | 4671 USAR.1323 |
| | | | 4671 USAR.1325 |
| | | | 4671 USAR.1327 |
| | | | 4671 USAR.1337 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.1309 |
| | | Reference: | CS 23.1323 |
| | | | CS 23.1325 |
| | | | CS 23.1327 |
| | | | CS 23.1337 |
| | | | CS 25.1309 |
| | | | CS 25.1323 |
| | | | CS 25.1325 |
| | | | CS 25.1327 |
| | | | CS 25.1329 |
| | | | CS 25.1337 |
| | | | CS 27.1309 |
| | | | CS 27.1323 |
| | | | CS 27.1325 |
| | | | CS 27.1327 |
| | | | CS 27.1337 |
| | | | CS 29.1309 |
| | | | CS 29.1323 |
| | | | CS 29.1325 |
| | | | CS 29.1327 |
| | | | CS 29.1337 |

6.2.5 VCF control law safety

6.2.5.1 Flight envelope.

Control laws incorporated in the VCF shall be demonstrably safe, and shall provide levels of performance as stated in the aircraft specification. The probability of loss (if gain or phase margins which results in an unrecoverable aircraft condition) shall be significantly less than the required probability for loss of the aircraft due to control system failure.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Operating in turbulence;

b. All predictable variations in system operating conditions, aircraft configurations and flight envelope;

| Edition Number: 3.1 Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 147 /675 |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
|---|------------------|----------------------|

c. Ensuring all flight control laws are defined in unambiguous Flight Requirements Document (FRD) or Software Requirements Statement (SRS);

d. Appropriate control law strategies to recover from unusual attitudes, or from intentional manoeuvres which involve transition through a period of low or negative airspeed;

e. Using the minimum number of sensor derived feedbacks;

f. Using the most rugged sensors for primary feedbacks essential to continued safe flight;

g. Conditions of full and partial constraint (e.g., undercarriage restraint).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Design documentation supported by flight simulation and flight testing where appropriate that demonstrates the acceptable handling of the aircraft throughout the aircraft's defined operating conditions, aircraft configurations and flight envelope.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.1, 3.1.5.2, 3.1.5.5, 3.1.5.7, 3.1.8, 3.1.11.6, 3.1.11.8, 3.1.13, 3.1.14.8, 3.1.16, 3.1.17, 3.1.18, 3.2.2.1, 3.2.2.4, 3.2.2.5.2, 3.2.2.5.4 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 3.10.33-3.10.46 00-970 P1 3.10.81 00-970 P5 UK25.302a 00-970 P7 L207 |
| | thru 3.2.2.5.4.5, 3.2.2.6, 3.3.1, 3.3.4, 3.3.5, 3.3.7, and associated section 4 paragraphs (Note: Unverified - no access to JSSG-2008) | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1329 4671 USAR.141 4671 USAR.U282 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.141- 23.253, 25.21-25.255, 23.321- 23.459, 25.321-25.459, 23.1501-23.1529, 25.1501- 25.1529 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.21 CS 23.141-23.257 CS 23.672 CS 23.1329 CS 25.21 CS 25.143-25.255 CS 25.672 CS 25.672 CS 25.1329 CS 27.21 CS 27.141-27.251 CS 27.672 CS 27.1329 CS 29.21 CS 29.141-29.251 CS 29.672 CS 29.672 CS 29.1329 |

6.2.5.2 Nonlinearities.

Functional control nonlinearities shall not preclude safety-of-flight (SOF). This includes ensuring aggregate nonlinearities of all interfaces and computational paths do not induce departure, loss of control or pilot coupling.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Gain margin to be agreed and verified (typically not worse than 6 db);

b. Phase margin to be agreed and verified (typically not worse than 45 degrees);

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 148 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

- c. Mechanical, electrical, hydraulic, digital and analogue interface nonlinearities;
- d. Avoiding oversensitivity or sluggishness in response.

1. Design documentation supported by flight simulation and flight testing where appropriate that demonstrates the acceptable handling of the aircraft and prevention of non-linearities affecting safety of flight.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.2.2.5.4 thru 3.2.2.5.4.5, 4.2.2.5.4 thru 4.2.2.5.4.5 (Note: Unverified - no access to JSSG-2008) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 2.17.29 00-970 P1 2.22.6 00-970 P1 2.22.15 00-970 P1 3.10.38 00-970 P1 3.10.59 00-970 P5 UK25.302a 00-970 P7 L207 |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.141- 23.253, 25.21-25.255, 23.321- 23.459, 25.321-25.459, 23.1501-23.1529, 25.1501- 25.1529 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.21 CS 23.141-23.257 CS 23.321-23.459 CS 25.21 CS 25.143-25.255 CS 25.321-25.459 CS 27.21 CS 27.321-27.427 CS 29.21, CS 29.141-29.251 CS 29.321-29.427 |

6.2.5.3 Transients.

Control law transients for gain and mode changes shall not exceed specified limits such as to preclude safety-of flight (SOF). This includes ensuring that where changes of control law (mode) can occur in flight, either automatically or by air crew selection, they shall incur minimum disturbance to controlled flight.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Normal or lateral acceleration limits, to be agreed and verified (typically 0.05g);

b. Roll rate limits, to be agreed and verified (typically up to 5 deg/sec roll rate (recommended is 3 deg/sec));

c. Sideslip limits, to be agreed and verified (typically 5 degrees of sideslip or a period of 2 seconds);

- d. Pitch force, to be agreed and verified (typically <20 lb);
- e. Roll force, to be agreed and verified (typically 10lb);
- f. Yaw force, to be agreed and verified (typically 10lb);
- g. Stability margins, to be agreed and verified (typically 25% sensitivity changes);
- h. Worst case conditions as well as nominal flight conditions.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 149 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

1. Design documentation supported by flight simulation and flight testing where appropriate that demonstrates the acceptable handling of the aircraft through control law transients.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|---|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.1, 3.1.2, 3.1.2.1, 3.1.3, 3.1.5, 3.1.5.1, 3.1.5.2, 3.1.5.4, 3.1.5.5, 3.1.5.7, 3.1.5.8, 3.1.7, 3.1.7.2, 3.1.7.3, 3.1.9, 3.1.10, 3.1.11, 3.1.11.2, 3.1.11.4, 3.1.11.5, 3.1.11.6, 3.1.11.9, 3.1.11.10, 3.1.11.11.2, 3.1.11.11.3, 3.1.12, 3.1.12.1, 3.1.13.1, 3.1.13.2, 3.1.14.2.2, 3.1.14.2.4, 3.2.2.1, 3.2.2.2, 3.2.2.5, 3.3 thru 3.3.4, 3.3.6, 3.3.6.2, and associated section 4 paragraphs (Note: Unverified - no access to JSSG-2008) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 2.8.15 00-970 P1 3.10.33 00-970 P1 3.10.38 00-970 P1 3.10.41 00-970 P1 3.10.81 00-970 P5 UK25.302a 00-970 P7 L207 4671 USAR.141 4671 USAR.141 4671 USAR.U282 4671.1329 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.141- 23.253, 25.21-25.255, 23.321- 23.459, 25.321-25.459, 23.1501-23.1529, 25.1501- 25.1529 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.672 CS 23.1329 CS 25.672 CS 25.1329 CS 27.672 CS 27.1329 CS 29.672 CS 29.672 CS 29.1329 CS 23.21 CS 23.21 CS 23.141-23.257 CS 23.321-23.459 CS 25.21 CS 25.143-25.255 CS 25.321-25.459 CS 27.21 CS 27.141-27.251 CS 27.321-27.427 CS 29.21 CS 29.141-29.251 CS 29.321-29.427 |

6.2.5.4 Redundancy and failure management.

All aircraft control systems shall be assessed to identify those whose failure could affect the flying qualities of the aircraft. These systems shall then be analysed to identify their failure modes and subsequent effects. All such failure modes that could lead to unacceptable flying qualities shall be further analysed to ensure that they do not fail in an undetected or latent manner, and that they do not suffer unannounced faults.

Consideration should be given to:

| Edition Number: 3.1 Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 150 /675 |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
|---|------------------|----------------------|

- a. Recording justification for those systems considered not to affect the aircraft's flying qualities.
- b. Component and system testing.
- c. Aircraft ground and flight testing.

1. Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) / Failure Modes, Effects and Criticality Analysis (FMECA) / Fault Tree Analysis (FTA) considering the effect of redundancy and failure management on the overall safety of the aircraft.

| Inf | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.1.8, 3.1.9 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 2.1.38 00-970 P1 2.15 00-970 P1 2.16.16 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 2.16.42 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 6.2.35 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 6.5.46-6.5.49 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 6.6.2 |
| | | | 00-970 P5 UK25.302a |
| | | | 00-970 P7 L207 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.141-4671.253 4671.321-4671.459 |
| | | | 4671.1501-4671.1529 |
| | | | 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.141- 23.253, 25.21-25.255, 23.321- | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.141-23.253 CS 23.321-23.459 |
| | 23.459, 25.321-25.459, | | CS 23.1309 |
| | 23.1501-23.1529, 25.1501- 25.1529 | | CS 23.1501-23.1529 |
| | 2011020 | | CS 25.105 |
| | | | CS 25.143-25.255 |
| | | | CS 25.321-25.459 |
| | | | CS 25.1309 |
| | | | CS 25.1501-25.1533 |
| | | | CS 27.141-27.251 |
| | | | CS 27.321-27.427 |
| | | | CS 27.1309 CS 27.1501-27.1529 |
| | | | CS 29.141-29.251 |
| | | | CS 29.321-29.427 |
| | | | CS 29.1309 |
| | | | CS 29.1501-29.1529 |

6.2.5.5 Aerodynamic and air data uncertainty.

The envelope for each aerodynamic configuration shall be clearly established; and a sensitivity study shall be performed to determine the error bounds of the envelope beyond which unsafe handling characteristics would be apparent. The actual air data errors, or variations from actual pressures, shall be determined within each envelope for each dependant system. An analysis shall be performed to ensure that the two sets of data do not overlap leading to unsafe handling characteristics.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 151 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Displayed air data.
- b. Computed air data.

c. Systems using the computed air data. These could include flight control systems, aerodynamic configuration systems, trim and auto feel systems.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) / Failure Modes, Effects and Criticality Analysis (FMECA) / Fault Tree Analysis (FTA) considering the effect of air data errors/uncertainties on the overall safety of the aircraft.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: TBD: Refer to technical point of contact for this discipline (listed in section A.2) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 2.4 00-970 P1 2.5 00-970 P1 2.6 00-970 P1 2.7 00-970 P1 2.8 00-970 P1 2.10 00-970 P1 2.15.27 00-970 P1 6.3 00-970 P5 UK25.302a 00-970 P7 L207 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671 USAR.141 4671 USAR.U282 4671.1323 - 1325 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFD References: TBD: Refer to technical point of contact for this discipline (listed in section A.2) | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1323-23.1326 CS 25.1323-25.1326 |

6.2.5.6 Time delays.

The aircraft VCF shall not be adversely affected by time delays.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Signal or data synchronisation issues.
- b. Signal or data latency issues.
- c. The use and applicability of open architectures.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) / Failure Modes, Effects and Criticality Analysis (FMECA) / Fault Tree Analysis (FTA) considering the effect of time delays on the overall safety of the aircraft.

| Information Sources | | |
|---------------------|----------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | ADS-51-HDBK ADS-33E-PRF | 00-970 P1 3.10.2 00-970 P1 3.10.11-3.10.13 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 152 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|----------|-------------------------------------|------------|---------------------------|
| | Refer to Army Aviation | | 00-970 P1 3.10.32 |
| | technical point of contact for | | 00-970 P1 3.10.47-3.10.53 |
| | this discipline for specific | | 00-970 P1 3.10.79-3.10.93 |
| | guidance (listed in section A.2) | | 00-970 P5 UK25.302a |
| | | | 00-970 P7 L207 |
| | | STANAG | 4671 USAR.141 |
| | | Reference: | 4671 USAR.U282 |
| | | | 4671.685 |
| | | | 4671.1309 |
| | | | 4671.1431 |
| | | | 4671.1481 |
| FAA Doc: | TBD: Refer to technical point of | EASA CS | CS 23.1309 |
| | contact for this discipline (listed | Reference: | CS 25.1309 |
| | in section A.2) | | CS 27.1309 |
| | | | CS 29.1309 |

6.2.5.7 Autonomous modes.

The autonomous modes within the VCF shall be assessed to be safe.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Operating in turbulence;

b. All predictable variations in system operating conditions, aircraft configurations and flight envelope;

c. Ensuring all flight control laws are defined in unambiguous Flight Requirements Document (FRD) or Software Requirements Statement (SRS);

d. Appropriate control law strategies to recover from unusual attitudes, or from intentional manoeuvres which involve transition through a period of low or negative airspeed;

e. Using the minimum number of sensor derived feedbacks;

f. Using the most rugged sensors for primary feedbacks essential to continued safe flight;

g. Conditions of full and partial constraint (e.g., undercarriage restraint).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Design documentation supported by flight simulation and flight testing where appropriate that demonstrates the acceptable handling of the aircraft throughout the aircraft's defined operating conditions, aircraft configurations and flight envelope.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.141- 23.253, 25.21-25.255, 23.321- 23.459, 25.321-25.459, 23.1501-23.1529, 25.1501- 25.1529 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.1, 3.1.5.2, 3.1.5.5, 3.1.5.7, 3.1.8, 3.1.11.6, 3.1.11.8, 3.1.13, 3.1.14.8, 3.1.16, 3.1.17, 3.1.18, 3.2.2.1, 3.2.2.4, 3.2.2.5.2, 3.2.2.5.4 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 3.10.33-46 00-970 P1 3.10.81 00-970 P5 UK25.302a 00-970 P7 L207 |
| | thru 3.2.2.5.4.5, 3.2.2.6, 3.3.1, 3.3.4, 3.3.5, 3.3.7, and associated section 4 | STANAG Reference: | STANAG 4671 USAR.141, 4671 USAR.U282, 4671.1329 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 153 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|----------|---|------------|-------------------------|
| | paragraphs (Note: Unverified - no access to JSSG-2008) | | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | EASA CS 23.21, 23.141- |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | | 25.255, 25.672, 25.1329 |
| | | | EASA CS 27.21, 27.141- |
| | | | 27.251, 27.672, 27.1329 |
| | | | EASA CS 29.21, 29.141- |
| | | | 29.251, 29.672, 29.1329 |

6.2.6 VCF pilot vehicle interface (PVI) safety

6.2.6.1 Crew commands.

VCF command control elements, shall be demonstrably safe for the entire range of aircraft and air crew responses. This includes ensuring component functional characteristics are defined and do not to induce a departure or loss of control.

Consideration should be given to:

a. All flight phases;

b. All VCF command control elements which transmit crew control commands or generate and/or convey commands;

c. Altitudes up to the maximum expected in operation;

d. Mechanical, analogue and electrical component functional characteristics;

e. Compatibility between mechanical and non-mechanical components;

f. Ensuring each control operates easily, smoothly and positively enough to allow proper performance of its functions.

g. Cable systems.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Design documentation supported by flight simulation and flight testing where appropriate that demonstrates the acceptable handling of the aircraft and air crew feedback and response throughout the aircraft's defined operating conditions, aircraft configurations and flight envelope.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: para 3.1.1, 4.1.1, 3.1.11.10, 4.1.11.10, 3.1.11.11 thru 3.1.11.11.4, 4.1.11.11 thru 4.1.11.11.4, 3.2.2 thru 3.2.2.5.4, 4.2.2 thru 4.2.2.5.4 (Note: Unverified - no access to JSSG-2008) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 2.6.14 00-970 P1 2.8.12 00-970 P1 4.10.2 00-970 P5 UK25.397a 00-970 P5 UK25.397b 00-970 P7 L203 00-970 P7 L207 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.671 4671.1309 4671.1731 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.141- 23.253, 25.21-25.255, 23.321- | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.21 CS 23.141-23.257 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 154 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

| Information Sources | |
|--|---|
| Information Sources 23.459, 25.321-25.459, 23.1501-23.1529, 25.1501- 25.1529 | $\begin{array}{c} CS \ 23.321\mathcal{2}3.459\\ CS \ 23.671\\ CS \ 23.1309\\ CS \ 25.21\\ CS \ 25.21\\ CS \ 25.143\mathcal{3}\mathcal{2}5.255\\ CS \ 25.321\mathcal{2}\mathcal{2}5.459\\ CS \ 25.671\\ CS \ 25.689\\ CS \ 25.1309\\ CS \ 27.21\\ CS \ 27.141\mathcal{2}\$ |

6.2.6.2 Functional characteristics.

Friction levels, breakout forces, dead zones, hysteresis, and backlash of each axis of the control system (including thrust, and thrust vector angle if it is controlled by a separate inceptor) shall not preclude safety-of-flight (SOF). This includes ensuring functional characteristics do not induce a control system failure, loss of control or a departure.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Non-linear characteristics;
- b. Probability of combinations of these phenomena leading to a failure condition;
- c. Tests are to be made with the auto-stabilisers, 'q' feel systems etc. operative.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Design documentation supported by flight simulation and flight testing where appropriate that demonstrates the acceptable handling of the aircraft including the effect of friction levels, breakout forces, dead zones, hysteresis and backlash in each control axis.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.0, 4.0, 3.2.2.5.1.1, 4.2.2.5.1.1 (Note: Unverified - no access to JSSG-2008) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 2.6.11 00-970 P1 2.8.12 00-970 P1 2.17.30 00-970 P1 2.19.22 00-970 P1 3.9.22 00-970 P1 3.9.23 00-970 P1 4.10.6 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 4.10.7 00-970 P7 L204 S3.4 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 155 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|-----------|--|-----------------------|--|
| | | | 00-970 P7 L204 S3.5 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.629(j) (AMC.629(j)) 4671.671 4671.683 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.141- 23.253, 25.21-25.255, 23.321- 23.459, 25.321-25.459, 23.1501-23.1529, 25.1501- 25.1529 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.141-23.257 CS 23.321-23.459 CS 23.683 CS 25.143-25.255 CS 25.321-25.459 CS 25.683 CS 27.141-27.251 CS 27.321-27.427 CS 27.683 CS 29.141-29.251 CS 29.321-29.427 CS 29.683 |

6.2.6.3 Cockpit/operator control forces.

Cockpit control forces shall not exceed the specified design limits and shall provide full and free movement of the control input devices, for all axes, including trim. Forces shall not be so great as to make excessive demands on the pilot's strength when manoeuvring the aircraft and shall not be so low that the aircraft can easily be overstressed inadvertently.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Aircraft type, intended operational use and role;
- b. Specified design limit control forces, to be agreed and verified;
- c. Both short and long term application of force;
- d. Both one and two hands available for control;
- e. Control forces for pitch, roll, yaw and trim;
- f. Forces applied to the control wheel, stick or rudder pedal;
- g. Ensuring control system forces and free play do not inhibit a smooth, direct aircraft response;
- h. Specified manoeuvres, to be agreed and verified;
- i. Force of the pilots operating dual controls in opposition, to be agreed and verified.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Design documentation supported by flight simulation and flight testing where appropriate that demonstrates the acceptable handling of the aircraft and cockpit control forces throughout the aircraft's defined operating conditions, aircraft configurations and flight envelope.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.2.2.3, 4.2.2.3, 3.2.2.5.1, 4.2.2.5.1, 3.2.2.5.1.1, 4.2.2.5.1.1, 3.2.2.5.1.3, 4.2.2.5.1.3 (Note: Unverified - no access to JSSG-2008) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 3.4.6 00-970 P1 3.4.13 00-970 P1 4.10.4 00-970 P5 UK25.397a 00-970 P5 UK25.397b 00-970 P7 L203 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 156 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|----------|---|-----------------------|--|
| | | | 00-970 P7 L207 |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.779, 25.779, 23.141-23.253, 25.21- 25.255, 23.321-23.459, 25.321-25.459, 23.1501- 23.1529, 25.1501-25.1529 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.21 CS 23.141-23.257 CS 23.321-23.459 CS 25.21 CS 25.143-25.255 CS 25.321-25.459 |
| | | | CS 27.21 CS 27.141-27.251 CS 27.321-27.427 CS 29.21 CS 29.141-29.251 CS 29.321-29.427 |

6.2.6.4 Ratio changers and artificial feel devices.

Ratio changers and artificial feel devices (or similar devices) shall not adversely affect safety-of-flight (SOF). This includes ensuring that no changes in artificial feel can produce departure, loss of control or pilot coupling. Control system units, components, and parts which transmit control signals mechanically shall meet the specified design limit conditions and safety factors.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Design limit conditions and safety factors, to be agreed and verified;

b. Assessing the effects from loss of the artificial feel devices;

c. The most critical case from handling considerations in terms of airspeed, altitude, mass, c of g and external stores configuration.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Design documentation supported by flight simulation and flight testing where appropriate that demonstrates the acceptable handling of the aircraft--taking account of ratio changers and artificial feel devices--throughout the aircraft's defined operating conditions, aircraft configurations and flight envelope.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.0, 4.0, 3.1.7.2, 4.1.7.2, 3.1.11.11, 4.1.11.11, 3.1.11.11.1, 4.1.11.11, 3.1.12.1, 4.1.12.1, 3.1.14.4, 4.1.14.4 (Note: Unverified - no access to JSSG-2008) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 2.15.14 00-970 P1 3.9.3 00-970 P1 3.9.4 00-970 P1 3.9.31 00-970 P7 L203 00-970 P7 L207 |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.141- 23.253, 25.21-25.255, 23.321- 23.459, 25.321-25.459, 23.1501-23.1529, 25.1501- | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.141-23.257 CS 23.321-23.459 CS 25.143-25.255 CS 25.321-25.459 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 157 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| <u>Inform</u> | ation Sources | |
|---------------|---------------|--|
| 25. | 1529 | CS 27.141-27.251 CS 27.321-27.427 CS 29.141-29.251 |
| | | CS 29.321-29.427 |

6.2.6.5 Warning, caution, and advisory functions.

VCF warning and caution function/devices shall provide fast and adequate notification to the air crew for any VCF failure or condition which could result in an unsafe flight. Warnings shall be clearly distinguishable to the air crew under expected flight conditions without requiring the air crew's attention.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Warnings and caution philosophy including:
- i. Ensuring warnings and cautions are within the air crew's field of vision;
- ii. Ensuring warnings and cautions minimise air crew errors and confusion;
- iii. Indicating the current mode of operation, including any armed modes, transitions, and reversions;
- iv. Ensuring indications are grouped and presented in a logical and consistent manner;
- v. Ensuring indications are visible to each pilot under all expected lighting conditions;
- vi. The use of a three category warning system.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Design documentation supported by flight simulation and flight testing where appropriate that demonstrates the acceptable handling of the aircraft including the suitability of control warning and caution devices throughout the aircraft's defined operating conditions, aircraft configurations and flight envelope.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.0, 4.0, 3.1, 4.1, 3.1.11.10, 4.1.11.10, 3.1.13.4, 4.1.13.4, 3.1.17, 4.1.17, 3.2.2.2.7, 4.2.2.2.7, 3.2.2.5.1.2, 4.2.2.5.1.2, 3.2.2.5.1.4, 4.2.2.5.1.4 (Note: Unverified - no access to JSSG-2008) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 3.10.64 00-970 P1 3.10.65 00-970 P1 3.10.68 00-970 P1 3.10.76 00-970 P1 3.10.96 00-970 P1 4.15.33 00-970 P1 4.15.35 00-970 P1 4.19.57 |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.141- 23.253, 25.21-25.255, 23.321- 23.459, 25.321-25.459, 23.1501-23.1529, 25.1501- 25.1529 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.672 CS 23.1329 CS 25.672 CS 25.1329 CS 27.672 CS 27.1329 CS 29.672 CS 29.1329 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 158 /675 |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
|---|------------------|----------------------|

6.2.7 VCF integrated systems safety

6.2.7.1 Control surface positions.

Control system surfaces shall be installed so there is no mechanical interference from surrounding aircraft structures or devices, or jamming with other control system components or surrounding equipment/structure. If an adjustable stabiliser is used, it shall have stops that limit its range of travel to that allowing safe flight and landing.

For rotorcraft, there shall be sufficient clearance between the rotor blades and other parts of the structure to prevent the blades from striking any part of the structure during any operating condition.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The most critical clearance positions;

b. The full range of movement of surrounding devices;

c. Structural deflections resulting from the most adverse flight, manufacturing, environmental and load conditions, the means of which is to be established, agreed and verified;

d. Ensuring the control system is free from excessive friction, and excessive deflection.

e. Jamming of control systems without interference with other components (for example overcentre positions of control components).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Design documentation supported by kinematic and/or clearance analysis, rig, ground and/or flight testing (as appropriate) and deflection calculations (e.g. hand calculations and/or Finite Element Analysis) demonstrating that control surfaces do not suffer from interference, jamming or excessive deformation.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.2.1 thru 3.2.1.4, 4.2.1 thru 4.2.1.4, 3.2.2.5 thru 3.2.2.5.1.1, 4.2.2.5 thru 4.2.2.5.1.1, 3.2.3, 4.2.3 (Note: Unverified - no access to JSSG-2008) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 3.9.18 00-970 P1 3.9.26 00-970 P1 S3.9.31 00-970 P1 S3.9.32 00-970 P7 L203 S3.3.3 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.655 4671.683 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.141- 23.253, 25.21-25.255, 23.321- 23.459, 25.321-25.459, 23.1501-23.1529, 25.1501- 25.1529 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.655 CS 23.683 CS 25.655 CS 25.683 CS 27.661 CS 27.683 CS 29.661 CS 29.683 |

6.2.7.2 Intermittent devices.

Control devices intended for intermittent operation (such as flaps, slats, speed brakes, geometry mechanisms, auxiliary control devices) shall not preclude safety-of-flight (SOF). This includes ensuring latent failures for devices used only in discrete parts of the flight envelope (modes), or that are seldom used or that are only for some type of backup capability, cannot induce a departure, loss of control, or pilot coupling.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 159 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

Consideration should be given to:

a. Monitoring such elements of the VCF to ensure that they are fit for use when required;

b. Provision of fail-safe reversion to manual control for recovery for non-full-time systems.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) / Failure Modes, Effects and Criticality Analysis (FMECA) / Fault Tree Analysis (FTA) incorporating the probability and effect of functional failure of latent devices on flight handling qualities and safety of flight.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.1.8, 4.1.8, 3.2.1.3, 4.2.1.3, 3.2.1.4, 4.2.1.4, 3.2.1, 4.2.1, 3.2.2.5.4.5, 4.2.2.5.4.5, 3.1.12 thru 3.1.12.1, 4.1.12 thru 4.1.12.1 (Note: Unverified - no access to JSSG-2008) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 2.15.15 00-970 P1 2.15.20 00-970 P1 2.15.29 00-970 P1 3.10.73 00-970 P1 3.10.105 00-970 P1 S3.6 00-970 P7 L203 S3.3.1 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.701 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.141- 23.253, 25.21-25.255, 23.321- 23.459, 25.321-25.459, 23.1501-23.1529, 25.1501- 25.1529 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.701, CS 23.1309 CS 25.701, CS 25.1309 CS 27.674, CS 27.1309 CS 29.674, CS 29.1309 |

6.2.7.3 Foreign object damage (FOD).

The VCF shall have sufficient clearances to prevent foreign object damage (FOD). This includes ensuring no probable combination of temperature effects, air loads, structural deflections, vibration, build-up of manufacturing tolerances, wear, sag, or installation which can cause binding or jamming of any portion of the VCF, result in insufficient clearance.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The following minimum clearances, provided for guidance, to be agreed and verified:

i. Between wiring and plumbing which carries combustible fluids (typically 152mm);

ii. Between wiring and control cables (typically 76mm);

iii. Around any control routing and connections such as bell-cranks, cables, actuator attachments, path changers, etc (typically 6mm);

iv. Between elements which move in relation to one another but which are guided or connected to the same component (typically 3mm);

v. Between elements which move in relation to one another and which are guided or connected to separate components (typically 6mm);

vi. Between elements and aircraft structure or equipment to which they are not attached, unless structural flexibility requires a greater clearance to be provided (typically 12mm).

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 160 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

b. Where surrounding material such as fasteners, rivets, nuts, bolts, washers etc., exceed 6mm, the design accommodates these particulars.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Design documentation supported by kinematic and/or clearance analysis, rig, ground and/or flight testing (as appropriate) and deflection calculations (e.g. hand calculations and/or Finite Element Analysis) demonstrating that sufficient clearance exists between control system components and surrounding aircraft structure.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: para 3.0, 4.0, 3.1, 4.1, 3.1.7.2, 4.1.7.2, 3.1.7.3, 4.1.7.3, 3.1.11.11, 4.1.11.11, 3.1.11.11.1, 4.1.11.11, 3.1.13, 4.1.13, 3.1.14, 4.1.14, 3.1.14.5, 4.1.14.5, 3.2.2.1, 4.2.2.1, 3.2.3, 4.2.3, 3.2.3.3, 4.2.3.3, 3.4.4, 4.4.4, 3.5.7, 4.5.7 (Note: Unverified - no access to JSSG-2008) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 2.2.2 00-970 P1 2.15.14 00-970 P1 3.1.19 00-970 P1 3.9.18 00-970 P1 3.9.20 00-970 P1 3.9.24-3.9.26 00-970 P1 L24 00-970 P1 S3.9.31 00-970 P1 S3.9.32 00-970 P7 L203 S3.3.3 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.655 4671.683 4671.685 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.141- 23.253, 25.21-25.255, 23.321- 23.459, 25.321-25.459, 23.1501-23.1529, 25.1501- 25.1529 | EASA CS Reference: | $\begin{array}{c} \text{CS } 23.21 \\ \text{CS } 23.141-23.257 \\ \text{CS } 23.321-23.459 \\ \text{CS } 23.655 \\ \text{CS } 23.683 \\ \text{CS } 23.685 \\ \text{CS } 23.685 \\ \text{CS } 25.21 \\ \text{CS } 25.143-25.255 \\ \text{CS } 25.321-25.459 \\ \text{CS } 25.655 \\ \text{CS } 25.671 \\ \text{CS } 25.683 \\ \text{CS } 25.683 \\ \text{CS } 25.685 \\ \text{CS } 27.21 \\ \text{CS } 27.321-27.427 \\ \text{CS } 27.321-27.427 \\ \text{CS } 27.683 \\ \text{CS } 27.683 \\ \text{CS } 29.21 \\ \text{CS } 29.141-29.251 \\ \text{CS } 29.321-29.427 \\ \text{CS } 29.683 \end{array}$ |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 161 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|-----------|
| | CS 27.685 |

6.2.7.4 Structural mode interaction (SMI).

The location of sensors shall minimise/avoid structural mode coupling such as to prevent erroneous feedback and disruption of the VCF or aircraft. Sensor location shall also provide adequate protection from bird-strike, accidental and battle damage.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Structural mode coupling, including vibration from configuration loading and gun fire;

b. Account for sensitivities to actual manufacturing and variations in key stability derivatives and structural mode frequencies;

c. Use of the most rugged sensors for primary feedbacks essential to continued safe flight.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Design documentation, supported by calculations (e.g. hand calculations, Finite Element Analysis and/or dynamic/modal analysis) and assembly, rig, ground and/or flight testing (as appropriate) that demonstrates that Structural Mode Interaction is suitably minimised/prevented.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.0, 3.1, 3.1.2.1, 3.1.5, 3.1.5.6, 3.1.7.2, 3.1.11, 3.1.13, 3.1.15, 3.1.17, 3.2.2.2, 3.2.2.5, 3.2.2.5.1.1, 3.2.2.5.2, 3.2.2.5.4.3, 3.2.2.5.4.4, 3.3.4, 3.3.6.2, 3.5.7, and associated section 4 paragraphs (Note: Unverified - no access to JSSG-2008) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 2.23 00-970 P1 3.10.20 00-970 P1 3.10.36 00-970 P1 4.9.12 00-970 P1 L26 00-970 P1 S4.8 00-970 P7 L500 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.141- 23.253, 25.21-25.255, 23.321- 23.459, 25.321-25.459, 23.1501-23.1529, 25.1501- 25.1529 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1309 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1309 |

6.2.7.5 Integration with avionics systems.

Interfaces between VCF and avionics equipment shall be demonstrated to be safe. The integration of VCF and avionics equipment shall be demonstrated to be safe.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The interdependence of all aircraft functions within the integrated VCF;

b. Other control functions, e.g., structural mode and secondary controls, thrust and thrust vectoring;

c. Failure modes which may threaten safety-of-flight (SOF), for reasonably credible combination of failures;

d. Required levels of system integrity.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 162 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

1. Design documentation, supported by rig (system rigs, 'Iron Bird', etc), ground and flight testing (as appropriate) demonstrating the function and safety of avionics interfaces.

2. Appropriate assurance of software development incorporating an appropriate assurance level (e.g. Design Assurance Level), utilising a suitable standard (e.g. DO-178C 'Software Considerations in Airborne Systems and Equipment Certification').

3. Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) / Failure Modes, Effects and Criticality Analysis (FMECA) / Fault Tree Analysis (FTA) incorporating the probability and effect of functional failure of avionics interfaces on flight handling qualities and safety of flight.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.0, 3.1, 3.1.2, 3.1.5, 3.1.7, 3.1.8, 3.1.11, 3.1.12, 3.1.13, 3.1.14.4, 3.2.2.2, 3.2.2.4, 3.2.2.5, 3.2.2.6, 3.3, 3.2.4, and associated section 4 paragraphs (Note: Unverified - no access to JSSG-2008) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 3.10.2 00-970 P1 3.10.6 00-970 P1 3.10.7 00-970 P1 3.10.32 00-970 P1 3.10.94 00-970 P1 3.10.95 00-970 P1 6.5.49 00-970 P1 S6.2, 00-970 P7 L725 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1309 4671.1329 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.141- 23.253, 25.21-25.255, 23.321- 23.459, 25.321-25.459, 23.1501-23.1529, 25.1501- 25.1529 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1309 CS 23.1329 CS 25.1309 CS 25.1329 CS 27.1309 CS 27.1329 CS 29.1309 CS 29.1329 |

6.2.7.6 Integration with propulsion systems.

Integration and operation of the aircraft propulsion control system shall be safe for all conditions, including any occurrences of asymmetric thrust.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Identifying those systems which form part of the propulsion control system.

b. Demonstrating that the identified systems meet their assigned and derived safety probability targets.

c. Ensuring that the Test & Acceptance Plan provides adequate demonstration of safe operation throughout the approved flight envelope, including occurrences of asymmetric thrust where appropriate.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Design documentation, supported by rig (system rigs, 'Iron Bird', etc), ground and flight testing (as appropriate) demonstrating the function and safety of the propulsion control system.

2. Appropriate assurance of software development incorporating an appropriate assurance level (e.g. Design Assurance Level), utilising a suitable qualification (e.g. DO-178C 'Software Considerations in Airborne Systems and Equipment Certification').

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 163 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

3. Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) / Failure Modes, Effects and Criticality Analysis (FMECA) / Fault Tree Analysis (FTA) incorporating the probability and effect of functional failure of the propulsion control system on flight handling qualities and safety of flight.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.0, 4.0, 3.1, 4.1, 3.1.2, 4.1.2, 3.1.5.3, 4.1.5.3, 3.1.5.5, 4.1.5.5, 3.1.7.3, 4.1.7.3, 3.1.11, 4.1.11, 3.1.13, 4.1.13, 3.1.13.3, 4.1.13.3, 3.1.17, 4.1.17, 3.2.2.2.9, 4.2.2.2.9, 3.2.2.5.1.1, 4.2.2.5.1.1, 3.2.2.5.4.5, 4.2.2.5.4.5, 3.3.1, 4.3.1 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 2.14 00-970 P1 2.22.37 00-970 P1 3.9.18 00-970 P1 3.10.2 00-970 P1 3.10.28-3.10.30 00-970 P1 3.10.47-3.10.53 00-970 P1 3.10.75-3.10.77 00-970 P1 3.10.79-3.10.92 00-970 P1 11 3E50 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.141-4671.253 4671.901-4671.909 4671.933-4671.943 4671.1141-4671.1143 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 25.901 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.141-23.253 CS 23.901-23.909 CS 23.933-23.943 CS 23.1141-23.1157 CS 23.1309 CS 25.143-25.255 CS 25.901-25.905 CS 25.933-23.945 CS 25.1141-25.1155 CS 25.1309 CS 27.141-27.251 CS 27.901-27.903 CS 27.1141-27.1151 CS 27.1309 CS 29.141-29.251 CS 29.901-29.903 CS 29.1141-29.1159 CS 29.1309 |

6.2.7.7 Vehicle recovery.

The extent of the safe flight envelope associated with engine failure shall be identified for each phase of flight and recorded. Sufficient testing shall be undertaken to ensure that the aircraft can be recovered safely, for each phase of flight, within the identified envelope. All associated limitations shall be noted in the flight manual.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Engine failure mode and sequence.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 164 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

b. Phase of flight when failure occurs (take-off, cruise, landing, etc.).

c. Location of engine on airframe and effect of resultant thrust (or loss of thrust).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Design documentation supported by flight simulations and flight testing (as appropriate) demonstrating in which areas of the flight envelope engine failure appreciably affects the aircraft's safety of flight (i.e. causing an appreciable increase in the Probability of Loss of Control (PLOC)).

2. Design documentation supported by flight simulations and flight testing (as appropriate) identifying which flight condition is considered to be recoverable and which are considered to be non-recoverable, identifying necessary actions required by flight crew.

3. Aircraft Flight Manual (or other suitable document) detailing the limitations for continued safe flight.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.0, 3.1, 3.1.5, 3.1.5.3, 3.1.5.7, 3.1.5.8, | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 2.7 00-970 P1 2.14 |
| | 3.1.5.9, 3.1.9, 3.1.14, 3.2.1.3, 3.2.1.2, 3.2.2.2, 3.2.2.5, 3.2.2.5.4, 3.2.2.6, 3.3, and associated section 4 paragraphs | STANAG Reference: | 4671.141-4671.253 4671.321-4671.459 4671.1501-4671.1529 4671.U1412 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.141- 23.253, 25.21-25.255, 23.321- 23.459, 25.321-25.459, 23.1501-23.1529, 25.1501- 25.1529 | EASA CS Reference: | $\begin{array}{c} \text{CS } 23.141\text{-}23.253^{*} \\ \text{CS } 23.321\text{-}23.459^{*} \\ \text{CS } 23.1501\text{-}23.1529^{*} \\ \text{CS } 25.143\text{-}25.255^{*} \\ \text{CS } 25.321\text{-}25.459^{*} \\ \text{CS } 25.105 \\ \text{CS } 25.105 \\ \text{CS } 25.111 \\ \text{CS } 25.115 \\ \text{CS } 25.121 \\ \text{CS } 25.1501\text{-}25.1533^{*} \\ \text{CS } 25.1309 \\ \text{CS } 27.141\text{-}27.251 \\ \text{CS } 27.321\text{-}27.427 \\ \text{CS } 27.1501\text{-}27.1529 \\ \text{CS } 29.141\text{-}29.251 \\ \text{CS } 29.321\text{-}29.427 \\ \text{CS } 29.1501\text{-}29.1529 \end{array}$ |

6.2.7.8 Latencies and synchronizations.

The aircraft VCF shall not be adversely affected by inputs received from either the payload or from an interfacing ground station.

Consideration should be given to :

- 1. Signal or data synchronisation issues.
- 2. Signal or data latency issues.
- 3. The use and applicability of open architectures.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 165 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

1. Design documentation, supported by rig (system rigs, 'Iron Bird', etc), ground and flight testing (as appropriate) demonstrating the function and safety of avionics interfaces, and the resilience of the avionic system to signal transmission delays and/or desynchronisation.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | ADS-51-HDBK ADS-33E-PRF Refer to Army Aviation technical point of contact for | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 S3.10.2 00-970 P1 S3.10.11-3.10.13 00-970 P1 S3.10.32 00-970 P1 S3.10.47-3.10.53 |
| | this discipline for specific guidance (listed in section A.2) TBD: Refer to technical point of contact for this discipline (listed in section A.2) | STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 S3.10.79-3.10.93 4671.685 4671.1309 4671.1431 4671.1481 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1309 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1309 |

6.2.7.9 Automatic take-off and landing.

Automatic take-off and/or landing systems shall be assured as safe.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The probability and effect of failure of sensors and air data systems.

b. The probability and effect of failure of avionics equipment both incorporated in the automatic takeoff/landing system and of other aircraft systems.

c. The adequacy of air crew warning should the automated system fail, requiring reversion to manual control, and the potential for an unacceptable increase in pilot workload.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Design documentation, supported by rig (system rigs, 'Iron Bird', etc), ground and flight testing (as appropriate) demonstrating the function and safety of the take-off/landing system.

2. Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) / Failure Modes, Effects and Criticality Analysis (FMECA) / Fault Tree Analysis (FTA) incorporating the probability and effect of functional failure of air data sensors, the air data system and the aircraft's avionics systems on the failure of the take-off/landing system and subsequent Probability of Loss of Control (PLOC).

| Inf | ormation Sources | | |
|--------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 S3.10 00-970 P5 UK25.302a 00-970 P7 L604 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671 USAR.1329, 4671 USAR.U1490, 4671 USAR.U1492 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1329 CS 25.1329 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 166 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|------------|
| | CS 27.1329 |
| | CS 29.1329 |

6.2.8 VCF engage, disengage, and interlocks safety

6.2.8.1 Interlocks.

The aircraft control system shall have positive interlocks to prevent hazardous operation of inoperative devices/programs, to preclude their inadvertent operation.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Any Operational Flight Program (OFP)s that deal with diagnostics, and BITs;

b. Providing an unmistakable warning when the control system lock is engaged;

c. Interlock methods such as:

i. Removal of memory or processor chip;

ii. Double access to partitioned memory;

iii. Removal of power;

iv. Cockpit switches, etc.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Design documentation supported by rig (e.g. system rig, 'Iron Bird' etc), ground and flight testing as appropriate that demonstrates that processes for the locking-out of inoperative devices/programs preclude their inadvertent operation.

2. Aircraft Flight Manual or other suitable document which includes processes for the locking-out of inoperative devices/programs when performing activities (e.g. maintenance) on those systems.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.0, 4.0, 3.1, 4.1, 3.1.13, 4.1.13, 3.1.13.1, | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 3.10.72 |
| | 4.1.13.1, 3.1.13.3, 4.1.13.3, 3.1.14.7, 4.1.14.7, 3.2.2.2.2, 4.2.2.2, 3.2.2.5.1.3, 4.2.2.5.1.3, 3.2.2.6, 4.2.2.6 (Note: Unverified - no access to JSSG-2008 (Note: Unverified - no access to JSSG-2008) | STANAG Reference: | 4671.679 4671.1329 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.141- 23.253, 25.21-25.255, 23.321- 23.459, 25.321-25.459, 23.1501-23.1529, 25.1501- 25.1529 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.672 CS 23.679 CS 23.1329 CS 25.672 CS 25.679 CS 25.1329 CS 27.672 CS 27.672 CS 27.679 CS 27.1329 CS 29.672 CS 29.679 CS 29.1329 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 167 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

6.2.8.2 Incompatible modes.

VCF engage/disengage functions/devices assignments and interlocks shall be provided to prevent the engagement of incompatible modes that could create an immediate undesirable situation or hazard that are incompatible with flight conditions or aircraft configurations (e.g., flaps, slats, airbrake, wing sweep, engine power, nozzle angle etc). A means shall also be provided to indicate the current mode of operation as selections or de-selections are made, including any armed modes, transitions, and reversions.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Protection against improper mode engagement or positioning of any control functions;

b. Protection against in-flight engagement of any surface locks affecting aircraft stability;

c. Protection against simultaneous engagement, and engagement with incompatible flight conditions or aircraft configurations, to be agreed and verified;

d. Ensuring indications are visible under all expected lighting conditions;

e. Ensuring controls and indications are grouped and presented in a logical and consistent manner;

f. Means of mode indication other than selector switch position.

g. Ensuring proper engagement and mixing of modes;

h. Emergency disengagement of modes to the basic flying aircraft control mode.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Design documentation supported by rig (e.g. system rig, 'Iron Bird' etc), ground and flight testing as appropriate that demonstrate that incompatible modes cannot be engaged.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|---|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.0, 3.1, 3.1.5.2, 3.1.5.8, 3.1.5.9, 3.1.7.2, 3.1.7.3, 3.1.11, 3.1.11.2, 3.1.13.1, 3.1.13.3, 3.1.14, 3.1.14.7, 3.2.2.2.4, 3.2.2.2.5, 3.2.2.2.9, 3.2.2.2.11, 3.2.2.4, 3.2.2.5.1, 3.2.2.5.1.1 thru 3.2.2.5.1.4, 3.2.2.5.4.1, 3.2.2.5.4.3, 3.2.2.5.4.4, 3.2.2.6, 3.3.2.1, and associated section 4 paragraphs (Note: Unverified - no access to JSSG-2008) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 2.16.21 00-970 P1 3.10.41 00-970 P1 3.10.64 00-970 P1 3.10.65 00-970 P1 3.10.68 00-970 P1 4.19.18 00-970 P1 4.19.21 4671.1329 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.141- 23.253, 25.21-25.255, 23.321- 23.459, 25.321-25.459, 23.1501-23.1529, 25.1501- 25.1529 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.672 CS 23.1329 CS 25.672 CS 25.1329 CS 27.672 CS 27.1329 CS 29.672 CS 29.1329 |

6.2.8.3 Engage, disengage and mode transition transient times.

Transient times for automatic and manual VCF mode change shall be within specified limits, such as to maximise Safety of Fight (SOF) and to ensure smooth engagement/disengagement.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 168 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

Consideration should be given to:

a. Automatic transient times, to be agreed and verified (typically 0.1 seconds or less). Larger transient times maybe justified and acceptable depending on the application;

- b. Manual transient times, to be agreed and verified;
- c. Operation in worse case conditions, to be agreed and verified;
- d. Operation in nominal flight conditions.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Design documentation supported by rig (e.g. system rig, 'Iron Bird' etc), ground and flight testing as appropriate that demonstrate mode engagement, disengagement and transient times are optimised, within specified limits and do not preclude the aircraft's SOF.

See also section 6.2.5.3

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: JSSG 2008 3.0, 3.1, 3.1.5.2, 3.1.5.4, 3.1.5.5, 3.1.7.2, | | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 S6.5.12 |
| | 3.1.7.3, 3.1.11, 3.1.11.5, 3.1.12, 3.1.12,1, 3.1.13.2, 3.1.14, 3.2.2.1, 3.2.2.2.1, 3.2.2.2.2, 3.2.2.2.6, 3.2.2.2.12, 3.2.2.5.4, 3.2.2.5.4.3, 3.2.2.6, 3.3.1, 3.3.2, 3.3.2.1, and associated section 4 paragraphs | STANAG Reference: | 4671 USAR.1329 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1329 CS 25.1329 CS 27.1329 CS 29.1329 |

6.2.9 VCF command and control communications safety

6.2.9.1 Integration.

All command and control channels used by the aircraft shall be identified; these may include communications within the VCF, communications with ground control, and communications with other linked vehicles. Each of these channels shall be:

- 1. Safely integrated with the other aircraft systems.
- 2. Have an acceptable probability of failure assigned to it.
- 3. Resilient to effects of the operating environment

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Common mode failure.
- b. Data verification and correction techniques.
- c. Demonstrating achievement of failure probabilities.
- d. Requirements of Test and Acceptance Plan to demonstrate compliance.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Design documentation clearly identifying the command and control channels utilised by the aircraft, redundancy (if any), probability and effect of failure and considerations of the operating environment.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 169 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | DoD/MIL Doc: JSSG-2008: 3.1, 4.1, 3.1.8, 4.1.8, 3.1.7.3, 4.1.7.3, 3.1.11, 4.1.11, 3.1.11.7, 4.1.11.7, 3.1.11.9, 4.1.11.9, 3.1.13, 4.1.13, 3.2.2.2, 4.2.2.2, 3.2.2.5.1.2, 4.2.2.5.1.2, | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 Pt 1 3.10.1-3.10.2 00-970 Pt 1 6.1.12-6.1.16 00-970 Pt 1 6.1.19 00-970 Pt 1 6.1.44 00-970 Pt 1 6.6.1 |
| | 3.2.2.5.3, 4.2.2.5.3, 3.3, 4.3, 3.3.1, 4.3.1, 3.3.2.3, 4.3.2.3, 3.3.3, 4.3.3 | STANAG Reference: | 4671.141-4671.253 4671.321-4671.459 4671.1501-4671.1529 4671.U1309 4671.U1601-1617 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.141- 23.253, 25.21-25.255, 23.321- 23.459, 25.321-25.459, 23.1501-23.1529, 25.1501- 25.1529 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.141-23.253 CS 23.321-23.459 CS 23.1501-23.1529 CS 23.1309 CS 25.143-25.255 CS 25.321-25.459 CS 25.1501 -1533 CS 25.1309 CS 27.141-27.251 CS 27.321-27.427 CS 27.1501-27.1529 CS 27.1309 CS 29.141-29.251 CS 29.321-29.427 CS 29.1501-29.1529 CS 29.1309 |

6.2.9.2 Security.

Unauthorised access to the aircraft command and control communications shall be prevented, and any security techniques used to achieve this shall be implemented safely. To achieve this, the aircraft command and control communications systems shall be identified, and the required security level for each assigned. Any security techniques used to achieve the required level of security shall be shown to be safe, and shall be implemented in a safe manner.

Consideration should be given to:

- 1. Documenting the expected threat against each system.
- 2. Demonstrating that chosen security techniques are safe for the proposed use.
- 3. Demonstrating that the chosen security techniques are implemented safely.
- 4. Partitioning critical data from less critical data.
- 5. Encryption.
- 6. Physical means of security.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Design documentation clearly identifying the command and control channels utilised by the aircraft, security levels for each channel, and security techniques implemented to ensure protection against intrusive threats.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 170 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

2. Rig (system, 'Iron Bird' etc), ground and flight testing as appropriate, demonstrating that practical attempts at unauthorised access are prevented.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.1.8, 4.1.8, 3.1.14.6, 4.1.14.6, 3.1.16, 4.1.16, 3.2, 4.2, 3.3.1, 4.3.1 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 3.10.1-3.10.2 00-970 P1 3.10.11 00-970 P1 3.10.19 00-970 P1 3.10.27 00-970 P1 3.10.32 00-970 P1 3.10.47-3.10.49 |
| | | STANAG | 4671.141-4671.253 |
| | | Reference: | 4671.321-4671.459 |
| | | | 4671.1501-4671.1529 |
| | | | 4671.1309 |
| | | | 4671.U1601-1617 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.141- 23.253, 25.21-25.255, 23.321- 23.459, 25.321-25.459, 23.1501-23.1529, 25.1501- 25.1529 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.141-23.253 CS 23.321-23.459 CS 23.1501-23.1529 CS 23.1309 CS 25.143-25.255 CS 25.321-25.459 CS 25.1501 - 1533 CS 25.1309 CS 27.141-27.251 CS 27.321-27.427 CS 27.1501-27.1529 CS 27.1309 CS 29.141-29.251 CS 29.321-29.427 CS 29.1501-29.1529 CS 29.1309 |

6.2.9.3 Lost communications and failures.

Aircraft guidance, navigation and control functions shall implement robust and safe contingency logic for dealing with lost-communications and on-board failures.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The probability of single and combined failures including both lost-communications and on-board failures.

b. The effect of failures on the continued safe flight of the aircraft through all flight phases.

c. The vehicle's ability to continue and complete its mission, and the effect that any failure may have on the overall mission reliability of the aircraft.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) / Failure Modes, Effects and Criticality Analysis (FMECA) / Fault Tree Analysis (FTA) considering the probability of lost-communications and on-board failures and their effect on the aircraft's continued safe flight.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 171 /675 | 1 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|---|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|---|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | 4671 USAR.U1603 |
| | | Reference: | 4671 USAR.U1613 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

6.2.9.4 Loss of command.

Loss of command signal from a control station shall not unacceptably degrade the aircraft's operational state.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The continued safe flight of the aircraft, including the Probability of Loss of Control (PLOC) and Probability of Loss of Aircraft (PLOA).

b. The continued missionworthiness of the aircraft and the ability for the aircraft to successfully complete its assigned mission.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) / Failure Modes, Effects and Criticality Analysis (FMECA) / Fault Tree Analysis (FTA) considering the probability of lost-communications and on-board failures and their effect on the aircraft's continued safe flight.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | 4671 USAR.U1603 |
| | | Reference: | 4671 USAR.U1613 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

6.2.9.5 Sensor operability.

For UAS equipped with remote control capability, sensors used to provide feedback to a remote operator shall be fully operational under natural and induced environmental conditions.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The full range of environmental conditions that could be encountered, incorporating any protections against such conditions afforded by the aircraft (e.g. Environmental Conditioning Systems), including but not limited to:

- i. Temperature;
- ii. Humidity;
- iii. Pressure;

iv. Vibration; and,

v. Electromagnetic interference.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 172 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

1. Design documentation supported by equipment, rig, ground and flight testing (as appropriate) demonstrating that the required equipment remains functional in the environmental conditions in which it will be subjected to.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | 4671 USAR.U1701 |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.1309 |
| | | Reference: | CS 25.1309 |
| | | | CS 27.1309 |
| | | | CS 29.1309 |

6.2.10 VCF hydraulic power source safety

(Note: See section 8.1 for specific hydraulic systems criteria)

6.2.10.1 Hydraulic distribution.

The VCF shall not adversely affect safety of flight following degradation of the hydraulic system.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Hydraulic system distribution;

b. Loss of one, or part of any one, of the aircraft's hydraulic systems.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) / Failure Modes, Effects and Criticality Analysis (FMECA) / Fault Tree Analysis (FTA) considering the probability and effect of hydraulic system on the function of the VCF, and therefore on the overall Probability of Loss of Control (PLOC) and Probability of Loss of Aircraft (PLOA).

See also section 8.1 for Hydraulic Systems requirements.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--|---|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.0, 3.1, 3.1.2, 3.1.2.1, 3.1.3, 3.1.7.2, 3.1.7.3, 3.1.11, 3.1.11.11.3, 3.1.12, 3.1.12.1, 3.1.14.4, 3.1.14.9, 3.2.1.3, 3.2.2.2.1, 3.2.2.2.5, 3.2.3.1, and associated section | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.11.52-6.11.55 00-970 P7 S1 L100 Para 9.1 00-970 P7 S7 L704 13.2-13.4 4671.1435 |
| FAA Doc: | 4 paragraphs 14CFR references: 23.141- 23.253, 25.21-25.255, 23.321- 23.459, 25.321-25.459, 23.1501-23.1529, 25.1501- 25.1529 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1309 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1309 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 173 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

6.2.10.2 Hydraulic system dynamics.

The aircraft hydraulic system(s) shall be designed to withstand defined peak pressure loads or pulses, and to operate without excessive pressure fluctuation.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The values for proof and ultimate pressure, related to Design Operating Pressure (DOP).

b. Cyclic pressures, including transients (surges) and those due to system volumetric changes.

c. Pressure fluctuation within the system.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Design documentation, supported by rig, ground and flight testing as appropriate, demonstrating that system pressures--including cyclic and surge pressures and other fluctuations--are fully defined.

2. Fluid and stress analysis (using hand calculations, Finite Element Analysis and Computational Fluid Dynamics as appropriate) supported by rig, ground and flight testing demonstrating that the system can withstand system pressures with an appropriate factor of safety.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.0, 4.0, 3.1, 4.1, 3.1.5.6, 4.1.5.6, 3.1.7.2, 4.1.7.2, 3.1.11.11.3, 4.1.11.11.3, 3.2.2.2.1, 4.2.2.2.1, 3.3 thru 3.3.4, 4.3 thru 4.3.4, 3.3.6, 4.3.6, 3.3.6.2, | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.11.2 00-970 P1 6.11.60 00-970 P1 6.11.75 00-970 P7 S7 L704 8.1.4 00-970 P7 S7 L704 15.5.3 |
| | 4.3.6.2 | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1435 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.141- 23.253, 25.21-25.255, 23.321- 23.459, 25.321-25.459, 23.1501-23.1529, 25.1501- 25.1529 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1435 CS 25.1435 CS 27.1435 CS 29.1435 |

See also section 8.1 for Hydraulic Systems requirements.

6.2.10.3 Flow/pressure irregularities.

The aircraft backup and/or emergency hydraulic systems shall be designed to ensure that system pressure and flow rates are sufficient to maintain safety of flight.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The minimum system pressure and flow rates should be agreed and verified.

b. Specifically testing to ensure that flutter does not preclude safety of flight when backup or emergency hydraulic systems are used.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Documentation supported by analysis (hand calculations, system computational models and/or computational fluid dynamics), rig, ground and flight testing as appropriate to demonstrate that system pressure and flow rates are adequate to provide sufficient power to the aircraft flight controls to maintain safety of flight.

See also section 8.1 for Hydraulic Systems requirements.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 174 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-----------------|---------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.0, 4.0, 3.1, 4.1, | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 6.11.52-6.11.55 |
| | 3.1.5.6, 4.1.5.6, 3.1.7.2, | Reference: | |
| | 4.1.7.2, 3.1.11.11.3, 4.1.11.11.3, 3.2.2.2.1, | STANAG | 4671.1435 |
| | 4.2.2.2.1, 3.2.2.2.5, 4.2.2.2.5 | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.141- | EASA CS | CS 23.1309 |
| | 23.253, 25.21-25.255, 23.321- | Reference: | CS 25.1309 |
| | 23.459, 25.321-25.459, | | CS 27.1309 |
| | 23.1501-23.1529, 25.1501- 25.1529 | | CS 29.1309 |

6.2.10.4 Transients/fluctuations.

Use of the backup or emergency hydraulic systems shall not lead to loss of vehicle control function.

Consideration should be given to the following:

a. Pressure transients induced by component switch over. This includes, but is not limited to, pumps, actuators, valves, accumulators etc.

b. Time lags induced by component switch over.

c. The ability to revert to the primary hydraulic system, if available, on failure of the backup or emergency supply.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Design documentation supported by rig, ground and flight testing as appropriate demonstrating the safe switch-over from the primary hydraulic system to the back-up/emergency system.

See also section 8.1 for Hydraulic Systems requirements.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--|---|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | 3.1.5.2, 4.1.5.2, 3.1.5.6, 4.1.5.6, 3.1.7.2, 4.1.7.2, 3.1.10, 4.1.10, 3.1.11.11.3, 4.1.11.11.3, 3.1.13, 4.1.13, 3.2.2.2.1, 4.2.2.2.1, 3.2.2.2.5, | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 2.15.10 00-970 P1 6.11.53 00-970 P7 S7 L704 3.4.1 4671.1435 |
| FAA Doc: | 4.2.2.2.5 14CFR references: 23.141- 23.253, 25.21-25.255, 23.321- 23.459, 25.321-25.459, 23.1501-23.1529, 25.1501- 25.1529 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1309 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1309 |

6.2.10.5 Merged with 6.2.10.1

6.2.11 VCF electrical power system safety

(Note: See section 12 for specific electrical power system criteria)

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 175 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

6.2.11.1 Backup.

The aircraft electrical system shall be designed such there is sufficient electrical power to be able to perform a controlled emergency landing, or perform emergency recovery actions, following a total loss of on-board electrical generating capability.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. The length of time required to perform emergency recovery actions.
- b. The maximum time likely to be required to perform an emergency landing.
- c. The amount of power required to perform the emergency actions, or carry out an emergency landing.
- d. Minimising any time lag between the failure occurring and notification to the operator.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Documentation supported by analysis, rig, ground and flight testing demonstrating the safe switch-over to emergency/back-up electrical supply systems, effective aircraft control using those systems, and ability for the aircraft to perform a controlled emergency actions and/or recovery actions.

See also section 12 for Electrical Systems requirements.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.0, 3.1, 3.1.2, 3.1.5.2, 3.1.5.4, 3.1.7.2, 3.1.10, 3.1.11, 3.1.11.11.2, 3.1.13, 3.2.2.2, 3.2.2.2.2, 3.2.2.2.5, 3.3 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.6.6-6.6.8 00-970 P1 6.6.18 00-970 P7 S7 L706 2.7.1-2.7.3 |
| | thru 3.3.4, 3.3.6, 3.3.6.2, and associated section 4 paragraphs | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1351 4671.1353 4671.1412 4671.1413 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.1351- 23.1367, 25.1351-25.1363, 23.141-23.253, 25.21-25.255, 23.321-23.459, 25.321-25.459, 23.1501-23.1529, 25.1501- 25.1529 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.903 CS 23.1351 CS 25.903 CS 25.1351 CS 27.903 CS 27.1351 CS 29.903 CS 29.1351 |

6.2.11.2 Independent sources.

The aircraft electrical system shall be designed such that, where there are independent power sources:

- a. They shall not adversely interact to preclude continued safe flight of the aircraft.
- b. They shall provide sufficient redundant power for continued safe flight.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. The total electrical power requirements of the aircraft.
- b. The requirement for independent redundant sources.
- c. The capacity of the individual systems to supply the required electrical power.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 176 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

1. Design documentation supported by rig, ground and flight testing as appropriate demonstrating the independence and redundancy of the electrical systems and the ability for each system to provide power to the specified equipment (which may include electrical load shedding).

| See also section 12 for Electrica | I Systems requirements. |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.0, 4.0, 3.1, 4.1, 3.1.2, 4.1.2, 3.1.2.1, 4.1.2.1, 3.1.3, 4.1.3, 3.1.7.2, 4.1.7.2, 3.1.11, 4.1.11, 3.1.11.11.2, 4.1.11.11.2, 3.1.12, 4.1.12, 3.1.12.1, 4.1.12.1, 3.2.2.2.2, | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.6.2 00-970 P1 6.6.7 00-970 P1 6.6.17 00-970 P7 S7 L706 2.7.2 00-970 P7 S7 L706 2.7.3 |
| | 4.2.2.2.2, 3.2.2.2.5, 4.2.2.2.5, 3.3 thru 3.3.4, 4.3 thru 4.3.4, 3.3.6, 4.3.6, 3.3.6.2, 4.3.6.2 | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1351-4671.1367 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.1351- 23.1367, 25.1351-25.1363, 23.141-23.253, 25.21-25.255, 23.321-23.459, 25.321-25.459, 23.1501-23.1529, 25.1501- 25.1529 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1309 CS 23.1351 CS 25.1309 CS 25.1351 CS 25.1362 CS 27.1309 CS 27.1351 CS 29.1309 CS 29.1351 |

6.2.11.3 Transients.

The electrical installation shall be designed such that any power transients generated, either through normal operation or component switching, shall not preclude safe operation of the aircraft.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Switching between power sources.
- b. The operation of relays and contactors.
- c. The effect or short or open circuits.
- d. Switching supplied equipment on or off.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Design documentation supported by rig, ground and flight testing as appropriate demonstrating the function and safety of electrical systems and equipment, including the effects of the worst-case power transients.

See also section 12 for Electrical Systems requirements.

| Information Sources | | |
|---------------------|--|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.0, 4.0, 3.1, 4.1, 3.1.5.2, 4.1.5.2, 3.1.7.2, 4.1.7.2, 3.1.10, 4.1.10, | 00-970 P1 6.6.12 00-970 P1 6.6.16 00-970 P1 6.6.104 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 177 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|-----------|--|-----------------------|--|
| | 3.1.11.11.2, 4.1.11.11.2, 3.2.2.2.2, 4.2.2.2.2, 3.2.2.2.5, 4.2.2.2.5 | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1351-4671.1367 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.1351- 23.1367, 25.1351-25.1363, 23.141-23.253, 25.21-25.255, 23.321-23.459, 25.321-25.459, 23.1501-23.1529, 25.1501- 25.1529 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1309 CS 23.1351 CS 25.1309 CS 25.1351 CS 25.1431 CS 27.1309 CS 27.1351 CS 29.1309 CS 29.1351 |

6.2.11.4 Merged with 12.1.6

6.2.11.5 Bus separation.

Where electrical power busses are operated in parallel, the system shall be designed so that there are no single points of failure which could adversely affect more than one power source. In addition, such a failure shall not cause any loss of function of the Vehicle Control System.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Load matching and balancing components.
- b. Bus switching components.
- c. Bus or load faults.
- d. Redundancy in power supply to VCF equipment.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) / Failure Modes, Effects and Criticality Analysis (FMECA) / Fault Tree Analysis (FTA) identifying the successful independence of power busses, robustness to single failures and continued operation of Vehicle Controls following failures of the electrical system.

See also section 12 for Electrical Systems requirements.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--|---|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.0, 3.1, 3.1.2, 3.1.2.1, 3.1.3, 3.1.7.2, 3.1.7.3, 3.1.10, 3.1.11.11.2, 3.1.12, 3.1.12.1, 3.1.14.4, 3.2.1.3, 3.2.2.2.2, 3.2.2.2.5, 3.2.3.1, and associated section 4 paragraphs | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.6.2 00-970 P1 6.6.3 00-970 P1 6.6.37 00-970 P1 6.6.104 00-970 P7 S7 L706 2.4 4671.1351-4671.1367 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.1351- 23.1367, 25.1351-25.1363, 23.141-23.253, 25.21-25.255, 23.321-23.459, 25.321-25.459, 23.1501-23.1529, 25.1501- 25.1529 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1309 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1309 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 178 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

6.2.11.6 Effects of failure modes.

The electrical power system shall be designed such that its characteristics do not adversely affect continued safety of flight.

Consideration should be given to:

a. All modes of the electrical power system including: normal, abnormal, and failure modes.

b. The potential effects of spikes, surges, or interrupts.

c. Provision of a separate emergency direct power source for the VCF where necessary to mitigate the effects of normal system failures.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) / Failure Modes, Effects and Criticality Analysis (FMECA) / Fault Tree Analysis (FTA) identifying the probability and effects of failures of the electrical system on the VCF.

2. Design documentation demonstrating that VCF equipment functions with supplied electrical power in normal, abnormal and failure modes of the aircraft's electrical supply system.

See also section 12 for Electrical Systems requirements.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|---|---|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.0, 3.1, 3.1.2, 3.1.2.1, 3.1.3, 3.1.7.2, 3.1.7.3, 3.1.11.11.2, 3.1.13, 3.1.14.4, 3.2.1.3, 3.2.2.2.2, 3.2.2.2.5, 3.3 thru 3.3.4, 3.3.6, 3.3.6.2, and associated section 4 paragraphs | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG | 00-970 P1 6.6.6 00-970 P1 6.6.7 00-970 P1 6.6.8 00-970 P1 6.6.18 00-970 P1 6.6.104 4671.1351-4671.1357 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.1351- 23.1367, 25.1351-25.1363, 23.141-23.253, 25.21-25.255, 23.321-23.459, 25.321-25.459, 23.1501-23.1529, 25.1501- 25.1529 | Reference: EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1309 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1309 |

6.2.11.7 Uninterruptible power.

Electrical power sources for the provision of direct supply to VCF shall not preclude continued safety of flight.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Numbers and type of direct supply sources.
- b. Utilization of circuit protection devices.
- c. Testing methodology.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Design documentation demonstrating that VCF equipment functions with supplied electrical power in normal, abnormal and failure modes of the aircraft's electrical supply system.

See also section 12 for Electrical Systems requirements.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 179 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--|---|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.0, 3.1, 3.1.2, 3.1.2.1, 3.1.3, 3.1.7.2, 3.1.7.3, 3.1.11, 3.1.11.11.2, 3.1.12, 3.1.12.1, 3.1.14.4, 3.2.1.3, 3.2.2.2.2, 3.2.2.2.5, 3.3 thru 3.3.4, 3.3.6, 3.3.6.2, and associated section 4 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG | 00-970 P1 6.6.6 00-970 P1 6.6.7 00-970 P1 6.6.8 00-970 P1 6.6.104 00-970 P7 S7 L706 2.7.1-2.7.3 4671.1351-4671.1367 |
| | paragraphs | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.1351- 23.1367, 25.1351-25.1363, 23.141-23.253, 25.21-25.255, 23.321-23.459, 25.321-25.459, 23.1501-23.1529, 25.1501- 25.1529 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1351-23.1367 CS 25.1351-25.1365 CS 27.1351-27-1367 CS 29.1351-29.1363 |

6.2.12 VCF electronic systems safety

6.2.12.1 Computer design.

The VCF computer/processor(s) shall be designed to ensure that processing hardware meets the specified requirements so as to ensure safety-of-flight (SOF). This includes providing speed of operation and levels of discrimination fully compatible with the intended performance of the control laws, and enabling all management functions to be effective without incurring significant penalties arising from time delays. In addition, the VCF computer/processor capacity requirements shall ensure there is sufficient margin or be capable of growth, to meet later expansion requirements.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring sufficient redundancy is incorporated to meet the safety requirements and to ensure that failures do not propagate;

b. Ensuring the processor can withstand all induced and natural environments;

c. Processing hardware requirements, and any specialized requirements;

d. VCF computer/processor capacity margins (typically 50%);

e. Accounting for noise sources of narrow-band signals such as harmonics of microprocessor clocks and power supply equipment.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Design documentation, supported by avionics rig testing and aircraft ground and flight testing as appropriate demonstrating the verification of computer components against their defined requirements.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|---|--------------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008:3.0, 4.0, 3.1, 4.1, 3.1.14.6, 4.1.14.6, 3.1.18, 4.1.18, 3.2.2.2, 4.2.2.2, 3.3, 4.3, 3.3.1, 4.3.1, 3.3.2, 4.3.2, 3.3.2.1, 4.3.2.1, 3.3.2.2, 4.3.2.2, 3.3.2.3, 4.3.2.3, 3.3.4, 4.3.4 (Note: Unverified - no access to JSSG-2008) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 3.10.19 4671.1329 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.141- | EASA CS | CS 23.1329 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 180 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | | |
|---|------------|--|
| 23.253, 25.21-25.255, 23.321- 23.459, 25.321-25.459, 23.1501-23.1529, 25.1501- 25.1529 | Reference: | CS 25.1329 CS 27.1329 CS 29.1329 |

6.2.12.2 Electronic sensors.

Electronic sensors utilised in the VCF shall be assured as safe.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The reliability of each sensor in isolation;

b. Redundancy and failure management of the electronic sensors and systems;

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Design documentation, supported by rig (system rigs, 'Iron Bird', etc), ground and flight testing (as appropriate) demonstrating the appropriate and safe use of electronic sensors in the design of the VCF.

2. Appropriate equipment qualification using a suitable standard (e.g. MIL-STD-810, DO-160, etc) demonstrating that the electronic sensors function through the range of environments and other conditions in which they are required to operate.

2. Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) / Failure Modes, Effects and Criticality Analysis (FMECA) / Fault Tree Analysis (FTA) demonstrating that the probability and effect of failure of VCF electronic sensors does not unacceptably increase the Probability of Loss of Control (PLOC) or Probability of Loss of Aircraft (PLOA).

| Inf | ormation Sources | | |
|--------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 S3.10.6 |
| | | Reference: | 00-970 P1 S3.10.8 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 S3.10.20 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 S3.10.28 |
| | | | 00-970 P7 L711 S6.5.1 |
| | | | 00-970 P9 UK FW.1309b |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

6.3 AERODYNAMICS AND PERFORMANCE.

6.3.1 Engine-airframe compatibility.

The installed propulsion system design shall consider engine and airframe compatibility in order to ensure safe operation of the aircraft.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Flow disturbances entering the engine from the inlet.

- b. Flow disturbances entering the engine from the afterburner/nozzle.
- c. Flow, mechanical and thermal interfaces with the airframe and subsystems.

d. Operation of flight, engine and subsystem controls.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 181 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Propulsion system instabilities are identified during design and development through test, analysis, and demonstration.

2. Exhaust system back pressure and nozzle matching effects are verified by analysis, test, and demonstration.

3. Thermal boundary, fuel, air induction, exhaust and bleed air extraction system, ambient temperature, ambient pressure, vibratory environment, and altitude cold start and hot restart capability effects are verified by analysis, test, and demonstration.

4. Steady state and transient response characteristics of the engine and engine control system, engine response to input signals at different frequencies, fuel flow modulation, engine control and vehicle control system communication, and auxiliary engine control function effects to propulsion system instabilities are verified by analysis, test, and demonstration.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-1797A JSSG 2007A Section 3.1.1.1 MIL-HDBK-516C 6.3.1 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 S5.1.15 00-970 P1 S5.1.16 00-970 P1 S5.1.17 00-970 P5 UK25.903a 00-970 P7 L700 S2.1 00-970 P9 S2 UK FW.901d |
| | | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.901 CS 23.903 CS 25.903 CS 27.901 CS 27.903 CS 29.901 CS 29.903 |

6.3.2 Performance information.

The aircraft performance information provided to the pilot/operator shall be suitably complete and accurate to ensure safe flight.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The types and level of detail of information relevant to the pilots' continued safe flight of the aircraft.

b. The information that may be required through all of the aircraft's flight phases (preparation, taxi, launch, take-off, climb, cruise, descent, approach and landing/recovery).

c. The information that may be required through manoeuvres (turning, hover, in-flight refuelling, etc.).

d. The information that may be required in emergencies or in the event of equipment failures.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. An aircraft force and moment accounting system.

2. Aerodynamic, propulsion, and mass properties databases, based on the latest information available.

3. Predictions of:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 182 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

- a. Trimmed lift and drag in and out of ground effect,
- b. Installed thrust, power available, and power required,
- c. Fuel flow and fuel quantity,
- d. Inertias, centre of gravity, and weights.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-HDBK-516C 6.3.2 | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 S7.3 |
| | | Reference: | 00 0101 1 01.0 |
| | | STANAG | 4671.1501 |
| | | Reference: | 4671.1505 |
| | | | 4671.1507 |
| | | | 4671.1513 |
| | | | 4671.1519 |
| | | | 4671.1521 |
| | | | 4671.1525 |
| | | | 4671.1527 |
| | | | 4671.1581 |
| | | | 4671.1583 |
| | | | 4671.1585 |
| | | | 4671.1587 |
| | | | 4671.1589 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.1501 |
| | | Reference: | CS 23.1505 |
| | | | CS 23.1507 |
| | | | CS 23.1511 |
| | | | CS 23.1513 |
| | | | CS 23.1519 |
| | | | CS 23.1521 |
| | | | CS 23.1525 |
| | | | CS 23.1527 |
| | | | CS 23.1581 |
| | | | CS 23.1583 |
| | | | CS 23.1585 |
| | | | CS 23.1587 |
| | | | CS 23.1589 |
| | | | CS 25.1501 |
| | | | CS 25.1503 |
| | | | CS 25.1505 |
| | | | CS 25.1507 |
| | | | CS 25.1516 |
| | | | CS 25.1517 |
| | | | CS 25.1519 |
| | | | CS 25.1521 |
| | | | CS 25.1525 |
| | | | CS 25.1527 |
| | | | CS 25.1531 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 183 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|--------------------------|
| | CS 25.1533 |
| | CS 25.1533 CS 25.1581 |
| | |
| | CS 25.1583 |
| | CS 25.1585 |
| | CS 25.1587 |
| | CS 25.1591 |
| | CS 27.1501 |
| | CS 27.1503 |
| | CS 27.1505 |
| | CS 27.1509 |
| | CS 27.1519 |
| | CS 27.1521 |
| | CS 27.1525 |
| | CS 27.1527 |
| | CS 27.1581 |
| | CS 27.1583 |
| | CS 27.1585 |
| | CS 27.1587 |
| | CS 27.1589 |
| | CS 29.1501 |
| | CS 29.1503 |
| | CS 29.1505 |
| | CS 29.1509 |
| | CS 29.1517 |
| | CS 29.1519 |
| | CS 29.1521 |
| | CS 29.1525 |
| | CS 29.1527 |
| | CS 29.1581 |
| | CS 29.1583 |
| | CS 29.1585 |
| | CS 29.1587 |
| | CS 29.1589 |
| | |

6.3.3 Performance limits.

All aircraft performance flight limits shall be provided to the pilot/operator to ensure safe operation.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Limits relevant to the pilots' continued safe flight of the aircraft.

b. Limits that may be required through all of the aircraft's flight phases (preparation, taxi, launch, take-off, climb, cruise, descent, approach and landing/recovery).

c. Limits that may be required through manoeuvres (turning, hover, in-flight refuelling, etc.).

d. Limits relevant in emergencies or in the event of equipment failures.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. An aircraft force and moment accounting system.

2. Aerodynamic, propulsion, and mass properties databases, based on the latest information available.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 184 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

- 3. Predictions of:
- a. Trimmed lift and drag in and out of ground effect,
- b. Installed thrust, power available, and power required,
- c. Fuel flow and fuel quantity,
- d. Inertias, centre of gravity, and weights.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-HDBK-516C 6.3.3 | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 S7.3 |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | 4671.1501 |
| | | Reference: | 4671.1505 |
| | | | 4671.1507 |
| | | | 4671.1513 |
| | | | 4671.1519 |
| | | | 4671.1521 |
| | | | 4671.1525 |
| | | | 4671.1527 |
| | | | 4671.1581 |
| | | | 4671.1583 |
| | | | 4671.1585 |
| | | | 4671.1587 |
| | | | 4671.1589 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.1501 |
| | | Reference: | CS 23.1505 |
| | | | CS 23.1507 |
| | | | CS 23.1511 |
| | | | CS 23.1513 |
| | | | CS 23.1519 |
| | | | CS 23.1521 |
| | | | CS 23.1525 |
| | | | CS 23.1527 |
| | | | CS 23.1581 |
| | | | CS 23.1583 |
| | | | CS 23.1585 |
| | | | CS 23.1587 |
| | | | CS 23.1589 |
| | | | CS 25.1501 |
| | | | CS 25.1503 |
| | | | CS 25.1505 |
| | | | CS 25.1507 |
| | | | CS 25.1516 |
| | | | CS 25.1517 |
| | | | CS 25.1519 |
| | | | CS 25.1521 |
| | | | CS 25.1525 |
| | | | CS 25.1527 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 185 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|--------------------------|
| | CS 25.1531 |
| | CS 25.1531 |
| | CS 25.1535 CS 25.1581 |
| | CS 25.1581 |
| | CS 25.1585 |
| | |
| | CS 25.1587 |
| | CS 25.1591 |
| | CS 27.1501 |
| | CS 27.1503 |
| | CS 27.1505 |
| | CS 27.1509 |
| | CS 27.1519 |
| | CS 27.1521 |
| | CS 27.1525 |
| | CS 27.1527 |
| | CS 27.1581 |
| | CS 27.1583 |
| | CS 27.1585 |
| | CS 27.1587 |
| | CS 27.1589 |
| | CS 29.1501 |
| | CS 29.1503 |
| | CS 29.1505 |
| | CS 29.1509 |
| | CS 29.1517 |
| | CS 29.1519 |
| | CS 29.1521 |
| | CS 29.1525 |
| | CS 29.1527 |
| | CS 29.1581 |
| | CS 29.1583 |
| | CS 29.1585 |
| | CS 29.1587 |
| | CS 29.1589 |
| | |

6.3.4 Performance information.

Failures that appreciably affect the performance of the aircraft shall be identified and defined in the Aircraft Flight Manual or other aircraft document. For such failures, the effect on the aircraft's performance shall be characterised and defined in the same document.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Failures that could affect the continued safe flight of the aircraft.

b. Failures affecting performance through all of the aircraft's flight phases (preparation, taxi, launch, takeoff, climb, cruise, descent, approach and landing/recovery).

c. Failures affecting performance through manoeuvres (turning, hover, in-flight refuelling, etc.).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 186 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

- 1. An aircraft force and moment accounting system.
- 2. Aerodynamic, propulsion, and mass properties databases, based on the latest information available.
- 3. Predictions of:
- a. Trimmed lift and drag in and out of ground effect,
- b. Installed thrust, power available, and power required,
- c. Fuel flow and fuel quantity,
- d. Inertias, centre of gravity, and weights.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-HDBK-516C 6.3.4 | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 187 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

SECTION 7 - PROPULSION AND PROPULSION INSTALLATIONS

This section covers the design, installation, arrangement and compatibility of the complete aircraft propulsion system and subsystem components.

Included within the scope of this section are:

- Propulsion Safety Management Criteria, necessary to identify, analyse and mitigate propulsion system risks.
- General Engine System Criteria, necessary to ensure that the engine and associated subsystems functionality, performance and operation allows safe operation of the aircraft. The scope of this section encompasses both installed and uninstalled propulsions system and covers:
 - Normal engine operation and performance;
 - Degraded engine operation and performance;
 - Consideration of all installation effects (functional, physical and compatibility) due to aircraft/engine integration;
 - Engine subsystems, components, computer resources and software;
 - Performance across all intended operational environments.
- Alternate Propulsions Systems Criteria for propeller driven systems, rotary wing platforms and reciprocating engines.

The certification team will need to align and review all of the propulsion criteria when defining the certification requirements for engines, propellers, and Rotary Wing and Fixed Wing integration. For guidance, a cross reference matrix of the EMACC Handbook Section 7 structure to an equivalent EASA Civil Structure has been produced below.

| | | Civil St | ructure | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| PROPULSION AND PROPULSION INSTALLATIONS (EMACC Structure) | Engines | Propellers | FW Installation | RW installation | |
| CERTIFICATION CRITERIA | | | | | |
| 7.1 Propulsion Risk Management. | | | | | |
| 7.1.1 Safety-critical propulsion system | х | х | х | х | |
| 7.1.2 Engine Out | | | х | х | |
| 7.1.3 Technical data | | | | | |
| 7.1.5 Critical safety items | | х | х | х | |
| 7.1.6 Propulsion system operation | | х | х | х | |
| 7.2 Gas turbine engine applications. | 7.2 Gas turbine engine applications. | | | | |
| 7.2.1 Performance. | 7.2.1 Performance. | | | | |
| 7.2.1.1 Installed performance | х | х | х | х | |
| 7.2.1.1.1 Volcanic Conditions | | | | | |
| 7.2.1.2 Degraded performance | | х | х | х | |
| 7.2.2 Operability. | | | | | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 188 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| 7.2.2.1 Stability margin | x | x | x | x |
|---|------------|----------|--------|--------|
| 7.2.2.2 Transient operation | | | | |
| 7.2.2.3 Air start | X | X | X | x |
| 7.2.2.4 Stall recoverability | x | x x | x x | x x |
| 7.2.3 Structures. | ^ | ^ | ~ | ^ |
| 7.2.3.1 Engine structure. | Y | v | | |
| 7.2.3.2 Through life Durability | X | x | | - |
| | X | X | | |
| 7.2.3.3 Damage tolerance | X | X | | |
| 7.2.3.4 Material characterization. | X | x | | |
| 7.2.3.5 Design service life | X | x | | |
| 7.2.3.6 Life management | x | X | | |
| 7.2.4 Engine subsystems, components, computer resource | ces and so | oftware. | | |
| 7.2.4.1 Subsystems. | | 1 | 1 | |
| 7.2.4.1.1 Engine control system | x | х | | |
| 7.2.4.1.2 Isolation of subsystems | | | х | x |
| 7.2.4.1.3 Stability | x | х | | |
| 7.2.4.1.4 Failure modes | х | х | | |
| 7.2.4.1.5 Failure criticality | х | х | х | х |
| 7.2.4.1.6 Fuel system | х | х | х | х |
| 7.2.4.1.7 Ignition system | х | х | | |
| 7.2.4.1.7.1 Battery operated ignition systems at a platform level | x | х | | |
| 7.2.4.1.8 Anti-ice/de-ice systems | х | х | | |
| 7.2.4.1.9 Cooling and thermal management | х | х | х | х |
| 7.2.4.1.10 Variable geometry systems | х | х | х | х |
| 7.2.4.1.11 Lubrication system operation | х | х | х | х |
| 7.2.4.1.12 Lubrication system discharge | х | х | х | х |
| 7.2.4.1.13 Lubrication system non-combustion | х | х | х | х |
| 7.2.4.1.14 Propulsion monitoring system | х | х | х | х |
| 7.2.4.1.15 Engine bleed air system | х | х | х | х |
| 7.2.4.2 Components: mechanical and electrical. | | | | |
| 7.2.4.2.1 Controls and subsystems rotating components | х | х | х | х |
| 7.2.4.2.2 Bearing thrust balance | х | х | х | х |
| 7.2.4.2.3 Tubing/plumbing routing | х | х | | |
| 7.2.4.2.4 Tubing/plumbing vibratory response | х | х | х | х |
| 7.2.4.2.5 Externals maximum operating conditions | х | х | | |
| 7.2.4.2.6 Gearboxes | х | х | | |
| 7.2.4.2.7 Gearbox mounted component failures | x | х | | |
| 7.2.4.2.8 PTO shaft | x | x | | 1 |
| 7.2.4.2.9 Electrical components and cable routing | x | x | | |
| 7.2.4.2.10 Electromagnetic environment | х | x | | |
| 7.2.4.2.12 Electrical power | х | x | x | x |
| 7.2.4.2.13 Computer resources and software | x | x | x | x |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 189 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| 7.2.5 Installations. | | | |
|---|------------|---|---|
| 7.2.5.1 Physical Installation. | | | |
| 7.2.5.1.1 Physical interfaces | | х | х |
| 7.2.5.1.2 Engine mounts | | х | х |
| 7.2.5.1.3 Power-take-off (PTO) shaft vibratory response | | х | х |
| 7.2.5.1.4 Uncontained rotating parts | | x | х |
| 7.2.5.1.5 Engine/aircraft clearances | | x | х |
| 7.2.5.1.6 Drains and ventilation systems | | x | х |
| 7.2.5.1.7 Engine stall loads | | x | х |
| 7.2.5.1.8 Installed engine accessibility | | х | х |
| 7.2.5.1.9 FOD/DOD | | х | х |
| 7.2.5.2 Functional installation. | | | • |
| 7.2.5.2.1 Functional compatibility | | х | х |
| 7.2.5.2.2 Power demands/extractions | | x | x |
| 7.2.5.2.3 Bleed air contamination | | х | x |
| 7.2.5.2.4 Engine shutdown | | х | х |
| 7.2.5.3 Inlet compatibility. | - I | | I |
| 7.2.5.3.1 Inlet compatibility | | х | х |
| 7.2.5.4 Exhaust system compatibility. | • • | | 1 |
| 7.2.5.4.1 Exhaust gas impingement | | х | х |
| 7.2.5.4.2 Thrust reverser/thrust vectoring | | x | х |
| 7.2.5.5 Environmental compatibility. | | | ł |
| 7.2.5.5.1 Engine bay/nacelle cooling and ventilation | | х | х |
| 7.2.5.5.2 Vibratory compatibility | | х | х |
| 7.2.5.6 Installation other. | | | ł |
| 7.2.5.6.1 Crew/operator station compatibility | | х | х |
| 7.3 Alternate propulsion systems. | | | • |
| 7.3.1 Propeller driven systems. | | | |
| 7.3.1.1 Design margins | x | | |
| 7.3.1.2 Critical speeds | х | | |
| 7.3.1.3 Reversing and pitch controls | x | | |
| 7.3.1.4 Propeller interfaces | х | х | |
| 7.3.1.5 Feathering system | х | | |
| 7.3.1.7 Vibration and balancing | х | | |
| 7.3.1.8 Ice control system | х | | |
| 7.3.1.9 Bird strike resistance | х | | |
| 7.3.1.10 Environmental conditions | x | | |
| 7.3.2 Rotary wing systems. | | | - |
| 7.3.2.1 Design margins | | | х |
| 7.3.2.2 Safe controllability | | | х |
| 7.3.2.3 Main rotor blade passage frequencies | | | x |
| 7.3.2.4 Engine/airframe vibratory response | | | x |
| 7.3.2.5 Lubrication system | | | х |

Status: endorsed

| 7.3.2.6 Dynamic coupling | x |
|---|---|
| 7.3.2.7 Control system stability | х |
| 7.3.2.8 Misalignment | x |
| 7.3.2.9 Rotor securing | x |
| 7.3.2.10 Braking | x |
| 7.3.2.11 Condition monitoring | x |
| 7.3.2.12 Load absorbers | x |
| 7.3.2.13 Loss of lubrication | x |
| 7.3.2.14 Rotor meshing | x |
| 7.3.2.15 Accessory drives | x |
| 7.3.2.16 Environmental conditions | x |
| 7.3.2.17 Drive system design | x |
| 7.3.2.18 Space envelope | x |
| 7.3.2.19 Protection from environmental elements | x |
| 7.3.2.20 Accessibility | x |
| 7.3.2.21 Faults and warnings | x |
| 7.3.2.22 Contamination | х |
| 7.3.3 Reciprocating engines. | |
| 7.3.3.1 Reciprocating engines | |
| 7.3.4 Other propulsion systems | |

TYPICAL CERTIFICATION SOURCE DATA

- 1. Design criteria
- 2. Design studies and analyses
- 3. Design, installation, and operational characteristics
- 4. Engine ground and simulated altitude tests
- 5. Engine design function/system compatibility tests
- 6. Engine component and functional level qualification and certification tests
- 7. Electromagnetic environmental effects
- 8. Installed propulsion compatibility tests
- 9. Acceptance test results
- 10. Failure modes, effects, and criticality analysis/testing (FMECA/FMET)
- 11. Hazard analysis and classification
- 12. Safety certification program
- 13. Engine endurance and accelerated mission testing
- 14. Engine and component structural and aeromechanical tests
- 15. Flight test plans and results
- 16. Engine structural integrity program (ENSIP) analyses and tests
- 17. Engine life management plans
- 18. Over-speed and over-temperature tests
- 19. Overall engine and component performance analyses
- 20. Flight manual
- 21. Natural environmental sensitivities
- 22. Inlet airflow distortion/engine stability assessments and audits
- 23. Interface/integration control documents

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 191 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

- 24. Function, subfunction, and component specifications
- 25. Selection criteria and inlet distortion patterns selected to demonstrate inlet/engine compatibility.
- 26. Engine control system rig tests
- 27. Engine health monitoring system design reports and tests
- 28. Aircraft/engine operating limitations
- 29. Engine software development plan and product specifications
- 30. Engine software test plans, test procedures and test reports
- 31. Engine software configuration control/management plan and procedure
- 32. Propulsion and Power Flight Clearance Plan, JSSG-2007A, Table XLVIIIb
- 33. Diminishing manufacturing sources plan
- 34. Obsolete parts plan

CERTIFICATION CRITERIA

7.1 PROPULSION RISK MANAGEMENT.

This section details Propulsion Risk Management Criteria, necessary to identify, analyse and mitigate propulsion system risks.

7.1.1 Safety-critical propulsion system.

The propulsion system certification documentation shall be reviewed to ensure that a satisfactory safety analysis has been completed.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Integrating the engine safety analysis into the platform safety management system;

b. All constituent sub-systems (typically control systems);

c. Direct lift engine systems and any safety requirements over and above those for a standard installation;

d. Ensuring the safety-critical propulsion system risks are identified, probabilities are validated, and risk controls (which may be related to airframe specific measures including configuration features) are in place;

e. Contribution to, or mitigation of propulsion risks introduced by integrating systems (typically ground support systems).

f. Ensuring maintenance and inspection requirements are documented in the technical data.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Failure Modes Effects and Criticality Analysis (FMECA) and System Safety Hazard Analysis.

2. A Documented system safety approach to describe the practices to manage propulsion risks to the required in-flight shutdown rates.

3. Review of technical data to ensure maintenance and inspection requirements and special procedures have been documented.

| ſ | Information Sources | | | |
|---|---------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| | Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP 5580 | | |
| | DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2007A: A.3.1, A.4.1; A.3.2, A.4.2; A.3.2.1, A.4.2.1; A.3.3.1, A.4.3.1; A.3.3.2, | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 3.9 00-970 P1 3.10 00-970 P1 4.19.34 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 192 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|----------|--|-----------------------|---|
| | A.4.3.2; A3.4, A.4.4; A.3.5.1, A.4.5.1; A.3.7, A.4.7; A.3.7.2.1, A.4.7.2.1; A.3.11, A.4.11; A.3.12, A.4.12; Table XLIXa | | 00-970 P1 5.1.140 00-970 P11 S3 E690 00-970 P11 S4.1 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1529 4671.901 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 33.5, 33.35, 33.75, 33.8 AC 33-2B | EASA CS Reference: | CS-E 25 CS-E 510 CS 23.901 CS 23.903 CS 23.1529 CS 25.901 CS 25.903 CS 25.1529 CS 27.1529 CS 29.1529 |

7.1.2 Engine Out

An engine out condition on a multi-engine aircraft shall not prevent the safe recovery of the aircraft.

Consideration should be given to all phases of flight including:

- a. Take-off;
- b. Cruise;
- c. Landing;

d. Requirements to satisfy Extended Range Twin Operations (ETOPS) where appropriate.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2007A: A.3.2, A.4.2, A.3.11, A.4.11, A.3.12, A.4.12 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 2.4.22 00-970 P1 2.4.23 00-970 P1 2.14 00-970 P1 3.4.11 00-970 P1 4.14.13 00-970 P1 5.1.3 00-970 P1 6.12.15 00-970 P1 7.1.2 00-970 P13 1.5.1.5 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.U2 4671.143 4671.367 4671.745 4671.1413 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 33.35, 33.5, 33.7, 33.8 AC 33-2B | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.143 CS 23.367 CS 23.745 CS 23.903 CS 23.1309 CS 25.143 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 193 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|------------|
| | CS 25.105 |
| | CS 25.107 |
| | CS 25.109 |
| | CS 25. 117 |
| | CS 25.121 |
| | CS 25.362 |
| | CS 25.367 |
| | CS 25.901 |
| | CS 25.1309 |
| | CS 27.33 |
| | CS 27.51 |
| | CS 27.75 |
| | CS 27.143 |
| | CS 27.917 |
| | CS 27.1309 |
| | CS 29.33 |
| | CS 29.53 |
| | CS 29.63 |
| | CS 29.79 |
| | CS 29.83 |
| | CS 29.143 |
| | CS 29.917 |
| | CS 29.1309 |

7.1.3 Technical data.

The technical data provided by the manufacturer shall include all propulsion system related operational and maintenance procedures and limitations necessary for safe operation and maintenance of the aircraft.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Normal and emergency operating procedures.
- b. Requirements for unscheduled maintenance.
- c. Requirements for routine maintenance.
- d. Information relating to component lifing requirements.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Inspection of the maintenance and inspection of the technical orders and flight manuals provides assurance that all information is current and up to date.

2. Review of the system and process used to maintain the technical orders and flight manuals provides assurance that critical information will be correctly updated in a timely manner.

| Int | ormation Sources | | |
|--------------|------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 2.1 00-970 P1 4.2.20 00-970 P1 4.4.3 00-970 P1 5.1.8 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 194 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|----------|---------------------------|------------|---------------------------|
| | | | 00-970 P11 3.E20 |
| | | | 00-970 P11 3.E510 |
| | | | 00-970 P11 3.E515 |
| | | STANAG | 4671.1501-4671.1589(USAR) |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.1585 | EASA CS | CS 23.1501-23.1589 |
| | | Reference: | CS 25.1501-25.1589 |
| | | | CS 27.1501-27.1589 |
| | | | CS 29.1501-29.1589 |
| | | | CS-E 20 |
| | | | CS-E 510 |
| | | | CS-P 150 |
| | | | CS-P 160 |

7.1.4 Merged with Section 4.6

7.1.5 Critical safety items.

Engine-related critical safety items (CSI) and critical characteristics shall be identified.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Propulsion system parts, assemblies, or installations containing critical characteristics whose failure, malfunction, or absence may cause a catastrophic or critical failure.

b. Control inputs which could result in an uncommanded engine shutdown that jeopardizes safety.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Inspection of the Critical Safety Item (CSI) list and FMECA to ensure that all items have been accounted for.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG 2007A: 3.4.1.4 DoDM 4140.01, Vol 11, Enc 3, | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P11 3.E515 |
| | Procedures 3, CSI-Specific Procedures NAVAIRINST 4200.56 Critical Item Management Desktop Guide (to NAVAIR 4200.56) JACG Aviation Critical Safety | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1529 |
| FAA Doc: | Item Management Handbook | EASA CS Reference: | CS-E 20 CS-E 510 CS-E 515 CS-P 150 CS-P 160 CS 23.1529 CS 25.1529 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 195 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|------------|
| | CS 27.1529 |
| | CS 29.1529 |

7.1.6 Propulsion system operation.

Engine thrust or power, fuel consumption, endurance, and structural integrity should be characterized with representative installation effects over the expected flight and manoeuvre envelope and shown to support the safe operation of the aircraft.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Inlet effects due to:

i. External protuberances (sensors, probes);

ii. Anti-ice devices;

iii. Sand and dust separators;

iv. Exhaust system effects due to infrared (IR) or noise suppressors;

v. Extractions due to bleed air and mechanical power.

b. Operational environments such as cold and hot days, and weather such as rain, snow, or ice.

c. Operational environments can also include bird, ice, sand, volcanic ash ingestion, as well as hot gas ingestion from any source (including armament gases).

d. The manoeuvre envelope, including rotational velocities, accelerations, and gyroscopic moment conditions.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. A combination of engine test, analysis and review of documentation.

2. Verification of baseline performance, installation effects and deterioration caused by the operational environment at representative ground and altitude conditions.

3. Analysis performed with a model based on measured test data for characterization of performance at conditions that have not been tested.

4. Analysis to verify that component deflections under gyroscopic loading conditions do not impair operation of the engine under ultimate loading levels and meet life requirements under limit load conditions.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2007: A.3.2, A.4.2 (Performance and Operability); A.3.3, A.4.3 (Environmental Conditions); A.3.4, A.4.4 (Integrity) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 Pt1 Sec 5.1.33 to 5.1.39 00-970 Pt 11 Sec 2.3 00-970 Pt 11 Sec 2.10 00-970 Pt 11 Sec 2.11 00-970 Pt 11 Sec 2.13.4 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.USAR: 901 4671.USAR: 903 |
| FAA Doc: | 14 CFR 33.23, 33.5, 33.35, 33.7, 33.75, 33.8, 33.91 | EASA CS Reference: | CS-E 510 CS-E 515 CS-E 40 CS-E 100 CS-E 170 CS-E 250 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 196 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|-----------|
| | CS-E 560 |
| | CS-P 150 |
| | CS 23.901 |
| | CS 25.901 |
| | CS 27.901 |
| | CS 29.901 |

7.2 GAS TURBINE ENGINE APPLICATIONS.

This section details General Engine System Criteria, necessary to ensure that the engine and associated subsystems functionality, performance and operation allows safe operation of the aircraft. The scope of this section encompasses both installed and uninstalled propulsions system and covers:

- Normal engine operation and performance;
- Degraded engine operation and performance;
- Consideration of all installation effects (functional, physical and compatibility) due to aircraft/engine integration;
- Engine subsystems, components, computer resources and software;
- Performance across all intended operational environments.

7.2.1 Performance.

7.2.1.1 Installed performance.

Engine performance shall be adequate for safe operation of the aircraft. This includes consideration of all installation effects due to aircraft/engine integration, and all intended operational environments.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Engine steady and transient response characteristics of the engine and engine control system, including Reheat Modulation

b. Fuel flow modulation

c. Engine responses to input signals at different frequencies

d. Engine control and vehicle control system communication

e. Fuel, air induction, exhaust and bleed air extraction systems, ambient temperatures, ambient pressures, and vibratory environment

f. Performance rating structure

g. Performance deterioration throughout normal operating conditions

h. Performance deterioration due to particular ingestion conditions (rain, hail, birds, sand, ice, snow, etc.)

i. Sensitivity, stability, control response, and torque predictability for engine and vehicle control during engine power changes (acceleration and deceleration)

j. Auxiliary engine control functions

k. Altitude cold start and hot restart capability

I. Relight

m. Pressure and drag effects due to engine installation protuberances such as sensors and probes

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. A combination of engine tests and analyses.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 197 /675 | I |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|---|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|---|

2. Testing at representative ground and altitude conditions to characterize and verify baseline performance.

3. Analyses performed with a model based on measured test data for characterization of performance at conditions that have not been tested.

4. The trend toward system integration may lead to Electronic Engine Control Systems that:

i. Have other control functions integrated within the Engine Control System, such as an integrated Engine and Propeller Control System or,

ii. Depend on aircraft resources.

Examples of these aircraft supplied resources include recording of rotorcraft One Engine Inoperative data and aircraft central computers that perform some or all of the Engine control functions.

The applicant is responsible for specifying the specifications for the EECS for these aircraft supplied resources in the Engine instructions for installation and substantiating the adequacy of those specifications (AMC E 20 Engine Configuration and Interfaces).

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2007: A.3.1, A.4.1; A.3.7, A.4.7; A.3.2, A.4.2; A.4.2.1, A.4.2.1.1; A.3.3.1, A.4.3.1; A.3.3.2, A.4.3.2; A.3.11, A.4.11; A.3.12, A.4.12; Table XLIXa and JSSG-2001B | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 2.4 00-970 P1 2.5 00-970 P1 5.1.33-5.1.39 00-970 P1 5.1.127-5.1.134 00-970 P1 5.1.135 |
| | 3.3.1.1, 4.3.1.1 | STANAG Reference: | 4671.901 4671.1301 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 33.5, 33.35, 33.7, 33.8, FAA AC 33.2B | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.901 CS 23.1301 CS 23.1309 CS 25.901 CS 25.943 CS 25.1301 CS 25.1309 CS 25.1309 CS 27.901 CS 27.1301 CS 29.901 CS 29.1309 CS 29.1301 CS 29.1309 CS-E 20 CS-E 40 CS-E 430 CS-E 440 CS-E 440 CS-E 740 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 198 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

7.2.1.1.1 Volcanic Conditions

The ability of any aircraft to operate in, or in the vicinity of, a volcanic ash cloud shall be clearly understood and detailed in the aircraft operating manuals. It is understood that military operational imperatives may override this regulatory criteria as necessary.

Consideration should be given to:

Engine abrasion corrosion;

a. Blockage of engine cooling ducts/vents or paths;

b. Aircraft skin and transparency abrasion;

c. Damage to systems from ingestion of particles (air conditioning, electronic cooling, contamination of surfaces or fluids)

e. Blockage of air data system (pitot or static systems);

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. A combination of engine tests and analyses.

2. Analyses performed with a model based on measured test data for characterization of performance at conditions that have not been tested.

| Inf | ormation Sources | | |
|--------------|------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 25.1593 |
| | | Reference: | |

7.2.1.2 Degraded performance.

Degraded engine performance shall meet the relevant requirements for safety.

In addition to considerations defined in 7.2.1.1, consideration should be given to:

- a. Performance in any backup control mode.
- b. Performance after bird, excessive ice, rain, or sand ingestion.
- c. Performance for time limited dispatch

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. A combination of engine tests and analyses.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2007A: Backup control: A.3.7.2.1.1, A.4.7.2.1.1; Bird ingestion: JSSG-2007A A.3.3.2.1, A.4.3.2.1; Ice ingestion: A.3.3.1.4, A.4.3.1.4; and Sand ingestion: A.3.3.2.4, | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P11 3.E50 00-970 P11 3.E540 00-970 P11 3.E780 00-970 P11 3.E790 00-970 P11 3.E800 |
| | A.4.3.2.4 | STANAG Reference: | 4671.903 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 199 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|-----------|---|-----------------------|--|
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 33.65 Surge and stall characteristics; 33.73 Power or thrust response; and 33.89 Operation test | EASA CS Reference: | CS-E 20e CS-E 50 CS-E 540 CS-E 580 CS-E 700 CS-E 780 CS-E 790 CS-E 800 CS-E 820 CS-E 1030 |

7.2.2 Operability.

7.2.2.1 Stability margin.

Adequate positive stability margin shall exist in all flight conditions, or placards shall be documented in the flight manual.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring positive engine surge margin at conditions that are critical to the safety of the flight vehicle, such as crosswind take-offs, take-offs on cold days following a rapid reaction start, and extreme manoeuvers.

b. All destabilizing effects, such as: engine deterioration, non-standard day effects, steam ingestion, armament gas ingestion, liquid water ingestion, and transient response.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Rig and/or engine tests to measure fan and compressor stall lines.

2. A stability methodology developed by testing fan/compressor sensitivity to distortion and other destabilizing influences.

3. Inlet model tests conducted to quantify the levels of performance, distortion, and inlet stability.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|---|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2007A: A.3.2.2.6, A.4.2.2.6, A.3.2.2.11, A.4.2.2.11, A.3.3.2.5, A.4.3.2.5, A.3.3.2.6, A.4.3.2.6, A.3.3.2.7, A.4.3.2.7 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG | 00-970 P1 2.13.14 00-970 P1 5.1.12 00-970 P1 5.1.15 00-970 P1 5.1.138 00-970 P1 5.1.140 00-970 P1 5.1.140 00-970 P11 3.E500 00-970 P11 3.E745 4671, 939 |
| | | Reference: | 4671.1521 4671.1541 4671.1581 4671.1583 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 33.65, 33.73 (stability), 33.5 (distortion) | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.939 CS 23.1521 CS 23.1541 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 200 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|------------|
| | CS 23.1581 |
| | CS 23.1583 |
| | CS 25.939 |
| | CS 25.1521 |
| | CS 25.1541 |
| | CS 25.1581 |
| | CS 25.1583 |
| | CS 27.939 |
| | CS 27.1521 |
| | CS 27.1541 |
| | CS 27.1581 |
| | CS 27.1583 |
| | CS 29.939 |
| | CS 29.1521 |
| | CS 29.1541 |
| | CS 29.1581 |
| | CS 29.1583 |
| | CS-E 25 |
| | CS-E 50 |
| | CS-E 500 |
| | CS-E 745 |

7.2.2.2 Transient operation.

The engine shall have adequate stability during throttle transients to achieve required manoeuvres safely.

Consideration should be given to the full range of activities which include, but are not limited to:

- a. Land and ship approaches, AAR, quick stops, use of reverse thrust, and VSTOL;
- b. For rotorcraft, bob-up and re-mask, and nap of the earth ridgeline crossings.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Analysis, electronic and closed loop bench tests, engine tests, vehicle integration tests, flight tests and inspection of documentation.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2007A: A.3.2.2.6, A.4.2.2.6, A.3.2.2.7, A.4.2.2.7 MIL-HDBK-516: criteria 7.2.4.1.3 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 2.13.14 00-970 P13 3.5.68 00-970 P13 3.5.73 00-970 P1 2.19.26-2.19.32 00-970 P1 5.1.15 00-970 P1 5.1.138 00-970 P1 5.1.140 00-970 Pt 11 3.E500 00-970 Pt 11 3.E740 00-970 Pt 11 3.E745 |
| | | STANAG | 4671.939 |
| | | Reference: | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 201 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|----------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|---|
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 33.65, 33.73, 33.89 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.939 CS 25.939 CS 27.939 CS 29.939 CS-E 50 CS-E 500 CS-E 740 CS-E 745 CS-E 890 |

7.2.2.3 Air start.

The requirements for an in-flight engine relight, or air-start, ability shall be met, and the associated procedures and any limitations documented in the flight manual.

Consideration should be given to:

- 1. Engine spool-down.
- 2. Windmill start.
- 3. Start using cross-bleed, or starter-assisted as appropriate.
- 4. Hot and cold relights.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

- 1. Initial verification through ground testing in altitude test cells.
- 2. Verification though flight test.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2007A: A.3.2.2.3.2, A.4.2.2.3.2 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 5.1.57 00-970 P1 5.1.86-5.1.89 00-970 P1 5.1.136 00-970 P1 5.1.140 00-970 P11 S2.5 00-970 P11 3E.910 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.903 4671.1585 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 33.89 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.903 CS 23.1581 CS 23.1585 CS 25.903 CS 25.1581 CS 25.1585 CS 27.903 CS 27.1581 CS 27.1585 CS 29.903 CS 29.1581 CS 29.1585 CS 29.1585 CS-E 370 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 202 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|----|-------------------|----------------------|--|
| | | CS-E 500 CS-E 910 | |

7.2.2.4 Stall recoverability.

The engine shall recover from any instability induced by external influences (such as inlet distortion, steam, or armament gas ingestion) after the external influence is removed, without employing measures such as commanded idle or shutdown, and without exceeding thermal or structural limits.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Automatic relight system for single engine applications.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Verification of control system detection by engine ground and bench testing.

2. Self-recovery is demonstrated from engine ground and altitude cell testing.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2007A: A.3.2.2.3.5, A.4.2.2.3.5, A.3.2.2.11.2, A.4.2.2.11.2, A.3.7.2.1, A.4.7.2.1 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 5.1.41 00-970 P1 5.1.139 00-970 P13 3.2.36 00-970 P11 4.5 00-970 P11 4.6.1 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1091 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 33.28, 33.65 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1091 CS 25.1091 CS 27.1091 CS 29.1091 CS-E 50* CS-E 500 CS-E 540 |

7.2.3 Structures.

7.2.3.1 Engine structure.

The engine structure shall not:

1. Exhibit detrimental permanent set or deflect to the extent that operation or performance is impaired when operated to limit load conditions (singly or in combination) within the flight or ground envelope.

2. Experience catastrophic failure under ultimate load conditions or combinations of ultimate loading.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Definitions of limit and ultimate loads.
- b. Integrity of engine case and pressure vessels including pressure balance and blade containment.

c. Engine mounts and associated structure.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 203 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

1. Verification methods include analysis, test, demonstration, simulation, inspection and review of documentation for:

- Factor of safety (SF)
- Rotor integrity
- Gyroscopic moments
- Disk burst speed
- Blade and disk deflection
- Blade out
- Engine mounts

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-HDBK-1783B: A.3.4.1.6, A.4.4.1.6 Strength; A.3.1.1.4.3, A.4.1.1.4.3 Engine Stiffness; A.3.4.1.2.1, A.4.4.1.2.1 Externally Applied Forces | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P11 2.11.2 00-970 P11 3.70 00-970 P11 3.100 00-970 P11 3.510 00-970 P11 3.680 00-970 P11 3.810 00-970 P11 S4 |
| | | STANAG | 4671.361 |
| | | Reference: | 4671.363 |
| | | | 4671.371 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 33.75, 33.91, 33.23 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.305 CS 23.361 CS 23.363 CS 23.371 CS 25.361 CS 25.362 CS 25.363 CS 25.371 CS 27.361 CS 27.549 CS 29.361 |
| | | | CS 29.549 CS-E 70 CS-E 100 CS-E 510 CS-E 680 CS-E 810 |

7.2.3.2 Through life Durability.

The engine shall have a positive durability margin over the defined operational interval and duty cycle to preclude adverse safety impacts.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Cycle fatigue life margins.
- b. Vibratory stresses.
- c. Degradation due to material corrosion.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 204 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

d. Foreign object/domestic object damage

e. Requirements regarding inspection procedures/intervals during operation.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Verification methods include analysis, test, demonstration, simulation, inspection and review of documentation for:

- Low cycle fatigue margin (LCF)
- High cycle fatigue margin (LCF)
- Corrosion
- Creep
- Vibration
- Acoustic environment

| Int | formation Sources | [| |
|--------------|--|---|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2007: A.3.4.1.5, A.4.4.1.5, Durability; A.3.4.1.5.2, A.4.4.1.5.2, LCF; A.3.3.1.5,A.4.3.1.5, Corrosive atmosphere; A.3.4.1.8,A.4.4.1.8, Vibration and dynamic response; A.3.4.1.5.1, A.4.4.1.5.1, High cycle fatigue (HCF) life guidance; A.3.2.1.4, A.4.2.1.4, Performance retention guidance; A.3.4.1.10, A.4.4.1.10 Acoustic noise; A.3.3.2.2, A.4.3.2.2, Foreign object damage (FOD) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P11 2.13 00-970 P11 3.25 00-970 P11 3.70 00-970 P11 3.90 00-970 P11 3.140 00-970 P11 3.270 00-970 P11 3.270 00-970 P11 3.340 00-970 P11 3.510 00-970 P11 3.510 00-970 P11 3.650 00-970 P11 3.700 00-970 P11 3.740 00-970 P11 4.7 00-970 P11 4.9.1 00-970 P11 4.12.1 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 33.14, 33.5, 33.63, 33.83, 33.19 | EASA CS Reference: | CS-E 25 CS-E 70 CS-E 90 CS-E 140 CS-E 270 CS-E 340 CS-E 440 CS-E 510 CS-E 650 CS-E 700 CS-E 740 |

7.2.3.3 Damage tolerance.

All safety and mission-critical parts shall be designed to be damage tolerant over the defined operational interval and duty cycle.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 205 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring that safety and mission critical parts are serialized, properly marked and tracked, and subjected to the required process control and NDI procedures.

b. Requirements regarding inspection procedures/intervals during operation.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Verification methods include analysis, test, demonstration, simulation, inspection and review of documentation for:

- Fracture critical component
- Initial flaw size
- Residual strength
- Damage tolerance controls.

2. Damage Tolerance assessments should be performed to minimise the potential for Failure from material, manufacturing and service-induced anomalies within the Approved Life of the part. (AMC E 515 Engine Critical Parts)

| Int | ormation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|---|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2007: A.3.4.1.4, A.4.4.1.4 Parts classification; A.3.4.1.7, A.4.4.1.7 Damage tolerance; 3.4.1.7 through 3.4.1.7.4 and A.4.4.1.7 through A.4.4.1.7.4, Composites damage tolerance | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG | 00-970 P1 3.25 00-970 P1 3.70 00-970 P1 3.80 00-970 P1 3.130 00-970 P1 3.510 00-970 P1 3.515 00-970 P1 3.570 00-970 P1 3.700 00-970 P11 4.7 |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 33.75 | EASA CS Reference: | CS-E 25 CS-E 70 CS-E 80 CS-E 130 CS-E 510 CS-E 515 CS-E 570 CS-E 700 |

7.2.3.4 Material characterization.

Material properties shall be based on the minimum specified for each material used and established considering statistical variability, the expected environments, fabrication processes, repair techniques, and quality assurance procedures. The conditions and properties for material repairs shall satisfy design requirements.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Fracture toughness and crack growth rate.

Considerations of AMC:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 206 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

1. Test and modelling programs to establish material structural properties.

2. Anticipated properties under damage states (e.g., fretting) have been verified.

3. Critical structural properties are dependent upon the manufacturing processes. This should be accounted for during testing to ensure accurate comparison.

4. Damage states in the parts which may occur during field usage have been verified for their potential effect on high cycle fatigue life.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2007: A.3.4.1.3, A.4.4.1.3 Material characterization | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 3.25 00-970 P1 3.70 00-970 P1 3.100 00-970 P1 3.515 00-970 P1 3.640 00-970 P1 3.650 00-970 P1 3.840 00-970 P11 4.7 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 33.15 | EASA CS Reference: | CS-E 25 CS-E 70 CS-E 100 CS-E 515 CS-E 640 CS-E 650 CS-E 840 |

7.2.3.5 Design service life.

The engine shall be designed such that pertinent environmental variables and all sources of repeated loads are considered, and these considerations included in the development of the design duty cycle.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Defining the expected flight envelope.
- b. Defining the type of mission and mission envelope.
- c. Any power take-off requirements.
- d. Expected environmental conditions (rain, sand, steam, temperature, etc.)
- e. Any military deltas over and above the CS-E requirements.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. The specification and/or the Structural Integrity Program (e.g., strength and life report), as appropriate, document the design duty cycle details and life analyses.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2007: A.3.4.1.2, A.4.4.1.2 Design usage | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 5.1.33-5.1.39 00-970 P11 2.3 00-970 P11 2.13 00-970 P11 3.25 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 207 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|----------|------------------------|------------|------------------|
| | | | 00-970 P11 3.30 |
| | | | 00-970 P11 3.90 |
| | | | 00-970 P11 3.100 |
| | | | 00-970 P11 3.210 |
| | | | 00-970 P11 3.500 |
| | | | 00-970 P11 3.510 |
| | | | 00-970 P11 3.515 |
| | | | 00-970 P11 3.680 |
| | | | 00-970 P11 4 |
| | | STANAG | 4671.903 |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 33.4 | EASA CS | CS-E 25 |
| | | Reference: | CS-E 30 |
| | | | CS-E 90 |
| | | | CS-E 100 |
| | | | CS-E 210 |
| | | | CS-E 500 |
| | | | CS-E 510 |
| | | | CS-E 515 |
| | | | CS-E 680 |

7.2.3.6 Life management.

Engine inspection intervals and life-limited components shall be identified in the technical manuals.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring the required maintenance actions (component inspection, repair, or replacement requirements) have been defined.

b. Allowance for Probability of Detection (POD) of the individual inspection processes.

c. The assumed in-service inspection procedures and intervals should be integrated into the Service Management Plan and included, as appropriate, in the airworthiness limitations section of the instructions for continued airworthiness.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Documentation of the Engine Life Management Plan, applicable maintenance manuals and the parts life tracking program.

2. POD based upon the statistical review of sufficient quantities of relevant testing or experience (EASA AMC E 515).

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2007: A.3.4.1.1, A.4.4.1.1 Design service life. | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P11 2.13 00-970 P11 3.25 00-970 P11 3.510 00-970 P11 3.515 |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 208 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|----------|-------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|
| FAA Doc: | 14 CFR 33.4 | EASA CS Reference: | CS-E 25 CS-E 510 CS-E 515 |

7.2.4 Engine subsystems, components, computer resources and software.

7.2.4.1 Subsystems.

7.2.4.1.1 Engine control system.

The engine control system shall maintain safe and stable engine operation under all required conditions.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Keeping the engine within the approved operating limits over changing atmospheric conditions in the declared flight envelope;

b. Modulating of engine power or thrust with adequate sensitivity and accuracy over the declared range of engine operating conditions and transients;

c. Avoiding unacceptable thrust or power oscillations;

d. Ensuring that the architecture accommodates all control mode operations, including failure conditions.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. A Failure Modes, Effects and Criticality Analysis (FMECA) and a System Safety Hazard Analysis (SSHA) of the control system.

2. Closed loop bench testing, using production qualified components to ensure the system can properly interact with all other systems and components on the engine.

3. Engine sea level and altitude testing.

4. Flight testing to ensure the engine performs as required and that there are no unaccounted for installation effects.

5. Alternative compliance approaches include similarity to other military systems or previous civil (e.g., FAA) airworthiness certification support documentation.

| Int | Information Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2007A: A.3.7.2/A.4.7.2, control systems design and verification. | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P11 3E.40 00-970 P11 3E.50 00-970 P11 3E.510 00-970 P11 S4 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.903 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 33.27, 33.28, 33.91 | EASA CS Reference: | CS-E 40 CS-E 50 CS-E 510 CS 25.20 |

7.2.4.1.2 Isolation of subsystems.

An engine's subsystems shall be isolated from each other to prevent cascading failures which could result in the loss of more than one propulsion sub-system due to any single or common cause.

Consideration should be given to:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 209 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

a. Ensuring controls and subsystem components are:

i. Physically isolated or protected to minimize collateral or secondary damage in the event of failure.

ii. Systemically and operationally isolated.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Inspection of design review and test data, drawings and installed hardware provide information to evaluate adequate physical isolation of engine subsystem components.

2. Mock-ups can be used if they adequately represent fielded systems.

3. A Failure Modes, Effects and Criticality Analysis (FMECA)

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2007A: A.3.7.2/A.4.7.2, control systems guidance. | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 5.2.8 00-970 P11 3E.50 00-970 P11 3E.510 00-970 P11 S4 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.903 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 33.27, 33.28, 33.91 | EASA CS Reference: | CS-E 50 CS-E 510 CS 23.903 CS 23.1143 CS 23.1309 CS 25.903 CS 25.1143 CS 25.1143 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1143 CS 27.1309 CS 29.903 CS 29.1143 CS 29.1309 |

7.2.4.1.3 Stability.

The engine control system shall maintain both stable engine operation and response during all steady state and transient conditions.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Defining the steady state conditions (take-off, cruise, dash, etc.)
- b. All specified operating environments (such ice, rain, snow, volcanic ash, etc.);
- c. Defining the required responsiveness.
- d. Reheat modulation

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Phase and gain stability margins are verified through analysis, open and closed loop modelling, bench testing (wet rig) and full-up engine testing.

2. Closed loop models are validated using closed loop bench and full-up engine testing.

3. Ground and flight testing.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 210 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2007A: A.3.7.2/A.4.7.2, control systems guidance. MIL-HDBK-516 criteria 7.2.2.2 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 2.13.14 00-970 P1 5.1.15 00-970 P1 5.1.18 00-970 P1 5.1.138 00-970 P1 5.1.140 00-970 P11 3E.50 00-970 P11 3E.500 00-970 P11 3E.740 00-970 P11 3E.745 00-970 P11 3E.890 00-970 P11 S4 |
| | | STANAG | 4671.939 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 33.27, | Reference: EASA CS | CS-E 50 |
| | 33.28, 33.91 | Reference: | CS-E 500 CS-E 740 CS-E 745 CS-E 890 CS 23.939 CS 25.939 CS 27.939 CS 29.939 |

7.2.4.1.4 Failure modes.

Any failure of the engine controls and associated sub-systems shall result in a fail-safe condition.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring that loss of redundancy does not affect control system capability. Failures may be accommodated by the following:

- Fail-operational capability provides full-up engine performance.
- Fail-safe capability allows continued engine operation at a degraded level of performance sufficient to sustain safe aircraft operation.
- Failure accommodation on multi-engine platforms may include engine shutdown if loss of aircraft does not result.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. A Failure Modes, Effects and Criticality Analysis (FMECA).

2. Closed loop and fault injection bench testing to ensure the control system can correctly identify and accommodate all known failures.

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|--|
| | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 211 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2007A: A.3.7.2/A.4.7.2, control systems guidance. | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P11 3E.50 00-970 P11 3E.140 00-970 P11 3E.210 00-970 P11 3E.510 00-970 P11 S4 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1141 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 33.27, 33.28, 33.91 | EASA CS Reference: | CS-E 50 CS-E 140 CS-E 210 CS-E 510 CS-E 560 CS-E 590 CS 23.1309 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1309 |

7.2.4.1.5 Failure criticality.

Engine control system failures and accommodations shall not result in unacceptable controllability, stability, or handling qualities; or require any urgent or excessive operator action.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. The dynamic latency and response should ensure safe operation.
- b. Appropriate warnings and cautions to notify the operator of failures.
- c. Recording of critical and non-critical failures.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Flight testing for degraded engine control modes (e.g., reversionary, backup) verifies acceptable handling qualities.

2. Closed loop bench and fault injection testing.

3. A Failure Modes, Effects and Criticality Analysis (FMECA).

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2007A: A.3.7.2, A.4.7.2, A.3.7.6, A.4.7.6 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P11 3E.50 00-970 P11 3E.140 00-970 P11 3E.210 00-970 P11 3E.500 00-970 P11 3E.510 00-970 P11 S4 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1141 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 33.27, 33.28, 33.91 | EASA CS Reference: | CS-E 50 CS-E 140 CS-E 210 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 212 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|------------|
| | CS-E 500 |
| | CS-E 510 |
| | CS 23.1309 |
| | CS 25.1309 |
| | CS 27.1309 |
| | CS 29.1309 |
| | |

7.2.4.1.6 Fuel system.

The engine fuel system shall safely provide the required fuel supply to the combustor and reheat subsystems under all required conditions.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring in-line filtration systems include cleaning, replacement and bypass indication.

b. Ensuring all fuel carrying components and lines are fire resistant.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Complete analysis of fuel system requirements versus capabilities, using worse case flight conditions, establishes the system design parameters.

2. Bench (wet rig) testing demonstrates the fuel systems ability to produce required flows, pressures and temperatures.

3. Ground engine testing demonstrates the fuel system's ability to provide properly conditioned fuel to the engine.

4. A fuel filter flow and contamination test ensures that the filter adequately cleans debris from the fuel.

5. Applicable fuel system performance testing (suction lift, cavitation, vapour to liquid ratio (V/L), lubricity, etc.).

6. Proof and burst pressure component testing.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE-AS1055B, Fire Testing | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2007A: A.3.7.3.2/A.4.7.3.2, Fuel Systems Performance, engine fuel system design and verification testing JSSG-2007A: A.3.1.8.1/A.4.1.8.1, Flammable Fluid Systems - fire resistance testing requirements and procedures. | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P11 3E.130 00-970 P11 3E.140 00-970 P11 3E.210 00-970 P11 3E.250 00-970 P11 3E.440 00-970 P11 3E.470 00-970 P11 3E.510 00-970 P11 3E.560 00-970 P11 3E.660 00-970 P11 3E.740 00-970 P11 S4 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.951 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 33.17, 33.67, 33.87(a)(7), 33.89, 33.91 SAE AS4273 | EASA CS Reference: | CS-E 130 CS-E 140 CS-E 210 CS-E 250 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 213 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|----------|
| SAE AS1055 | CS-E 440 |
| | CS-E 470 |
| | CS-E 510 |
| | CS-E 560 |
| | CS-E 660 |
| | CS-E 740 |

7.2.4.1.7 Ignition system.

The engine ignition system shall provide a safe and effective ignition source for the main combustor and reheat system.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Flameout detection and auto-relight, or manual activation of the ignition systems.

b. Sufficient insulation of external cabling to prevent inadvertent conduction.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Verification of the ignition system's ability to provide adequate spark energies to the main combustor and augmenter.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2007A: A.3.2.2.3.5/A.4.2.2.3.5, Auto- Relight and A.3.7.5/A.4.7.5, Ignition Systems | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 5.1.86 00-970 P11 3E.210 00-970 P11 3E.240 00-970 P11 3E.450 00-970 P11 3E.500 00-970 P11 3E.510 00-970 P11 3E.910 00-970 P11 S4 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1165 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 33.89, 33.69 | EASA CS Reference: | CS-E 450 CS-E 500 CS-E 510 CS-E 910 |

7.2.4.1.7.1 Battery operated ignition systems at a platform level.

The battery operated engine ignition system shall provide a safe and dependable ignition source for the main combustor and augmenter.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Capacity of batteries and generators to provide total load required by aircraft;
- b. Inoperative generator(s);
- c. Completely depleted batteries;
- d. Routing of ground wires;

e. Independence of the ignition system from any other electrical system not used for assisting, controlling, or analysing the ignition system;

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 214 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

f. Means to warn appropriate crew members if the malfunctioning of any part of the electrical system is causing the continuous discharge of any battery necessary for engine ignition;

g. Each engine ignition system of a turbine powered aircraft must be considered an essential electrical load.

| Inf | ormation Sources | | |
|--------------|------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 25.1165 |
| | | Reference: | |

7.2.4.1.8 Anti-ice/de-ice systems.

The engine anti-ice/de-ice system shall prevent damaging ice build-up, or provide safe and non-damaging ice removal, at all engine speeds/power levels and shall not result in heat-induced damage to the engine's front frame structure.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Temperature monitoring systems to prevent damage due to over-heat.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Analysis of the aircraft mission defines the engine's icing environment.

2. Bench and engine tests of the anti-ice or de-ice plumbing, valves and sensors.

3. Analysis and inspection of all critical control system components verifies resistance to moisture collection and freezing.

4. Bench testing of the control system demonstrates that it can identify the existence of icing conditions and turn on the anti-ice or de-ice system.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2007A: A.3.7.1/A.4.7.1, Anti-ice and De-ice Systems | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 5.1.35 00-970 P1 5.1.36 00-970 P1 5.1.42 00-970 P11 3E.230 00-970 P11 3E.780 00-970 P11 S4 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.929 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 25.1419 | EASA CS Reference: | CS-E 780 |

7.2.4.1.9 Cooling and thermal management.

The engine cooling and thermal management systems shall safely remove excess heat from the engine and its sub-systems and integrate with the aircraft thermal management system (if applicable).

Consideration should be given to:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 215 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

a. The full operational envelope of the aircraft.

b. Those components (electronic controls, sensors, lubrication system, etc.) which could become damaged, or operate erratically, if subjected to excessive thermal load.

c. Installation affects such as nacelle ventilation, surface temperatures, oil-fuel cooling and electronics functioning.

d. Thermal load dissipation for the entire aircraft, including post-shutdown conditions such as engine soakback.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Analysis and modelling of engine components determine their thermal loading and heat rejection characteristics.

2. Verify the engine components' ability to continue operation when exposed to engine induced thermal loads.

3. Analysis and modelling of the combined aircraft and engine thermal management systems ensures there are no conditions that result in exceedance of established loss of aircraft (LOA) rates.

4. Engine testing is used to validate the results of thermal modelling and analyses.

5. Aircraft installation surveys are performed to verify that component maximum operating temperatures and maximum non-operating temperatures are not exceeded.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2007A: A.3.2.2.13/A.4.2.2.13, A.3.7.3.3, A.4.7.3.3 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 5.1.73 00-970 P1 5.3.5 00-970 P11 3E.60 00-970 P11 3E.260 00-970 P11 3E.740 00-970 P11 3E.860 00-970 P11 S4 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1041 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 27.1121 | EASA CS Reference: | CS-E 20 CS-E 60 CS-E 100(a) CS-E 660 CS-E 700 CS-E 740 CS-E 860 CS-E 870 CS 25.961 CS 25.1041 CS 29.961 CS 29.1041 |

7.2.4.1.10 Variable geometry systems.

Engine variable geometry systems shall operate safely under all engine operating conditions.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Variable intakes.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 216 /675 | 1 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|---|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|---|

b. Variable exhaust nozzles, including re-heat.

c. Variable engine guide vanes.

d. Engine performance in the presence of incorrect inlet/nozzle/guide vane positioning.

e. Ensuring all variable geometry components and lines that carry fuel are fire resistant and those that carry oil are fire proof.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

- 1. Analysis and bench testing of each variable geometry system.
- 2. Engine and flight testing of the variable geometry system.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE-AS1055B, Fire Testing | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2007A: A.3.7/A.4.7, variable geometry system design and verification testing. A.3.1.8.1, A.4.1.8.1 Flammable Fluid Systems - fire resistance and fire proof testing | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P2 2.1 00-970 P1 5.1.23 00-970 P1 5.1.45 00-970 P1 5.1.48 00-970 P1 5.1.89 00-970 P11 3E.130 00-970 P11 3E.140 00-970 P11 3E.650 00-970 P11 S4 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.903 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 25.671, 27.695, 29.695, 33.17, 33.72, 43.1 | EASA CS Reference: | CS-E 140 CS-E 650 |

7.2.4.1.11 Lubrication system operation.

The engine lubrication system shall operate safely under all engine and airframe operating conditions.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Oil supply, scavenge, cooling, filtration and de-aeration under all engine operating conditions.
- b. Ensuring that the engine safely operates in a low or no lubrication condition for specified periods.
- c. In-line filtration system inclusion of cleaning, replacement and a bypass indication.
- d. Monitoring of system debris within lubricant.
- e. Ensuring all oil carrying components, lines and manifolds are fire proof.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Lubrication system bench, engine and flight testing demonstrate its ability to provide the operating pressures, temperatures and flows required in the engine specification.

- 2. Lubrication system simulator test verifies operational attitudes.
- 3. An oil de-aeration test ensures the system deaerator removes entrained air from the oil.
- 4. Analysis, bench and engine testing of all monitored lubrication system information.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2007A: A.3.7.8/A.4.7.8, Lubrication System, A.3.1.8.1, | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 5.3 00-970 P11 3E.25 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 217 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|-----------|---|-----------------------|--|
| | A.4.1.8.1 Flammable Fluid Systems - fire resistance and fireproof testing | | 00-970 P11 3E.130 00-970 P11 3E.270 00-970 P11 3E.440 00-970 P11 3E.570 00-970 P11 3E.740 00-970 P11 S4 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1011-4671.1027 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 33.5, 33.71, 33.87, 33.89 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 25.1011-25.1027 CS 29.1011-29.1027 CS-E 25 CS-E 130* CS-E 570 CS-E 640 CS-E 680 CS-E 740 CS-E 770 |

7.2.4.1.12 Lubrication system discharge.

The lubrication system shall be free from excessive discharge at the breather.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Health and safety Threshold Limit Values.

b. Location and orientation of the breather exhaust port to minimise ground personnel's exposure.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

- 1. Analysis of breather emissions establishes test parameters.
- 2. Instrumented engine testing measures breather emissions.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2007A: paraA.3.7.8.3/A.4.7.8.3, Breather Mist - engine breather exhaust emissions design and verification testing. | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 5.3.71-5.3.74 00-970 P11 3E.270 00-970 P11 3E.570 00-970 P11 S4 |
| | , | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1017 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS-E 270 CS-E 570 |

7.2.4.1.13 Lubrication system non-combustion.

The lubrication system and bearing compartments shall not support combustion.

Consideration should be given to:

a. System components such as: tanks, lines, fittings, sumps and gearboxes.

- b. Components subject to both fuel and oil, such heat exchangers.
- c. Ensuring all oil carrying components, lines and manifolds are fire proof.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 218 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Analysis of bearing compartments, tanks, lines, gearboxes and sumps establish the system design parameters.

2. Analysis and bench testing verifies fuel and oil carrying component failures do not allow mixing of the two systems.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE-AS1055B, Fire Testing | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2007A: A.3.1.8.1/A.4.1.8.1, Flammable Fluid Systems - fire resistance and fireproof testing, A.3.7.8, A.4.7.8 Lubrication System | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P11 3E.130 00-970 P11 3E.210 00-970 P11 3E.510 00-970 P11 3E.570 00-970 P11 S4 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1011 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS-E 130 CS-E 210 CS-E 510 CS-E 570 |

7.2.4.1.14 Propulsion monitoring system.

The propulsion monitoring system shall provide adequate warnings in a timely manner to reduce occurrences of in-flight shutdowns and power losses.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Oil and magnetic chip sampling programmes.

b. Ensuring all safety/mission-critical faults and warnings are supplied to the operator/maintainer.

c. Involvement of off-board components/IT systems in the processing of safety-related information.

d. Ensuring the propulsion monitoring and control systems provide accurate information and do not allow false positive faults to occur.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Analysis and fault injection bench testing verifies the capability of the monitoring system.

2. Engine/aircraft testing provides assurance that the pilot/operator is provided clear notification of any critical failure.

3. Engine fault download testing verifies the operators/maintainers have full access to failure data.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2007A: A.3.7.6/A.4.7.6, Engine Health Monitoring Systems (EHMS), the Interface Control Document (ICD) and the pilot's operating manual | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P11 3E.25 00-970 P11 3E.50 00-970 P11 3E.60 00-970 P11 3E.515 00-970 P11 S4 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.U1787 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 219 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|-----------|-------------------------|-----------------------|---|
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 33.28 | EASA CS Reference: | CS-E 25 CS-E 50 CS-E 60 CS-E 515 |

7.2.4.1.15 Engine bleed air system.

Engine bleed air system operation, including malfunctions, shall not adversely affect safety of flight, particularly addressing degraded states.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Prevention of over-temperature, surge, stall, or other detrimental factors occurring to the Engine due to air bleed extraction during all flight conditions in the aircraft operating envelope.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Bleed air interface airflow and quality is verified by test and demonstration.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2007: A.3.1.1.7, A.4.1.1.7 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 Pt1 5.1.74 00-970 Pt1 5.1.75 00-970 Pt11 3.E690 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671 USAR.1111 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 33.28 | EASA CS Reference: | CS-E 745 CS-E 690 CS-E 510 CS-E 20 CS 23.1111 CS 25.1103 |

7.2.4.2 Components: mechanical and electrical.

7.2.4.2.1 Controls and subsystems rotating components.

Any uncontained failure of an engine control or sub-system component containing rotating parts shall have an adequately low risk of affecting the continued safe operation of the aircraft.

High-energy controls and subsystem rotating components shall be designed to be damage tolerant, or provisions for containment of failed parts should be included.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Including, but not be limited to, pumps, turbochargers, or other rotating drives.

- b. Damage tolerance methodologies.
- c. System safety analysis in accordance with Section 14.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

- 1. Analysis of components' damage tolerance design characteristics.
- 2. Analysis of components' protections (shields, locations, orientations, etc.)

| Information Sources |
|---------------------|
|---------------------|

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 220 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2007A: A.3.7/A.4.7, Subsystems, engine subsystem component design and verification. MIL-HDBK-1783B: A.4.8/A.5.8, Damage Tolerance; A.4.10.3/A.5.10.3, Containment, component containment design requirements. | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P11 3E.20 00-970 P11 3E.25 00-970 P11 3E.50 00-970 P11 3E.80 00-970 P11 3E.160 00-970 P11 3E.170 00-970 P11 3E.210 00-970 P11 3E.510 00-970 P11 3E.515 00-970 P11 S4 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1461 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 33.19, 33.94 | EASA CS Reference: | CS-E 15 CS-E 80 CS-E 210 CS-E 510 CS-E 515 |

7.2.4.2.2 Bearing thrust balance.

Changes in bearing thrust balance shall not result in the bearing operating in failure prone regions of operation

Consideration should be given to:

a. Maximum expected changes in load and load direction (crossover) across the entire operating envelope.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Analysis followed by bearing rig and full-up instrumented engine testing to ensure:

- Engine bearing radial and thrust loading is within design limitations
- Satisfactory operation of the bearing and rotor support system.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-HDBK-1783B: A.4.10.10/A.5.10.10, Pressure balance | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P11 3E.100 00-970 P11 3E.440 00-970 P11 3E.500 00-970 P11 3E.520 00-970 P11 3E.740 00-970 P11 S4 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 33.93 | EASA CS Reference: | CS-E 100 CS-E 440 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 221 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|----|-------------------|---|---------|
| | | C | S-E 500 |
| | | C | S-E 520 |
| | | C | S-E 740 |

7.2.4.2.3 Tubing/plumbing routing.

All engine mounted tubing, manifolds, clamps, electrical components and cabling shall be safely affixed and routed on the engine.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Potential interference or contact with neighbouring components or the engine structure.

b. Wear or chafing conditions.

c. Ensuring orientation and routing of tubes/lines carrying combustible fluid meet engine specification requirements by providing separation from all potential sources of extreme temperatures or ignition.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Inspection of engine externals drawings and hardware, design mock-ups and an as-drawn manufactured engine installation.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP 994, Tubing/Plumbing Routing | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2007A: A.3.1.1.3/A.4.1.1.3, Interface Loads, A.3.11/A.4.11, Controls and Externals Verification, the Interface Control Document (ICD) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P11 3E.20 00-970 P11 3E.25 00-970 P11 3E.80 00-970 P11 3E.110 00-970 P11 S4 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.901 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 33.5 | EASA CS Reference: | CS-E 20 CS-E 25 CS-E 80 CS-E 110 |

7.2.4.2.4 Tubing/plumbing vibratory response.

No engine mounted components or associated cabling shall react to engine, or aircraft induced, vibratory or acoustic excitations. Where this cannot be achieved, sufficient design margin against strength, life and functional requirements needs to be proven for these operating ranges.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Tubing, manifolds, clamps, electrical components etc.

b. Ensuring engine mounted equipment does not contain natural frequencies within the engine and subsystems operating ranges

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Analysis and vibration surveys (ping testing) and vibration (shaker table) testing on external components, tubes/manifolds and lines.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 222 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

2. Analysis and engine testing results confirms the externals capability to withstand excitations resulting from a blade out condition.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|---|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2007A: A.3.11/A.4.11, Controls and Externals Verification. | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG | 00-970 P11 3E.20 00-970 P11 3E.100 00-970 P11 3E.330 00-970 P11 3E.340 00-970 P11 3E.520 00-970 P11 3E.650 00-970 P11 S4 00-970 P7 C706 2.2 00-970 P7 C706 5.1.1 4671.901 |
| | | Reference: | 4671.901 4671.1351 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 29.993 | EASA CS Reference: | CS-E 20 CS-E 100 CS-E 330 CS-E 340 CS-E 520 CS-E 650 |

7.2.4.2.5 Externals maximum operating conditions.

All pressure vessels, tubes and manifolds shall meet maximum operating strength and life requirements.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Fire resistant lines.
- b. Fireproof oil carrying components.
- c. Redundant, visually verifiable locking features for Safety Critical electrical connectors.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

- 1. Burst pressure component testing to ensure adequate safety margin across the entire flight envelope.
- 2. Verification of the existence of redundant locking features for critical connections.
- 3. Analysis of design review information to ensure damage tolerance capability.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|---|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE-AS1055B, Fire Testing | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2007A: A.3.7.3.2, A.4.7.3.2 and A.3.7.8, A.4.7.8, pressure vessel proof and burst testing. JSSG-2007A: A.3.1.8.1, A.4.1.8.1, Flammable Fluid Systems, fire resistance and fire proof testing. | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P11 3E.20 00-970 P11 3E.25 00-970 P11 3E.570 00-970 P11 3E.640 00-970 P11 3E.700 00-970 P11 S4 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 223 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|----------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| | MIL-HDBK-1783B: A.4.10/A.5.10 | | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS-E 20 CS-E 25 CS-E 570 CS-E 640 CS-E 700 |

7.2.4.2.6 Gearboxes.

Engine gearboxes shall meet maximum operating strength and life requirements.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Maximum torque and power transmission requirements
- b. Acceleration and gyroscopic loads
- c. All internal gears are free from damaging resonance

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Analysis, bench and engine testing to verify the gearbox ability to support all mounted components.

2. Analysis and testing to verify the gearbox is capable of simultaneous operation of all the drives at maximum permissible torque or power rating, with the required factor of safety.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2007A: A.3.7.16/A.4.7.16, Gearbox. | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P11 3E.20 00-970 P11 3E.80 00-970 P11 3E.100 00-970 P11 3E.160 00-970 P11 3E.440 00-970 P11 3E.510 00-970 P11 3E.515 00-970 P11 3E.740 00-970 P11 S4 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS-E 20 CS-E 80 CS-E 100 CS-E 160 CS-E 440 CS-E 510 CS-E 515 CS-E 740 |

7.2.4.2.7 Gearbox mounted component failures.

The failure of any gearbox mounted component (e.g. oil pumps, fuel pumps, starters, generators) shall not result in failure of the gearbox itself.

| Edition Number: 3.1 Edition D | ate: 25 Sep 2018 Sta | tus: endorsed Page 224 /675 |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|
|-------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|

Consideration should be given to:

a. Provision of disengagement (e.g., shear sections) prior to causing secondary damage to the gearbox or other components.

b. Components, whose continued operation is required to maintain safe aircraft operation, do not contain shear sections.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Analysis and inspection of the gearbox and mounted components ensures adequate disengagement provisions.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2007A: A.3.7.16/A.4.7.16, Gearbox. | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P11 3E.20 00-970 P11 3E.80 00-970 P11 3E.160 00-970 P11 3E.210 00-970 P11 3E.510 00-970 P11 3E.515 00-970 P11 3E.590 00-970 P11 S4 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS-E 20 CS-E 80 CS-E 160 CS-E 210 CS-E 510 CS-E 515 CS-E 590 |

7.2.4.2.8 PTO shaft.

Failure of the engine power take-off (PTO) coupling assembly or driveshaft shall not adversely affect safe operation of the aircraft.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Design of the PTO/driveshaft coupling assembly prevents that assembly from unacceptably damaging surrounding hardware (e.g., anti-flail design).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

- 1. Analysis and inspection of the PTO drawings and hardware.
- 2. Testing to verify driveshaft coupling assembly life and anti-flail capability.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|--------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2007A: A.3.1.1.10/A.4.1.1.10, Power Take-Off and | | 00-970 Pt 11 Sec 3E Clauses 80, 520, and 650. 00-970 Pt 11 Sec 4 |
| | A.3.7.16/A.4.7.16, Gearbox. | STANAG | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 225 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|----------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|
| | MIL-HDBK-516 criteria 7.2.5.1.3 | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS-E 80 CS-E 520 CS-E 650 |

7.2.4.2.9 Electrical components and cable routing.

All engine mounted electrical components and cabling shall be safely affixed and routed on the engine.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Prevention of wear or chaffing.
- b. Separation between combustible fluids and potential ignition sources.
- c. Locking features for safety critical electrical connectors.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|---|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE-AS-50881, for required clearances for electrical cables, and requirements for appropriate selection and installation of wiring and wiring devices. | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2007A: A.3.1.1.3/A.4.1.1.3, Interface Loads and A.3.7.4/A.4.7.4, Electrical System. MIL-STD-464A, for | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 Pt 11 Sec 3E Clauses 20, 25, 80, 110, and 135. 00-970 Pt 11 Sec 4 4671.1367 |
| | requirements for proper bonding and grounding | | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 33.5 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1163 CS 25.1163 CS 27.1163 CS 29.1163 CS-E 20 CS-E 25 CS-E 80 CS-E 110 CS-E 135 |

7.2.4.2.10 Electromagnetic environment.

All engine mounted electrical components and cabling shall operate safely in a lightning and electromagnetic effects environment in accordance with all the applicable criteria of Section 13.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The shielding capability incorporated into the design of the internal environment (i.e. Faraday Cage).

a. Components such as electronic controls, alternators/generators, cables, wires, sensors.

b. Safe operation when exposed to the worst case expected electromagnetic (EMI), nuclear (EMP) or lightning induced energy environments.

| Edition Number: 3.1 Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 226 /675 |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
|---|------------------|----------------------|

c. Prevention of emissions of EMI that could affect the continued safe operation of any engine or aircraft electrical system or component.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Analysis of the aircraft EMI, EMP and lightning threat/exposure environment and the engine EMI generation characteristics.

2. Control and electrical subsystem closed loop bench testing to verify the engine EMI, EMP and lightning operational capabilities.

3. Evaluation of the engine's ability to meet specification requirements when installed inside the aircraft.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | For guidance on engine EMI, EMP, and Lightning design and verification testing: JSSG-2007A: A.3.3.3/A.4.3.3 MIL-STD-461E MIL-STD-464A. | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.10 00-970 P11 3E.20 00-970 P11 3E.80 00-970 P11 3E.135 00-970 P11 3E.170 00-970 P11 S4 |
| | | STANAG | 4671.867 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 33.28 | Reference: EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.867 CS 23.1301 CS 23.1309 CS 25.581 CS 25.899 CS 25.1301 CS 25.1309 CS 25.1309 CS 25.1316 CS 25.1705 CS 27.1307 CS 27.1301 CS 27.1309 CS 29.867 CS 29.1301 CS 29.1309 CS 29.1309 CS-E 20 CS-E 80 CS-E 135 CS-E 170 |

7.2.4.2.11 Merged with 7.2.4.2.4

7.2.4.2.12 Electrical power.

Electrical power shall be supplied to all safety critical engine systems at all ground and flight operating conditions, including transients. In case of power supply failures, at least safe shutdown / rundown of the engine needs to be assured, not preventing restart / recovery.

Consideration should be given to:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 227 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

a. Engine driven alternator/generator capability should be adequate to provide safe and reliable electrical power at all specified engine speeds.

b. Seamless transition to and from back-up power for all engine safety critical systems and components.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Analysis of the engine's total power consumption.

2. Analysis, bench and engine testing demonstrate the ability to meet the electrical power generation requirements of the engine specification, when not installed in the aircraft.

3. Flight testing demonstrates the engine's ability to meet the electrical power generation requirements.

4. Analysis and test of the aircraft's power generation and battery systems.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2007A: A.3.7.4/A.4.7.4, Electrical System. | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P11 3E.50 00-970 P11 3E.510 00-970 P11 S4 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1351 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 29.993, 33.5 | EASA CS Reference: | CS-E 50 CS-E 510 |

7.2.4.2.13 Computer resources and software.

For subsystems that use computer systems and software, see Section 15 for additional specific criteria, standards and methods of compliance.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The interrelationship of software requirements (DALs) and power system design (i.e. single or multiengine).

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | IEEE/EIA 12207.0, IEEE/EIA 12207.1, IEEE/EIA 12207.2 and RTCA DO 178 and 254 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2007A: 3.8/4.8 Software Resources. | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P11 S4 00-970 P11 3E.20 00-970 P11 3E.25 00-970 P11 3E.50 00-970 P11 3E.80 00-970 P11 3E.110 00-970 P11 3E.135 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1367 |
| FAA Doc: | 14 CFR reference: 33.28 | EASA CS Reference: | CS-E 20 CS-E 25 CS-E 50 CS-E 80 CS-E 110 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 228 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|----------|
| | CS-E 135 |

7.2.5 Installations.

7.2.5.1 Physical Installation.

7.2.5.1.1 Physical interfaces.

All engine/aircraft physical interfaces such as mechanical, fluid, and electrical connections shall meet all safety related requirements to permit safe operation.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring interfaces remain securely connected and do not leak when subjected to the operating conditions (vibration, temperature, etc.) of the aircraft;

b. Ensuring interfaces are free of any contact with neighbouring components that result in a wear or chaffing condition;

c. Ensuring interfaces can withstand the maximum combination of static and dynamic loading throughout the defined flight and ground envelopes and environments;

d. Ensuring all safety critical engine to aircraft interfaces are fault tolerant or fail safe with no reasonable credible combination of failures having an unacceptable probability of aircraft loss;

e. Accessibility for necessary inspections and maintenance (engine and airframe).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Inspection of the hardware and a demonstration of installing the engine ensures that interface requirements defined in the engine Interface Control Document (ICD) are met.

2. Analysis, full-up engine and flight tests ensure interface loads are within design limitations.

3. Physical interface requirements are verified by inspection of program documentation.

4. Analysis and inspection of the interfaces, with the engine installed in the aircraft.

5. System interfaces are analysed to withstand maximum loading at worst case single failure operating and loading conditions.

6. System interface critical analysis assumptions are verified.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2007A: A.3.1.1.3, A.4.1.1.3, Interface Loads. | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 5.1.15 00-970 P1 5.1.16 |
| | JSSG-2001B: 3.3.1.1.1/4.3.1.1.1 | STANAG Reference: | 4671.901 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 33.5 | EASA CS Reference: | CS-E 25 CS 23.901 CS 25.901 CS 27.901 CS 29.901 |

7.2.5.1.2 Engine mounts.

The aircraft / engine mounts shall be designed with adequate safety margin to permit safe operation of the engine, and to ensure the engine remains properly secured under all operating conditions (including intentional shutdown) and known failure conditions.

Consideration should be given to:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 229 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

a. Withstanding all limit loads, resulting from aircraft manoeuvres and engine failures, without permanent deformation;

b. Withstand all ultimate tensile strength loads without complete fracture;

c. Preventing the engine from entering the flight deck or passenger compartments in the event of a crash landing;

d. Meeting established durability, strength and damage tolerance design requirements;

e. If flexible mountings are used to isolate such vibrations, the maximum deflections of such mountings shall be taken into account in the design of the relevant components;

f. Ensuring any reasonable credible combination of equipment failures does not result in further damage likely to produce a hazardous engine effect.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Analysis, full-up engine and flight testing ensure the mounts retain the engine under all operation and known failure conditions.

2. Engine mount testing ensures adequate design safety margins.

3. Analysis of the engine mount design review data and drawings ensures a damage tolerant design.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2007A: A.3.1.1.4, | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 5.1.15 |
| | A.4.1.1.4, Mounts | Reference: | 00-970 P1 5.1.16 |
| | MIL-HDBK-1783B, Engine | STANAG | 4671.901 |
| | Structural Integrity (Unverified) | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 33.5, 33.23 | EASA CS | CS-E 25 |
| | | Reference: | CS-E 80 |
| | | | CS-E 100 |
| | | | CS 23.901 |
| | | | CS 25.901 |
| | | | CS 27.901 |
| | | | CS 29.901 |

7.2.5.1.3 Power-take-off (PTO) shaft vibratory response.

Any installed power-take-off (PTO) shaft system shall withstand vibratory induced loads from start-up to maximum operating speed under any combined expected torsional (power extraction) and aircraft manoeuvre induced loading. The system shall contain no natural (resonant) frequencies within the normal operating range; or shall have adequate damping provisions to prevent resonances, damage or failure.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Establishing suitable critical speed margins that accommodate manufacturing variation, wear and unknown system dynamics.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Inspection of design criteria establishes suitable critical speed margins that accommodate manufacturing variation, wear and unknown system dynamics.

2. Analysis (e.g., dynamic model) of end to end system predicts compliance with the speed margin goal.

3. Analysis results evaluate the capability of the system components to withstand excitations.

4. Component tests validate response, stiffness and other characteristics used in the analysis.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 230 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: C.3.4.3.10.2/ C.4.4.3.10.2 JSSG-2007A: A.3.716/ A4.7.16 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P11 3E.80 00-970 P11 3E.520 00-970 P11 3E.650 00-970 P11 S4 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1163 (d) CS 25.1163 (c) CS-E 80 CS-E 520 CS-E 650 |

7.2.5.1.4 Uncontained rotating parts.

Design precautions shall be taken to reduce the risk of damaging aircraft safety of flight (SOF)/critical safety items (CSIs) due to uncontained engine failures, to an acceptable level. This covers:

1. Uncontained rotating parts;

2. Other uncontained engine failures (such as torching flame and exploding pressure vessel)

Consideration should be given to:

a. Minimising the probability and severity of uncontained rotating parts failure: including turbine blade failure and of disc fragmentation;

b. Safety effects beyond the engine boundary (such as combustion chamber breakthrough) in relation to the crew, the structure, and to flight and mission critical equipment.

c. Vibratory loads and their impact on engine mount design.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Inspection of the safety analyses documentation verifies that hazards associated with uncontained failures are reduced to an acceptable level.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2001B: 3.3.10/4.3.10 | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 5.1.123 |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | 4671.903 |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 25.903(d)(1) |
| | | Reference: | CS 29.903(d)(1) |
| | | | AMC-E 510(d)(iii) |

7.2.5.1.5 Engine/aircraft clearances.

The installed engine shall maintain a positive clearance between the aircraft and the engine (except at physical interface points) under all operating conditions within the ground and flight envelopes. This shall include associated components, plumbing, and harnesses.

Consideration should be given to:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 231 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

a. Adequate provision for flexibility where relative movement between components within the propulsion system and between such components and the aeroplane can occur;

b. Use of flexible hose assemblies or equivalent means for fluid lines under pressure which are subjected to relative movement;

c. Thermal expansion or contraction of parts to the extremes of movement within the operating envelope of the engine;

d. Movement resulting from likely fault conditions of either the fixed or rotating parts;

e. Minimum static clearances to be agreed and verified.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Engine/aircraft clearance requirements are verified by inspection of design documentation.

2. System clearances are validated by inspection of system design analysis and simulation.

3. System design analysis and simulations are validated by first article inspections and flight tests.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2001 B: 3.3.1.1/4.3.1.1 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 5.1.26 00-970 P1 5.1.27 |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS-E 520(b) |
| | | Reference: | |

7.2.5.1.6 Drains and ventilation systems.

The propulsion system shall include sufficient drain provisions capable of handling fluid/vapor leakage, venting, and spillage throughout required ground and flight attitudes and regimes, that is consistent with the system's safety, fire and explosion prevention, maintainability and survivability requirements.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Capacities are sufficient for flow requirements and volume capacities for projected missions;

b. Suitable drainage provisions for all closed compartments in the engine installation (such as the engine accessory section, spaces enclosing fuel, oil and hydraulic lines and equipment, vent areas and other pockets where fluids may collect);

c. Ensuring all drains should be identified with labels or other markings to assist in diagnostics and safety;

d. Inadvertent liquid spillage and accidents as well as combat aircraft battle damage should be considered when sizing and locating drains;

e. Routing overboard drain lines to permit fluid to exit free of the aircraft fuselage, nacelle, wing and pylon and protecting them from chafing when passing through bulkheads and cowlings.

f. In degraded modes, the drain system should minimise the potential for fire and/or explosion.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Propulsion drain and ventilation system sizing is validated by inspection of design documents and analysis identifying flow requirements and volume capacities for projected missions.

2. System operation at ground attitudes and under flight conditions is validated by analysis of in-flight pressure gradients and attitudes.

3. Analysis assumptions (e.g., pressure gradients, attitudes) are validated by ground and flight test.

4. Storage or expulsion hazards of fluids are recorded in System Safety documentation with mitigations defined where appropriate.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 232 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2007A: A.3.1.1.8, A.4.1.1.8, for design and verification guidance for drains. JSSG-2001B: 3.3.1.1/4.3.1.1 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 5.1.70 00-970 P1 5.1.71 00-970 P1 5.2.47 00-970 P1 5.3.17 00-970 P1 5.3.42 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.999 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS-E 270 CS 23.999 CS 25.999 CS 27.999 CS 29.999 |

7.2.5.1.7 Engine stall loads.

The engine air inlet components shall have adequate structural margin to withstand over-pressures (inlet stall), under worst case conditions, generated by inlet/compressor anomalies without causing degradation in performance; permanent deformation or vibration harmful to the engine.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The maximum induced inlet stall pressures generated by inlet/engine anomalies;

b. The effects of inlet temperature and pressure distortion on engine surge margin;

c. Providing inlet airflow distortion limits throughout the aircraft operating envelope (typically 1.5X inlet stall pressure);

d. Ensuring distortion limits are defined in terms of both spatial and planar content;

e. Hammershock and interactive surge.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Engine air inlet components requirements are verified by inspection of design documents.

2. Maximum induced inlet stall pressures generated by inlet/engine anomalies are validated by inspection of analyses and/or test.

3. Capability of the components to withstand required inlet stall pressure is verified through component proof analysis and test.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2001 B: 3.3.1.1/4.3.1.1 | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 5.1.17 |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | 4671.1103 |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS-E 70 |
| | | Reference: | CS-E 100 |
| | | | CS 23.939 |
| | | | CS 25.939 |
| | | | CS 27.939 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 233 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|-----------|
| | CS 29.939 |

7.2.5.1.8 Installed engine accessibility.

Provision shall be made for access to propulsion-system-related equipment in order to permit servicing, inspections, and maintenance. This shall include accessibility for: inspection of principal structural elements and control systems; replacement of parts normally requiring replacement, adjustment; and lubrication as necessary for continued airworthiness.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The maintainer's anthropometric dimensions and strength limitations, all environmental conditions, and any required mission equipment (chemical protective gear, gloves, etc.);

b. Accessibility of filters for cleaning and removal of screen / element;

c. Accessibility of all replenishment points for consumables and to permit examination of all relevant contents indicators;

d. Accessibility of adjustment points and special engine health monitoring provisions and techniques (e.g., intrascope/boroscope, magnetic chip detectors);

e. Minimising the number of access panels;

f. Removal and replacement of engine accessories (e.g., fuel pump(s), fuel control unit, starter motor, igniters, igniter boxes etc.), without having to remove the engine change unit;

g. Sufficient ground clearance to permit engine removal from the underside of the aircraft without using pits or jacking;

h. Ensuring the inspection means for each item must be practicable for the inspection interval for the item;i. Particular attention shall be paid to provision of adequate space and access to break points, utilised during engine removal and replacement.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Inspection of design criteria establishes required servicing, inspections and maintenance requirements.

2. Analysis of virtual models or physical mock-ups verifies accessibility to required servicing, inspection and maintenance areas.

3. Maintenance demonstration verifies the ability to accomplish required tasks.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2001B: 3.3.1.1/4.3.1.1 | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 5.1.97-5.1.119 |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | 4671.901 |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | AMC-E 560(6) |
| | | Reference: | AMC-E 570(1) |
| | | | AMC-E 570(3) |
| | | | CS 23.611 |
| | | | CS 23.901 |
| | | | CS 25.611 |
| | | | CS 25.901 |
| | | | CS 27.611 |
| | | | CS 27.901 |
| | | | CS 29.611 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 234 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|-----------|
| | CS 29.901 |

7.2.5.1.9 FOD/DOD.

Design practices and processes ensure that airframe equipment, fasteners, etc., upstream of the installed propulsion system, and the propulsion system itself, shall be designed and installed to eliminate sources of self-induced foreign/domestic object damage (FOD/DOD) to the propulsion system.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring the design of the air intake assembly (including all fasteners) minimises the possibility of generating foreign objects (including ice and slush accretion);

b. Minimising the number of components of equipment fitted in the intake assembly (e.g., variable intake mechanisms) which could enter the engine if they become detached;

c. Ensuring features in the complete intake assembly into which foreign objects can be trapped and subsequently released into the engine are avoided or easily inspected.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Inspection and analysis of documentation (e.g., FMEA, FMECA, SHA, SSHA) of systems within or upstream of the inlet verifies the absence of FOD/DOD generating failure modes.

2 Inspection verifies that manufacturing and maintenance procedures contain FOD/DOD control practices.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2001B: 3.3.1.1.1/4.3.1.1.1 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 5.1.44-5.1.46 00-970 P11 S3.E540 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.903 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS-E 540 CS-E 570(d)&(f) CS-E 580 CS 23.1091(c)(2) CS 25.1091(e) CS 27.1091(d)(2) CS 29.1091(f)(2) |

7.2.5.2 Functional installation.

7.2.5.2.1 Functional compatibility.

The engine / aircraft interfaces and interfacing subsystems shall be safe and maintain functional compatibility throughout all normal operating and flight conditions; and shall remain safe given any reasonable credible combination of failures.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Assessing the functional capabilities of the total integrated propulsion system relative to the mission requirements of the aircraft;

b. Defining more than one compatibility envelope, such as might be the case with a weapon/store deployment, launch operation and plume ingestion;

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 235 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Engine/aircraft functional interface requirements are verified by inspection of program documentation.

2. Integrated system functional compatibility is verified by simulation, test and demonstration of system functionality at integration test facilities and on the aircraft during ground and flight test.

3. Engine/aircraft functional hazards and probability of aircraft loss are recorded in System Safety documentation with mitigations defined where appropriate.

4. Verifying what the various supplying systems provide to the interface; and what the receiving systems require from the interface in order to satisfy its requirements as well as physical definitions for establishing proper fit, alignment and loading.

5. Multi-engine aircraft configurations should consider verifying interactions between engines to provide the interface; and what the receiving systems require from the interface in order to satisfy its requirements as well as physical definitions for establishing proper fit, alignment and loading.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2001B: 3.3.1.1/4.3.1.1 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 5.2.4 00-970 P1 5.2.213 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.901 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | AMC-E 650 (9) CS 23.901 CS 25.901 CS 27.901 CS 29.901 |

7.2.5.2.2 Power demands/extractions.

The engine shall be capable of safely supplying all systems (power, bleed air and electrical extractions) under all operating conditions. Aircraft bleed airflow and quality shall be maintained and the engine shall not introduce foreign matter or contaminants into the bleed air supply that could cause damage. Aircraft power extraction and electrical power extraction shall meet the requirements across the entire flight envelope.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Damage to critical parts where bleed air is used to cool or to pressurise areas of the engine.

b. The use of bleed air and power extraction during air starts

c. Both PTO and Gearbox power extraction requirements

d. Power extraction during windmilling

e. Ensuring the power take-off drives and bleed air extraction level is representative of the maximum required at that condition;

f. The position of the bleed port internal pickup points to ensure low susceptibility to FOD, and ingestion of sand, dust, ice, moisture, and any other foreign materials contained in the air.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Bleed air interface airflow and quality, PTO horsepower extraction and gearbox horsepower extraction are verified by demonstration and test.

| | Information Sources | | | |
|---|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| | | | | |
| ſ | Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 236 /675 |

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|----------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2007A: A.3.2, A.4.2 and A.3.7, A.4.7, engine performance and operability | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | |
| | impacts of customer extractions. JSSG-2007A: A.3.1.1.7, | STANAG Reference: | 4671.901 |
| | A.4.1.1.7, bleed air interface design and verification. JSSG-2007A: A.3.1.1.10, A.4.1.1.10 and A.3.7.16, A.4.7.16, PTO horsepower extraction. JSSG-2007A: A.3.7.4.1, A.4.7.4.1, electrical power design and verification | | |
| FAA Doc: | requirements. | EASA CS Reference: | CS-E 745(a)(1) |

7.2.5.2.3 Bleed air contamination.

Bleed air contamination / ingress of foreign matter shall not exceed safe limits in order to prevent hazardous contamination of the aircraft breathable air supply.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Specifying the maximum concentration of engine generated contaminants permitted in the bleed air;

b. Identifying defects which could affect the purity of the bleed air;

c. Ensuring engine failures does not cause contamination of bleed air;

d. Safe limits ensure both unacceptable quantity and unacceptable size of contamination / foreign matter is precluded.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Customer bleed air contamination is verified by analysis and tests.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | WMIL Doc: JSSG-2007A: A.3.1.1.7.1, A.4.1.1.7.1, customer bleed air contaminants guidance | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 5.1.75 00-970 P11 S3.E690 |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS-E 510(g)(2)(ii), |
| | | Reference: | CS-E 580, |
| | | | CS-E 690(b) |
| | | | CS 23.1111 |

7.2.5.2.4 Engine shutdown.

Engines shall have the ability to safely and reliably shutdown in the event of a platform initiated fuel shutoff.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 237 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

Consideration should be given to:

a. Prevention of damage to engine due to loss of fuel.

b. Post shutdown fire.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Analysis, rig testing (dry bench) and engine testing.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG 2009 E.3.4.5.2.6 | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P7 Ch702 24.3 |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.1189 |
| | | Reference: | CS 25.1189 |

7.2.5.3 Inlet compatibility.

7.2.5.3.1 Inlet compatibility.

The air induction system(s) shall function under all expected ground, flight, and environmental conditions without adversely affecting engine operation or resulting in engine damage. This shall include ensuring inlet ice accretion and separation, distortion, sand and dust ingestion, water ingestion, do not adversely impact engine performance and operability.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Tolerable performance following:

i. Armament Gas Ingestion (AGI) (i.e. gases or pressure waves from guns, rockets and missiles);

ii. Operation in volcanic ash.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Analysis and installed engine testing verify inlet performance for all expected environmental conditions.

2. For icing environments, analysis, icing tunnel or ground icing tests and/or flight tests reveal acceptable icing build up and/or levels of shedding that are compatible with the engine(s).

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-----------------|------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2007A: A.3.3.2.4, | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 5.1.41 |
| | A.4.3.2.4, for sand and dust | Reference: | |
| | design and verification; A.3.3.2.3, A.4.3.2.3, for ice | STANAG | 4671.901(d)(2) |
| | ingestion guidance; A.3.2.2.11, A.4.2.2.11, distortion guidance; and A.3.3.2.5, A.4.3.2.5, for atmospheric liquid water ingestion guidance. JSSG-2001B: 3.3.1.1.1/4.3.1.1.1 | Reference: | 4671.1091 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS-E 650(c)(1) |
| | | Reference: | CS-E 790(d)(3) |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 238 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|-----------------|
| | CS 23.901(d)(2) |
| | CS 23.1091 |
| | CS 25.1091 |
| | CS 27.1091 |
| | CS 29.1091 |

7.2.5.4 Exhaust system compatibility.

7.2.5.4.1 Exhaust gas impingement.

The exhaust system, including relevant ducting, shall be designed and installed such that exhaust gases are directed to the atmosphere, and do not: impinge on the aircraft structure, equipment or stores, to the extent that their maximum temperatures are exceeded, unless adequate protection is provided; impinge on or mix (except when designed) with any flammable fluid drainage or vapour discharge to the extent that the fluid/vapour auto ignition temperature is achieved or exceeded; impose an unavoidable hazard to flight/ground crew or boarding/discharging passengers; or impede a pre-flight/launch activity. Where applicable, the jet wake shall also be compatible with ground or shipboard equipment.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Preventing hazards including: fire hazards, carbon monoxide contamination in personnel compartments, discharge which may cause a glare seriously affecting pilot vision at night.

b. The effects of thrust vectoring and thrust reversal;

c. Deflection of exhaust gases by crosswinds etc., during ground manoeuvring;

d. Separating each exhaust system from adjacent (external) flammable parts with fireproof shields;

e. Locating or shielding hot exhaust system parts to prevent ignition of flammable fluids or vapours following leakage of other systems;

f. Compatibility with ground or shipboard equipment such as the Jet Blast Deflector (JBD);

g. Jet wake temperature and velocity characteristics for various power settings and nozzle vector angles.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Exhaust plume interaction with structure, fluid/vapour discharge, and all personnel is validated by inspection of plume and thermal analysis and models and ground and flight testing.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|---|-------------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2001B: 3.3.1.1.2/4.3.1.1.2, Nozzle and Exhaust Systems, 3.4.8/4.4.8 Ship Combatibility JSSG-2007A: A.3.1.8.2, A.4.1.8.2, A.3.1.8.5, A.4.1.8.5 and A.3.1.8.7, A.4.1.8.7, fire prevention, air and gas leakage and jet wake; A.3.7.10, A.4.7.10, engine exhaust nozzle system design and verification | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 5.1.52 4671.1121 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1121 CS 25.941(a) |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 239 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|------------|
| | CS 25.1121 |
| | CS 27.1121 |
| | CS 29.1121 |

7.2.5.4.2 Thrust reverser/thrust vectoring.

Thrust reverser/thrust vectoring systems shall be compatible with the engine and aircraft structure, such that operation does not adversely impact engine performance, operability or damage to the aircraft structure; and shall be fail-safe, such that no unsafe condition will result during normal operation of the system, or from any reasonable credible combination of failures.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Forces and moments and dynamic response from the thrust reverser/thrust vectoring systems;

b. Ensuring engine limitations approved for reverse thrust are not exceeded;

c. Means to prevent the engine from producing more than idle thrust when the reversing system malfunctions.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Verify the design is free from single or combined failures modes that would create an unacceptable risk hazard.

2. Analysis of reverser flow field patterns verifies acceptable conditions relative to impingement, inlet ingestion (e.g., propulsive, environmental control system, ventilation, auxiliary power system), and FOD/Sand and Dust generation.

3. Ground tests demonstrate reverser safety features and compatibility with engines and airframe. Flight tests demonstrate safe reverser deployment and operation.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2007A: A.3.1.1.12 to A.4.1.1.13, for exhaust system | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 5.1.54 00-970 P1 5.1.55 |
| | and thrust reverser interfaces design and verification guidance; A.3.7.10, A.4.7.10, Exhaust Nozzle System and A.3.7.10.2, A.4.7.10.2, Vectored Nozzle | STANAG Reference: | 4671.U1761 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS-E 890 CS 23.933 CS 25.933 |

7.2.5.5 Environmental compatibility.

7.2.5.5.1 Engine bay/nacelle cooling and ventilation.

The engine bay/nacelle cooling and ventilation provisions shall be sufficient to maintain the temperatures of power plant components, engine fluids, other bay/nacelle equipment and structure within the temperature limits established for these components and fluids, under ground and flight operating conditions, and after normal engine shutdown.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Compatibility with the fire protection certification criteria of Section 8.4;

b. Air and gas leakage and any ducting system throughputs;

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 240 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

- c. Ensuring specified temperature limits are not exceeded;
- d. The effect of solar radiation with the aircraft being parked in direct sunlight;
- e. The appropriate aerodynamic heating in flight.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

- 1. Temperature limit requirements are recorded in design documentation.
- 2. System thermal performance is demonstrated by design analysis, thermal models and simulations.
- 3. Engine bay/nacelle environments are verified by thermal surveys during ground and flight tests.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2007A: A.3.1.8.5/A.4.1.8.5, A.3.2.2.13/A.4.2.2.13 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 5.1.33 00-970 P1 5.1.73 00-970 P1 5.3.5 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1041 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | $\begin{array}{c} \text{CS-E } 260 \\ \text{CS-E } 270(\text{d}) \\ \text{CS-E } 660 \\ \text{CS-E } 860 \\ \text{CS } 23.1041 \\ \text{CS } 23.1043 \\ \text{CS } 23.1045 \\ \text{CS } 23.1045 \\ \text{CS } 25.1041 \\ \text{CS } 25.1043 \\ \text{CS } 25.1045 \\ \text{CS } 27.1043 \\ \text{CS } 27.1043 \\ \text{CS } 27.1045 \\ \text{CS } 29.1041 \\ \text{CS } 29.1043 \\ \text{CS } 29.1045 \\ \text{CS } 29.1045 \\ \text{CS } 29.1047 \\ \text{CS } 29.1049 \end{array}$ |

7.2.5.5.2 Vibratory compatibility.

The installed vibratory compatibility of the engine/airframe system shall be such that airframe induced, engine vibration does not exceed engine limits.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Specified engine limits for the aircraft and engine operational envelope;

b. Ensuring carcass vibration characteristics do not exceed those established during the type certification of the engine;

c. Acoustic noise vibration.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Airframe induced engine vibration is established by analysis, and ground and flight vibration tests which identify the response characteristics of the aircraft/engine to forced vibrations and impulses.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 241 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2001B: 3.3.1.1.2/4.3.1.1, exhaust integration design and verification requirements. | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 5.2.22 00-970 P1 5.2.37 00-970 P1 5.2.96 00-970 P1 5.3.77 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.901 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS-E 100(c) CS-E 650 CS 23.901 CS 25.901 CS 27.901 CS 29.901 |

7.2.5.5.3 Merged with 7.2.5.4.1

7.2.5.6 Installation other.

7.2.5.6.1 Crew/operator station compatibility.

The aircraft propulsion controls and crew station information shall be adequate to permit proper crew control and operation of the propulsion system.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring aircraft propulsion controls meet the installation, arrangement and design requirements (See Section 9.2);

b. Provision of instrumentation necessary to ensure operation in compliance with the Engine operating limitations;

c. Provision of additional instrumentation or indicators which are necessary for use by the crew because of unusual features of the propulsion system (e.g., variable intake);

d. Ensuring that no reasonable credible combination of failures adversely affects the instrumentation necessary for safe control of the engine and propulsion unit systems;

e. Adequate provisions for instrument installation.

f. Novel systems with integrated aircraft and thrust control strategies

g. The following functions: start/stop each engine independently; independently control/set thrust for each engine; assess engine operating condition to the extent necessary for flight safety; maintain any set position or power demand without constant attention by the flight crewmember(s) and without creep due to control loads or vibration.

h. Suitable and sufficient warnings, cautions and advisories shall be provided to operators and maintainers to identify hazardous failure conditions.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Crew/operator station propulsion control capabilities are validated by inspection of design documentation, analyses and hardware and software tests in integration facilities and on the aircraft.

2. Warnings, cautions and advisories to operators and maintainers for hazardous failure conditions are validated.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | |
|-------------|-------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 242 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | ormation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2001B: 3.4.3.1.6/4.4.3.1.6, | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 5.1.18-5.1.25 00-970 P1 5.1.54 |
| | 3.4.3.1.5/4.4.3.1.5 MIL-STD-411 (Unverified) | STANAG | 4671.U1701 |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS-E 50, |
| | | Reference: | CS-E 60 |
| | | | CS 23.1141 |
| | | | CS 23.1143 |
| | | | CS 23.1145 |
| | | | CS 25.1141 |
| | | | CS 25.1143 |
| | | | CS 25.1145 |
| | | | CS 27.1141 |
| | | | CS 27.1143 |
| | | | CS 27.1145 |
| | | | CS 29.1141 |
| | | | CS 29.1143 |
| | | | CS 29.1145 |

7.3 ALTERNATE PROPULSION SYSTEMS.

This section covers the installation, integration, interface, arrangement of alternate propulsion systems, including: propeller driven systems, rotary wing systems and reciprocating engines.

Included with the scope of this section are:

- Propeller performance, strength and durability;
- Propeller / aircraft compatibility;
- Propeller bird-strike tolerability;
- Rotary wing power, torque, strength and durability;
- Rotary wing torsional stability;
- Rotary wing control and braking;
- Reciprocating engine certification.

7.3.1 Propeller driven systems.

7.3.1.1 Design margins.

Adequate margins shall exist for the performance, strength, and durability of the propeller and propeller system components. This may include but is not limited to the propeller drive shaft, reduction gearbox, torque measurement system, negative torque system, propeller brake, and mechanical over-speed governor.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring all propellers meet or exceed the minimum performance required to ensure the capability;

b. All propeller steady-state and transient operating limits (maximum, minimum) for all modes of operation;

c. Structural design considerations include the application of appropriate limit and ultimate load factors;

d. Ensuring the control system avoids critical propeller speeds where practicable.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 243 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Analysis verifies durability and positive margins of safety for all operating conditions.

2. Durability tests are as follows:

- Component Testing to establish component capability to perform its function for the period established in the model specification.

- Whirl Stand Testing to calibrate sea level performance characteristics, demonstrate durability, overspeed capability, vibratory stress and overspeed feathering.

- System Tests such as preliminary aircraft test of the propeller, installation static functional check, Steady State check, transient check to determine the stability of the control system, ground vibratory stress survey, flight vibratory stress survey.

| Inf | ormation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-----------------|----------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: L.3.4.12/L.4.4.12 | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | and L.3.4.12.4/L.4.4.12.4, | Reference: | |
| | performance and structural design and compliance | STANAG | 4671.905 |
| | methods | Reference: | 4671.907 |
| | | | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS-E 25 |
| | | Reference: | CS-E 180 |
| | | | CS-E 340 |
| | | | CS-E 390 |
| | | | CS-E 500 |
| | | | CS-E 510 |
| | | | CS-E 650 |
| | | | CS-E 710 |
| | | | CS-E 745 |
| | | | CS-E 810 |
| | | | CS-E 840 |
| | | | CS-E 890 |
| | | | CS-E 920 |
| | | | CS-P 160 |
| | | | CS-P 350 CS-P 370 |
| | | | CS-P 370 CS-P 390 |
| | | | CS-P 390 CS-P 400 |
| | | | CS-P 400 CS-P 410 |
| | | | CS-P 410 CS-P 440 |
| | | | CS-P 530 |
| | | | CS-P 550 |
| | | | CS 23.907 |
| | | | CS 25.907 |
| | | | 00 20.301 |

7.3.1.2 Critical speeds.

All critical propeller speeds shall be outside the engine operating range; or shall be identified and included as limitations within the appropriate operators and maintenance technical manuals (T.O.'s); such as to ensure safe operation under normal operating conditions.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 244 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

Consideration should be given to:

a. Critical speeds existing below the operating range, and ensuring they are below the minimum steady state operating speed (typically by at least 20 percent);

b. Critical speeds existing above the maximum operating, and ensuring they are above the maximum allowable transient shaft rotational speed (typically be at least 20 percent).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Analysis verifies critical speeds of the propeller system. Typical test are as follows:

- Whirl Stand Tests.
- Propeller and Engine Test Stand Tests.
- Flight Vibratory Stress Survey.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: L.3.4.12/L.4.4.12 and L.3.4.12.6/L.4.4.12.6 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 5.1.60 |
| | | STANAG | 4671.33 |
| | | Reference: | 4671.907 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 33.43, 33.83, 33.63 | EASA CS Reference: | CS-E 340 CS-E 650 CS-P 400 CS-P 410 CS-P 530 CS 23.33 CS 23.905 CS 23.907 CS 25.33 |
| | | | CS 23.905 CS 23.907 |

7.3.1.3 Reversing and pitch controls.

For variable and reversible pitch propellers, hardware and software components shall not allow unsafe or unsatisfactory control of the propeller for all steady state, transient, and emergency operating conditions. This includes ensuring that no reasonable credible combination of failures will result in unwanted travel of the propeller blades to a position below the In-Flight Low-Pitch Position.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The use of adequate emergency features to mitigate for any failures;

b. Ensuring risk levels meet the safety thresholds.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Demonstration of satisfactory control of the propeller is accomplished through control response test, steady state check, and transient check.

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|--|
| | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 245 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: L.3.4.12/L.4.3.12 and L.3.4.12.5/L.4.3.12.5 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 5.1.63 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.933(b) |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 35.21 | EASA CS Reference: | CS-P 210 CS 23.933(b) CS 25.933(b) |

7.3.1.4 Propeller interfaces.

All physical and functional interfaces between the propeller and any system that drives the propeller shall be established and controlled to prevent unsafe operation; and to ensure compatibility under all steady state, transient, and emergency operating conditions.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The propeller, engine, and airframe interface, all related modules and components, their arrangements functional relationships, interface loadings, weight, and position;

b. Ensuring the allowable range of characteristics of the propeller at the engine interface is specified.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: L.3.4.12/L.4.3.12; L.3.4.12.1/L.4.4.12.1; L.3.4.12.5/L.4.4.12.5 and L.3.4.12.2/L.4.3.12.2 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 5.1.18 00-970 P1 5.1.20 00-970 P1 5.1.59 00-970 P1 5.1.60 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.901 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 35.21, 35.39, 35.41 | EASA CS Reference: | CS-E 20(d) CS-E 50 CS-E 180 CS-P 150(a) CS-P 210 CS-P 230 CS-P 390 CS-P 400 |

7.3.1.5 Feathering system.

Manual and automatic feathering systems shall be operational for all steady state, transient, and emergency operating conditions. This shall include the ability to feather and unfeather in flight.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Any likely wear and leakage;
- b. Ensuring any feathering and unfeathering limitations are documented in the appropriate manual(s).
- c. An emergency means of initiating feathering, independent of the normal means for such operations.

d. The time required for the propeller to achieve full feather position.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 246 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 246 /67 |

1. Demonstration of satisfactory control of the propeller, accomplished through control response test, steady state check, and transient check.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: L.3.4.12.1, L.4.4.12.1 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 5.1.63 00-970 P1 5.3.15 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.905 4671.1027 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1027 CS 25.1027 CS-E 570(f)(3) CS-P 220 |

7.3.1.6 Merged with 7.3.1.4

7.3.1.7 Vibration and balancing.

Each propeller, other than a conventional fixed pitch wooden propeller, shall be free of aero-elastic effects (including flutter and dynamic response) and vibrations that could cause the equipment to operate below specified requirements or cause excessive crew discomfort; and shall be free of destructive vibrations at all steady-state and transient operating conditions.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Capability of the propeller to balance in order to remove vibration;

b. Ensuring vibration stresses do not exceed those shown by the propeller manufacturer to be safe for continuous operation.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Analysis shows all critical vibratory modes, their frequencies and stresses as a function of blade angle and rpm.

2. The vibratory characteristics of the propeller are verified from the data obtained during the vibratory stress surveys.

3. Data representing all bending and twisting modes as well as unbalance are identified and compared to design calculated values and to specified limits.

4. Verification of balancing methods based on analysis of vibration data obtained during propeller and engine stand tests and flight tests.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|-------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: L.3.4.12.6, | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| L.4.4.12.6, guidance on | Reference: | | |
| | vibration and balance. | STANAG | 4671.907 |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS-E 340(b) |
| | | Reference: | CS-P 530 |
| | | | CS 23.907 |
| | | | CS 25.907 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 247 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

7.3.1.8 Ice control system.

The propeller ice control system shall provide sufficient protection such as to minimise the risk of ice formation adversely affecting performance for all operating conditions.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The use of either electrical, fluid, gas, compound, or mechanical ice control systems;

b. Protection of all areas forward propeller that are likely to accumulate and shed ice into the propeller disc.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Analysis, component and rig testing verify that the ice control system provides the necessary level of protection against ice formation.

2. Analysis and testing verify ice protection does not damage the propeller system or compromise any other flight critical aircraft (sub)system/component.

| Information Sources | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|------------------|-----------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: JSSG-2009: L.6.3.1, for | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 5.1.35 | |
| | guidance on propeller anti-icing systems. | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | 4671.905 |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS-E 230 |
| | | Reference: | CS-E 780 |
| | | | CS 25.875 |
| | | | CS 25.929 |

7.3.1.9 Bird strike resistance.

The propeller blades and spinner shall be capable of withstanding the impact of birds at the most critical location and flight conditions without causing a structural failure or inability to control the propeller.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Specifying the mass and number of the birds applicable to the intended installation of the propeller in the aircraft specifications;

b. The most critical location and the flight conditions which will cause the highest blade loads.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Component/rig tests or analysis based on relevant acceptable birdstrike tests verify the structural integrity and controllability of the propeller and spinner under bird ingestion conditions.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | DoD/MIL Doc: | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.9 00-970 P1 5.1.39 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.U631 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS-E 800 CS-P 360 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 248 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|-----------|
| | AMC-P 360 |

7.3.1.10 Environmental conditions.

The propeller system shall safely and reliably operate in worldwide environments as required by the system specification.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ice accretion and separation, distortion, sand and dust ingestion, water ingestion.

b. Design usage including missions and mission mix, usage parameters, externally applied forces, operating envelope, engine attitude limits, ambient temperature distribution corrosive atmosphere conditions, acoustic environment, and engine performance retention characteristics.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Propeller system components are verified for expected usage and environmental conditions using analyses, component test, and ground/flight tests.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: L.1 - Air vehicle propeller subsystem | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 5.1.59 |
| | requirements and guidance. | STANAG | 4671 USAR.33 |
| | | Reference: | 4671 USAR.905 |
| | | | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS-P 50 |
| | | Reference: | CS-P 150 |
| | | | CS-P 160 |
| | | | CS-P 170 |
| | | | CS-P 230 |
| | | | CS-P 370 |
| | | | CS-P 440 |
| | | | CS 23.929 |
| | | | CS 25.929 |

7.3.2 Rotary wing systems.

7.3.2.1 Design margins.

The rotary wing and all associated components and systems (including but is not limited to the drive shaft, reduction gearbox, torque measurement system, negative torque system, brake system, and mechanical overspeed governor) shall provide sufficient power, torque, strength, and durability to allow safe operation throughout the aircraft and engine envelopes without any degradation in structural strength or durability.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Safe operation at sea level hover and margin for vertical climb and hover throughout the flight envelope;

b. Sufficient strength and durability of the rotary wing and its associated components and systems for the expected life of the aircraft;

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 249 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

c. Ensuring the power drive subsystem is of a robust design capable of operating beyond its maximum rated condition for those instances where excursions may occur such as autorotation, other emergency conditions and defined transients;

d. The most severe input power condition (torque and speed) for all allowed operating modes exclusive of transient conditions;

e. Strength and durability limitations include the application of appropriate limit and ultimate load factors.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Rig testing verifies the rotary wing's ability to provide adequate power.

2. Analysis verifies the expected strength and durability of the rotary wing and its associated components and systems.

3. Instrumented aircraft/engine testing verifies that the rotary wing and all associated components and systems operate safely as an integrated system.

4. Typical drive system tests include, but are not limited to:

- Integrity/Overstress.
- 200 hr Production Configuration.
- System Level Pre-Flight Acceptance.
- 200 hr Verification Military Qualification Test (MQT).

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2007A: A.3.7.16, A.4.7.16 JSSG-2009: K.4.4.11, for drive system bench and system level | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P7 L700 4.4 00-970 P7 L905 8.1 00-970 P7 L1001 8.1 00-970 P7 L732 |
| | testing. | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 33.43, 33.83, 33.63 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 27.1309 CS 29.1309 |

7.3.2.2 Safe controllability.

The rotor system shall provide safe control of the aircraft under all operating conditions including loss of lubricant and OEI and autorotations.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Sufficient power response levels to maintain safe control;

a. The behaviour of the engine(s)/control system(s) in response to rapid power demands, e.g. collective, cyclic and yaw control inputs;

c. For rotorcraft certificated for a 30second OEI power rating, a means must be provided to automatically activate and control the 30second OEI power and prevent any engine from exceeding the installed engine limits associated with the 30second OEI power rating approved for the rotorcraft.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Rig testing verifies that the rotary wing provides the expected response.

2. Typical rotor system tests include, but are not limited to, ground testing, flight testing, and component testing.

| | Information | n Sources | | |
|---|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| | | | | |
| ſ | Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 250 /675 |

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2007A: A.3.7.16, | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P7 L1001 8.1(b) |
| | A.4.7.16 | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 27.1143 | EASA CS | CS 27.1143 |
| | | Reference: | CS 29.1143 |

7.3.2.3 Main rotor blade passage frequencies.

For rotary wing aircraft, the effects of high-energy, low-frequency vibrations, generated by main rotor blade passage (fundamental and harmonic) frequencies at all engine and related component operating speeds and powers, shall not adversely affect the operation of the engine and the drive system.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring airframe induced engine vibration do not exceed specified engine limits within the aircraft and engine operational envelope;

b. High frequency vibration modes generated by the engine do not cause potentially damaging vibration to the propulsion subsystems or other parts of the aircraft;

c. Vibration levels of engine and drive train components over the entire operational range of aircraft and rotor speeds, aircraft gross weights, and centre of gravity limits.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Verification is by engine test and ground/flight test.

2. During ground/flight test, vibration levels of engine and drive train components are monitored throughout the operating range.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2007A: A.3.4.1.8, A.4.4.1.8; A.3.4.1.8.1, | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P7 L700 2.2, P7 L732 3.1.8, P7 L1001 8.5 |
| | A.4.4.1.8.1 JSSG-2009: K.3.4.11.1/ K.4.4.11.1 | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 29.907 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 27.251 CS 27.907 CS 29.251 CS 29.907 |

7.3.2.4 Engine/airframe vibratory response.

For rotary wing aircraft, each engine (including subsystems/accessories) shall be designed, constructed and installed to prevent the harmful vibration of any part of the engine or aircraft. The addition of the rotor and the rotor drive system to the engine may not subject the principal rotating parts of the engine to excessive vibration stresses. A satisfactory interface shall be achieved between the engine and the airframe, such that no excessive vibration forces are imparted to the aircraft structure.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Both high-frequency propulsion system-excited and low-frequency vibrations.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 251 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

b. If flexible mountings are used to isolate vibrations, the maximum deflections of such mountings take into account in the design of the relevant propulsion unit components.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Vibration levels of the propulsion system, including the drive system and airframe components are monitored throughout the operating range.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | A.4.4.1.8, for engine vibration | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P7 L700 2.2 |
| | and dynamic response. JSSG-2009: K.3.4.11.1/ K.4.4.11.1 | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 29.907 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 27.251 CS 27.907 CS 29.251 CS 29.907 CS-E 100(c) |

7.3.2.5 Lubrication system.

The rotor drive transmission/gearbox lubrication system shall provide clean and cooling lubricant to all components subjected to rolling and/or sliding contact (e.g. bearings, gears, and splines); be free from leakage; and shall operate safely and effectively under all aircraft operating conditions. The transmission/gearbox lubrication system shall also be sufficiently independent of the lubrication systems of the engine(s) in order to sustain sufficient lubrication during autorotation.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The requirements for the essential functional elements of the lubrication system which should include: gearbox breathers, lubrication filtering, filling provisions, gearbox oil drain, lubricant selection, cooling system, valves and pressure pumps, oil level indication, oil Leakage;

b. Pressurized systems to ensure lubricant is provided at the required pressure and flow rate to all required components and accessories;

- c. Operation over the range of temperatures, attitudes, and manoeuvres for which the aircraft is designed;
- d. Provision of cooling oil to remove heat generated due to friction at gear meshes and bearings;
- e. Provision of an oil film to reduce wear between sliding elements.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

- 1. Analysis includes a functional description of the lubrication system.
- 2. Schematics showing all components and indicating minimum flow rates to each oil jet.

3. Cooling system or heat balance analysis includes consideration of the highest ambient air condition specified in the aircraft specification, the minimum gearbox oil flow, the maximum allowable oil temperatures and the minimum cooling airflow as a basis for sizing the cooling system.

| Information Sources | | |
|---------------------|---|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: K.4.4.11.4, for lubrication element, | 00-970 P7 L705 3.2.9 00-970 P7 L705 4.2.1 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 252 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|----------|--------------------------------------|------------|--|
| | component, and system level testing. | | 00-970 P7 L705 4.3 00-970 P7 L705/1 6 |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | | CS 27.1027 CS 29.1027 |

7.3.2.6 Dynamic coupling.

When the engine, engine accessories, rotor, propeller, or fan system(s), and all power drive subsystem dynamic components are operated as a combined dynamic system, there shall be no unfavourable dynamic coupling modes (i.e. that are destructive or limit the aircraft) for all permitted ground and flight modes.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Recognizing the fact that one component can induce destructive vibrations in another component through interconnecting shafting;

b. Providing adequate allowances for the occurrence of transient loads arising from changes of input or of working state, (e.g. freewheel slippage or actuation or abrupt changes of power);

c. If flexible mountings are used to isolate vibrations, the maximum deflections of such mountings take into account in the design of the relevant propulsion unit components.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Verification is through similarity analysis or a combination of analyses, static and dynamic testing.

2. Analysis of critical speeds in relation to operational speeds throughout the range of possible shaft misalignments.

3. Measurement of stresses at the critical speed to ensure they are within design limits.

4. Show the absence of dynamic coupling modes that are destructive or limit the use of the aircraft for all permitted ground and flight modes.

5. Define all power drive subsystem spring constants, inertia and damping coefficients for use in torsional stability assessments.

6. Gear resonance test; the dynamic stress levels in each gear are measured in locations sensitive to all significant vibratory modes.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P7 L700 2.2 00-970 P7 L705 2.1.2 00-970 P7 L705 4.1.3 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | JSSG-2007A: A.3.4.1.8, A.4.4.1.8 JSSG-2009: K.3.4.11.1/ K.4.4.11.1 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 27.251 CS 29.251 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 253 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

7.3.2.7 Control system stability.

The engine's control/rotor system torsional stability shall have required gain and phase margins and main rotor torque damping during steady-state and transient operation. No dangerous torsional or flexural vibrations shall occur at any permissible torque and at any rotational speed up to the maximum engine overspeed or the maximum permissible rotor speed, whichever is the greater.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. The most critical combinations of power, rotational speed, and control displacement;
- b. Control system gain and phase margins meet the specified requirements.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

- 1. Analysis includes linearized models of the engine control loops and the rotor system.
- 2. The control design is verified throughout the operational envelope of the helicopter.
- 3. Open and closed loop bench testing of the control.
- 4. Engine control system stability evaluation by flight test.
- 5. Testing to show stable response throughout the operational envelope of the helicopter.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2007A: A.3.4.1.8, | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P7 L705/2 8.5 |
| | A.4.4.1.8 JSSG-2009: K.3.4.11.1/ | Reference: | 00-970 P7 L1001 8.6 |
| | | STANAG | |
| | K.4.4.11.1 | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references:29.939 | EASA CS | CS 27.939 |
| | | Reference: | CS 29.939 |

7.3.2.8 Misalignment.

The drive shaft couplings shall be designed for continuous, maintenance-free operation at the maximum permissible misalignment of coupled drive shafts (when installed in the aircraft), for all possible combinations of torque and speed.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. The maximum torque that could be delivered at the worst permissible misalignment;
- b. The use of dry type couplings to avoid the necessity of doing maintenance checks before every flight;
- c. Replacement of coupling mechanisms should not require realignment of the associated shafting.
- d. Couplings are the dry type to avoid the necessity of doing maintenance checks before every flight.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Vibration and stress analyses of all components subjected to potential stress or vibration induced failure.

2. Prediction of the range of values for steady, cyclic and vibratory stresses.

3. Loading reflects the influence of all environmental and operational factors on the life calculation methods of all fatigue sensitive coupling components.

4. Endurance Testing.

5. Fault Tolerance Demonstration.

Information Sources

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 254 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2007A: A.3.1.1.9, | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | A.4.1.1.9, A.3.7.16, A.4.7.16 | Reference: | |
| | JSSG-2009: K.3.4.11.2 / | STANAG | |
| | K.4.4.11.2 | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 33.5 | EASA CS | CS-E 25 |
| | | Reference: | CS 27.917 |
| | | | CS 29.917(c)(4) |

7.3.2.9 Rotor securing.

A means shall be provided of preventing rotation of the rotor during engine non-operation, power up, and ground idle conditions, when exposed to winds at specified velocities and directions.

Consideration should be given to:

a. A wind environment encompassing both atmospheric and weather induced conditions, wind-over-deck from carrier vessel movement, and downwash and jetwash conditions from other aircraft;

b. If a rotor brake is fitted, any limitations on use must be specified, and control must be guarded to prevent inadvertent operation;

c. The use of engine control interlock safeguards to prevent inadvertent actuation.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Analyses include heat generation, provisions for isolation from flammable materials or fluids, energy absorption rate and effects on the dynamic response of the transmission.

2. Component bench and system level testing.

3. Component endurance tests.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: I.3.4.9.4.1, | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P7 S7 L732/1 4.1 |
| | I.4.4.9.4.1, K.3.4.11.3/ | Reference: | |
| | K.4.4.11.3 | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 27.921 |
| | | Reference: | CS 29.921 |

7.3.2.10 Braking.

Normal and emergency braking systems (consisting of aerodynamic rotor drag and subsequent mechanical braking) shall be capable of stopping the rotor, from 100% speed, within specified times after engine shutdown and provide a gust-lock capability.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The specified minimum stopping time can be based on a structural analysis to protect power drive subsystem gears and components from overloads due to sudden stops;

b. If a rotor brake is fitted, any limitations on use must be specified, and control must be guarded to prevent inadvertent operation;

c. Thermal Analysis to establish the risk of combustible materials reaching their 'Flash point' temperature adjacent to the Rotor Brake Energy absorption elements.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 255 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

d. Ensuring there are no critical vibratory modes for the braking system.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Verify margins against heat generation limits, provisions for isolation from flammable materials or fluids, and transmission energy absorption rate limit.

2. Component bench and system level testing to demonstrate the capability to keep the rotors from rotating when exposed to the specified conditions.

3. System level test to demonstrate the ability of the engine interlock safeguard system to prevent actuation during specified periods.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: K.3.4.11.3/ | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P7 S2 L705 3.1.4, |
| | K.4.4.11.3 | Reference: | 00-970 P7 S2 L705 3.2.10 |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 27.921 |
| | | Reference: | CS 29.921 |

7.3.2.11 Condition monitoring.

Drive system condition monitoring shall provide warning of impending failure that could result in loss of the aircraft or prevent a safe landing. Elements of condition monitoring shall be specified and may include: debris monitoring, lubrication system oil pressure and temperature monitoring, health and usage monitoring (HUM).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Debris monitor testing to demonstrate the ability to detect debris of the size, shape and material specified, the characteristic of debris considered abnormal and its insensitivity to normal wear.

2. Oil pressure and temperature. Full up rig and flight testing to demonstrate the required monitoring capability of the lubrication system.

3. Health monitoring. Testing to identify a characteristic normal baseline for applying diagnostic indicators to isolate mechanical component faults.

4. Usage monitoring. Testing to demonstrate acceptable and accurate in-flight monitoring of the power drive subsystem operational usage.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: K.3.4.11.5/ K.4.4.11.5 JSSG-2007: A.3.7.8.2.4/ A.4.7.8.2.4 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P7 L732 3.2.5 00-970 P7 L732 4.2.3 00-970 P7 L700 7.4.2 00-970 P7 L703/5 16.2.1 00-970 P7 L727 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 27.1305 CS 29.1305, CS 29.1309(c) |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 256 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|------------|
| | CS 29.1465 |

7.3.2.12 Load absorbers.

The drive system clutching devices shall permit engagement and disengagement of the engines from the load absorbers as required for all applicable modes of aircraft operation.

For rotary-wing aircraft in autorotation mode, the engine(s) not supplying torque shall be immediately and automatically disengaged from the power drive subsystem. For multi-engine aircraft conducting single engine operations, the engines not supplying torque shall be similarly disengaged to permit continued operation of the rotor system and accessory drive for 2 hours without damage to the overrunning mechanism.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Determining the torsional spring rate (angular deflection of the outer race relative to the inner race) of the clutch;

b. Engagement and disengagement characteristics including measurement of torque fluctuations, and peak values resulting from slip and sudden engagement where appropriate;

- c. Defining the clutch's fatigue characteristics;
- d. Overrunning and cold temperature engagement performance;

e. Clutch durability.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

- 1. Bench tests to demonstrate compliance:
 - Static torque test.
 - Cyclic fatigue (stroking) test.
 - Overrunning test.
 - Cold temperature engagement test.
 - Clutch durability test.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: K.3.4.11.7/ K.4.4.11.7 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P7 L705/2 4.8 00-970 P7 L705/2 4.9 00-970 P7 L705/2 5.3 00-970 P7 L705/2 10.1.8 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 27.923 CS 29.923 |

7.3.2.13 Loss of lubrication.

Rotary drive system gearboxes shall continue to function safely for a specified duration following loss of the primary lubrication system, and shall be in a condition such that the gearbox is still capable of transmitting the required power.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 257 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

The specified duration shall be sufficient to permit the safe landing and/or recovery of the aircraft, typically at least 30 minutes.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Sufficient torque and rotational speed is maintained for that duration to allow continued safe flight;

b. The gearbox continues to function although not necessarily without damage.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. A thirty minute loss-of-lubrication overrunning test consistent with a loss-of-lubricant test spectrum demonstrates the ability of continued safe operation.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | A.4.7.8.1.3 JSSG-2009 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P7 L705 4.2 00-970 P7 L705 4.3 |
| | | STANAG | |
| | K.3.4.11.8/K.4.4.11.8 | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 27.927(c) CS 27.1027 |
| | | | CS 29.927(c) |
| | | | CS 29.1027 |

7.3.2.14 Rotor meshing.

For intermeshing-rotor systems, phased externally, means shall be provided in the power drive subsystem to prevent operation with de-phased rotors. Means shall be included for cockpit indication that the rotors are locked in phase.

Consideration should be given to:

a. If the rotors must be phased for intermeshing, each system must provide constant and positive phase relationship under any operating condition;

b. If a rotor dephasing device is incorporated, there must be means to keep the rotors locked in proper phase before operation.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Analysis and demonstration are accomplished during system verification for the aircraft.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P7 L605 2.1.2 |
| | K.3.4.11.9/K.4.4.11.8 9 | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 29.917(c) |
| | | Reference: | |

7.3.2.15 Accessory drives.

Failure or seizure of any individual accessory shall not cause failure of the gearbox(s) or cause damage to any power drive subsystem components. For rotary-wing aircraft, accessories shall be driven whenever

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 258 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

the rotor system is rotating including during autorotation. Any failure of flight-critical accessories shall be annunciated to the pilot/operator.

Cover plates shall be provided for use when accessories are not installed.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Accessory drive splines should be protected from wear with non-metallic inserts or should be positively lubricated with oil when functioning.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Testing and inspection during gearbox system level verification.

2. Pilot/operator annunciation is verified by inspection, analysis of drawings and by demonstration.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 K.3.4.11.10/K.4.4.11.10 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P7 L700 4.3 00-970 P7 L705 3.1.9 |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 29.908 |
| | | Reference: | |

7.3.2.16 Environmental conditions.

The rotor/drive system shall operate safely and reliably in world-wide environments as required by the system specification.

Consideration should be given to:

a. All intended natural and induced environments, including: temperature, humidity, precipitation, icing, fungus, salt fog, particulate and liquid contamination, shock and vibration, and explosive atmosphere conditions.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Rotor/drive system components are verified for expected usage and all intended and induced environmental conditions using analyses, component test, and ground/flight tests.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-810 | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P7 L705 1.4.1 |
| | MIL-HDBK-310 | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 29.917 |
| | | Reference: | |

7.3.2.17 Drive system design.

The drive systems shall be capable of operating beyond the maximum rated torque and speed under emergency conditions as defined by the aircraft specification.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 259 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Durability, dynamic response and structural integrity requirements specified.
- b. Excursions from normal operational conditions such as autorotation.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Bench and system level tests to ensure structural integrity, endurance, performance, and capability to withstand all specified transient excursions, operational and environmental conditions, including emergency conditions and autorotation.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 K.3.4.11 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P7 L705 1.4.1 00-970 P7 L705 3.2.3 |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 29.917 |
| | | Reference: | |

7.3.2.18 Space envelope.

The space envelope provided for the transmission systems shall be such as to cause no hazard to exposed shafts, oil lines, sensors, or other vulnerable parts which could occur due to contact with drive system components.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Chafing and wear between rotating/moving parts and static components.

b. Where relative movement between components within the transmission systems and between such components and the rotorcraft can occur, there shall be adequate provision for flexibility.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Inspection after aircraft drive/rotor system qualification testing is accomplished to assure minimum clearances are maintained and that components do not have wear/chafing due to contact with each other.

| Inf | ormation Sources | | |
|--------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P7 L705 2.1.3 |
| | | Reference: | 00-970 P7 L705 2.1.4 |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

7.3.2.19 Protection from environmental elements.

The transmission and associated drive system components shall be adequately protected/sealed from environmental elements (e.g., water, dust, and other contaminants), and external cleaning procedures shall be in place that will not breach the sealing of those components.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 260 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

1. Analysis of the designs, along with component level tests, verify that protective provisions have been incorporated to prevent contaminants from penetrating critical areas.

| Inf | ormation Sources | | |
|--------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P7 L705 2.1.7 |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 29.609 |
| | | Reference: | |

7.3.2.20 Accessibility.

Reasonable accessibility to rotor and drive system-related equipment shall be provided for the performance of required servicing, inspections, and maintenance.

Considerations should be given to:

a. Maintainer's anthropometric dimensions and strength limitations.

b. All environmental conditions, and any required mission equipment (e.g., chemical protective gear, gloves).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Inspection of design criteria (to include Interface Control Document data) to verify that required servicing, inspections and maintenance requirements have been established.

2. Analysis of virtual models and/or physical mock-ups to verify accessibility to required servicing, inspection and maintenance areas.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 3.2.6 Maintainability. | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 Pt7 L705 2.1.6 00-970 Pt7 L705 2.2.3 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 27.901 CS 29.901 |

7.3.2.21 Faults and warnings.

The rotor and drive system health monitoring and prognostics systems shall provide adequate warnings in a timely manner to ensure safety of flight.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring all safety/mission-critical faults and warnings are available to operators/maintainers.

b. Providing immediate notification of critical faults to the operator.

c. Recording all faults requiring maintenance action for post-flight download.

d. Providing critical rotor and drive system information, such as speed, control operating mode and fluid quantities and pressures, to the maintainer.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 261 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

e. Ensuring the rotor and drive system health monitoring system provides accurate information and minimizes false positive faults.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Analysis and fault injection bench testing verify the capability of the monitoring system.

2. Aircraft level testing to verify that the operator is provided clear notification of any critical failure.

3. Fault download testing to verify that the maintainers have full access to failure data.

4. Information provided to the flight crew regarding the warnings and other information that may be provided, and the required action. Such information should be consistent with the content of the engine Interface Control Document (ICD) and operator manual.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | ADS-79-HDBK | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 Pt7 L727 00-970 Pt7 L705 2.1.6 00-970 Pt7 L705 2.1.8 00-970 Pt7 L705 2.2.4 00-970 Pt7 L705 3.2.5 00-970 Pt7 L705 4.2.4 00-970 Pt7 L705 4.3.4 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.USAR.1309 4671.USAR.1337 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 27.1305 CS 27.1337 CS 29.1305 CS 29.1309 CS 29.1337 |

7.3.2.22 Contamination.

Contaminants shall not become trapped in rotating components, on external surfaces, or around seals without the ability to run off or be removed.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Adequate drainage to prevent pooling of fluids.

b. Conditions expected to prevail when drainage is required.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Inspection of the design of components and external surfaces should determine if any areas that could trap contaminants/fluids exist.

2. If contaminants/fluids can be trapped, verify that procedures are adequate to remove those contaminants/fluids.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | |
|--------------|------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG 2009 G.3.4.7.5 Drainage | 00-970 Pt7 L705 2.1.7 00-970 Pt7 L700 5.1.2 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 262 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | | |
|---------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| | | 4671.USAR.1309 4671.USAR.1337 |
| FAA Doc: | | CS 27.1187 CS 29.1187 |

7.3.3 Reciprocating engines.

7.3.3.1 Reciprocating engines.

Turbocharged reciprocating engine operating characteristics shall be investigated in flight to assure that no adverse characteristics, as a result of an inadvertent overboost, surge, flooding, or vapour lock, are present during normal or emergency operation of the engine(s) throughout the range of operating limitations of both aeroplane and engine.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Aircraft operating limitations (defined in the aircraft's Type Certification and Aircraft Flight Manual) should not exceed limitations of engine operating characteristics.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-----------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | 4671.903 |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference 33 subpart C for design requirements for commercial applications. 14CFR reference 33 subpart D for verification requirements for commercial applications. | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.939 CS-E Subpart B CS-E Subpart C |

7.3.4 Reciprocating engines.

7.3.4.1 Other propulsion systems.

Other propulsion systems (e.g., rotary, wankel, electric) shall meet the requirements to achieve an Engine Type Certificate and operate safely.

Consideration should be given to:

a. New/Novel propulsion systems and sub-systems.

b. Understanding the interactions with other aircraft systems and the requirements placed on them.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. AAMC may be required to verify that new/novel propulsion systems meet the safety requirements to warrant issue of an Engine Type Certificate.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | | Reference: | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 263 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|---|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.903 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference 33 subpart C for design requirements for commercial applications.14CFR reference 33 subpart D for verification requirements for commercial applications. | EASA CS Reference: | CS-E 10 CS 27.901 CS 29.901 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 264 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

SECTION 8 - AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS

TYPICAL CERTIFICATION SOURCE DATA

- 1. Design criteria
- 2. Functional operations test results
- 3. Performance test results
- 4. Failure modes, effects, and criticality analyses (FMECA)
- 5. Hazard analysis
- 6. Component and system SOF certifications/qualifications
- 7. Design studies and analysis
- 8. Installation and operational characteristics
- 9. Flight manual and limitations
- 10. Electromagnetic environmental effects analysis and test results
- 11. Diminishing manufacturing sources plan
- 12. Obsolete parts plan

CERTIFICATION CRITERIA

(Note: For subsystems that use computer resources, see section 15 for additional, specific criteria.)

8.1. HYDRAULIC AND PNEUMATIC SYSTEMS.

8.1.1 Redundant hydraulic system operation.

Where there is more than one hydraulic and/or pneumatic system, or where a system's design includes redundant elements, the system shall be designed such that safe operation will continue following failure of any one system or element thereof.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Common mode failures, especially where a common pressure source is used;
- b. Ensuring stable and convergent aircraft handling with degraded systems.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Failure Modes and Effects Analyses (FMEA) should identify all failures of a system's elements and their effect on the operation of the systems.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| <u> </u> | Information Sources | | |
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4752 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: B.3.4.2, B.4.4.2, B.3.4.2.1.10, B.4.4.2.1.10, B.3.4.2.1.16, B.4.4.2.1.16, Emergency Operation and Appendix M: M.3.4.13/M.4.4.13, Pneumatic Subsystems. | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 2.15.22 00-970 P1 2.15.23 00-970 P1 2.15.25 00-970 P1 6.11.52 00-970 P1 6.11.53-6.11.55 00-970 P1 6.12.3-6.12.4 00-970 P1 6.12.6 00-970 P1 6.12.15 00-970 P7 L703 2.2 00-970 P7 L704 2.1 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 265 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|----------|------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1301 4671.1309 4671.1437 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 25.1435 b4 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1301 CS 23.1309 CS 23.1437 CS 25.1301 CS 25.1309 CS 25.1310 CS 25.1435 CS 25.1436 CS 27.1301 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1301 CS 29.1309 |

8.1.1.1 Merged with 14.2.3.

8.1.2 Interfaces and redundancies.

All interfaces and redundancies with other systems shall be evaluated and shown to be safe.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Identifying and documenting all interfaces and redundancies with quoted systems; ensuring that the interfaces are adequately described.

b. Producing a test and acceptance plan that:

i. Demonstrates that the requirements have been achieved safely.

ii. Redundancy scheme works appropriately.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Interface Documents (SID) should define system parameters at its interface with other systems under normal operating conditions, and all anticipated degraded/failed conditions. One SID per system interface is preferred, but where practical to do so, one ICD may define a system's parameters multiple systems. Where the parameters of one system affect the parameters of its interfacing system, it may be practical for one SID to define the parameters of both systems.

2. Failure Modes and Effects Analyses (FMEA) should identify all failures of a system and their effect on the interface parameters with other systems.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4752 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: B.3.4.2, B.4.4.2, B.3.4.2.1.10/ B.4.4.2.1.10 Emergency Operation; B.3.4.2.1.9/B.4.4.2.1.9 Leakage Control; B.3.4.2.2/B.4.4.2.2 Interface requirements; B.3.4.2/B.4.4.2 Hydraulic power subsystem; and Appendix M: | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 1.1.12 to 1.1.14 00-970 P1 6.10 00-970 P1 6.11.50 00-970 P1 6.11.52 00-970 P1 6.12.5 00-970 P1 6.12.33 00-970 P1 6.12.34 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 266 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|----------|-----------------------------|------------|------------|
| <u></u> | | | |
| | M.3.4.13/M.4.4.13 Pneumatic | STANAG | 4671.1301 |
| | Subsystem. | Reference: | 4671.1309 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.1301 |
| | | Reference: | CS 23.1309 |
| | | | CS 25.1301 |
| | | | CS 25.1309 |
| | | | CS 25.1435 |
| | | | CS 25.1436 |
| | | | CS 27.1301 |
| | | | CS 27.1309 |
| | | | CS 29.1301 |
| | | | CS 29.1309 |

8.1.3 Transition to backup systems.

Power operated and augmented systems and equipment shall be shown to operate as expected throughout the degraded operation of Normal hydraulic, pneumatic and vacuum systems and throughout operation via back-up, and emergency systems.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring the requirements have been correctly interpreted in the design.

b. Ensuring that sufficient hydraulic and pneumatic power is available to the requisite systems at all times.

c. Ensuring that transition from Normal operation to back-up or emergency systems does not affect any operating characteristic of the power operated systems, and at a minimum does not have a negative effect on flight safety.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Ground testing should verify that all anticipated combinations of degraded operation of hydraulic, pneumatic and vacuum systems, and operation via back-up and/or emergency systems does not prevent the acceptable operation of power-operated systems.

2. Flight testing should verify that all anticipated combinations of degraded operation of hydraulic, pneumatic and vacuum systems, and operation via back-up and/or emergency systems does not affect any operating characteristic of the power operated systems during all flight phases and configurations, and at a minimum does not have a negative effect on flight safety.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4752 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix B: B.3.4.2.1.2/B.4.4.2.1.2 System Fluid Capacity; B.3.4.2.1.10/B.4.4.2.1.10 Emergency Operation; and Appendix M: M.3.4.13, M.4.4.13 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.11.2 00-970 P1 6.11.4 00-970 P1 6.11.25 00-970 P1 6.11.52 00-970 P1 6.11.53 00-970 P1 6.11.54 00-970 P1 6.11.55 00-970 P1 6.12.33 00-970 P1 6.12.34 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 267 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|----------|-------------------|------------|----------------------|
| | | | 00-970 P7 L704/0 3.4 |
| | | STANAG | 4671.1301 |
| | | Reference: | 4671.1309 |
| | | | 4671.1435 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.1301 |
| | | Reference: | CS 23.1309 |
| | | | CS 23.1435 |
| | | | CS 25.1301 |
| | | | CS 25.1309 |
| | | | CS 25.1310 |
| | | | CS 25.1433 |
| | | | CS 25.1436 |
| | | | CS 27.1301 |
| | | | CS 27.1309 |
| | | | CS 27.1435 |
| | | | CS 29.1301 |
| | | | CS 29.1309 |
| | | | CS 29.1435 |

8.1.4 Fluid operating temperatures.

Hydraulic fluid temperatures shall not exceed the maximum allowable temperature during any foreseeable operating condition.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring that the permitted hydraulic fluid specifications are clearly defined.

b. Ensuring that the maximum allowable hydraulic fluid operating temperature is defined and that this temperature takes account of all relevant hydraulic fluid characteristics for all permitted fluid specifications.

c. Ensuring that the maximum allowable hydraulic fluid operating temperature takes account of the maximum allowed temperature for hydraulic system components and, where relevant, systems/equipment interfacing or in proximity with the hydraulics system.

d. Accounting for all anticipated combinations of aircraft operation that affect the temperature of hydraulic fluid, including heating elements (hydraulic pumps, brakes, etc.), cooling elements (e.g. heat exchangers) and any credible combination of failures that could affect the system's temperature management.

e. Accounting for all operating environments in which the aircraft is anticipated to operate, including temperatures, pressures and humidities.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Analysis should verify that all anticipated combinations of aircraft operation, including credible combinations of failures of relevant systems/equipment does not cause hydraulic fluid temperatures to exceed their maximum allowable value, for all permitted hydraulic fluid specifications.

2. Ground and/or Flight testing should verify the accuracy of the performed analyses, including combinations of at least the following:

a. Prolonged application of brakes during ground taxi;

b. Acceleration to and subsequent deceleration from V1 (i.e. Rejected Take-off at speeds up to V1);

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 268 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

c. Failure and degraded operation of hydraulic fluid cooling elements (heat exchangers, ram-air ducts, etc.), including operation at low speeds; and,

d. High ambient temperatures and heat-soaking of the aircraft.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|---|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4752 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: B.3.4.2.1.14/B.4.4.2.1.14 High Temperature Operation; B.3.4.2.1.14.1/B.4.4.2.1.14.1 Thermal Relief; B.3.4.2.1.15/B.4.4.2.1.15 Fire and Explosion Proofing | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.11.13 00-970 P1 6.11.14 00-970 P1 6.11.79 00-970 P7 L704/0 8.2 00-970 P7 L704/1 3.3 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1309 CS 25.1309 CS 25.1435 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1309 |

8.1.5 Operator interface.

Adequate information shall be available to notify the flight crew of the hydraulic and pneumatic systems' operating conditions.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Clear presentation of relevant information to crew, including status indication, and warning, caution and advisory information.

b. Ensuring that changes in the hydraulic and pneumatic systems' operating conditions are highlighted to the crew in a clear and unambiguous manner.

c. Ensuring that any required pilot input or intervention is clearly and unambiguously identified.

d. Ensuring that, where pilot action is required in accordance with an emergency procedure, checklist or other Technical Publication, the relevant section of the Technical Publication is clearly defined such that the pilot can intervene with minimal delay.

e. Ensuring that any credible combination of failures does not prevent the accurate notification of system operating conditions.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should identify the controls and displays provided to crew. For controls, detail should be provided regarding the mode of operation and function of each control. For displays, detail should be provided regarding all information displayed to the crew, and where appropriate, the conditions that would lead to specific indications.

2. System Description Documents (SDD) should clearly define the possible system operating conditions and the operating parameters that trigger each condition.

3. Analysis (e.g. System Simulations) should demonstrate that system status information and changes in system operating conditions are provided to the crew throughout all foreseeable aircraft operating conditions.

4. Rig and/or Ground Testing should verify the accuracy of the performed analysis, including the effect of system/equipment failures.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 269 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

5. Flight Simulations, Ground Testing and/or Flight Testing should verify that the system status information and changes in operating conditions are displayed clearly and unambiguously, and that emergency procedures, checklists and other Technical Publications can be used effectively.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4752 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix B: B.3.4.2.1.3/B.4.4.2.1.3 System Fluid Monitoring; B.3.4.2.1.4.3/B.4.4.2.1.4.3 System Pressure Indication; B.3.4.2.1.4.4/B.4.4.2.1.4.4 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.11.16 00-970 P1 6.11.18 00-970 P1 6.12.9 00-970 P7 L703 2.8 00-970 P7 L704/0 8.4 |
| | System Low-Pressure Warning; B.3.4.2.2.3/B.4.4.2.2.3 Instrumentation interface(s); and Appendix M: M.3.4.13.3/M.4.4.13.3 Status Indication. | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1309 4671.1721 4671.1813 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.1435 a2, 25.1435 b1 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1309 CS 23.1435 CS 25.1309 CS 25.1435 CS 25.1436 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1309 CS 29.1435 |

8.1.6 Technical manuals.

Flight and maintenance manuals shall include normal, back-up and emergency operating procedures, limitations, restrictions, servicing, and maintenance information.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The level of detail necessary to provide accurate technical information while remaining concise;

b. The information, at the appropriate level of detail, required to allow personnel to operate and maintain the aircraft as safely and effectively as possible at an acceptable workload.

c. Ensuring that all required operating procedures are defined, taking account of requirements for military operation (e.g. in-flight rectification).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Operational Technical Publications for the flight crew (Aircraft Flight Manual, Emergency Procedures, Checklists etc.) should clearly define all required normal, back-up and emergency operating procedures, limitations and restrictions.

2. Maintenance Technical Publications for ground crew (Aircraft Maintenance Manual, Master Minimum Equipment List, Maintenance Schedule, etc.) should clearly define all required servicing and maintenance information.

3. Flight Simulations, Ground Testing and/or Flight Testing should verify that all Operational Technical Publications are clear and unambiguous and can be followed by a flight crew through all flight phases and conditions without incurring excessive crew workload and serve their intended function.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 270 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

4. Rig and/or Ground Testing should verify that all Maintenance Technical Publications are clear and unambiguous and can be followed by a competent maintenance engineer in a manner which ensures the continuing airworthiness of the aircraft.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4752 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2000: 3.6.2 | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 7.5.1 |
| | | Reference: | 00-970 P1 7.5.3 |
| | | STANAG | 4671.1501 |
| | | Reference: | 4671.1529 |
| | | | 4671.1581 |
| | | | 4671.1583 |
| | | | 4671.1585 |
| | | | 4671.1587 |
| | | | 4671.1589 |
| | | | 4671.1591 |
| FAA Doc: | Refer to technical point of | EASA CS | CS 23.1529 |
| | contact for this discipline (listed | Reference: | CS 23.1581 |
| | in section A.2) | | CS 23.1583 |
| | | | CS 23.1585 |
| | | | CS 23.1587 |
| | | | CS 23.1589 |
| | | | CS 25.1529 |
| | | | CS 25.1581 |
| | | | CS 25.1583 |
| | | | CS 25.1585 |
| | | | CS 25.1587 |
| | | | CS 25.1591 |
| | | | CS 27.1529 |
| | | | CS 27.1581 |
| | | | CS 27.1583 |
| | | | CS 27.1585 |
| | | | CS 27.1587 |
| | | | CS 27.1589 |
| | | | CS 29.1529 |
| | | | CS 29.1581 |
| | | | CS 29.1583 |
| | | | CS 29.1585 |
| | | | CS 29.1587 |
| | | | CS 29.1589 |

8.1.7 Hydraulic/pneumatic components, lines and fittings.

The hydraulic and pneumatic components, lines and fittings and their installation shall be safe.

Consideration should be given to:

a. All system components, including:

i. Reservoirs;

ii. Pressure generation equipment (pumps, bleed air extraction equipment, ram-air ducts, turbines, etc);

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 271 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

iii. Pressure storage equipment (e.g. accumulators);

iv. Power transfer/conversion equipment (Power Transfer Units, motors, actuators, etc.);

v. Pipes and hoses (flexible & rigid);

vi. Couplings (including design to prevent reverse installation or inadvertent cross-connection);

vii. Fixings, brackets and miscellaneous ironmongery; and,

viii. Other components which form part of the hydraulic/pneumatic system.

b. System parameters including operating pressure and temperature.

c. All anticipated ambient environments including temperatures, pressures, vibration and fatigue through the aircraft's anticipated operating environment and conditions.

d. Consideration of single failures and credible combinations of failures including:

i. Damage due to foreign objects (bird strike, uncontained engine rotors, etc.);

ii. Damage due to contamination of the working fluid;

iii. Interference between moving parts and other parts (moving and stationary); and,

iv. System overpressure (due to excessive force on hydro-locked components, thermal expansion of compressible fluids, failure of pressure regulation devices, etc.).

e. Compatibility of hydraulic fluids and system seals or other components.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should clearly define the operating parameters for the system and the appropriateness of components.

2. Assembly Clearance Analyses should verify the clearance of all components through all normal operating and failure conditions, taking account of the maximum possible displacements of all moving parts.

3. Equipment Testing should verify that all components meet their specifications.

4. Analysis should verify that the systems are able to operate safely and meet all performance requirements throughout all foreseeable flight phases and conditions.

5. Rig testing should verify the accuracy of system analysis and should verify that systems are able to operate safely and meet all performance requirements throughout all foreseeable flight phases and conditions.

6. Ground and Flight Testing should verify the safe operation of the hydraulic/pneumatic system(s) and their ability to adequately provide power to other systems.

7. Failure Modes and Effects Analyses (FMEA) should identify all failures of the system and their effect on its safe operation.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4752 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: B.3.4.2.1.1/B4.4.2.1.1 Fluid Selection; B.3.4.2.1.2/4.4.2.1.2 System fluid capacity; B.3.4.2.1.4/B.4.4.2.1.4 System Pressure; B.3.4.2.1.5/B.4.4.2.1.5 Pressure Control; B.3.4.2.1.14.1/B.4.4.2.1.14.1 Thermal Relief; B.3.4.2.1.15/B.4.4.2.1.15 Fire and Explosion Proofing; B.3.4.2.1.17/B.4.4.2.1.17 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.11 00-970 P1 6.11.36-6.11.41 00-970 P1 6.11.36-6.11.49 00-970 P1 6.11.57-6.11.58 00-970 P1 6.11.62-6.11.64 00-970 P1 6.11.70-6.11.75 00-970 P1 6.12.1 00-970 P1 6.12.15-6.12.17 00-970 P1 6.12.26-6.12.27 00-970 P1 6.12.32-6.12.33 00-970 P7 L703 7.3 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 272 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|-----------|--|-----------------------|--|
| | Clearances, M.3.4.13.2/M.4.4.13.3 Pressure, M.3.4.13.4/M.4.4.13.4 Moisture Content, M.6.4 Component Information | STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P7 L704/0 10 4671.1309 4671.1435 4671.1438 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.1435 a1, a3, c1, c2, 25.1435 a2, a4, a5 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1309 CS 23.1435 CS 25.1309 CS 25.1435 CS 25.1436 CS 25.1438 CS 27.1309 CS 27.1435 CS 29.1309 CS 29.1435 |

8.1.8 Power levels.

The aircraft hydraulic and pneumatic systems' size and power capacities shall be sufficient to provide adequate power to all power-operated systems.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring adequate hydraulic/pneumatic power to all power-operated systems through all flight phases and all foreseeable operating conditions.

b. The categorisation of power-operated systems (for example as 'essential' and 'non-essential') and load shedding such that those systems considered essential for continued safe flight remain adequately powered throughout any credible combination of failure, including multiple engines inoperative.

c. Providing adequate margin in power generation and/or storage devices such that any foreseeable combination of undetected failures (e.g. multiple leaks throughout the system) does not impair adequate supply of power.

d. Taking account of power-losses within the power-supply system (e.g. due to hydro-dynamic pressure loss).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should clearly define the operating parameters for the system including maximum continuous power output (in Watts or equivalent unit) and transient power capacity (in Joules or equivalent unit).

2. System Interface Documents (SID) should define the parameters for continuous power output (in Watts or equivalent unit) and transient power capacity (in Joules or equivalent unit) of the power supply system, and the corresponding maximum requirement for the power-operated system.

3. Equipment Testing should verify that all power generating and power storing components meet their specifications.

4. Analysis should verify that the systems are able to operate safely and meet all performance requirements throughout all foreseeable flight phases and conditions.

5. Rig testing should verify the accuracy of system analysis and should verify that systems are able to operate safely and meet all performance requirements throughout all foreseeable flight phases and conditions.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 273 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

6. Ground and Flight Testing should verify the safe operation of the hydraulic/pneumatic system(s) and their ability to adequately provide power to other systems.

7. Failure Modes and Effects Analyses (FMEA) should identify all failures of the system and their effect on its safe operation.

| Inf | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4752 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix B: B.3.4.2/B.4.4.2 Hydraulic Power Subsystem; B.3.4.2.1.2/B.4.4.2.1.2 System Fluid Capacity; and Appendix M: M.3.4.13/M.4.4.13 Pneumatic Subsystems. | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.11.2-6.11.3 00-970 P1 6.11.5-6.11.8 00-970 P1 6.11.9-6.11.10 00-970 P1 6.11.70 00-970 P1 6.11.80-6.11.81 00-970 P1 6.12.2 00-970 P1 6.12.5 00-970 P1 6.12.33 00-970 P7 L703 6.2 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1309 4671.1435 4671.1438 |
| FAA Doc: | Refer to technical point of contact for this discipline (listed in section A.2) | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1309 CS 23.1435 CS 23.1438 CS 25.1309 CS 25.1435 CS 25.1436 CS 25.1438 CS 27.1309 CS 27.1435 CS 29.1309 CS 29.1435 |

8.1.9 Pressure variance.

Pressure fluctuations shall be taken into account in the design of the system.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The magnitude and frequency of all pressure fluctuations in the system, including those arising from:

- i. Start-up and shutdown of pumps/compressors;
- ii. Opening/closing of valves;
- iii. Cavitation;
- iv. Operation of power-operated systems/equipment; and,
- v. Large system pressures due to high flow, choked flow and waterfall effects.

b. The effectiveness of pressure management including devices (such as pressure relief valves, surge suppressors (including devices where surge suppression is a secondary function such as accumulators), burst discs/diaphragms, etc.), and controlled operation of system components (e.g. slow-starting pumps, slow-closure of valves, etc.), and the effect of failure of this pressure management.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 274 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should define the maximum continuous and transient surge pressures of the system, including both magnitude, and frequency.

2. System Interface Documents (SID) should define the maximum continuous and transient surge pressures at the interface between the hydraulic/pneumatic system and other systems.

3. Equipment Testing should verify that components meet their specifications in all respects relevant to surge pressure generation/management, including the opening/closure time of valves, start-up/shutdown time of pumps, and the pressures induced at the component's highest flow rate.

4. Analysis should identify the anticipated continuous and transient surge pressures taking account of component characteristics when integrated into the system throughout all foreseeable flight phases and conditions.

5. Rig testing should verify the accuracy of system analysis and should verify that system pressures do not exceed expected values throughout all foreseeable flight phases and conditions.

6. Ground and Flight Testing should verify the safe operation of the system and should verify that system pressures do not exceed expected values throughout all foreseeable flight phases and conditions.

7. Failure Modes and Effects Analyses (FMEA) should identify all failures of the system and their effect on its safe operation.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4752 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix B: B.3.4.2.1.5/B.4.4.2.1.5 Pressure Control; B.3.4.2.1.5.1/B.4.4.2.1.5.1 Peak Pressure; and B.3.4.2.1.5.2/B.4.4.2.1.5.2 Pressure Ripple; and Appendix M: M.3.4.13.2/M.4.4.13.2 Pressure. | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.11.2 00-970 P1 6.11.9 to 6.11.12 00-970 P1 6.11.60 00-970 P1 6.11.70 00-970 P1 6.11.80 to 6.11.81 00-970 P1 6.12.5 00-970 P1 6.12.20 to 6.12.22 00-970 P1 6.12.33 00-970 P7 L704 6.1 00-970 P7 L704/0 8.1.4 00-970 P7 L704/1 3.2 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1309 4671.1435 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.1435 a3, 25.1435 b2 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1309 CS 23.1435 CS 25.1309 CS 25.1435 CS 25.1436 CS 25.1438 CS 27.1309 CS 27.1435 CS 29.1309 CS 29.1435 |

8.1.10 Impurities.

The system shall include means for controlling and purging impurities and for determining that the system's level of contamination is acceptable.

Consideration should be given to:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 275 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

a. Contamination by any foreign matter, including solid, liquid, and/or gaseous materials.

b. Prevention of contamination including the use of seals, diaphragms, gators, etc.

c. Management of contamination and prevention of system deterioration, including filters, magnetic plugs, top/bottom reservoir pick-ups, air release valves, etc.

d. Means for identifying levels of contamination, including; contamination of filters etc., continuous or scheduled particulate measurement, periodic testing, etc.

e. Means for purging of contaminated systems, including isolating and purging components, lines and fittings, replacing contaminated filters.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should define the system's means for controlling and purging impurities and that maximum allowed levels of contamination within the system.

2. System Interface Documents (SID) should define the maximum anticipated levels of contamination of the system.

3. Equipment Testing should verify that components are able to operate throughout all expected operating environments without introducing unacceptable levels of contamination to the system.

4. Analysis should verify that the system is able to operate safely despite contamination.

5. Failure Modes and Effects Analyses (FMEA) should identify all failures of the system, including contamination, and their effect on its safe operation.

6. Maintenance Technical Publications (Aircraft Maintenance Manual, Master Minimum Equipment List, Maintenance Schedule, etc.) should clearly define all required servicing and maintenance information, including the process for, and required frequency of system checks and purging.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4752 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: B.3.4.2.1.6/B.4.4.2.1.6 System Level Contamination Prevention; B.3.4.2.1.7/B.4.4.2.1.7 System Air Removal; and B.3.4.2.1.8/B.4.4.2.1.8 Moisture Removal; M.3.4.13/M.4.4.13 Pneumatic | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.11.8 00-970 P1 6.11.15 00-970 P1 6.11.19 00-970 P1 6.11.26-6.11.34 00-970 P1 6.11.35 00-970 P1 6.12.7 00-970 P7 L704 9.1 |
| | Subsystem. | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

8.1.11 Component testing.

All aspects of component requirements shall be validated to ensure that they support the requirements of the system. Testing shall be performed on each component to ensure compliance with all component requirements and on each assembly, sub-system and system to ensure compliance with system requirements.

Consideration should be given to:

a. All relevant aspects of the component specification, including:

i. Strength/stress;

ii. Performance;

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 276 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

iii. Physical parameters (size, critical dimensions and tolerances, mass/weight, Centre of Gravity, moments of inertia, heat capacity, etc.);

iv. Endurance/Longevity (fatigue, Mean Time Before Failure, etc.).

b. Component's compliance with relevant requirements/standards (e.g. EN 10255:2004).

c. Testing in compliance with relevant requirements/standards (e.g. IEC 60193).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the components used throughout the system and the function of components, assemblies, sub-systems and systems, including any key performance requirements.

2. Equipment Testing should verify that components meet their specifications in all respects.

3. Analysis should identify system characteristics when integrated into the system throughout all foreseeable flight phases and conditions.

4. Rig testing should verify the accuracy of system analysis and should verify compliance with all system requirements throughout all foreseeable flight phases and conditions.

5. Ground and Flight Testing should verify the safe operation of the system and should verify compliance with all system requirements throughout all foreseeable flight phases and conditions.

| Information Sources | | |
|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Comm'I Doc: | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 1.2 |
| | Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.11.59 |
| | | 00-970 P1 6.11.60 |
| | | 00-970 P1 6.11.67 |
| | | 00-970 P1 6.11.68 |
| | | 00-970 P1 6.11.70 |
| | | 00-970 P1 6.11.71 |
| | | 00-970 P1 6.11.72 |
| | | 00-970 P1 6.11.74 |
| | | 00-970 P1 6.11.76 |
| | | 00-970 P1 6.11.77 |
| | | 00-970 P1 6.11.80 |
| | | 00-970 P1 6.11.81 |
| | | 00-970 P1 6.11.82 |
| | | 00-970 P1 6.11.83 |
| | | 00-970 P1 6.12.28 |
| | | 00-970 P1 6.12.29 |
| | | 00-970 P1 6.12.30 |
| | | 00-970 P1 6.12.31 |
| | | 00-970 P1 6.12.32 |
| | | 00-970 P1 6.12.33 |
| | | 00-970 P1 6.12.34 |
| | | 00-970 P1 6.12.35 |
| | | 00-970 P1 6.12.36 |
| | | 00-970 P1 6.12.37 |
| | | 00-970 P1 6.12.38 |
| | | 00-970 P7 L1000 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 277 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Info | ormation Sources | | |
|----------|------------------|------------|---------------------|
| | | | 00-970 L730 S2.2.1 |
| | | | 00-970 L730 S3.2.1 |
| | | | 00-970 L730 S4.1 |
| | | | 00-970 L719 S5 |
| | | | 00-970 L719 S6 |
| | | | 00-970 L704 S14 |
| | | | 00-970 L704 S15.2 |
| | | | 00-970 L704 S15.3 |
| | | | 00-970 L704 S15.5.2 |
| | | | 00-970 L704 S15.5.3 |
| | | | 00-970 L1004 |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.1435 |
| | | Reference: | CS 23.1438 |
| | | | CS 25.1435 |
| | | | CS 25.1436 |
| | | | CS 27.1435 |
| | | | CS 29.1435 |

8.2. ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL SYSTEM (ECS).

8.2.1 Design for Safety

The Environmental Control System (ECS) shall be designed to meet an appropriate level of system safety.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The ECS, its interfacing systems and systems providing associated functions, including:

i. Pressurisation;

ii. Heating and Cooling (of crew/passengers and equipment);

iii. De-icing;

iv. De-fogging;

v. Uncontaminated breathing air;

vi. Engine bleed air for use in ECS; and,

vii. Pneumatic systems related to ECS.

b. The appropriate level of system safety taking into account the performance of the system in normal and failure states, and its effect on airworthiness.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Failure Modes and Effects Analyses (FMEA) should identify all failures of the system's elements and their effect on the airworthiness of the aircraft.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: 3.3.3, 4.3.3 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.24 00-970 P1 4.25 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 278 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | | |
|---------------------|-----------------------|---|
| | STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.26 00-970 P1 6.12.3 00-970 P1 6.12.4 00-970 P1 6.12.5 00-970 P1 6.12.14 4671.USAR 1307(a) 4671.USAR 1431 4671.USAR 1485 |
| FAA Doc: | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.831 CS 23.1437 CS 25. 831 CS 25. 832 CS 25.841 CS 25.833 CS 25.855 CS 25.857 CS 25.859 CS 25.1309 CS 25.1438 CS 27.1309(b) CS 25. 859 CS 25.859 CS 29.831 CS 29.855 CS 29.857 |

8.2.2 Integration

The ECS shall be integrated into the aircraft in such a way that Safety of Flight is not compromised throughout the flight envelope.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Components and their integration under all anticipated environments and loadings.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Failure Modes and Effects Analyses (FMEA) should identify all failures of the system's elements and their effect on the airworthiness of the aircraft; and,

2. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the correct integration of system components.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: 3.3.6, 4.3.6 JSSG-2001: 3.3.10, 3.3.10.1 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 1.1 00-970 P1 4.24 00-970 P1 4.25 00-970 P1 6 6.12.14 00-970 P1 6 6.12.15 00-970 P1 6 6.12.16 00-970 P1 6 6.12.17 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 279 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | | |
|---------------------|-----------------------|--|
| | | 00-970 P1 6 6.12.18 00-970 P1 6 6.12.19 |
| | STANAG Reference: | 4671.USAR 1307(b) 4671.USAR 1485 |
| FAA Doc: | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.831 CS 23.1437 CS 25.831 CS 25.832 CS 25.841 CS 25.859 CS 25.1309 CS 25.1309 CS 25.1438 CS 27.831 CS 27.859 CS 29.831 CS 29.859 CS 29.859 CS 29.1309 |

8.2.3 Merged with Line 8.2.5

8.2.4 Pressurisation

The ECS shall provide normal and emergency pressurisation to support Safety of Flight.

Consideration should be given to:

a. An appropriate level of redundancy, taking account of the effect of failure of the pressurisation system on the aircraft and its occupants; and,

b. Monitoring of the pressurisation system, both on board the aircraft, and where appropriate, remotely.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Failure Modes and Effects Analyses (FMEA) should identify all failures of the system's elements and their effect on the airworthiness of the aircraft; and,

2. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the correct function of the normal and emergency pressurisation systems.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix D: D.3.4.4.1, D.4.4.4.1 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 S3 L14 Par. 2 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.841 4671.843 4671.1795 4671.1307(e) |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.365* CS 23.841 CS 23.843 CS 25.841 CS 25.843 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 280 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

8.2.5 Degraded system operation

The effect of loss of some or all ECS functions on aircraft safety and performance shall be acceptable.

Consideration should be given to:

a. An appropriate level of redundancy, taking account of the effect of failure of the ECS on the aircraft and its occupants.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Failure Modes and Effects Analyses (FMEA) should identify all failures of the system's elements and their effect on the airworthiness of the aircraft; and,

2. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the correct function of the normal and emergency ECS functions.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: Appendix D: D.3.4.4.5*, D.3.4.4.12*, D.4.4.3.3*, D.4.4.4.5*, D.4.4.4.12*, D.3.4.4.5.2/D.4.4.4.5.2* D.3.4.4.5.3/D.4.4.4.5.3* D.3.4.4.12.2/D.4.4.4.12.2* D.3.4.4.5.2/ D.4.4.4.14.2* D.3.4.4.5.2/ D.4.4.4.14.3* D.3.4.4.5.1/D.4.4.4.5.1 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.24 00-970 P1 4.24.23 00-970 P1 4.25 00-970 P1 4.26.62 00-970 P1 6.2.24 00-970 P1 6.2.25 00-970 P1 6.12.1 00-970 P1 6.12.2 00-970 P1 6.12.3 00-970 P1 6.12.4 00-970 P1 6.12.5 00-970 P1 6.12.5 00-970 P1 7.2 00-970 P7 L731 00-970 P13 Clause 1.5 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.USAR 1307(c)(f) 4671.USAR 1485 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.831 CS 23.1309(b)(4) CS 25.831 CS 25.1309 CS 25.1435 CS 27.831 CS 27.1309 CS 29.831 CS 29.1309 |

8.2.6 Technical manuals.

Aircraft technical publications associated with the ECS shall include normal, back-up and emergency operating procedures, limitations, restrictions, servicing, and maintenance information.

Consideration should be given to:

| Edition Number: 3.1 Ed | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 281 /675 |
|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

a. The level of detail necessary to provide accurate technical information while remaining concise;

b. The information, at the appropriate level of detail, required to allow personnel to operate and maintain the aircraft as safely and effectively as possible at an acceptable workload.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Operational Technical Publications for the flight crew (Aircraft Flight Manual, Emergency Procedures, Checklists etc.) should clearly define all required normal, back-up and emergency operating procedures, limitations and restrictions.

2. Maintenance Technical Publications for ground crew (Aircraft Maintenance Manual, Master Minimum Equipment List, Maintenance Schedule, etc.) should clearly define all required servicing and maintenance information.

3. Flight Simulations, Ground Testing and/or Flight Testing should verify that all Operational Technical Publications are clear and unambiguous and can be followed by a flight crew through all flight phases and conditions without incurring excessive crew workload and serve their intended function.

4. Rig and/or Ground Testing should verify that all Maintenance Technical Publications are clear and unambiguous and can be followed by a competent maintenance engineer in a manner which ensures the continuing airworthiness of the aircraft.

| Int | ormation Sources | | |
|--------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1307(g) 4671.1581 4671.U1485 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1581 CS 25.1581 CS 27.1581 CS 29.1581 |

8.2.7 Operator interface.

Adequate controls and displays at the appropriate locations shall be available to notify the flight crew of the ECS systems.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Clear presentation of relevant information to crew, including status indication, and warning, caution and advisory information.

b. UAS applications in which it might be appropriate for ECS controls to be located in any ground control station;

c. The ECS controls for pneumatic pressure and temperature within the occupied compartment should be readily accessible to all applicable flight crew;

d. The operation of ECS controls should not cause instability;

e. For UAV operations, the operator should have full access to the relevant status indicators, warnings, cautions and advisories;

f. Accessibility, labelling, and ease of use of ECS controls;

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 282 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should identify the controls and displays provided to crew. For controls, detail should be provided regarding the mode of operation and function of each control. For displays, detail should be provided regarding all information displayed to the crew, and where appropriate, the conditions that would lead to specific indications.

2. Flight simulation, ground and flight testing demonstrating that controls and displays support safe flight.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: D.3.4.4.3/D.4.4.4.3 ECS crew station interface | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.15 00-970 P1 4.19 00-970 P1 4.24.22 00-970 P1 6.12 00-970 P7 L731 Par. 6.1 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.USAR 1307(h) 4671.USAR 1485 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1309(b)(3) CS 25.831 CS 25.859 CS 25.1301 CS 25.1309(c) CS 25.1322 CS 25.1541 CS 25.1543 CS 29.1309(c) CS 25.1436 |

8.2.8 Personnel accommodation

The ECS shall provide an atmosphere appropriate for personnel including adequate crew/occupant ventilation and protective flight garment supply systems (oxygen equipment, pressure suits, and anti-g garments or ventilation garments).

Consideration should be given to:

a. The effect and adequate prevention of malfunction, including excessive or insufficient pressure, temperature, oxygen content, and the presence of harmful compounds such as smoke, carbon monoxide and ozone; and,

b. Flight crew/passenger physiological requirements (Human Factors), including requirements for certain occupants such as medical evacuation patients.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Failure Modes and Effects Analyses (FMEA) should identify all failures of the system's elements and their effect on the airworthiness of the aircraft; and,

2. System Description Document (SDD) detailing the selected physiological limits for each atmospheric variable, and the ECS' operation within these limits; and,

3. Analysis, ground and flight testing demonstrating the safe provision of appropriate atmosphere within the defined limits for each atmospheric variable.

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|--|
| | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 283 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

| Int | formation Sources | | | |
|--------------|---|------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix D: D.3.4.4.3, D.4.4.3.3, | | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.24 00-970 P13 Clause 1.4.1 |
| | D.3.4.4.5.4/D.4.4.4.5.4 Suit ventilation pressurization | ECS and | STANAG Reference: | 3198 |
| FAA Doc: | | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 25.831 CS 25.832 CS 25.841 CS 29.831 |

8.2.9 Environmental protection

Sub-systems provided for environmental protection (windshield rain/snow/ice removal, ice protection and defog, etc.) shall ensure the safe operation of the aircraft within the specified flight environment.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Environmental conditions for which the aircraft is cleared to fly;

b. Environmental conditions in which the aircraft is not cleared to fly but through which the aircraft may be forced to operate for short periods (e.g. landing in deteriorated weather conditions or an inadvertent icing encounter);

c. Automation of control systems which minimise the workload of pilots when flying through degraded environmental conditions;

d. Provision of means for monitoring external surfaces and conditions (e.g. icing) by the crew throughout the design envelope; and,

e. The effect and adequate prevention of malfunctions which may jeopardise safety of flight.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. The Aircraft Specification should specify the environmental conditions for which the aircraft is cleared to fly, and through which the aircraft may be expected to operate for short periods;

2. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the adequate performance of environmental protection systems throughout the environmental conditions for which the aircraft is cleared to fly, and through which the aircraft may be expected to operate for short periods.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | D.3.4.4.8/D.4.4.4.8 970 P1 13 1.5.1.1 Transparent area fog and frost | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4 4.24.6 00-970 P1 7.2 00-970 P1 13 1.5.1.1 |
| | protection; D.3.4.4.9/D.4.4.4.9 Rain removal; D.3.4.4.10/D.4.4.4.10 * Transparency cleaning; D.3.4.4.11/D.4.4.4.11 Ice protection | STANAG Reference: | 4671.USAR 1419 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1419 CS 25.773 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 284 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | |
|----|-------------------|------------|
| | | CS 25.1093 |
| | | CS 25.1419 |
| | | CS 27.1419 |
| | | CS 29.773 |
| | | CS 29.859 |
| | | CS 29.975 |
| | | CS 29.1093 |
| | | CS 29.1105 |
| | | CS 29.1419 |

8.2.10 Personnel air quality

Each crewmember's air supply shall be protected from all forms of contamination, including that resulting from oil leakage from the engine(s) and under Nuclear/Biological/Chemical (NBC) environment conditions.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Automatic and/or manual means to shut off contaminated air flow;

b. Fresh air ventilation and overboard exhaust for contamination, harmful vapour, gases and odour; and,

c. Means to prevent, manage and protect occupants against NBC contamination.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Failure Modes and Effects Analyses (FMEA) should identify all failures of the system's elements and their effect on the airworthiness of the aircraft; and,

2. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the effective ventilation of ambient air and purging of crew air supplies.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: D.3.4.4.2.8/D.4.4.4.2.8 D.3.4.4.5.1/D.4.4.4.5.1 D.3.4.4.5.2/D.4.4.4.5.2 D.3.4.4.6.1/D.4.4.4.6.1 D.3.4.4.6.3/D.4.4.4.6.3 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.24.4 00-970 P1 4.24.9 00-970 P1 4.24.10 00-970 P1 4.24.11 00-970 P1 4.24.48 00-970 P1 13 1.5.1.1 00-970 P13 3.11 00-970 P7 L731 Par. 3 2.4 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | USAR 3610 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.831 CS 23.1109 CS 23.1111 CS 25.831 CS 25.832 CS 25.857 CS 25.859 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 285 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|------------|
| | CS 25.1121 |
| | CS 29.831 |
| | CS 29.855 |
| | CS 29.859 |
| | CS 29.1121 |
| | |

8.2.11 Leak monitoring/detection

The bleed air system and other compressed air duct systems shall be monitored for leaks and structural integrity.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring that, should a duct fail, any hot air leaking from damaged bleed air ducting would not:

i. Act as a source of ignition for flammable liquids, vapours or materials;

ii. Cause damage to Structurally Significant Items, items that impact Safety of Flight, or Critical System Items.

b. The necessary integrity/reliability of any leak monitoring/detection system, and the need for redundant systems, particularly in high-risk areas (e.g. where flammable fluids, vapours or gases may be present).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Failure Modes and Effects Analyses (FMEA) should identify all failures of the system's elements and their effect on the airworthiness of the aircraft; and,

2. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the effective leak monitoring and detection of bleed air systems.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix D: D.3.4.4.12, D.4.4.4.12, D.3.4.4.12.8/D.4.4.4.12.8 MIL-HDBK-221: 2.8 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.24.25 00-970 P1 4.24.37 00-970 P1 4.25 00-970 P1 4.26.69 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.USAR 1307(j) |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 25.1103 CS 25.1438 CS 29.1103 |

8.2.12 Bleed air shut-off

Means for bleed air shut-off shall be provided at, or as close as possible to, the bleed air source.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The fail-safe design of shut-off means (i.e. ensuring that shut-off remains possible despite failures of power systems);

b. Minimising the possibility of a leak upstream of the provided shut-off means, and the effect on safety should such a leak develop;

c. The use of multiple redundant vales may, especially in safety-critical applications, or where integrity/reliability cannot be ensured with a single shut-off valve.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 286 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Failure Modes and Effects Analyses (FMEA) should identify all failures of the system's elements and their effect on the airworthiness of the aircraft; and,

2. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the effective shut-off of the bleed air system(s), when automatically and/or manually actuated, and the effective display of system status.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 4.24.25 |
| | D.3.4.4.12.2/D.4.4.4.12.2 | Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.12 |
| | D.3.4.4.12.3/D.4.4.4.12.3 | STANAG | |
| | D.3.4.4.12.4/D.4.4.4.12.4 | Reference: | |
| | D.3.4.4.12.10/D.4.4.4.12.10 | | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

8.2.13 Pressurization stabilization control

Pressure surges in the aircraft cockpit, control station (where appropriate) and avionics bays shall be prevented.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Means to maintain automatic pressurisation levels throughout all flight conditions; and,

b. Means to prevent excessive pressure differentials (positive and negative) while providing controlled pressure relief.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. The Aircraft Specification should specify limits to all variables which could affect the aircraft's differential pressure (Rate of Climb, Rate of Descent, etc);

2. System Description Documents should detail the means for pressure control including the means for minimisation of differential pressures and surge pressures;

3. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the appropriate control of pressure levels throughout a variety of flight phases, including high rates of climb and descent.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: D.3.4.4.1.1/D.4.4.4.1.1* | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.24.42 |
| | D.3.4.4.1.4/D.4.4.4.1.4* D.3.4.4.1.5/D.4.4.4.1.5* D.3.4.4.1.6/D.4.4.4.1.6* D.3.4.4.1.7/D.4.4.4.1.7* | STANAG Reference: | 4671.841 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.841 CS 23.843* CS 25.841 CS 25.843* |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 287 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

8.2.14 Nuclear, biological and chemical (NBC) protection provisions

Nuclear, biological, and chemical (NBC) protection equipment and/or procedures shall be provided so that ventilation air is free from contaminants to the levels and in the environments specified in the aircraft specification.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The environments against which protection is required;

b. The limits to which protection is to be considered acceptable; and,

c. The action (if any) required by the crew to put in place or maximise NBC protection, and the effect of their inaction (e.g. due to high crew workload or incapacitation).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. The Aircraft Specification should specify the NBC environments for which protection is required and the acceptable limits for NBC exposure of the occupants;

2. System Description Documents (SDD) should specify equipment and procedures for NBC protection;

3. Aircraft Technical Publications should specify procedures for minimising NBC exposure; and,

4. Simulations, ground and flight testing should demonstrate that NBC procedures can be followed by the crew effectively.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: D.3.4.4.2.8/D.4.4.4.2.8 * D.3.4.4.5.1/D.4.4.4.5.1 D.3.4.4.5.2/D.4.4.4.5.2 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.24.4 00-970 P1 4.24.48 00-970 P13 3.11 00-970 P7 L731/3 |
| | D.3.4.4.6.1/D.4.4.4.6.1 D.3.4.4.6.3/D.4.4.4.6.3 | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.831 CS 25.831 CS 27.831 CS 29.831 |

8.2.15 Thermal management

The thermal management system shall be stable for all flight conditions and environments.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The full range of anticipated flight environments;

b. Normal and emergency conditions; and,

c. The thermal effect of aircraft systems, including operation of high-power electrical equipment.

Consideration for preparation of AMC:

1. The Aircraft Specification should specify the environments in which the aircraft is expected to operate, including anticipated cold-soaking and hot-soaking, and any other environment that could affect the aircraft's thermal management (e.g. prolonged flight in hover or ground runs, where airflow is minimal);

2. Thermal analysis should show that the heating and cooling capacity of the ECS can adequately heat and cool the relevant compartments with systems and equipment generating the appropriate amount of heat to reflect their operating conditions in normal and reasonably anticipated failure states; and,

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 288 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

3. Rig, ground and flight testing should verify the accuracy of thermal modelling, and should demonstrate that aircraft compartments, systems and equipment do not exceed specified temperature limits.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|---|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix D: D.3.4.4.2, D.3.4.4.18 * D.4.4.4.2, D.4.4.4.18 * JSSG-2001: 3.3.10, 3.3.10.1 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG | 00-970 P1 4.24.2 00-970 P1 4.24.14-4.24.20 00-970 P1 4.24.40 4671.1307(k) |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1125 CS 27.833 CS 29.833 |

8.2.16 Merged with 8.2.5

8.2.17 Surface touch temperatures

Surface touch temperatures shall be acceptable and shall preclude any operational limitations to safety of flight operations of the aircraft.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The surfaces that could reasonably be touched inadvertently;

b. Providing protection in the form of guards and warning labels as appropriate;

c. Maintaining the comfort of the aircraft's occupants; and,

d. Ensuring the safety of personnel from risk of sustaining burns, including cold burns.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. The Aircraft Specification should specify maximum and minimum touch temperatures for all surfaces. This should distinguish between occupied and non-occupied compartments where appropriate;

2. Thermal analysis should show that the heating and cooling capacity of the ECS can adequately heat and cool the relevant compartments such that surface touch temperatures remain within acceptable limits with systems and equipment generating the appropriate amount of heat to reflect their operating conditions in normal and reasonably anticipated failure states; and,

3. Rig, ground and flight testing should verify the accuracy of thermal modelling, and should demonstrate that aircraft compartments, systems and equipment do not exceed specified temperature limits.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix D: D.3.4.4.4, D.4.4.4.4 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.24.14 00-970 P1 4.24.19 00-970 P1 4.24.20 00-970 P7 L731 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 289 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

8.3. FUEL SYSTEM.

8.3.1 Integration

The fuel system design, including interfaces, shall be functionally and physically compatible with other aircraft systems.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Interfaces with other aircraft systems (engine, cooling, electrical, pneumatic, etc.); and,
- b. Ensuring all materials used in the fuel subsystem are compatible with the aircraft designated fuels.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Interface Documents (SID) should identify interface parameters between the Fuel System and each interfacing system; and,

2. Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) should identify the possible failures of the Fuel System and its interfacing systems, and the effects of those failures.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: 3.4.4.1/4.4.4.1; Appendix E: E.3.4.5.1.1, | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 5.2.4 00-970 P1 5.2.17 |
| | E.4.4.5.1.1, E.3.4.5.1.2, E.4.4.5.1.2, E.3.4.5.1.3, E.4.4.5.1.3, E.3.4.5.1.3.11, E.4.4.5.1.3.11, E.3.4.5.2.1, E.4.4.5.2.1, E.3.4.5.2.2, E.4.4.5.2.2, E.3.4.5.3, E.4.4.5.3 | STANAG Reference: | 4671.953 4671.993 4671.994 4671.995 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.951- 23.979, 23.991-23.1001, 25.951-25.981, 25.991- 25.1001 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.951-23.1001 CS 25.951-25.1001 CS 27.951-27.1001 CS 29.951-29.1001 |

8.3.2 Qualification tests

All fuel system components shall pass all required qualification tests to ensure their suitability for use in all expected usage and environmental conditions.

See also section 8.3.6 regarding pressure capability, and 8.3.10 regarding over-pressure protection.

Consideration should be given to:

a. A wide variety of qualification tests such as: proof, burst, vibration, containment, over-speed, acceleration, explosive atmosphere, pressure cycling, and temperature cycling;

b. Conducting qualification in accordance with appropriate existing standards, where such standards exist; and,

c. Creating appropriately detailed procedures for qualification where existing standards do not exist.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Qualification Test Procedures (QTP) should define the qualification tests necessary to demonstrate the suitability of components to perform their intended function;

2. Qualification Test Reports (QTR) should record the conduct and results of qualification testing in accordance with the relevant QTP or other existing, relevant standard;

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 290 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

3. Declarations of Design Performance (DDP) should record the scope of qualification, the intended function, and suitability to perform that function for each component; and,

4. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the correct function of all components when installed as part of the system.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 5.2.17-5.2.22 00-970 P1 5.2.34 00-970 P1 5.2.35 00-970 P1 5.2.37 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.951 4671.963 4671.965 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.963 CS 23.965 CS 25.952 CS 25.963 CS 25.965 CS 27.963 CS 27.965 CS 29.963 CS 29.965 |

8.3.1.1 Merged with 8.3.17

8.3.3 Compatibility with approved fuels

The fuel system shall function satisfactorily with all designated fuels including additives and expected contaminants.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Compatibility of materials used in the fuel system;

b. The use of alternate fuels, restricted fuels and emergency fuels, and any associated aircraft limitations, restrictions, or possible fuel system degradation; and,

c. Fuel system operation with fuel contamination, including particulates, microbes and water.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. The Aircraft Specification should list the aircraft's approved fuels, and maximum allowable levels of contamination, including particulates, microbial growth and water (free, emulsified and dissolved);

2. Declarations of Design Performance should list the fuels for which components are approved and list any limitations associated with specific fuel types;

3. Analysis should identify any limitations and restrictions associated with using specified fuels; and,

4. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the correct function of the fuel system with appropriate fuel types.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix E: E.3.4.5.1.1, E.4.4.5.1.1, | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 5.2.3 00-970 P1 5.2.4 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 291 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Infor | mation Sources | | |
|-------|--|-----------------------|--|
| E | E.3.4.5.1.2, E.4.4.5.1.2, E.3.4.5.1.3, E.4.4.5.1.3, E.3.4.5.1.4, E.4.4.5.1.4, E.3.4.5.2.1, E.4.4.5.2.1, E.3.4.5.2.2, E.4.4.5.2.2 | STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 5.2.15 00-970 P7 L702 2.1 - 2.2 4671.951-4671.1001* |
| 2 | 4CFR references: 23.951- 23.979, 23.991-23.1001, 25.951-25.981, 25.991- 25.1001 AC 20-29 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.951-23.1001 CS 23.1309 CS 25.951-25.1001 CS 25.1309 CS 27.951-27.1001 CS 27.1309 CS 29.951-29.1001 CS 29.1309 |

8.3.4 Covered by Section 14.

8.3.5 Fuel system strength.

The complete fuel system (including all fuel lines, components, tanks etc.) shall be installed, adequately supported, and have sufficient clearances, such that no unsafe conditions or hazards are created during normal aircraft operations.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring each fuel line is installed and supported to prevent excessive vibration;

b. Protecting fuel system components from damage which could result in spillage;

c. Ensuring each fuel line connected to components of the aircraft, between which relative motion could exist, have provisions for flexibility;

d. Means to prevent chafing of fuel system components against surrounding structure and components;

e. Ensuring fuel tanks are adequately supported.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Static and Dynamic analysis (e.g. Finite Element Analysis) should demonstrate that all fuel system components can withstand the loads expected in service without permanent deformation, or deformation that would cause unacceptable clearance between aircraft components. The loads that should be considered include fuel system pressures, loads due to aircraft acceleration, loads due to vibration and any loads arising from relative motion of parts, including thermal expansion/contraction.

2. System Description Documents (SDD) should define the preventative means provided for protection against spillage. Such means may include the double-walling of fuel lines, and provision of monitorable drain lines.

3. SDD should define the provisions made to allow for relative movement between parts of the aircraft.

4. SDD should define the provisions made to prevent chafing of fuel system components.

5. Static analysis should demonstrate that fuel tanks and supporting structure are suitably strong to withstand loads resulting from the mass of carried fuel and expected aircraft acceleration.

6. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of static and dynamic analysis.

| Information Sources | | |
|---------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: 3.3.3.1, 4.3.3.1, 3.3.8, 4.3.8 | 00-970 P1 5.2.17 00-970 P1 5.2.30 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 292 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|----------|--|-----------------------|--|
| | | STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 5.2.32 00-970 P1 5.2.37 00-970 P1 5.2.43-5.2.53 00-970 P1 5.2.96 4671.951 4671.963 4671.993 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.963, 23.993, 23.994, 25.963, 25.993, 25.994 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.305 CS 23.963 CS 23.993 CS 23.994* CS 25.305 CS 25.963 CS 25.993 CS 25.994* CS 27.305 CS 27.963 CS 27.963 CS 29.305 CS 29.963 CS 29.993 |

8.3.6 Pressure capability.

All fuel system components, lines and connections, (both as completely assembled and installed within the aircraft), shall be capable of withstanding the specified proof pressure limits, without resulting in fuel leakage, critical system performance degradation or critical life limited durability.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The magnitude and frequency of fuel system pressures, including both positive and negative pressures and surge pressures that the various sections of fuel lines will be subjected to in service.

b. The effect of component failures on fuel system pressures, and for failures that could be realistically expected in service, the magnitude and frequency of those pressures.

c. A means with fail-safe features to prevent the build-up of an excessive pressure difference between the inside and outside of the fuel tank.

Consideration for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should define the maximum and minimum pressures expected in the fuel system, and their frequency of occurrence. This should include pressures that could result from failures that could be realistically expected in service.

2. Static strength analysis should demonstrate the ability for all fuel lines and fittings to withstand the maximum and minimum expected pressures without leakage or permanent deformation.

3. Fatigue analysis should demonstrate the ability for all fuel lines and fittings to withstand the magnitude and frequency of fuel system pressures without failure during the components expected lives.

4. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of the defined fuel system pressures (both magnitude and frequency), and the accuracy of static and fatigue analysis.

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|--|
| | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 293 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix E: E.3.4.5.1.5, E.4.4.5.1.5, E.3.4.5.1.6, E.4.4.5.1.6, E.3.4.5.1.7, E.4.4.5.1.7, E.3.4.5.1.8, E.4.4.5.1.8, | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 5.2.40 00-970 P1 5.2.55 00-970 P1 5.3.96 00-970 P1 5.2.152 |
| | E.3.4.5.6.1, E.4.4.5.6.1 | STANAG Reference: | 4671.963 4671.965 4671.993 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.993, 25.993 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.963 CS 23.965 CS 23.993 CS 25.963 CS 25.965 CS 25.993 CS 27.963 CS 27.965 CS 27.993 CS 29.963 CS 29.965 CS 29.993 |

8.3.7 Fuel flow.

The fuel system shall provide a continuous flow of fuel at a rate and pressure established for proper engine functioning throughout the aircraft's operating envelope.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Critical combinations of aircraft altitudes, attitudes, accelerations, fuel tank quantities, and fuel system component failures;

b. Flow rates and pressures required at the engine interface.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Interface Documents (SID) should define the fuel flow rates and pressures required at the engine interface for the various aircraft flight conditions.

2. Analysis (e.g. Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD)) should demonstrate that the fuel system provides a continuous provision of fuel at flow-rates and pressures exceeding the requirements at the engine interface for all required aircraft operating conditions.

3. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of analysis for fuel system flow-rates and pressures at the engine interface for the most critical aircraft operating conditions.

4. Technical Publications should clearly identify any aircraft operating conditions through which the required fuel system flow-rate and pressure is not provided at the engine interface, and should identify any resulting limitation (e.g. degradation of flight handling characteristics).

| In | formation Sources | |
|--------------|---|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix E: E.3.4.5.2.1, E.4.4.5.2.1, E.3.4.5.2.2, E.4.4.5.2.2, | 00-970 P1 5.2.3 00-970 P1 5.2.24 00-970 P1 5.2.116 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 294 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|----------|--|-----------------------|---|
| | E.3.4.5.2.4, E.4.4.5.2.4, E.3.4.5.2.5, E.4.4.5.2.5 | | 00-970 P2 5.2.216 00-970 P7 L702 2.1 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.951(a) 4671.955(a) 4671.959 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.951, 23.953, 23.955, 23.959, 25.951, 25.953, 25.955, 25.959 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.951 CS 23.955 CS 23.959 CS 25.943 CS 25.951 CS 25.955 CS 25.959 CS 27.951 CS 27.955 CS 27.959 CS 29.951 CS 29.955 CS 29.959 |

8.3.8 Fuel transfer rates.

The rate of fuel transfer from one aircraft fuel tank to another shall be sufficient to meet the operational ground and flight envelope requirements, and shall not limit aircraft performance.

Consideration should be given to;

a. Ensuring compatibility between the fuel transfer subsystem and other fuel sub-systems (jettison, engine feed system, AAR refuelling/dispensing systems, etc.);

b. Providing adequate redundancy, which may include an alternate/reversionary (back-up) transfer system and/or gravity feed.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should record the maximum expected flow-rates into and from a fuel tank, including those through engine feed, jettison, AAR refuel and dispensing, ground refuel and tank-to-tank fuel transfer. The SDD should also identify the expected and possible combinations of subsystem operation, and should identify the protections in place to prevent fuel tank overflow and inadvertent fuel tank emptying.

2. Analysis (e.g. Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD)) should identify the expected fuel flow rates into and from each tank via each of the fuel sub-systems.

3. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of analysis for fuel sub-system flow rates, and the correct operation of each means to prevent fuel tank overflow and inadvertent tank emptying.

| In | formation Sources | |
|--------------|---|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix E: E.3.4.5.2.3, E.4.4.5.2.3, E.3.4.5.4, E.4.4.5.4, | 00-970 P1 5.2.24 00-970 P1 5.2.25 00-970 P1 5.2.119 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 295 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|----------|---------------------------|------------|--------------------|
| | E.3.4.5.4.1, E.4.4.5.4.1 | | 00-970 P7 L702 5.1 |
| | | STANAG | 4671.951 |
| | | Reference: | 4671.953 |
| | | | 4671.955 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.951, | EASA CS | CS 23.951 |
| | 23.952, 23.953, 23.955, | Reference: | CS 23.955 |
| | 23.961, 25.951, 25.952, | | CS 23.961* |
| | 25.953, 25.955, 25.961 | | CS 25.951 |
| | | | CS 25.952 |
| | | | CS 25.955 |
| | | | CS 25.961* |
| | | | CS 27.951 |
| | | | CS 27.955 |
| | | | CS 27.961 |
| | | | CS 29.951 |
| | | | CS 29.955 |
| | | | CS 29.961 |

8.3.9 Centre of gravity.

The fuel system shall be designed so that in both normal and failed operation, the aircraft CofG is maintained within a range compatible with other systems and aircraft control & handling.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Release of stores, AAR (if applicable), fuel transfer and other lateral deviations of CG due to fuel asymmetry in separate tanks, fuel dumping operations, wing sweep operations, catapult launches, arrested landings, and engine feed;

b. The use of fuel measurement, control software and / or crew system manual control to maintain aircraft CofG requirements for all mission phases;

c. The most critical combination of aircraft altitudes, attitudes and other conditions with respect to fuel distribution on CofG.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. The Aircraft Specification should specify the aircraft's permitted CofG envelope(s).

2. System Description Documents (SDD) should specify the fuel system's permitted CofG envelope(s). Where different CofG envelopes exist (for example where weight restrictions or flight manoeuvre limits are specified) the limits/restrictions associated with each envelope should be clearly specified.

3. Analysis should demonstrate that all permitted combinations of fuel distribution, cargo distribution (including jettisonable cargo, and the result of its jettison), passengers, crew, and any other factor that could appreciably affect the aircraft CofG, do not result in an aircraft CofG outside permitted limits.

4. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate that any integrated provisions intended to prevent aircraft loading outside of the permitted CofG envelope function satisfactorily.

5. Technical Publications should clearly identify any distribution or combination of distributions which could result in an aircraft CofG exceeding permitted limits, and should identify any resulting limitation (degradation of flight handling characteristics, prohibition of flight functions, etc.).

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | |
|-------------|-------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 296 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| l.e. | farmation Courses | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| <u>in</u> | formation Sources | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix E: E.3.4.5.5, E.4.4.5.5 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 5.2.148 00-970 P1 5.2.149 00-970 P13 3.5.79 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.23 4671.29 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.1001, 25.1001 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.21 CS 23.23 CS 23.29 CS 23.1001* CS 25.21 CS 25.23 CS 25.27 CS 25.29 CS 25.1001* CS 27.21 CS 27.27 CS 27.29 CS 29.21 CS 29.27 CS 29.29 |

8.3.10 Over-pressure protection.

The fuel system shall be designed such that no aircraft operation (refuelling, de-fuelling, transfer, fuel feed, fuel dump, engine feed etc.), can cause fuel pressures to exceed the system's proof pressure limits (both minimum and maximum). See also section 8.3.6.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Providing means to prevent the exceedance of defined pressure limits, including both the exceedance of pressure magnitude and frequency of occurrence (see also section 8.3.6 for criteria regarding the definition of these limits).

b. Ensuring that fuel system components cannot cause excessive pressures under normal and failure conditions.

c. Providing redundant and fail-safe design elements to ensure that any failure that does occur does not result in a hazardous operating condition.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should define the maximum and minimum pressures expected in the fuel system, and their frequency of occurrence. This should include pressures that could result from failures that could be realistically expected in service.

2. SDD should identify any over-pressure devices integrated in the design of the fuel system, the conditions upon which these devices will operate and the result of the operation of these devices (if any).

3. Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) should demonstrate that the effect, probability and overall risk of failure of any over-pressure protection is acceptable.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix E: | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 5.2.40 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 297 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|----------|--|-----------------------|---|
| | E.3.4.5.1.7, E.4.4.5.1.7, E.3.4.5.1.8, E.4.4.5.1.8, E.3.4.5.8, E.4.4.5.8 | Reference: | 00-970 P1 5.2.55 00-970 P1 5.2.185 00-970 P1 5.2.202 00-970 P1 5.2.203 00-970 P13 3.5.79 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.955 4671.963 4671.979 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.963, 23.979, 25.963, 25.979 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.963* CS 23.979 CS 25.963 CS 25.979 CS 27.955 CS 27.965 CS 29.955 CS 29.965 |

8.3.11 Technical manuals.

Flight and maintenance manuals shall include normal, back-up and emergency operating procedures, limitations, restrictions, servicing, and maintenance information and other information necessary for safe operation of the fuel system.

Consideration should be given to:

1. The level of detail necessary to provide accurate technical information while remaining concise;

2. The information, at the appropriate level of detail, required to allow personnel to operate and maintain the aircraft as safely and effectively as possible at an acceptable workload.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Operational Technical Publications for the flight crew (Aircraft Flight Manual, Emergency Procedures, Checklists etc.) should clearly define all required normal, back-up and emergency operating procedures, limitations and restrictions.

2. Maintenance Technical Publications for ground crew (Aircraft Maintenance Manual, Master Minimum Equipment List, Maintenance Schedule, etc.) should clearly define all required servicing and maintenance information.

3. Flight Simulations, Ground Testing and/or Flight Testing should verify that all Operational Technical Publications are clear and unambiguous and can be followed by a flight crew through all flight phases and conditions without incurring excessive crew workload and serve their intended function.

4. Rig and/or Ground Testing should verify that all Maintenance Technical Publications are clear and unambiguous and can be followed by a competent maintenance engineer in a manner which ensures the continuing airworthiness of the aircraft.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: 3.2.6, 4.2.6; Appendix E, E.3.4.5.6.8, E.4.4.5.6.8 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 5.2.11 00-970 P1 7.3.3 00-970 P1 7.4.28 00-970 P1 7.4.31 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 298 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Inf | ormation Sources | | |
|----------|------------------|------------|------------|
| | | STANAG | 4671.1581 |
| | | Reference: | 4671.1583 |
| | | | 4671.1585 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.973* |
| | | Reference: | CS 23.1581 |
| | | | CS 23.1583 |
| | | | CS 23.1587 |
| | | | CS 25.973* |
| | | | CS 25.1581 |
| | | | CS 25.1583 |
| | | | CS 25.1587 |
| | | | CS 27.973* |
| | | | CS 27.1581 |
| | | | CS 27.1583 |
| | | | CS 27.1587 |
| | | | CS 29.973* |
| | | | CS 29.1581 |
| | | | CS 29.1583 |
| | | | CS 29.1587 |

8.3.12 Contamination.

The fuel system design and procedures shall be sufficient for controlling and purging impurities from the fuel system, in order to maintain contamination at acceptable levels, at all times. Fuel system components shall function reliably in the presence of contaminants, up to a specified level of contamination.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring that the fuel system is adequately protected from blockages.

b. Ensuring that the engine feed system incorporates appropriate filtration to ensure that contamination of fuel provided at the engine interface remains within acceptable limits.

c. Providing means to remove contamination (particles, water, fungal growth, etc.) from the fuel system and its filters.

d. Defining maintenance procedures to identify and control the contamination within the aircraft fuel tanks.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should identify the design features of the fuel system intended to prevent blockage in the fuel system lines and fittings, minimise the level of contamination of fuel provided to the engines, and allow maintenance personnel to monitor and remove contamination from the fuel tanks.

2. System Interface Documents (SID) should define maximum allowed levels of contamination of fuel provided by the fuel system to the engines.

3. Technical Publications should define maintenance procedures for minimising and managing fuel tank and fuel system contamination.

| In | formation Sources | |
|--------------|--|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix E: E.3.4.5.6.2, E.4.4.5.6.2, | 00-970 P1 5.2.114 00-970 P1 5.2.115 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 299 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Inf | formation Sources | | |
|----------|--|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| | E.3.4.5.6.3, E.4.4.5.6.3, E.3.4.5.1.3, E.4.4.5.1.3 | | 00-970 P7 L702 25.2 |
| | MIL-F-8615 | STANAG Reference: | 4671.951(c) 4671.971 |
| | | | 4671.977 |
| | | | 4671.997 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.971, 23.973, 23.977, 23.997, 25.971, 25.973, 25.977, 25.997 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.951(c) CS 23.971 |
| | AC 20-119 | | CS 23.973 CS 23.977 |
| | | | CS 23.997 |
| | | | CS 25.951(c) |
| | | | CS 25.971 |
| | | | CS 25.973 |
| | | | CS 25.977 |
| | | | CS 25.997 |
| | | | CS 25.1455 |
| | | | CS 27.951(c) |
| | | | CS 27.971 |
| | | | CS 27.973 |
| | | | CS 27.977 |
| | | | CS 27.997 |
| | | | CS 29.951(c) |
| | | | CS 29.971 |
| | | | CS 29.973 |
| | | | CS 29.977 |
| | | | CS 29.997 |

8.3.13 Electrical and electromagnetic effects.

The fuel system shall be designed and arranged to prevent ignition / explosion as a result of: lightning strike (either directly, or indirectly as result of component failure (e.g. overvoltage) following a lightning strike); electrostatic discharge; fuel leaks, and the introduction of electrical power into fuel tanks. All fuel subsystem components located in an explosive atmosphere shall be capable of operating without initiating an explosion, including under electrical fault conditions.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Electrical bonding of fuel system / subsystem tubing and components to eliminate static charge accumulation, provide controlled current return paths, and provide lightning protection;

b. Minimising electro-static build-up within fuel lines and within the fuel tank;

c. Preventing electrical arcing;

d. Isolating electrical equipment from the fuel to minimise the possibility of fuel leakage and fuel vapour coming into contact with electrical equipment.

e. Ensuring all components inside of a fuel tank have energy levels low enough to prevent an ignition source and prevent introduction of an ignition source through the wiring or components;

f. Preventing ignition of fuel and fuel vapour (risk mitigation, e.g. Inerting).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 300 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

1. System Description Documents should identify the aspects of fuel system design provided to reduce the risk due to electromagnetic effects.

2. Functional Hazard Analyses (FHA) should identify the risk of ignition/explosion due to lightning strikes, electrical faults and other electro-magnetic effects.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix E: E.3.4.5.1.9, E.4.4.5.1.9, | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 5.2.23 00-970 P7 L702 4.1 |
| | E.3.4.5.1.11, E.4.4.5.1.11, E.3.4.5.7, E.4.4.5.7, E.3.4.5.8.12, E.4.4.5.8.12 | STANAG Reference: | 4671.863 4671.867 4671.954 4671.975 4671.967(b) |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.863, 23.954, 23.971, 23.975, 25.863, 25.954, 25.971, 25.975, 25.981 AC 20-53A, AC 25.981-2, AC 25.981-1B, AC 25-16 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.863 CS 23.954 CS 23.975 CS 25.863 CS 25.954 CS 25.975 CS 25.981 CS 27.863 CS 27.954 CS 27.954 CS 27.975 CS 29.863 CS 29.954 CS 29.975 |

8.3.13.1 Merged with 8.3.13

8.3.13.2 Secondary barriers.

Secondary fuel and vapour tight barriers shall be provided between fuel tanks, fire hazard areas, and inhabited areas, in order to isolate and remove flammable vapours to a safe location in the event of primary barrier (tank wall) failure and minimise the probability of ignition and the resultant hazard if ignition does occur. A means to determine whether the primary barrier has failed shall be provided.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Protection between the fuel tank and areas where there is a high probability that fuel leakage can be ignited, including the following compartments: personnel, cargo, gun, engine compartments or any compartment which contains an ignition source;

b. Adequate fault isolation provisions to detect a failure of the primary fuel barrier;

c. Ensuring an adequate cavity between the firewall and the fuel tank;

d. Sufficient draining and ventilation provisions in all areas surrounding fuel tanks to remove the fire hazard due to fuel spillage or leakage;

e. The potential for secondary barriers to interfere with fuel bay venting.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should clearly identify the primary barrier and secondary barrier provided between each fuel tank and adjacent hazardous area.

| Edition Number: 3.1 Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 Status: endorsed Page 3 | 01 /675 | |
|---|----------------|--|
|---|----------------|--|

2. SDD should identify the means provided for failure detection of the primary barrier.

3. Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) should define the residual risk of ignition/explosion taking into account the failure probability of the primary and secondary barriers and the probability of undetected failure of the primary barrier.

4. Technical Publications should identify the maintenance procedures for detecting the failure of the primary barrier.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix E: E.3.4.5.6.11, E.4.4.5.6.11 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 5.2.25 00-970 P1 5.2.47 00-970 P1 5.2.49 00-970 P1 5.2.52 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.863 4671.967 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.863, 23.967, 23.1185, 25.863, 25.967, 25.1185, 25.981 AC 25-981-2, AC 25-981-1B | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.863 CS 23.967 CS 25.863 CS 25.967 CS 25.981 CS 25.1185 CS 27.863 CS 27.967 CS 29.863 CS 29.967 CS 29.967 CS 27.1185 |

8.3.13.3 Drainage.

Fuel system drainage provisions shall permit safe drainage of the entire fuel system on the ground; such that all areas surrounding fuel tanks or containing fuel system components are properly drained; and all normal and accidental fuel leakage is removed to a safe location outside of the aircraft.

Refer also to 8.3.13.2 regarding in-flight draining of leakage, and 8.3.13.4 regarding jettison..

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring fuel is discharged clear of all parts of the aircraft;

b. Ensuring the drain valve has manual or automatic means for positive locking in the closed position;

c. Ensuring the drain valve(s) is readily accessible and can be easily opened and closed, for example for fuel system contamination checks;

d. Locating or protecting the drain valve to prevent fuel spillage in the event of a landing with landing gear retracted.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should identify the location of drain points both inside of the fuel tank, and on the aircraft's external skin.

2. Analysis should identify the quantity of fuel remaining in each tank when drained down to the point of the lowest drain, in all aircraft attitudes that could reasonably be expected to occur when the aircraft is on the ground with fuel tanks drained.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 302 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

3. Ground testing should demonstrate the accuracy of analysis, determining the quantity of fuel remaining in each tank when drained down to the point of the lowest drain in the most critical (resulting in the highest undrainable fuel quantity) aircraft attitude for each tank.

4. Ground testing should demonstrate that, when operated correctly, the drain valves discharges drained fuel clear of all parts of the aircraft.

4. Technical Publications should identify the maintenance procedures for operation of fuel tank drains, including subsequent closure of the drains.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix E: E.3.4.5.6.2, E.4.4.5.6.2, E.3.4.5.1.10, E.4.4.5.1.10 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 5.2.79-5.2.81 00-970 P1 5.2.87 00-970 P1 5.2.89 00-970 P1 6.11.20 |
| | | STANAG | 4671.967 |
| | | Reference: | 4671.977 |
| | | | 4671.997 |
| | | | 4671.999 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.977, 23,997, 23.999, 25.977, 25.997, 25.999 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.977 CS 23.997 CS 23.999 CS 25.977 CS 25.997 CS 25.999 CS 27.977 CS 27.997 CS 27.997 CS 29.997 CS 29.997 CS 29.999 |

8.3.13.4 Safe fuel release.

The fuel system jettison discharge points, vents and any components outside of the fuel tanks shall be located such that fuel (jettisoned, vented, leaked, or otherwise) cannot be ingested by the engines, flow into hazardous ignition areas, flow onto the environmental management system or become reingested into the aircraft.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Avoiding potential ignition sources, including hot brakes, bleed air ducts, engine, APU, etc.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should identify the points through which fuel is jettisoned, or may otherwise be released from the aircraft (e.g. due to an open drain, failed vent system or through general leakage).

2. Functional Hazard Analysis should identify the risk due to released fuel coming into contact with any part of the aircraft.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 303 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix E: E.3.4.5.2.6, E.4.4.5.2.6 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 5.2.87 00-970 P1 5.2.88 00-970 P1 5.2.127-5.2.129 00-970 P7 L702 27.8 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.999 4671.1001(c) |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.971, 23.999, 23.1001, 25.971, 25.999, 25.1001 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.971* CS 23.999 CS 23.1001* CS 25.971* CS 25.999 CS 25.1001 CS 27.999 CS 29.999 CS 29.1001 |

8.3.14 Fuel tank strength.

Each fuel tank shall be able to withstand, without failure, the vibration, inertia, fluid and structural loads that it may be subjected to in operation, including those required for crashworthiness. See also section 8.3.5.

Consideration should be given to:

a. All sources of loads on the aircraft fuel tanks, and possible combination of load types.

b. Requirements for crashworthiness.

c. The strength of surrounding structure.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should identify the load cases that the fuel tanks are expected to withstand in service, including where appropriate, combinations of load types.

2. Static and Dynamic analysis (e.g. Finite Element Analysis) should demonstrate that all fuel tanks can withstand the loads expected in service without permanent deformation or failure.

3. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of performed analysis, determining the structural integrity of the fuel tanks under critical loading conditions.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix E: E.3.4.5.6, E.4.4.5.6, E.3.4.5.6.13, E.4.4.5.6.13 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.22.60 00-970 P1 5.2.150 00-970 P1 5.2.152 00-970 P7 L702 11.2 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.963(a) 4671.965 4671.993 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 304 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sour | ces | | |
|--|-----|-----------------------|--|
| FAA Doc: 14CFR refere 23.965, 23.99 25.965, 25.99 AC 25.963-1 | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.963 CS 23.965 CS 23.993 CS 25.963 CS 25.965 CS 25.993 CS 27.952 CS 27.963 CS 27.965 CS 27.993 CS 29.952 CS 29.952 CS 29.963 CS 29.963 CS 29.965 CS 29.993 |

8.3.15 Tank pressure.

The fuel tanks shall be designed to withstand the maximum pressure that could occur with any single failure within the fuel system without permanent deformation or failure.

Consideration should be given to:

a. All possible tank differential pressures, including high internal tank pressure (due to refuelling, fuel transfer, AAR refuelling receipt, etc.) and low external tank pressure (e.g. due to operation at high altitude), low internal tank pressure (due to defuel, fuel transfer, AAR refuelling dispense, etc.) and high external tank pressure (e.g. due to operation at low altitude), and where appropriate, differences in pressure between adjacent fuel tanks. These considered pressures should take account of hydrostatic pressure, including where appropriate those during accelerated flight conditions.

b. Failure of any component that could result in a change of tank pressure, and the effect of failure on tank differential pressures. Such components will depend on the fuel system architecture but are likely to include refuel valves, high-level sensors, vent lines and fuel pumps, and may also include power distribution or system management components.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should define the fuel tank maximum differential pressures, the load cases that are expected to result in high differential tank pressures, and the failures that may lead to large changes in differential tank pressures or exceedance of tank pressure limits.

2. System Safety Assessments (SSA) should identify single component failures that could affect tank differential pressures, including single failures that could result in the effective failure of multiple components (e.g. power distribution or system management components).

3. Analysis (e.g. Computational Fluid Dynamics) should identify the effect of each component failure, and where one single failure can result in the effective failure of multiple components, the effect of each multiple component failure case on the fuel tank differential pressures.

4. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of performed analysis, demonstrating that critical component failure cases do not result in excessive tank differential pressures.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: 3.2.9.1, 4.2.9.1, | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 5.2.37 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 305 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|-----------|---|-----------------------|---|
| | and Appendix E: E.3.4.5.1.7, E.4.4.5.1.7, E.3.4.5.1.8, E.4.4.5.1.8, E.3.4.5.1.12, E.4.4.5.1.12 | Reference: | 00-970 P1 5.2.40 00-970 P7 L702 13.1-L702 13.2 |
| | | STANAG | 4671.965(a) |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.957, 23.963, 23.965, 25.957, 25.963, 25.965 AC 25.963-1 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.963* CS 23.965 CS 23.1309 CS 25.963 CS 25.965 CS 25.1309 CS 27.965 CS 27.1309 CS 29.965 CS 29.1309 |

8.3.16 Refuelling/defueling.

The aircraft shall be capable of being safely refuelled and defueled.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Refuel pressures and flow-rates up to the maximum permitted limits.

b. Requirements for refuelling with crew and/or passengers on board the aircraft, and with engine(s) and/or APU(s) running.

c. Provision of standardised gravity and/or pressure refuelling interface(s).

d. Provision of refuel control systems, including manual and/or automatic refuel shut-off valves sequencing of filling tanks and isolation of tanks.

e. Procedures for ground crew to follow to support the effective and safe refuel of the aircraft.

f. Adequate prevention of leakage and spillage of fuel.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should define the provisions made for refuelling and defueling the aircraft and the allowable refuelling and defuelling pressure limits.

2. Functional Hazard Analysis (FHA) should identify the hazards associated with refuelling and defueling the aircraft.

3. Technical Publications should identify the operating procedures regarding the safe refuel and defuel of the aircraft, including information regarding the refuelling/defueling of the aircraft with crew and/or passengers on board, and with engine(s) and/or APU(s) running.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix E: E.3.4.5.1.12, E.4.4.5.1.12, E.3.4.5.8.1, E.4.4.5.8.1, E.3.4.5.8.4, E.4.4.5.8.4, E.3.4.5.8.5, E.4.4.5.8.5, E.3.4.5.8.6, E.4.4.5.8.6, E.3.4.5.8.7, E.4.4.5.8.7, E.3.4.5.8.8, E.4.4.5.8.8 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 5.2.168 00-970 P1 5.2.169 00-970 P1 5.2.175 00-970 P1 5.2.176 00-970 P1 5.2.178 00-970 P1 5.2.187 00-970 P1 5.2.188 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 306 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|----------|--|-----------------------|--|
| | | | 00-970 P1 5.2.213 00-970 P1 5.2.214 00-970 P7 L701/3 2.2 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.23.863 4671.23.973 4671.23.975 4671.23.977 4671.23.979 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.863, 23.973, 23.975, 23.979, 25.863, 25.973, 25.975, 25.979 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.863* CS 23.973* CS 23.975* CS 23.977* CS 23.979 CS 25.863* CS 25.973* CS 25.975* CS 25.977* CS 25.979 CS 27.863* CS 27.973* CS 27.975* CS 27.975* CS 29.975* CS 29.973* CS 29.975* CS 29.975* CS 29.975* CS 29.977* |

8.3.17 Spill prevention.

The fuel system shall be designed to prevent fuel spills during refuelling/defueling operations and during subsequent normal manoeuvres on the ground and in flight.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Providing automatic shut-off means to prevent the quantity of fuel in each tank from exceeding the maximum quantity for that tank;

b. Providing means for over-flow detection, to automatically shut-off refuel in the event of an overflow, or alert refuelling ground crew;

c. Providing indication to inform ground crew when fuel tank(s) are full;

d. Providing ground and flight crew operating procedures to prevent the spillage of fuel following refuel.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the means provided to prevent the quantity of fuel in each tank from exceeding its maximum allowed quantity.

2. SDD should detail the means provided for detection of refuel over-flow and subsequent automatic shutoff and/or alerting of ground crew.

3. SDD should detail the means provided for informing ground crew that fuel tanks are full.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 307 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

4. Technical Publications should define procedures for refuelling/defueling of aircraft, including prevention of spills.

5. Technical Publications should define operating procedures to prevent the spillage of fuel during and following refuel.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix E: E.3.4.5.1.12, E.4.4.5.1.12, E.3.4.5.6.1, E.4.4.5.6.1, E.2.4.5.8.1, E.4.4.5.8.1 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 5.2.164 00-970 P1 5.2.179 |
| | E.3.4.5.8.1, E.4.4.5.8.1, E.3.4.5.8.11, E.4.4.5.8.11, E.3.4.5.8.14, E.4.4.5.8.14, E.3.4.5.9, E.4.4.5.9 | STANAG Reference: | 4671.973 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.969, 23.975, 25.969, 25.975 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.969* CS 23.973 CS 23.975* CS 23.979 CS 25.969* CS 25.973 CS 25.975* CS 25.979 CS 27.969* CS 27.973 CS 27.975 CS 29.969* CS 29.969* CS 29.973 CS 29.975* CS 29.979 |

8.3.18 Operator interface.

Adequate controls and displays shall be available to notify the flight crew of the fuel systems and its necessary functions to warn for hazardous conditions.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Clear presentation of relevant information to crew, including status indication, and warning, caution and advisory information.

b. All required fuel system functions and tracked parameters (e.g. fuel pressure, fuel temperature, fuel quantity, CofG monitoring, pump status, fuel unbalance, low level fuel, etc.);

c. The location of the temperature sensors should be carefully considered so that a true fuel temperature will be indicated;

d. The position of the fuel quantity indicators to ensure accurate data readings;

e. Ensuring all displays and controls meet the specified requirements (arrangement, location, type, size, guards etc.).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should identify the controls and displays provided to crew. For controls, detail should be provided regarding the mode of operation and function of each control. For

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 308 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

displays, detail should be provided regarding all information displayed to the crew, and where appropriate, the conditions that would lead to specific indications.

2. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate that controls perform their intended function(s) and that displays provide accurate and useful information to the crew.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix E: E.3.4.5.1.12, E.4.4.5.1.12, E.3.4.5.8.11, E.4.4.5.8.11, E.3.4.5.12, E.4.4.5.12, E.3.4.5.12.1, E.4.4.5.12.1, E.3.4.5.12.2, E.4.4.5.12.2, E.3.4.5.12.3, E.4.4.5.12.3, E.3.4.5.12.4, E.4.4.5.12.4, E.3.4.5.12.5, E.4.4.5.12.5 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 5.2.25 00-970 P1 5.2.110 00-970 P1 5.2.115 00-970 P1 5.2.121 00-970 P1 5.2.133 00-970 P1 5.2.138 00-970 P1 5.2.139 00-970 P1 5.2.143 00-970 P1 5.2.144 00-970 P1 5.2.144 00-970 P1 5.2.147 00-970 P1 5.2.148 |
| | | | 00-970 P7 L702 29.1 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1725 4671.1727 4671.1729 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.955 |
| | | Reference: | CS 23.963 |
| | | | CS 23.979 |
| | | | CS 23.991 |
| | | | CS 23.1141 |
| | | | CS 23.1305 |
| | | | CS 23.1311 |
| | | | CS 23.1553 |
| | | | CS 25.979 |
| | | | CS 25.1141 |
| | | | CS 25.1161 |
| | | | CS 25.1305 |
| | | | CS 25.1553 |
| | | | CS 27.1141 |
| | | | CS 27.1305 |
| | | | CS 27.1553 |
| | | | CS 29.979 |
| | | | CS 29.1141 CS 29.1305 |
| | | | CS 29.1305 CS 29.1553 |
| | | | 00 29.1000 |

8.3.19 Diagnostics.

The fuel system shall include the necessary built-in-test (BIT), fault detection and isolation provisions, in order to identify critical failures to the operators and maintainers.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 309 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

Consideration should be given to:

a. Providing power-on BIT (which performs a diagnostic process on power-on of a given component/system), on-demand BIT (which performs a diagnostic process when operated by an operator), and/or continuous BIT (which performs continuous diagnostic processes).

b. Providing means for manual and/or automatic fault isolation, and operation of back-up systems, taking consideration of the benefit of minimising pilot work-load while ensuring that the pilot remains informed of the aircraft's flightworthiness and mission-worthiness.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the provisions for BIT.

2. Technical Publications should detail the procedures for operating BIT equipment (if any), the procedures for managing information provided by BIT, and for managing the fuel system during flight.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: 3.2.9, 4.2.9 and Appendix E: E.3.4.5.8.11, | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 5.2.120 00-970 P1 5.2.154 |
| | E.4.4.5.8.11, E.3.4.5.12.5, E.4.4.5.12.5 | STANAG Reference: | 4671.965 4671.979 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.979, 25.979 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.965 CS 23.979 CS 25.965 CS 25.979 CS 27.965 CS 29.965 CS 29.979 |

8.3.19 Fuel jettison (dump) outlets shall be located such that jettisoned fuel does not impinge on aircraft surfaces or become re-ingested into the aircraft. Fuel jettison operations shall be safe and shall not adversely affect the controllability of the aircraft.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Safe location of the fuel jettison in relation to potential ignition sources (hot brakes, bleed air ducts, engine, APU, etc.);

b. The implications of the fuel dump system failures.

| <u>Inf</u> | ormation Sources | | |
|--------------|------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 5.2.129 00-970 P1 5.2.217 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1001 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1001(c) CS 25.1001 CS 29.1001 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 310 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

8.3.20 Merged with 8.3.14.

8.4. FIRE AND HAZARD PROTECTION.

8.4.1 Integration.

The fire protection system shall not introduce additional hazards. In addition, risks associated with existing hazards shall not be increased by integration of the fire protection system.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring that the fire protection system components and elements can withstand the hazards they are designed to control and mitigate;

b. Protection in this context encompasses both detection and extinguishing.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the general architecture and design of the fire protection system, and should identify the specific hazards that the system is designed to control and mitigate, and where applicable identify any key hazards that the system is not designed to control or mitigate.

2. System Safety Analysis (SSA) should assess the overall safety of the system and should identify and assess the hazards caused by the fire protection system, and the effect of the system on the aircraft's existing hazards.

3. Declarations of Design and Performance (DDP) should identify the physical and functional requirements of each item of equipment of the system, and should declare conformance with each requirement.

4. Rig, ground and flight testing should confirm the correct and safe integration of the fire protection system.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix G: G.3.4.7, G.4.4.7, G.3.4.7.1, G.4.4.7.1, G.3.4.7.2, G.4.4.7.2, G.3.4.7.29, G.4.4.7.29 MIL-HDBK-221: 2.7, 2.12, 2.13, 2.16, 2.17 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.26.1 00-970 P1 4.26.2 00-970 P1 4.26.9 00-970 P1 4.26.24 00-970 P1 4.26.25-4.26.30 00-970 P1 4.26.55 00-970 P1 4.26.60 00-970 P1 4.26.62 00-970 P13 Clause 1.12.1- 1.12.4 00-970 P13 1.6.12.1 to1.6.12.4 and 1.6.12.5 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.850 4671.1203 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.851- 23.865, 25.851-25.869, 23.1181-23.1203, 25.1181- 25.1207, 23.1411, 25.1411 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.851 CS 23.855 CS 23.859 CS 23.1203 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 311 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|------------|
| | CS 23.1309 |
| | CS 23.1359 |
| | CS 25.851 |
| | CS 25.854 |
| | CS 25.858 |
| | CS 25.859 |
| | CS 25.867 |
| | CS 25.1203 |
| | CS 25.1207 |
| | CS 25.1309 |
| | CS 25.1705 |
| | CS 25.1713 |
| | CS 27.859 |
| | CS 27.1195 |
| | CS 27.1309 |
| | CS 29.851 |
| | CS 29.859 |
| | CS 29.1195 |
| | CS 29.1203 |
| | CS 29.1309 |

8.4.1.1 Failure modes and effects.

All single-point failure conditions of the fire and hazard protection system shall be identified and the consequences of their failure shall be acceptable, eliminated or mitigated.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Defining and demonstrating compliance with an acceptable failure rate for each component, subsystem and system.

b. The effect of single-point failures on other elements of the system, and possible further failures and other effects.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) should identify all single-point failures within the fire and hazard protection system and should identify controls and mitigations to ensure that consequences of their failure are acceptable.

| Inf | ormation Sources | | |
|--------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.26.24 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1309 CS 25.1203 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1309 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 312 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|--|
| | |

8.4.1.2 Qualification tests.

All components shall undergo an appropriate Qualification Test Programme (QTP) to demonstrate their suitability to perform their intended function.

Consideration should be given to:

a. System Validation and Verification (V&V), i.e. the definition of requirements at all levels (system, subsystem, assembly and component) such that the overall requirements for the system are met (Validation) and the demonstration of compliance against the defined requirements at all levels (Verification).

b. The range of design and performance requirements that may apply to a given system, sub-system, assembly and component, which may include requirements for proof and/or burst pressure, vibration, containment, over-speed, acceleration, explosive atmosphere, pressure cycling, and temperature cycling.

c. Demonstrating compliance against appropriate established standards (e.g. ISO 14186:2013 Air cargo -- Fire containment covers -- Design, performance and testing requirements)

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should define Qualification Requirements (QR), including requirements for component performance, which may include requirements for proof and/or burst pressure, vibration, containment, over-speed, acceleration, explosive atmosphere, pressure cycling, and temperature cycling.

2. Qualification Programme(s) (QP) should specify the testing to be completed for each component, assembly, sub-system or system as appropriate and the requirement(s) that each test will demonstrate compliance against.

3. Qualification Test Procedures (QTP) should define at an appropriate level of detail the procedures to be followed for qualification testing of each component, assembly, sub-system or system as appropriate.

4. Qualification Test Reports (QTR) should record the completion of qualification testing in accordance with QTPs and acceptable demonstration of compliance against each QR.

5. Declarations of Design and Performance (DDP) should identify the physical and functional requirements of each equipment of the system, and should declare conformance with each requirement.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.26.24 00-970 P1 4.26.55 00-970 P1 4.26.56 00-970 P1 4.26.61 00-970 P1 4.26.62 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1203 4671.1309 4671.1359 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.855 CS 23.1199 CS 23.1203 CS 23.1309 CS 23.1359 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 313 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|------------|
| | CS 25.857 |
| | CS 25.858 |
| | CS 25.1203 |
| | CS 25.1309 |
| | CS 25.1705 |
| | CS 25.1713 |
| | CS 27.859 |
| | CS 27.1195 |
| | CS 27.1309 |
| | CS 29.855 |
| | CS 29.1199 |
| | CS 29.1203 |
| | CS 29.1309 |
| | CS 29.1359 |

8.4.1.3 Operator interface.

Adequate crew-station information shall be available to notify the flight crew of the status if the fire and hazard protection system and any warnings related to detection of fire or smoke.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Clear presentation of relevant information to crew, including status indication, and warning, caution and advisory information.

b. Where more than one zone or area is monitored, provision of indication to crew of detection location;

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should identify the controls and displays provided to crew. For controls, detail should be provided regarding the mode of operation and function of each control. For displays, detail should be provided regarding all information displayed to the crew, and where appropriate, the conditions that would lead to specific indications.

2. Demonstrating the controls and display show the status of the fire and hazard protection system and the warnings and cautions related to the aircraft hazards

3. Technical Publications (e.g. Aircraft Flight Manual) should adequately describe the information made available to the crew regarding the status of the fire and hazard protection system and should provide detailed procedures for crew to follow upon the display of warnings and cautions related to the aircraft hazards against which the system is designed to provide hazards control and/or mitigation.

| Inf | ormation Sources | | |
|--------------|------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.19.60 00-970 P1 4.26.24 00-970 P1 4.26.60 00-970 P1 4.26.61 00-970 P1 4.26.62 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1203 4671.1817 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.855 CS 23.1203 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 314 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|------------|
| | CS 23.1305 |
| | CS 25.854 |
| | CS 25.857 |
| | CS 25.858 |
| | CS 25.1203 |
| | CS 25.1305 |
| | CS 27.1195 |
| | CS 29.855 |
| | CS 29.1203 |
| | CS 29.1305 |
| | |

8.4.2 Hazard protection zones.

Each compartment of the aircraft shall be zoned according to the fire and explosion hazards present within that compartment. Each hazard shall be controlled and mitigated such that no fire or explosion hazard has unacceptable risk under normal operating conditions.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Identifying the different zones of the aircraft, the compartments which make up each zone, and the adequacy of partitions between zones.

b. Identifying an acceptable level of risk for the hazards of each zone.

c. Analysing the hazards associated with each zone, including the fire and explosion protection such that hazards are controlled and mitigated to an acceptable level.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should define each hazard protection zone, their compartments, and the acceptable level of risk for each zone.

2. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should analyse the hazards associated with each zone and demonstrate that the hazard controls and mitigations support an acceptable level of risk.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix G: G.3.4.7, G.4.4.7 MIL-HDBK-221: 2.11 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.26.2 00-970 P1 4.26.5 00-970 P1 4.26.6 00-970 P1 4.26.10 00-970 P1 4.26.11 00-970 P1 4.26.22 00-970 P1 4.26.41 00-970 P7 L712 2.1 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.865 4671.1181 4671.1203 4671.1309 4671.1359 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.851- 23.865, 25.851-25.869, | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.859 CS 23.865 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 315 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------------|--------------------|
| 23.1181-23.1203, 25.1181- | CS 23.903 |
| 25.1207, 23.1411, 25.1411 | CS 23.1181-23.1182 |
| | CS 23.1203 |
| | CS 23.1309 |
| | CS 23.1359 |
| | CS 23.1451 |
| | CS 25.857 |
| | CS 25.859 |
| | CS 25.865 |
| | CS 25.869 |
| | CS 25.1181 |
| | CS 25.1187 |
| | CS 25.1203 |
| | CS 25.1207 |
| | CS 25.1309 |
| | CS 25.1713 |
| | CS 27.859 |
| | CS 27.1309 |
| | CS 27 Annex C |
| | CS 29.859 |
| | CS 29.1181 |
| | CS 29.1187 |
| | CS 29.1203 |
| | CS 29.1309 |
| | CS 29.1359 |

8.4.2.1 Control station protection.

Each compartment of the Control Station (if applicable, i.e. for UAV, RPAS, etc.) shall be zoned according to the fire and explosion hazards present within that compartment. Each hazard shall be controlled and mitigated such that no fire or explosion hazard has unacceptable risk under normal operating conditions or single failure conditions.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Identifying the different zones of the Control Station, the compartments which make up each zone, and the adequacy of partitions between zones.

b. Identifying an acceptable level of risk for the hazards of each zone.

c. Analysing the hazards associated with each zone, including the fire and explosion protection such that hazards are controlled and mitigated to an acceptable level.

d. Identifying single failures that could cause hazards or affect the risk of existing hazards.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should define each hazard protection zone, their compartments, and the acceptable level of risk for each zone.

2. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should analyse the hazards associated with each zone and demonstrate that the hazard controls and mitigations support an acceptable level of risk.

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|--|
| | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 316 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P9 UK FW.U1701c |
| | | Reference: | 00-970 P9 UK FW.U1701d |
| | | | 00-970 P9 UK RW.U1701c |
| | | | 00-970 P9 UK RW.U1701d |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

8.4.3 Hazard consideration in designs.

The fire and hazard protection system shall be designed in such a way that it mitigates the hazards identified in the System Safety Assessments of the aircraft's systems into an acceptable level of risk.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Identifying fire hazards associated with each aircraft system and the acceptable level of risk for each hazard.

b. Identifying an acceptable level of risk for the hazards of each zone.

c. The effect of the fire and hazard protection system on the hazards associated with each system and the way these hazards are controlled and mitigated to an acceptable level.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) for the fire and hazard protection system should summarize the hazards introduced by each individual system, and by the integration of the different systems into the aircraft.

2. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should analyse the hazards associated with the fire and hazard protection system and demonstrate that, at aircraft level, the level of risk is acceptable.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix G: G.3.4.7.1, G.4.4.7.1 MIL-HDBK-221: 2.1, 2.2.1.2, 2.2.1.4, 2.2.1.5, 2.2.1.6, 2.2.1.7, 2.2.1.8, 2.2.2 through 2.2.9, 2.5, 2.6, 2.7.3, 2.7.11, 2.7.13, 2.10.2 though 2.10.8 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.26.6 00-970 P1 4.26.10 00-970 P1 4.26.11 00-970 P1 4.26.37-4.26.40 00-970 P1 4.26.41 00-970 P1 4.26.46-4.26.49 00-970 P1 4.26.53 00-970 P1 4.26.66 00-970 P1 4.26.71-4.26.73 00-970 P1 4.26.82-4.26.83 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.613 4671.850 4671.865 4671.903 4671.967 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 317 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|-----------|---|-----------------------|--|
| | | | 4671.994 4671.1061 4671.1103 4671.1121 4671.1141 4671.1163 4671.1181-4671.1193 4671.1307 4671.1309 4671.1351-4671.1367 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.851- 23.865, 25.851-25.869, 23.1181-23.1203, 25.1181- 25.1207, 23.1411, 25.1411 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.775, 23.859, 23.865, 23.903, 23.967, 23.994, 23.1061, 23.1103, 23.1121- 23.1125, 23.1163, 23.1181- 23.1193, 23.1309, 23.1351, 23.1359-23.1365, 23.1451 CS 25.857, 25.859, 25.865, 25.867, 25.869, 25.903, 25.963, 25.994, 25.1017, 25.1121, 25.1141, 25.1181- 25.1193, 25.1309, 25.1351, 25.1365, 25.1713-25.1731 CS 27.859, 27.861, 27.1121, 27.1183-27.1194, 27.1309, 27.1365 CS 29.803, 29.807, 29.859, 29.861, 29.903, 29.1023, 29.1091, 29.1121, 29.1183- 29.1194, 29.1309, 29.1351, 29.1359 |

8.4.3.1 Minimization of ignition risk.

In areas where flammable fluids or vapours may be present, the design shall minimise the probability of ignition of the fluids and vapours and the consequences of such an ignition.

Consideration should be given to:

a. For each hazard associated with ignition risk:

i. Identifying potential causes (i.e. ignition threats);

ii. Identify potential consequences (e.g. fire, explosion, failure of other components/systems, etc.)

iii. Identify risk reduction means, i.e. control measures (which reduce the probability of a hazardous event's occurrence) and recovery measures (which reduce the severity of the consequence of the hazardous event's occurrence).

b. Incorporating control and recovery measures effectively, which may involve changes to the design of the aircraft, or its operation or maintenance.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should, for each system, define the fire hazards and acceptable level of risk for each system.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 318 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

2. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should, for each system, analyse associated hazards and demonstrate that the hazard controls and mitigations support an acceptable level of risk.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix G: G.3.4.7.3, G.4.4.7.3, G.3.4.7.6, G.4.4.7.6 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.26.4 00-970 P1 4.26.6 00-970 P1 4.26.14-4.26.18 00-970 P1 4.26.20 00-970 P1 4.26.32 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 4.26.34 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 4.26.63-4.26.69 00-970 P7 L712 2.4 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.863 4671.967 4671.1061 4671.1091 |
| | | | 4671.1103 4671.1121 4671.1163 |
| | | | 4671.1183 4671.1307 4671.1309 |
| | | | 4671.1337 4671.1361 4671.1367 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.851- 23.865, 25.851-25.869, 23.1181-23.1203, 25.1181- 25.1207, 23.1411, 25.1411 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23 Clauses: 23.853, 23.859, 23.863, 23.967, 23.1061, 23.1091, 23.1103, 23.1121, 23.1163, 23.1183, 23.1309, 23.1337 CS 25 Clauses: 25.859, 25.863, 25.869, 25.952, 25.1091, 25.1121, 25.1163, 25.1183, 25.1185, 25.1187, 25.1309, 25.1337 CS 27 Clauses: 27.859, 27.863, 27.1091, 27.1121, 27.1183, 27.1185, 27.1187, 27.1309, 27.1337 CS 29 Clauses: 29.859, 29.863, 29.1091, 29.1103, 29.1121, 29.1125, 29.1163, 29.1183, 29.1185, 29.1187, 29.1309, 29.1337 |

8.4.3.2 Safety critical components.

Aircraft components that are critical for safe flight, which are susceptible and potentially exposed to heat and fire, shall withstand fire and heat to a predetermined level.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 319 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

Consideration should be given to:

a. Identifying an appropriate level for fire and heat exposure, including but not limited to: environmental conditions expected in service; hazards within the zone(s) within which components are located (see section 8.4.2); change in material properties due to changes in temperature (Young's modulus, yield stress, etc.); effects due to thermal expansion and contraction; requirements for burn-through; and, incorporation of safety margins.

b. Demonstrating the suitability of the components throughout and following exposure to heat and fire up to the identified level.

c. Demonstrating that the risk of exceeding the identified level for fire and heat exposure is acceptable.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should define the limits for fire and heat exposure associated with each zone.

2. Analysis should demonstrate the suitability of components throughout and following exposure to heat and fire up to the identified level.

3. Testing (usually coupon, equipment and rig testing) should demonstrate the accuracy of the performed analysis for components whose failure would have a large effect on the safety of the aircraft, or where there is a high risk of fire and heat exposure.

4. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should analyse the hazards associated with fire and heat exposure and demonstrate that the hazard controls and mitigations support an acceptable level of risk.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix G: G.3.4.7.6, G.4.4.7.6, G.3.4.7.21, G.4.4.7.21 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.26.3 00-970 P1 4.26.14 - 4.26.16 00-970 P1 4.26.20 00-970 P1 4.26.34 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 4.26.34 00-970 P1 4.26.38 - 39 00-970 P1 4.26.46 00-970 P1 4.26.83 00-970 Pt 7 L712 2.5 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.850 4671.863 4671.865 4671.1191 4671.1193 4671.1203 4671.1351 4671.1359 4671.1367 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.851- 23.865, 25.851-25.869, 23.1181-23.1203, 25.1181- 25.1207, 23.1411, 25.1411 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23 Clauses: 23.863, 23.865, 23.1141, 23.1182, 23.1191, 23.1193, 23.1201, 23.1203, 23.1309, 23.1359 CS 25 Clauses: 25.863, 25.865, 25.869, 25.1141, 25.1182, 25.1189, 25.1191, |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 320 /675 | 1 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|---|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|---|

| Int | formation Sources | |
|-----|-------------------|----------------------------|
| | | 25.1193, 25.1201, 25.1203, |
| | | 25.1207, 25.1309, 25.1713 |
| | | CS 27 Clauses: 27.861, |
| | | 27.863, 27.1191, 27.1193, |
| | | 27.1194, 27.1309, 27.1365 |
| | | CS 29 Clauses: 29.861, |
| | | 29.863, 29.1191, 29.1193, |
| | | 29.1194, 29.1309, 29.1359 |

8.4.4 Drainage and ventilation.

Where required to reduce the risk of fire and explosion hazards to acceptable levels, adequate drainage and ventilation shall be provided.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The capacity of each drain or vent; ensuring that it is adequate to drain the expected quantities of fluid and/or vapour;

b. The location of each drain or vent to maximise the performance of the drain/vent, minimise the risk of blockage and remove fluid and vapours to a safe location which prevents the re-entering of fluids/vapours into the aircraft on ground and in flight;

c. The prevention of transfer of fluids/vapours from one compartment to another via the drains/vents.

d. The prevention of connecting drains/vents carrying flammable fluids/vapours with those that do not carry flammable fluids/vapours through manifolds.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should identify drainage and ventilation provisions for each compartment.

2. Analysis should demonstrate the suitability of drains and vents for the type and quantities of fluids and/or vapours for which they are designed.

3. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of the performed analysis and the suitability of the drains and vents for removal of fluids and vapours to a safe location.

4. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should analyse the hazards associated with fire and explosion incorporating drainage and ventilation into the assessment of risk.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix G: G.3.4.7.3, G.4.4.7.3, G.3.4.7.4, G.4.4.7.4, G.3.4.7.5, G.4.4.7.5, G.3.4.7.18, G.4.4.7.18 MIL-HDBK-221: 2.4 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.26.14 00-970 P1 4.26.19 00-970 P1 4.26.20 00-970 P1 4.26.35 00-970 P1 4.26.36 00-970 P1 4.26.67 00-970 P1 4.26.68 00-970 P1 4.26.73 00-970 P1 4.26.73 00-970 P1 4.26.82 00-970 P1 6.11.20 00-970 P13 3.5.26 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 321 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|----------|---|-----------------------|--|
| | | | 00-970 P13 1.4.4.14 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.903 4671.967 |
| | | | 4671.971 |
| | | | 4671.975 |
| | | | 4671.999 |
| | | | 4671.1001 |
| | | | 4671.1013 |
| | | | 4671.1017 |
| | | | 4671.1021 |
| | | | 4671.1061 |
| | | | 4671.1091 |
| | | | 4671.1103 |
| | | | 4671.1121 |
| | | | 4671.1189 |
| | | | 4671.1193 |
| | | | 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.851- 23.865, 25.851-25.869, 23.1181-23.1203, 25.1181- 25.1207, 23.1411, 25.1411 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23 Clauses: 859, 967, 971, 975, 999, 1001, 1013, 1017, 1021, 1061, 1091, 1103, 1121, 1189, 1193, 1309 CS 25 Clauses: 859, 967, 971, 973, 975, 869, 999, 1001, 1013, 1017, 1021, 1091, 1121, 1187, 1189, 1193, 1309 CS 27 Clauses: 859, 963, 973, 999, 1021, 1091, 1121, 1183, 1187, 1193, 1309 CS 29 Clauses: 859, 963, 973, 975, 999, 1013, 1017, 1021, 1091, 1103, 1121, 1187, 1189, |
| | | | 1193, 1309 |

8.4.4.1 Merged with 8.4.4.

8.4.5 Merged with 8.4.4.

8.4.6 Engine fire zone provisions.

Engine nacelle cooling and ventilation provisions shall be adequate to avoid hot surface ignition sources and collection of flammable fluids or vapours.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Heat transfer from the engine to the nacelle and surrounding compartments, and heat transfer from the nacelle and surrounding compartments to other areas of the aircraft and its surrounding environment. The modes of heat transfer will likely include conduction (through the nacelle, through the surrounding aircraft structure, etc.), radiation (e.g. from engine surfaces to compartment surfaces), and convection (including the compartment ventilation).

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 322 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

b. Identifying acceptable limit temperatures for the surfaces and content of each compartment, taking into account the flash points and auto-ignition temperatures of the fluids and vapours expected in each compartment.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should identify acceptable temperature limits for the surfaces and content of each compartment.

2. Analysis should demonstrate adequate thermal management for the engine and surrounding compartments.

3. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of the performed analysis and the performance of thermal management provisions.

4. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should analyse the hazards associated with fire and explosion incorporating engine nacelle cooling and ventilation provisions into the assessment of risk.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix G: G.3.4.7.4, G.4.4.7.4, G.3.4.7.18, G.4.4.7.18 MIL-HDBK-221: 2.11.2.4, 2.11.2.5 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.26.19 00-970 P1 4.26.20 00-970 P1 4.26.37 00-970 P1 4.26.39 00-970 P1 4.26.40 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1193 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.851- 23.865, 25.851-25.869, 23.1181-23.1203, 25.1181- 25.1207, 23.1411, 25.1411 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1182 CS 23.1193 CS 25.1182 CS 25.1187 CS 25.1193 CS 27.1187 CS 27.1193 CS 29.1187 CS 29.1193 |

8.4.7 Merged with 8.4.1.3 and 8.4.2.

8.4.8 Merged with 8.4.3.2.

8.4.9 Electrically powered fire protection.

Each electrically powered fire protection sub-system shall be provided with power at all times during aircraft operation, including engine start and battery operations.

Consideration should be given to:

a. All functions of the fire protection system including fire detection, extinguishing, and explosion suppression systems.

b. The duration for which the aircraft could be expected to operate without electrical power generation or external power sources, and ensuring capacity to power the fire protection sub-systems for that duration.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 323 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should define the electrical power source(s) which power the fire suppression sub-systems, and the duration for which the aircraft is expected to operate without electrical power generation or external power sources.

2. Analysis should demonstrate that the electrical power system is capable of providing adequate electrical power to the fire protection sub-systems for the required duration, taking account of any other electrical loads.

3. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of the analysis performed, and should demonstrate the function of the fire protection sub-systems using each source of electrical power.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix G: G.3.4.7.10, G.4.4.7.10 MIL-HDBK-221: 2.12, 2.13 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.6.3 00-970 P1 6.6.8 00-970 P1 6.6.88 00-970 P1 6.6.89 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.850 4671.1203 4671.1309 4671.1359 |
| FAA Doc: | 214CFR references: 3.851- 23.865, 25.851-25.869, 23.1181-23.1203, 25.1181- 25.1207, 23.1411, 25.1411 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.855 CS 23.859 CS 23.1203 CS 23.1309 CS 23.1359 CS 25.854 CS 25.858 CS 25.859 CS 25.869 CS 25.1203 CS 25.1309 CS 25.1309 CS 25.1362 CS 25.1362 CS 25.1705 CS 27.1195 CS 27.1195 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1309 CS 29.1309 CS 29.1359 |

8.4.10 Explosion suppression.

Where required to reduce the risk of explosion hazards to acceptable levels, adequate explosion suppression shall be provided.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Passive explosion suppression, such as explosion suppressing foam.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 324 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

b. Active explosion suppression, such as suppressant agent discharge systems.

c. Hazards associated with an explosion and the rapid dispersion of explosion suppression agents, and the effect that dispersion would have on aircraft components and structure.

d. The overall level of risk associated with explosions and the effect of incorporation of explosion suppression systems.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should identify explosion suppression provisions for each compartment that require such provisions.

2. Analysis should demonstrate the suitability of explosion suppression systems for the environments in which they are located and the hazards that they are designed to mitigate.

3. Testing (typically lab or rig testing) should demonstrate the accuracy of the performed analysis and the suitability of the explosion suppression systems for the environments in which they are located and the hazards that they are designed to mitigate.

4. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should analyse the hazards associated with explosion, incorporating the explosion suppression system into the assessment of risk.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|---|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix G: G.3.4.7.8, G.4.4.7.8, G.3.4.7.9, G.4.4.7.9, G.3.4.7.26, G.4.4.7.26, G.3.4.7.27, G.4.4.7.27, G.3.4.7.28, G.4.4.7.28 MIL-HDBK-221: 2.17 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.26.2 00-970 P1 4.26.73 00-970 P7 L712 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.851- 23.865, 25.851-25.869, 23.1181-23.1203, 25.1181- 25.1207, 23.1411, 25.1411 | EASA CS Reference: | |

8.4.11 False warnings.

The fire detection system shall be designed to preclude false warnings.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Providing redundancy to reduce the probability of a false warning due to system/component failure.

b. Incorporating active system monitoring (i.e. Built In Test Equipment (BITE)) to actively detect system failures and mitigate their effect.

c. Ensuring that components are suitable for the environmental conditions that are expected in service.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should identify the aspects of the fire detection system intended to preclude false warnings.

2. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate that system/component failure cannot result in false warning.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix G: | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 4.26.24 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 325 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

| Inf | armation Sources | | |
|------------|--|------------|------------|
| <u>Ini</u> | ormation Sources | | |
| | G.3.4.7.9, G.4.4.7.9, | Reference: | |
| | G.3.4.7.10, G.4.4.7.10, G.3.4.7.11, G.4.4.7.11, | STANAG | 4671.1203 |
| | G.3.4.7.11, G.4.4.7.11, G.3.4.7.12, G.4.4.7.12, | Reference: | 4671.1309 |
| | G.3.4.7.13, G.4.4.7.13, | | |
| | G.3.4.7.14, G.4.4.7.14, | | |
| | G.3.4.7.15, G.4.4.7.15 | | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.851- | EASA CS | CS 23.863 |
| | 23.865, 25.851-25.869, | Reference: | CS 23.1309 |
| | 23.1181-23.1203, 25.1181- | | CS 25.858 |
| | 25.1207, 23.1411, 25.1411 | | CS 25.863 |
| | | | CS 25.1203 |
| | | | CS 25.1309 |
| | | | CS 25.1731 |
| | | | CS 27.863 |
| | | | CS 27.1309 |
| | | | CS 29.863 |
| | | | CS 29.1203 |
| | | | CS 29.1309 |

8.4.12 Fire suppression.

Where required to reduce the risk of explosion hazards to acceptable levels, adequate fire suppression shall be provided.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Active fire suppression systems (such as suppressant agent discharge systems) and/or passive fire suppression systems (such as fire suppressing foam).

b. Performance requirements for the system(s), including (but not limited to) agent concentrations and durations required to adequately suppress fire(s), the size of zone(s) for which suppression is required, and the types of fire for which suppression is required.

c. The compatibility between the fire suppression agent and the aircraft, accounting for the type of zone(s) for which suppression is required (engine bay, cargo bay, dry bay, fuel tank, etc.) and the effect that the agent may have on aircraft components and structure.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should identify fire suppression provisions for each compartment.

2. Analysis should demonstrate the suitability of fire suppression systems for the environments in which they are located and the hazards that they are designed to mitigate.

3. Testing (typically lab or rig testing) should demonstrate the accuracy of the performed analysis and the suitability of the fire suppression systems for the environments in which they are located and the hazards that they are designed to mitigate.

4. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should analyse the hazards associated with fire, incorporating the fire suppression system into the assessment of risk.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix G: | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 4.26.5 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 326 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|----------|---|-----------------------|--|
| | G.3.4.7.24, G.4.4.7.24, G.3.4.7.25, G.4.4.7.25, G.3.4.7.26, G.4.4.7.26 MIL-HDBK-221: 2.12 | Reference: STANAG | 00-970 P1 4.26.9 00-970 P1 4.26.25 - 4.26.30 00-970 P1 4.26.62 00-970 P13 3.9.5 00-970 Pt 7 L712 3.13.1 4671.863 |
| | | Reference: | 4671.1309 4671.1817 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.851- 23.865, 25.851-25.869, 23.1181-23.1203, 25.1181- 25.1207, 23.1411, 25.1411 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1195 CS 23.1197 CS 23.1201 CS 23.1201 CS 23.1309 CS 25.851 CS 25.854 CS 25.857 CS 25.1195 CS 25.1195 CS 25.1197 CS 25.1201 CS 25.1201 CS 25.1207 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1309 CS 27.1309 CS 29.851 CS 29.1195 CS 29.1197 CS 29.1199 CS 29.1201 CS 29.1309 |

8.4.13 Fire isolation.

Edition Number: 3.1

Fireproof protective devices shall be provided to contain a fire within a defined fire zone.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The temperatures that protective devices would be required to withstand in the event of a fire, and the required duration for withstanding these temperatures.

b. Requirements for fail-safe design, such that containment is achieved in the event of failure of the protection device.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should identify the fireproof protective devices incorporated in the aircraft design to contain a fire within a fire zone.

2. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should analyse the hazards associated with each zone and demonstrate that the risk associated with fires in adjacent zones is acceptable.

| | | ces | Information S |
|--|--|-----|---------------|
| | | | |

Status: endorsed

Page 327/675

Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix G: G.3.4.7.20, G.4.4.7.20 MIL-HDBK-221: 2.7.8, 2.11 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.26.11 00-970 P1 4.26.13 00-970 P1 4.26.16 00-970 P1 4.26.22 00-970 P1 4.26.23 00-970 P1 4.26.83 |
| | | STANAG | 4671.1103 |
| | | Reference: | 4671.1191 |
| | | | 4671.1193 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.851- 23.865, 25.851-25.869, 23.1181-23.1203, 25.1181- 25.1207, 23.1411, 25.1411 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.859 CS 23.1103 CS 23.1191 CS 23.1192 CS 23.1192 CS 23.1193 CS 25.859 CS 25.1091 CS 25.1091 CS 25.1183 CS 25.1189 CS 25.1191 CS 25.1193 CS 25.1207 CS 27.859 CS 27.1191 CS 29.859 CS 29.1103 CS 29.1193 |

8.4.14 Fire resistance.

The finishes and materials of the aircraft sub-systems shall deter combustion. Any toxic by-products of combustion of any aircraft material shall be at acceptable levels.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The flammability/combustibility of materials throughout the aircraft, particularly those located in fire zones and occupied compartments.

b. The use of materials and finishes that are flame retardant and/or self-extinguishing.

c. The adequate ventilation of compartments and the potential build-up of toxic by-products.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should define the materials and finishes used throughout the aircraft and their appropriate fire resistance.

2. Analysis should demonstrate that toxic by-products cannot reach levels hazardous to health, taking account of provisions for aircraft ventilation.

3. Testing (usually lab, coupon, equipment and rig testing) should demonstrate the adequate fire resistance of materials and finishes used throughout the aircraft.

| Edition Number: 3.1 Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 Status: e | dorsed Page 328 /675 |
|---|-----------------------------|
|---|-----------------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix G: G.3.4.7.7, G.4.4.7.7, | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.26.74 - 4.26.77 00-970 P1 4.26.79 - 4.26.81 |
| | G.3.4.7.22, G.4.4.7.22 MIL-HDBK-221: 2.7.9 | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.851- 23.865, 25.851-25.869, 23.1181-23.1203, 25.1181- 25.1207, 23.1411, 25.1411 AC 25.853-1, AC 25.869-1 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.853 CS 23.855 CS 25.831 CS 25.853 CS 25.855 CS 25.856 CS 25.857 CS 25.859 CS 25.863 CS 25.869 CS 25.869 CS 25.1713 CS 27.853 CS 27.855 CS 29.855 |

4. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should analyse the hazards associated with fire taking into account the flammability/combustibility of materials and finishes.

8.4.15 Protection of inhabited and critical areas.

The fire and hazard protection system shall prevent hazardous quantities of smoke, flames, or extinguishing agents from entering inhabited and critical areas, including the cockpit/flight deck, passenger compartments, control station (for UAV, RPAS, etc.), or flight-critical sensor bays.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Identifying an acceptable level risk of smoke, flame or extinguishing agents, taking account of physiological effects of the hazards on the aircraft's occupants, and any effects the hazards may have on the aircraft's equipment, components and structure.

b. Minimising the risk of such hazards, ensuring that the risk is at least below the acceptable level.

c. Ensuring that any risk reduction measures (controls and/or mitigations) incorporated into the aircraft's design, operation, or maintenance supports the intended reduction of risk.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should identify the protection provided to prevent hazardous quantities of smoke, flames, extinguishing agents, etc. in inhabited and critical areas.

2. Analysis should demonstrate that the protection provided to prevent hazardous quantities of smoke, flames, extinguishing agents, etc. in inhabited and critical areas provides adequate protection.

3. Testing (usually coupon, lab, and rig testing) should demonstrate the accuracy of the performed analysis and demonstrate that hazardous quantities of smoke, flames, extinguishing agents, etc. in inhabited and critical areas is adequately prevented.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 329 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

4. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should analyse the hazards associated with each zone and demonstrate that the risk associated with smoke, flames, extinguishing agents, etc. in inhabited and critical areas is acceptable.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix G: G.3.4.7.22, G.4.4.7.22 MIL-HDBK-221: 2.4.2, 2.19 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.26.56 00-970 P1 4.26.59 00-970 P1 4.26.62 00-970 P1 1.4.6.6 00-970 P1 1.6.12.4 00-970 P1 1.6.12.5 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.775 4671.850 4671.1191 4671.1703 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.851- 23.865, 25.851-25.869, 23.1181-23.1203, 25.1181- 25.1207, 23.1411, 25.1411 AC 25-9 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.831 CS 23.851 CS 23.1197 CS 25.831 CS 25.851 CS 25.855 CS 25.857 CS 25.1197 CS 27.831 CS 29.831 CS 29.851 CS 29.855 CS 29.1197 |

8.4.16 Equipment separation.

Adequate separation shall be provided between equipment containing oxidisers, flammable fluid systems, and electrical components.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The routeing and positioning of equipment containing oxidisers, flammable fluid systems and pipework, and electrical system components and cables;

b. Preventing the placement of flammable fluid lines above potential ignition sources (such as electrical components and cables) and equipment containing oxidisers to minimise the risk of combustion;

c. Incorporating hazard control design elements, such as double-walled pipes, drip fences/points (away from ignition sources and oxidisers), shrouds and covers;

d. Providing adequate separation distances, taking account of deflection under all loading conditions onground and in-flight.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 330 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should identify compartments or zones of the aircraft where oxidisers, flammable fluid systems, and electrical components/cables are each prohibited and allowed. Where a compartment or zone may contain a combination of oxidisers, flammable fluid systems, and/or electrical components/cable, SDD should identify the hazard control design elements which reduce the risk of combustion hazards.

2. Analysis should demonstrate that the separation between oxidisers, flammable fluid systems, and electrical components/cables is adequate.

3. Rig and ground testing should demonstrate the accuracy of performed analysis, and should demonstrate that normal operation of flammable fluid systems does not cause unacceptable risk of combustion.

4. Ground and flight testing should demonstrate that, under all expected loading conditions on-ground and in-flight, deflections of components and structure does not cause unacceptable reduction of separation distances.

5. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should analyse the hazards associated with each zone and demonstrate that combustion risk is acceptable.

| Inf | ormation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix G: G.3.4.7.16, G.4.4.7.16, | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.26.41 00-970 P1 4.26.42 |
| | G.3.4.7.17, G.4.4.7.17 MIL-HDBK-221: 2.7.2, 2.7.10, | | 00-970 P1 4.26.43 |
| | 2.10.4.2, 2.10.2.1 | | 00-970 P1 4.26.44 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 4.26.45 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 6.2.59 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 6.6.3 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 6.6.90 |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.851- | EASA CS | CS 23.863 |
| | 23.865, 25.851-25.869, 23.1181-23.1203, 25.1181- | Reference: | CS 23.1361 |
| | 25.1207, 23.1411, 25.1411 | | CS 23.1365 |
| | | | CS 23.1441 |
| | | | CS 23.1445 CS 23.1451 |
| | | | CS 25.863 |
| | | | CS 25.869 |
| | | | CS 25.1441 |
| | | | CS 25.1453 |
| | | | CS 25.1707 |
| | | | CS 27.863 |
| | | | CS 27.952 |
| | | | CS 27.1351 |
| | | | CS 29.863 |
| | | | CS 29.952 |
| | | | |

8.4.17 Fluid and electrical shut off.

Provisions shall be available to shut off flammable fluids and de-energise all electrical ignition sources in the identified fire zone(s) for all mission phases including ground operations.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 331 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

Consideration should be given to:

a. All flammable fluid and electrical system components within the identified fire zones, including pipework and cables which run through the zones.

b. Providing means to shut-off sources of power (for electrical systems) and motive flow (for flammable fluid systems), rather than only providing isolation of sub-systems, noting the benefits that this may have in the case of leaks and short-circuits.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should identify provisions for shut-off of electrical and flammable fluid system sub-systems and sources of power.

2. Testing (usually lab and rig testing) should demonstrate that the provided shut-off means adequately prevent ignition.

3. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should analyse the hazards associated with each zone and demonstrate that ignition risk is acceptable.

| In | Information Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix G: G.3.4.7.17, G.4.4.7.17, G.3.4.7.19, G.4.4.7.19 MIL- | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.246.4 00-970 P1 4.26.17 00-970 P1 4.26.21 |
| | HDBK-221: 2.1.1.5, 2.2.6, 2.4.8, 2.11.1.4, 2.11.2.7 | STANAG Reference: | 4671.995 4671.1189 4671.1743 4671.1753 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.851- 23.865, 25.851-25.869, 23.1181-23.1203, 25.1181- 25.1207, 23.1411, 25.1411 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.859 CS 23.1142 CS 23.1145 CS 23.1145 CS 23.1189 CS 25.859 CS 25.1145 CS 25.1185 CS 25.1189 CS 25.1727 CS 27.859 CS 27.1145 CS 27.1185 CS 27.1185 CS 27.1189 CS 29.859 CS 29.1142 CS 29.1145 CS 29.1189 |

8.4.18 Ground access.

Ground firefighting access provisions shall be compatible with standard ground firefighting systems and shall adequately support effective fire suppression.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 332 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

Consideration should be given to:

a. The quantity and location of ground access points;

b. The effectiveness of access points in terms of:

i. Accessibility by ground crew;

ii. Effectiveness of fire suppression through each access point.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should identify provisions for ground firefighting access, including (if necessary) the types of ground firefighting systems with which each point is compatible.

2. Analysis should demonstrate that the use of standard ground firefighting systems, through any given ground access point, or combination of access points, is able to adequately suppress fire within an acceptable amount of time, without introducing additional hazards to the aircraft, its occupants, or ground firefighting personnel.

3. Testing (usually lab and rig testing) should demonstrate the accuracy of performed analysis, and should demonstrate that provisions for ground firefighting access are appropriate.

4. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should analyse the hazards associated with ground firefighting and demonstrate that the risk to the aircraft, its occupants and ground firefighting personnel is acceptable.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix G: G.3.4.7.7, G.4.4.7.7, G.3.4.7.13, G.4.4.7.13, G.3.4.7.31, G.4.4.7.31 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.26.25 to 4.26.30 00-970 P1 4.26.86 00-970 P1 4.26.87 |
| | MIL-HDBK-221: 2.11.2.10, 2.11.3.6 | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.851- 23.865, 25.851-25.869, 23.1181-23.1203, 25.1181- 25.1207, 23.1411, 25.1411 AC 20-42C | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1181 - 23.1203 CS 25.1181 - 25.1207 CS 27.1183 - 27.1203 CS 27 Appendix C CS 29.1181 - 29.1203 |

8.4.19 Post-crash protection.

Risk associated with post-crash fire and explosion hazards shall be acceptable. Where practicable, safety features shall be provided to control and mitigate these hazards.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Fuel and other flammable fluids;
- b. Hot surfaces;
- c. Sources of ignition, including sparks from scraping along the ground.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should identify safety features incorporated into the design of the aircraft to control and mitigate post-crash fire and explosion hazards.

2. Analysis should demonstrate the effectiveness of any incorporated safety features.

3. Testing (usually lab and rig testing) should demonstrate the accuracy of performed analysis and the effectiveness of any incorporated safety features.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 333 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

4. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should analyse the hazards associated with post-crash fire and explosion and demonstrate that the risk to the aircraft, its occupants and ground firefighting personnel is acceptable.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix G: G.3.4.7.7, G.4.4.7.7 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.22.18 00-970 P1 4.22.44 |
| | MIL-HDBK-221: 2.7.3.2, 2.15 | | 00-970 P1 4.22.57 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 4.22.63 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 4.26.29 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 6.6.67 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 6.6.87 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 6.6.88 |
| | | | 00-970 P13 1.6.11.04 |
| | | STANAG | 4671.944 |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.851- | EASA CS | CS 23.721 |
| | 23.865, 25.851-25.869, 23.1181-23.1203, 25.1181- | Reference: | CS 23.994 |
| | 25.1207, 23.1411, 25.1411 | | CS 23.1453 |
| | AC 25-17, AC 25.994.1 | | CS 25.721 |
| | | | CS 25.787 |
| | | | CS 25.855 |
| | | | CS 25.963 CS 25.994 |
| | | | CS 25.994 CS 25.1145 |
| | | | CS 25.1145 CS 25.1453 |
| | | | CS 25.1455 CS 27.855 |
| | | | CS 27.952 |
| | | | CS 27.952 CS 27.963 |
| | | | CS 27.903 CS 27.973 |
| | | | CS 29.855 |
| | | | CS 29.952 |
| | | | CS 29.963 |
| | | | CS 29.973 |
| | | | 00 20.010 |

8.4.20 Detection and control of overheating.

The aircraft shall have provisions to detect and control overheat conditions that are potential fire and explosion hazards.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Locations where overheat sensors might be required;
- b. The type of warning and crew response, or automatic response, required.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should identify provisions for detection and control of overheat conditions.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 334 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

2. Analysis should demonstrate the adequacy of overheat control provisions to effectively reduce the temperature of the relevant aircraft components.

3. Testing (usually lab and rig testing) should demonstrate the effective detection and control of overheat conditions.

4. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should analyse the hazards associated with overheat conditions and resulting hazards and demonstrate that the level of risk is acceptable.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix G: G.3.4.7.23, G.4.4.7.23, G.3.4.7.28, G.4.4.7.28 MIL-HDBK-221: 2.20 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.24.25 00-970 P1 4.26.3 00-970 P1 4.26.24 00-970 P1 4.26.32 00-970 P1 4.26.69 00-970 P1 6.6.87 00-970 P1 6.6.88 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.863 4671.1111 4671.1307 4671.1309 4671.1353 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.851- 23.865, 25.851-25.869, 23.1181-23.1203, 25.1181- 25.1207, 23.1411, 25.1411 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.863 CS 23.1111 CS 23.1309 CS 23.1353 CS 25.863 CS 25.1103 CS 25.1203 CS 25.1203 CS 25.1309 CS 25.1353 CS 25.1365 CS 25.1731 CS 27.863 CS 27.1309 CS 27.1353 CS 29.863 CS 29.1309 CS 29.1353 |

8.4.21 Merged with 8.4.2

8.5. LANDING GEAR AND DECELERATION SYSTEMS.

8.5.1. Ground Floatation

The landing gear shall have safe ground floatation capability. It shall be designed with the load bearing capabilities of the intended operating surfaces in mind.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Operation from surfaces other than smooth, hard runways, or operation on/from aircraft carriers;

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 335 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

b. The design of the aircraft structure and landing gear and the loads arising from operation over the roughest ground that may reasonably be expected in normal operation;

c. The use of a recognised rating scheme to quantify the aircraft's characteristics;

d. Loads applied by the landing gear system to the airfield surface.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should define the surface characteristics of all surfaces from which the aircraft is designed to operate.

2. Analysis should demonstrate that the aircraft's characteristics allow it to operate from all expected surfaces without excessive deformation of the surface, or without preventing further movement of the aircraft. Such analysis should take account of critical aircraft weights and CofG positions, critical landing gear configurations, and critical tire pressures.

3. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of the performed analysis, and should demonstrate that the aircraft can operate from all expected surfaces.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix A: | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 4.13.4 |
| | A.3.4.1.2.1, A.4.4.1.2.1 | Reference: | 00-970 P1 S4 L48, L50 |
| | AFGS-87139: 3.2.1.1.b | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.235 |
| | | Reference: | CS 25.491 |

8.5.2. Arrangement, dynamics, and clearances.

8.5.2.1 Ground clearances.

The landing gear shall be arranged so that no part of the aircraft can contact the ground, except those intended to, (wheels, skids, arresting hooks, tail bumpers, etc.) in all expected take-off and landing attitudes, aircraft configurations (external stores, weapons, etc.), and with reasonably anticipated failures (deflated tyres, collapsed shock absorbers, etc.).

Consideration should be given to:

a. The full range of conditions through which the aircraft is permitted to take-off and land, including wind velocities (including gusting), permitted aircraft weights and CofG positions, landing surface conditions (irregularities, objects on the ground, slopes, etc.);

b. The range of positions that moveable components and equipment (control surfaces, search lights, etc) may be in on landing.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should clearly identify the aircraft components whose contact with the ground is routinely expected, is expected in exceptional circumstance, and is not expected/prohibited.

2. Analysis should demonstrate, for all expected take-off and landing attitudes, aircraft configurations, reasonably anticipated failures, take-off and landing conditions, and positions of moveable components, that only expected parts of the aircraft contact the ground.

3. Analysis should demonstrate that all parts which may contact the ground are suitably strong to withstand the loads that may occur during such contact without unacceptable deformation.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 336 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

4. Flight test should demonstrate that no unexpected part of the aircraft contacts the ground during takeoff and landing, particularly for critical take-off and landing attitudes, aircraft configurations, reasonably anticipated failures, take-off and landing conditions, and positions of moveable components.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|---|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix A: A.3.4.1.1.1, A.4.1.1.1, A.3.4.1.1.6, A.4.4.1.1.6, A.3.4.1.1.3, A.4.4.1.1.3, Appendix A: A.3.4.1.1.1/A.4.4.1.1.1 Gear arrangement; A.3.4.1.1.3/A.4.4.1.1.3 Extended Clearances; and A.3.4.1.1.6/A.4.4.1.1.6 Clearance with flat tyre and flat strut. AFGS-87139: 3.2.1.2 Arrangement and 3.2.1.3.a Clearances. | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 2.3.12 00-970 P1 4.13 00-970 P1 5.1.62 00-970 P1 5.1.101 00-970 P13 3.8.29 4671.481 4671.733 4671.925 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: 13.1-13.2.4, 23.1501, 23.1529, 25.1501, 25.1503-25.1533, 25.1529, 25.1541, 25.1543, 25.1557, 25.1563 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.733 CS 23.925 CS 25.733 CS 25.925 CS 27.411 CS 27.733 CS 29.411 CS 29.733 |

8.5.2.2 Aircraft stability and control on the ground.

The landing gear shall provide safe control of the aircraft during ground manoeuvres including taxy, takeoff and landing, preventing unintentional contact with the ground, turn-over or ground loops.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The effect of variations in aircraft mass and CofG position;

b. The effect of variations in landing gear parameters such as tyre pressure, shock absorber pressure, etc.

c. The variety of surfaces for which operation on the ground is permitted, including reasonably anticipated objects on the ground and surface conditions (irregularities, slope, hardness, etc.).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Testing should demonstrate that aircraft stability and control on the ground is acceptable for all permitted aircraft masses and CofG positions, landing gear parameters and surfaces.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix A: A.3.4.1.1.2/A.4.4.1.1.2 Pitch Stability; and | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 2 2.3 00-970 P1 4.10.11 00-970 P1 4.11.2 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 337 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|----------|--|-----------------------|---|
| | A.3.4.1.1.7/A.4.4.1.1.7 Gear Stability AFGS-87139: 3.2.1.2 Arrangement and 3.2.5.1 General | STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.11.28 00-970 P1 4.11.66 00-970 P1 4.13.7 4671.231 4671.233 4671.235 4671.586 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: 25.233 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.231 CS 23.233 CS 23.235 CS 25.231 CS 25.233 CS 25.235 CS 27.231 CS 27.241 CS 27.663 CS 27.751 CS 29.231 CS 29.231 CS 29.241 CS 29.663 CS 29.751 |

8.5.2.3 Wheel well clearances.

Retractable landing gear and surrounding landing gear bays/wheel wells (including doors) shall be designed to ensure that sufficient clearance is maintained to prevent the landing gear becoming stuck in any position.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Kinematics of the landing gear and any other moving parts (e.g. doors);

b. The maximum size of the tyres, including unworn tread, the highest possible differential pressure (taking account of large internal tyre pressures, e.g. due to maximum inflation and subsequent temperature effects, and low external air pressures, e.g. due to high altitude) and centripetal forces due to tyre rotation;

c. The effect of objects/substances which could foul mechanisms (ice/slush, sand, mud, chemicals, etc.)

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Analysis should demonstrate that for all possible combinations of movement of moving parts (landing gear, doors, etc.), adequate clearance is maintained.

2. Rig, ground and flight testing should verify the accuracy of the performed analysis and should demonstrate that adequate clearance is maintained.

3. Rig, ground and flight testing should verify adequate clearance is maintained despite build-up of objects/substances that could foul the landing gear mechanisms.

| Γ | Information Sources | | | |
|---|---------------------|--|------------|--|
| | Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| | DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix A: A.3.4.1.1.4/A.4.4.1.1.4 | | 00-970 P1 4.11.52 00-970 P1 4.11.57 |
| | | A.U.T. I.I.T.A.A.T.T.T.T.T. | Reference. | 00 0701 1 4.11.07 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 338 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|-----------|--|-----------------------|--|
| | Retraction Clearances AFGS- 87139: 3.2.1.2 Arrangement and 3.2.1.3.b Clearances (retractable landing gears) | | 00-970 P1 4.11.60 00-970 P1 4.11.74 00-970 P1 4.12.41 00-970 P7 L301 3.4 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.729 4671.733 4671.745 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.745 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.733 CS 23.745 CS 25.733 CS 25.745 CS 25.729 CS 27.733 CS 27.745 CS 27.729 |

8.5.2.3.1 Wheel well temperatures.

Equipment and structure that are essential to the safe operation of the aeroplane and that are located on the landing gear and in the wheel wells shall be protected from the damaging effects of the maximum wheel brake temperatures encountered during (normal and abnormal) operation.

Consideration shall be given to:

a. Use of protective covers;

b. Means to ensure dissipation of excess temperature;

- c. Location and proximity of sensitive structure or equipment;
- d. Location and proximity of flammable substances or materials.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents should identify the expected maximum temperature of each wheel brake.

2. Analysis should demonstrate that the expected maximum temperature of each wheel will not be exceeded.

3. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate that equipment located in each wheel well operates safely when subjected to the expected maximum temperature.

| Inf | ormation Sources | | |
|--------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 25.735 |
| | | Reference: | |

8.5.2.4 Dynamic stability for ground operation.

The landing gear shall not cause the aircraft to experience any adverse dynamics or pitching motions (including but not limited to vibrations, buffeting, shimmy, porpoising and yaw skids), which prevent

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 339 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

continued safe operations, cause structural damage, interfere with satisfactory control, or cause excessive fatigue to the flight crew, on the ground or during transition to and from flight.

Consideration should be given to:

a. All forces including dynamic and inertia forces that may occur on the ground and during transition to and from flight (gyroscopic forces due to motion of rotating wheels, torque loads due to arresting spinning wheels, oscillation of landing gear pistons, etc.);

b. Interaction between internal landing gear loads (e.g. torque forces due to braking) and external loads from other sources (e.g. aerodynamic loads, thrust and thrust reversal, etc.).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Analysis and/or testing should identify the forces (both static and dynamic) that may occur during operation of the aircraft on the ground and during transition to and from flight.

2. Analysis should demonstrate that combinations of forces, and the interaction of forces and aircraft systems and structure cannot result in unacceptable oscillation (e.g. resonance).

3. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of the performed analysis, and should demonstrate that operation on ground and transition to and from flight does not cause unacceptable oscillatory motion or loads.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|---|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix A: A.3.4.1.1.2/A.4.4.1.1.2 Pitch Stability; A.3.4.1.1.7/A.4.4.1.1.7 Gear Stability; A.3.4.1.4.2/A.4.4.1.4.2 Directional Control; A.3.4.1.4.3/A.4.4.1.4.3 Emergency directional control; A.3.4.1.4.5.1/A.4.4.1.4.5.1 Steering characteristics AFGS-87139: 3.2.1.2.b Arrangement; and 3.2.1.4 Damping | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 2.24.16 00-970 P1 2.19.30(VTOL) 00-970 P1 4.10.19 00-970 P1 4.11.28 00-970 P1 4.11.59 4671.723 4671.726 4671.727 4671.729 4671.731 4671.733 4671.735 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.721- 23.745, 25.721-25.737 | EASA CS Reference: | 4671.1309 CS 23.251 CS 23.723 CS 23.726 CS 23.729 CS 23.731 CS 23.733 CS 23.735 CS 23.1309 CS 25.251 CS 25.473(d) CS 25.493(d) CS 25.723 CS 25.729 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 340 /675 |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
|---|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|------------|
| | CS 25.731 |
| | CS 25.733 |
| | CS 25.735 |
| | CS 25.1309 |

8.5.2.5 Tip over.

The landing gear shall be designed to ensure the aircraft will not tip forward or back during ground operations, including acceleration, braking (including while reversing) and during towing.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Instantaneous and progressive application of braking forces during ground manoeuvres.
- b. Aircraft accelerations during towing manoeuvres.
- c. The effect of variations of aircraft mass and CofG positions (in all aircraft axes).
- d. The effect of thrust and control surface loads.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

 Analysis should identify that, for critical combinations of aircraft weight and CofG position, resultant loads due to thrust, control surface forces, brake forces, and aircraft accelerations will not cause the aircraft to tip forward (in the case of tail-wheeled aircraft) or back (in the case of nose-wheeled aircraft).
 Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of performed analysis and demonstrate that the aircraft does not tip forward or back during ground manoeuvres involving large accelerations (ground rolls with high thrust, heavy braking, etc.) and large control surface displacements.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | A.3.4.1.3.1.14/A.4.4.1.3.1.14 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.11.9 00-970 P1 S4 L42 |
| | Empennage protection; and A.3.4.1.2.2.1.3/A.4.4.1.2.2.1.3 Landing gear towing | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.509, 25.507, 25.509 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.509 CS 25.507 CS 25.509 |

8.5.2.6 Kneeling.

The landing gear kneeling system shall allow the aircraft to kneel safely.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Preventing kneeling when such action could result in aircraft tip-over.

b. Preventing kneeling when such action could result in damage to the aircraft or surrounding equipment (e.g. Ground Support Equipment).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Analysis should demonstrate that kneeling can be conducted safely, and that adequate protections are in place to prevent the unsafe kneeling of the aircraft.

2. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate that kneeling can be conducted safely.

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|--|
| | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 341 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | | | |
|--------------|---------------------------------------|-------|-----------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix A: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | A.3.4.1.10/A.4.4.1.10 | | Reference: | |
| | Specialized subsystems AFGS-87139: | 3.1.9 | STANAG | |
| | Specialized subsystems. | 5.1.9 | Reference: | |
| | Specialized subsystems. | | | |
| FAA Doc: | | | EASA CS | |
| | | | Reference: | |

8.5.2.6.1 Kneeling procedures.

Servicing procedures for landing gear kneeling and unkneeling shall be safe and properly sequenced.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Managing and minimising risks that could affect safe kneeling and unkneeling, including management of aircraft weight and CofG position whilst the aircraft is knelt.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Technical Publications should include detailed processes for kneeling and unkneeling the aircraft, including considerations for managing risk.

| Int | formation Sources | | | |
|--------------|---------------------------------------|-------|-----------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix A: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | A.3.4.1.10/A.4.4.1.10 | | Reference: | |
| | Specialized subsystems AFGS-87139: | 3.1.9 | STANAG | |
| | Specialized subsystems | 5.1.5 | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | | EASA CS | |
| | | | Reference: | |

8.5.3. Landing gear structure.

8.5.3.1 Safe failure of landing gear structure.

The landing gear shall be designed such that no structural failure could result in penetration of any occupied compartment, fuel tank, or any other bay that may cause a fire, ignition or explosion hazard.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The deflection of landing gear structure away from critical bays.

b. Energy absorption of nearby panels and other structure without penetration.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Analysis should demonstrate that interactions between landing gear structure and surrounding aircraft structure during expected landing gear failure modes will not result in penetration of critical bays.

2. Coupon, assembly and rig testing should demonstrate the accuracy of performed analysis, and should show that critical bays are not penetrated due to landing gear failure.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | |
|-------------|-------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 342 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|---|--|
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix A: A.3.4.1.3.1.3/A.4.4.1.3.1.3 Failure Tolerance AFGS-87139: 3.2.2.1.e General (limits on structural failure modes) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1/6 S4 L75 00-970 P1 S4 L47 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: 23.721 & 25.721 cover fuel spillage | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.561 CS 23.721 CS 25.561 CS 25.721 CS 27.561 CS 29.561 |

8.5.3.1.1 Safe failure of landing gear components.

Failure of landing gear components shall not cause catastrophic failure of surrounding aircraft structure.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The effect and mitigation of instantaneous failure of high energy landing gear parts including wheels and tyres rotating at high speed.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Analysis (SSA) should demonstrate that the risk of failure of aircraft structure due to failure of landing gear components is acceptable.

2. Analysis, supported by testing, should demonstrate that failure of any landing gear component cannot result in failure of surrounding aircraft structure.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 25.734 |
| | | Reference: | |

8.5.3.2 Shock strut energy absorption.

The landing gear shall be designed so the shock absorbing mechanism allows for safe ground operations, take-off and landing.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Ensuring sufficient energy absorption and dissipation is achieved during landing;
- b. Providing adequate support for aircraft structure during ground manoeuvring;
- c. Maximising passenger comfort;
- d. Ensuring the shock absorbing mechanism does not bottom out.
- e. The effect of variation in aircraft weight and CofG position.
- f. The effect of variation in tyre and shock absorber pressures.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 343 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

1. Analysis should demonstrate that the energy absorption capacity of the landing gear is adequate, without bottoming out, to absorb the energy associated with ground operations, take-off and landing, including the maximum anticipated aircraft velocities and critical aircraft weights and CofG positions.

2. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of the performed analysis, and should demonstrate that energies associated with ground operations, take-off and landing are absorbed without bottoming out of landing gear components.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| | | | |
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE AS 6053 Tests, Impact, Shock Absorber, Landing Gear, Aircraft (formerly MIL-T- 6053) | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix A: A.3.4.1.3.1.8/A.4.4.1.3.1.8 Energy Absorption 3.4.1.3.1.11/4.4.1.3.1.11 Repeated Operation AFGS-87139: 3.2.2.1 General and 3.2.2.2 Shock absorption | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.11.2 00-970 P1 4.11.22 00-970 P1 4.11.42 00-970 P1 4.11.43 00-970 P1 4.11.44 |
| | MIL-L-8552 | STANAG Reference: | 4671.235 4671.723 4671.725 4671.729 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: 23.721- 23.745, 13.1-13.2.4, 23.1501, 23.1529, 25.721-25.737, 25.1501, 25.1503-25.1533, 25.1529, 25.1541, 25.1543, 25.1557, 25.1563 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.729 CS 23.1501 CS 23.1529 CS 25.1501 CS 25.1503-1533* CS 25.1529 CS 25.1541* CS 25.1543* CS 25.1557* CS 25.1563* CS 27.235 CS 27.729 CS 27.1529 CS 29.235 CS 29.729 CS 29.729 CS 29.1529 |

8.5.3.3 Incorrect servicing allowance.

The landing gear shall be designed so that incorrect servicing does not compromise safety, result in unsafe loading or cause damage to the aircraft during take-off, landing or taxiing operations.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Incorrect servicing of the wheels, tyres, shock absorbing mechanism;
- b. Appropriate and realistic levels of incorrect servicing;
- c. Loading types including fuel, weapons, stores, etc;
- d. Sudden movement of the shock absorbing mechanism.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 344 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Analysis should demonstrate that, with shock struts incorrectly serviced and parameters influencing performance (shock absorber pressure, fluid quantity, etc.) reasonably beyond allowed values, system characteristics do not have a negative effect on safety, or cause damage to the aircraft during take-off, landing or taxiing.

2. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of performed analysis, and should demonstrate that incorrect servicing of the landing gear does not have a negative effect on safety, or cause damage to the aircraft during take-off, landing or taxi operations.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|---|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE AS 6053 Tests, Impact, Shock Absorber, Landing Gear, Aircraft (formerly MIL-T- 6053) | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | AFGS-87139: 3.2.1.3 Clearances MIL-L-8552 Landing Gear, Aircraft Shock Absorbers (Air- Oil Type) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.11.35 00-970 P1 4.12.9 4671.729 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: 13.1-13.2.4, 23.1501, 23.1529, 25.1501, 25.1503-25.1533, 25.1529, 25.1541, 25.1543, 25.1557, 25.1563 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.729 CS 25.729 |

8.5.3.4 Landing operating limits.

The landing gear shall be designed to allow for safe landing operations (emergency and normal) in all specified landing conditions, at all operating weights.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. All expected landing conditions in both emergency and normal operating conditions;
- b. The effect of failures, such as flat tyres / roll on rims and bottomed out shock absorbing mechanisms;
- c. Crew and passenger comfort and functioning of equipment during ground manoeuvring;
- d. The effect of maximum aircraft landing weights;
- e. The effect of external stores and role equipment;

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Analysis should demonstrate that the landing gear allows for safe landing operations in all specified landing conditions, at all operating weights.

2. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of performed analysis, and demonstrate that the landing gear design allows for safe landing operations.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|----------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix A: A.3.4.1.3.1.7/A.4.4.1.3.1.7 Flat tyre and flat strut operation; A.3.4.1.3.1.8/A.4.4.1.3.1.8 | | 00-970 P1 4.11.2 00-970 P1 4.11.7 |
| | Energy absorption; A.3.4.1.3.1.11/A.4.4.1.3.1.11 Repeated operation | STANAG Reference: | 4671.473 4671.479 - 4671.485 |

| | Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 345 /675 |
|--|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|--|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|----------|--|-----------------------|--|
| | AFGS-87139:3.6EnvironmentalConditions,3.2.2.1General and 3.2.2.2Shock absorption | | 4671.497 4671.499 4671.723 - 4671.731 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.721- 23.731, 23.473, 23.477, 23.479, 23.481, 23.483, 23.485, 25.721-25.731, 25.101, 25.511, 25.1583 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 27.737 CS 29.479 - 29.485 CS 29.497 CS 29.501 CS 29.505 CS 29.511 CS 29.521 CS 29.723 - 29.731 CS 29.737 CS 29.757 |

8.5.3.5 Landing gear stability and shimmy prevention.

The landing gear shall be designed in such a way that unacceptable shimmy, or other dynamic instabilities, do not occur at any speed encountered during operation.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. The full range of aircraft masses and CofG positions;
- b. The expected range of landing gear parameters (tyre pressure, shock absorber pressure, etc.);
- c. The effect of other (external) forces acting on the aircraft, including lift, drag and thrust.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Analysis should demonstrate that the landing gear design does not cause unacceptable shimmy or other dynamic instability through the full range of expected aircraft speeds, loading conditions, landing gear parameters and external forces.

2. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of the performed analysis and should demonstrate that no unacceptable shimmy or other dynamic instability occurs through critical combinations of aircraft speed, loading conditions, landing gear parameters and external forces.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG 2006 3.4.2.7, 4.4.2.7 JSSG-2009 Appendix A.3.4.1.1.7/A.4.4.1.1.7 Gear Stability; and | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.11.14 00-970 P1 4.11.29 00-970 P7 L301 5.2 |
| | A.3.4.1.4.5.1/A.3.4.1.4.5.1 Steering Characteristics. AFGS-87139: 3.2.1.2 Arrangement and 3.2.1.4 Damping. | STANAG Reference: | 4671.479 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: 23.721- 23.745, 25.721-25.737- shimmy is not covered, the rest | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.729 - 23.745 CS 25.721 CS 25.723 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 346 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|-------------------------|-----------|
| of the paragraphs imply | CS 25.729 |
| coverage | CS 25.731 |
| | CS 25.733 |
| | CS 25.735 |
| | CS 27.727 |
| | CS 27.729 |
| | CS 27.731 |
| | CS 29.723 |
| | CS 29.725 |
| | CS 29.727 |
| | CS 29.729 |
| | CS 29.731 |

8.5.4 Tyre Load and Speed Rating

The landing gear tyres shall have an appropriate load and speed rating for all expected ground operations and take-off and landing conditions.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. The effect of reasonably expected tyre over- and under-inflation;
- b. The effect of combinations of worn (at wear limits) and un-worn (new) tyres;
- c. The effect of total deflation of a single tyre in a multi-wheel bogie or similar arrangement;
- d. The effect of heavy braking (e.g. rejected take-off at V1).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Analysis should demonstrate that tyres have an appropriate load and speed rating for all expected ground operations and take-off and landing conditions, including reasonable tyre over- and under-inflation, combinations of worn and un-worn tyres, total deflation of a single tyre in a multi-wheel bogie or similar arrangement, and effects of heavy braking.

2. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of the performed analysis, and should demonstrate the appropriateness of the selected tyres for critical ground operations and take-off and landing conditions.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|---|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix A: A.3.4.1.2.2/A.4.4.1.2.2 Ground handling; A.3.4.1.3.1.4/A.4.4.1.3.1.4 Strength; and A.3.4.1.11.1.1/A.4.4.1.11.1.1 Air vehicle tire performance. AFGS-87139: 3.1.8 Ground handling (operations), 3.2.4.1 Tires MIL-PRF-5041 14CFR reference: 23.473, 23.726, 23.733, 25.473, 25.726, & 25.733 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.11.7, 00-970 P1 4.11.10, 00-970 P1 4.11.11, 00-970 P1 4.11.12, 00-970 P1 4.11.24, 00-970 P1 4.11.35 4671.473 4671.511 4671.733 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 347 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|----------|-------------------|-----------------------|--|
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.473, 23.511, 23.726 *, 23.733 CS 25.473, 25.499, 25.511, 25.733 CS 27.497, 27.733 CS 29.497, 29.511, 29.733 |

8.5.5 Wheel Loadings

The landing gear wheels shall be designed to withstand the worst-case loads for all specified ground operations.

Consideration should be given to:

a. All expected landing conditions (normal and emergency);

b. All expected environmental conditions;

c. All combinations of aircraft weight and configuration;

d. The effect of over- and under-inflation of tyres, including total deflation of a single tyre in a multi-wheel bogie or similar arrangement;

e. The effect of combinations of worn (at wear limits) and un-worn (new) tyres.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Analysis should demonstrate that wheels are appropriate for all expected ground operations and takeoff and landing conditions, including reasonably expected tyre over- and under-inflation, combinations of worn and un-worn tyres, total deflation of a single tyre in a multi-wheel bogie or similar arrangement, and effects of heavy braking.

2. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of the performed analysis, and should demonstrate the appropriateness of the wheels for critical ground operations and take-off and landing conditions.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|-----------------------------|---|---|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| Comm'l Doc: DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix A: A.3.4.1.2.2/A.4.4.1.2.2 Ground handling; A.3.4.1.3.1.4/A.4.4.1.3.1.4 Strength; A.3.4.1.11.2.1/A.4.4.1.11.2.1 Air vehicle wheel performance; and • A.3.4.1.11.2.4/A.4.4.1.11.2.4 Nonfrangibility criteria (flat tire operation) AFGS-87139: 3.1.8 Ground handling (operations) and | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.11.11, 4.11.12, P7 L303 5.1 4671.473 - 511, 4671.723 - 733 |
| | 3.2.4.2 Wheels MIL-B-8584 MIL-W-5013 Wheel and Brake Assemblies - inactive for new design 14CFR reference: 23.721- | | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 348 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

| In | formation Sources | |
|----------|---|--|
| | 23.732, 25.721-25.732, 23.471-23.511 & 25.471- 25.511, 25.101 (see 13.1- 13.2.4) | |
| FAA Doc: | | CS 29.475 - 521 CS 29.723 - 731 CS 29.737 CS 29.753 |

8.5.6 Wheel overheating and over-pressurisation protection

Wheel or tyre explosion due to over-pressure (e.g. due to over-inflation) and over-heat (e.g. due to heavy braking) shall be prevented.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The incorporation of pressure relief valves (to prevent failure due to over-inflation) and fusible plugs (to prevent failure due to over-heat);

b. Providing an appropriate margin for over-inflation and over-heat so that wheel-tyre assemblies are suitably protected, and inadvertent triggering of the protection devices is minimized.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should, for each wheel/tyre assembly, identify the provided means of preventing wheel or tyre failure/explosion due to over-inflation (e.g. Over-inflation Pressure Relief (OPR) valve);

2. SDD should identify the provided means of preventing wheel or tyre failure/explosion due to excessive heat (e.g. fusible plugs);

3. Analysis should demonstrate that the provided failure prevention means operate effectively through all expected failure conditions with an appropriate margin for over-inflation and over-heating.

4. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of the performed analysis, and should demonstrate that over-pressure and over-heat prevention means operate effectively prior to failure of the wheel/tyre.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix A: A.3.4.1.11.2.3/A.4.4.1.11.2.3 Brake Overheat Capability; and A.3.4.1.11.2.6/A.3.4.1.11.2.6 Pressure-release criteria; | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.12.43 00-970 P1 4.12.44 00-970 P7 L310 3.2.6 00-970 P7 L310 3.2.8 |
| | A.3.4.1.11.3.1/A.4.4.1.11.3.1 Air vehicle stopping and turn- around performance; and A.3.4.1.11.3.7/A.4.4.1.11.3.7 Temperature interface criteria AFGS-87139: para 3.2.3.1 General, 3.2.4.2.c Wheel overheat capability and 3.2.4.3.a Brakes MIL-W-5013 Wheel and Brake | STANAG Reference: | 4671.735 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 349 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|----------|---|-----------------------|------------------------|
| | Assemblies - inactive for new design 14CFR reference: 11.2.2 & 11.2.2.1 Included in each specific 14CFR reference per | | |
| | section | | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.731 CS 23.735 |
| | | | CS 25.731 CS 25.735 |

8.5.7. Brake Assemblies

8.5.7.1 Brake energy capability.

The aircraft braking system shall provide sufficient energy conversion and dissipation, and braking torque throughout the defined wear range to allow safe ground, take-off and landing operations, including accelerate-stop distance and braked roll requirements.

Consideration should be given to:

a. All expected combinations of aircraft weight and speed;

b. All expected aircraft configurations (e.g. air brakes deployed/retracted);

c. Reasonable failures and pilot errors that could reduce aircraft deceleration (air brakes failed, thrust reverse failed, parachute failed, incorrect engine thrust setting, etc.).

d. All expected runway surfaces and conditions (dry, wet, muddy, sandy, etc.);

e. The effect of expected environmental conditions, such as temperature, altitude, etc;

f. The effect of repeated brake operations.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Analysis should demonstrate that the energy conversion and dissipation, and brake torque performance of the brakes, throughout the defined wear range, exceeds the maximum energy conversion and dissipation and brake torque requirements for ground, take-off and landing operations.

2. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of performed analysis, and should demonstrate that the performance of the braking system is adequate to allow safe ground, take-off and landing operations.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|---|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix A: A.3.4.1.11.3.1/A.4.4.1.11.3.1 Air vehicle stopping and turn- around performance. AFGS-87139: 3.2.3.1.a & b Brake system (General) MIL-W-5013 Wheel and Brake Assemblies - inactive for new design | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.11.6 00-970 P1 4.12.19 4671.55 4671.493 4671.735 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: 23.45, 23.55, 23.493, 23.735, 25.45, | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.55 CS 23.493 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 350 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

| Information Sources | |
|-------------------------------|------------|
| 25.55, 25.493, 25.735, 25.101 | CS 23.735 |
| | CS 25.101* |
| | CS 25.493 |
| | CS 25.735 |
| | CS 27.493 |
| | CS 27.735 |
| | CS 29.493 |
| | CS 29.735 |

8.5.7.2 Brake redundancies.

The brake system, associated systems and components shall be designed to include sufficient redundancy so that in the event of any reasonably credible combination of failures, it shall be possible to stop the aircraft within an acceptable distance.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Failure of power supply and transmission systems (electrical, hydraulic, pneumatic, mechanical, etc.), brake components, and control systems;

b. The acceptable level of performance degradation associated with single failures and combinations of failures.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should identify the redundancy included in the design of the brake system, the failures (single and combination) through which braking is still possible, and the maximum degradation of braking system performance associated with each failure.

2. Analysis should demonstrate that the increase in stopping distance associated with degradation of the braking system performance due to failures is acceptable.

3. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate that the risks associated with degradation of the braking system performance due to failures is acceptable.

4. Rig, ground and/or flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of performed analysis, and should demonstrate that increase in stopping distance due to degraded brake system performance is acceptable.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|---|-------------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP-1493 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix A: A.3.4.1.11.2.4/A.4.4.1.11.2.4 Nonfrangibility criteria (flat tire operation); A.3.4.1.11.3.3/A.4.4.1.11.3.3 Structural failure criteria; and A.3.4.1.11.3.4/A.4.4.1.11.3.4 Secondary braking capability (fail-safe). | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.12.11 4671.735 |
| | AFGS-87139: para 3.2.3.1.c Brake system, General and 3.2.4.3 Brakes; MIL-W-5013 Wheel and Brakes - presently inactive for new designs | | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 351 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|-----------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: 11.2.2.1 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.735 CS 25.101, 25.109, 25.125 and 25.735 |

8.5.7.3 Brake torque.

The brake system shall provide sufficient static (i.e. holding) torque to prevent wheel rotation throughout normal ground operations, including preparation for take-off.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The effect of ground surface conditions (e.g. slope) and contamination of braking surfaces (water, ice, mud, etc.);

b. Combinations of forces that could result in forward/rearward movement (e.g. engine thrust), and/or movement about the aircraft's normal axis (asymmetrical engine thrust, rotor acceleration, etc.).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Document (SDD) should identify the static torque for each brake assembly, taking account the effects of brake surface contamination;

2. Analysis should demonstrate that the static torque of each brake assembly is sufficient to hold the aircraft stationary through critical combinations of forces on the aircraft;

3. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of performed analysis, and should demonstrate that the brakes can hold the aircraft stationary throughout all normal ground operations.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|---|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP-1493 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix A: A.3.4.1.7/A.4.4.1.7 Restraint capability. AFGS-87139: para 3.2.3.1.b Brake System, General, and 3.2.4.3 Brakes; MIL-W-5013 Wheels and Brakes - presently inactive for new designs, MIL-B-8584 Design of Brake Systems 14CFR references: 23.735, 25.735 SAE ARP-1493 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.12.6 00-970 P1 4.12.14 4671.735 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.735, 25.735 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.735 CS 25.735 |

8.5.7.4 Merged with 8.5.6

8.5.8. Brake control and anti-skid control

8.5.8.1 Brake control redundancies.

The aircraft shall have a secondary braking capability, separate and independent from the primary stopping means, sufficient to arrest the aircraft in a safe manner.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 352 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

Consideration should be given to:

a. Incorporation of a secondary, separate braking system, and/or auxiliary braking devices (air brakes, thrust reversal, parachute, etc.);

b. The required level of performance of the secondary braking system.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should identify the secondary brake system included in the design of the aircraft, and the braking performance associated with the secondary system.

2. Analysis should demonstrate that the increase in stopping distance associated with use of the secondary braking system is acceptable.

3. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate that the risks associated with the use of the secondary braking system due to failures is acceptable.

4. Rig, ground and/or flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of performed analysis, and should demonstrate that increase in stopping distance due to use of the secondary braking system is acceptable.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|---|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP-1070 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix A: A.3.4.1.4.4.2/A.4.4.1.4.4.2 Alternate independent braking; AFGS-87139: para 3.2.3.2.a Brake actuation system and 3.2.4.3 Brakes; MIL-B-8584 Design of Brake Systems; | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.12.11 00-970 P1 4.12.12 00-970 P13 3.13.2 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: 11.2.2 & 11.2.2.1; | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.75 CS 25.125 CS 25.735 |

8.5.8.2 Braking control.

Braking control shall be designed such that input forces can be applied in a smooth and controllable manner, and such that the resulting aircraft deceleration is broadly proportional to the applied input force, during both engagement and disengagement of the brakes.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The full range of forces that are likely to be applied to the controls, and the resulting travel of the controls;

b. Areas of non-proportionality, for example where small input forces may not result in noticeable deceleration, and large input forces where a limit of deceleration may be reached.

c. Symmetry of braking forces for laterally displaced brake units, so that symmetric input force does not result in unacceptable yaw.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should identify the anticipated range of input forces, and resulting output forces and braking torques.

2. Analysis should demonstrate that the relationship between braking input forces, output forces/torques and resulting aircraft deceleration is acceptable and broadly proportional throughout the permitted brake wear range.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 353 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

3. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of performed analysis and should demonstrate that input forces can be applied in a smooth and controlled manner, and that resulting aircraft deceleration is broadly proportional to the applied input force.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|---|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP-1070 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix A: A.3.4.1.4.2/A.4.4.1.4.2 Directional Control; and A.3.4.1.4.4.1/A.4.4.1.4.4.1 Braking control interface. AFGS-87139: 3.2.3.1 General, 3.2.3.2 Brake actuation system; 3.2.3.3 Anti-skid brake control; and 3.2.4.3 Brakes MIL-B-8584 Design of Brake Systems | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.12.10 00-970 P7 L310 2.2 00-970 P7 L310 2.2.4 4671.231 4671.405 4671.735 4671.1731 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: inferred in 23.45, 23.55, 23.493, 23.735, 25.45, 25.55, 25.493, 25.735 & 25.101 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.231 CS 23.405 CS 23.735 CS 25.231 CS 25.405 CS 25.735 CS 27.735 CS 29.735 |

8.5.8.3 Parking brake.

The parking brake (where fitted) shall hold the aircraft stationary through normal ground operations, including engine starting and aircraft ground running, on all expected surfaces (e.g. slopes).

Consideration should be given to:

a. The effect of thrust and unbalanced torque forces during engine ground running;

b. The duration through which the aircraft will be required to be held stationary by the parking brake, without chocks;

c. The effect of contamination of braking surfaces (water, mud, etc.).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should identify the aircraft's parking brake provision(s).

2. Analysis should identify the braking torque/force required to hold the aircraft stationary during ground operations, including the effect of contamination of braking surfaces, and the ability for the parking brake to provide this force.

3. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of performed analysis and should demonstrate that the aircraft can be held stationary on the ground through normal ground operations.

| In | formation Sources | |
|--------------|--|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix A: A.3.4.1.9.5/4.4.1.9.5 Parking | 00-970 P1 4.12.15 00-970 P1 4.12.16 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 354 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|----------|---|----------------------|---|
| | Brake AFGS-87139: 3.2.3.2.d Brake | | 00-970 P1 4.12.17 00-970 P7 L310 2.3 |
| | actuation system MIL-B-8584 Design of Brake Systems | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | | CS 25.735 CS 29.735 |

8.5.8.4 Safe stopping performance.

The aircraft shall have safe stopping performance for all expected ground operations, take-off and landing configurations, including expected runway conditions (dry, wet, snow, ice, etc.) aircraft loading conditions, and credible combinations of failures.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The effect of brake wear, alternative braking modes, and other performance degradation effects.

b. Combinations of deceleration devices such as wheel brakes, air brakes, thrust reversers, parachutes, etc.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should define the deceleration devices fitted to the aircraft, and the conditions in which each device will be used to achieve the required stopping performance.

2. Analysis should demonstrate acceptable stopping performance for all expected ground operations, take-off and landing configurations, aircraft loading conditions, and credible combinations of failures.

3. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of performed analysis, and should demonstrate that the performance of the deceleration systems is adequate to allow safe ground, take-off and landing operations.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|---|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP-1070 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 para 3.2.7.4.4.2/4.2.7.4.4.2 Damage tolerant-fail safe evident subsystems and components; and Appendix A: A.3.4.1.4.3/A.4.4.1.4.3 Emergency directional control, A.3.4.1.4.4.2/A.4.4.1.4.4.2 Alternative independent braking, | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.12.17 00-970 P1 4.12.18 00-970 P1 4.12.19 00-970 P1 4.12.25 00-970 P1 4.12.26 00-970 P1 4.12.27 4671.55 4671.75 |
| | A.3.4.1.4.4.3/A.4.4.1.4.4.3 Skid control; and A.3.4.1.11.3.1/A.4.4.1.11.3.1 Air vehicle stopping and turn- around performance; AFGS-87139: para 3.2.3.1 General; 3.2.3.2 Brake actuation system; 3.2.3.3 Anti- skid brake control; and 3.2.4.3 Brakes. MIL-B-8584 Design of Brake | | 4671.735 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 355 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|-----------|--|-----------------------|---|
| | Systems | | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: 11.1-11.2.6, 23.45, 23.55, 23.493, 23.735, 25.187, 25.45, 25.55, 25.493, & 25.735. | EASA CS Reference: | CS 25.735 CS 27.75 CS 27.735 CS 29.75 CS 29.735 |

8.5.8.5 Anti-skid system.

The anti-skid system (where fitted) shall be designed such that no reasonably credible combination of failures will result in an unacceptable loss of braking ability or directional control of the aircraft.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Failure of power supply and transmission systems (electrical, hydraulic, pneumatic, mechanical, etc.), brake components, and control systems;

b. Cross coupling of the anti-skid units to maintain directional control of the aircraft;

c. All expected runway surfaces and conditions (dry, wet, etc.);

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should identify the aircraft's anti-skid provision(s).

2. Analysis should demonstrate that the increase in stopping distance associated with operation and failure of the anti-skid system is acceptable.

3. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate that the risks associated with failure of the antiskid system are acceptable.

4. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of performed analysis, and should demonstrate that operation and failure of the anti-skid system does not result in acceptable loss of braking ability or directional control of the aircraft.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|---|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP-1070 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix A: A.3.4.1.4.4.4/ A.4.4.1.4.4.4 skid control with power interruption; and A.3.4.1.4.4.5/A.4.4.1.4.4.5 anti- | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG | 00-970 P1 4.12.24 00-970 P1 4.12.25 00-970 P1 4.12.26 4671.735 |
| | skid engagement and disengagement; AFGS-87139: para 3.2.3.3 Anti-skid brake control and 3.2.4.3 Brakes; MIL-B-8584 Design of Brake Systems | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.735 CS 25.109 (AMC 25.109(c)(2)) CS 25.735 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 356 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

8.5.8.6 Locked wheel prevention.

The anti-skid system (where fitted) shall prevent excessive relative motion between wheel/tyre assemblies and the ground for all expected ground operations, take-off and landing conditions.

Consideration shall be given to:

a. The expected range of surface conditions and aircraft loading conditions and speeds for ground operation, take-off and landing;

b. The optimum and allowed values for relative motion between the wheel-tyre assembly and the ground (i.e. slip ratio) and prevention of flat spotting.

c. The integration of the anti-skid system with the aircraft, and the environments within which it operates.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should identify the aircraft's anti-skid provision(s).

2. Analysis should demonstrate that the anti-skid system prevents locked wheels during operation on the ground, take-off and landing for all expected aircraft conditions.

3. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of performed analysis, and should demonstrate that locked wheels during operation on the ground, take-off and landing are prevented for all expected aircraft conditions.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|---|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP-1070 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 para 3.2.7.2/4.2.7.2 Environment, Appendix A: A.3.4.1.4.4.3/A.4.4.1.4.4.3 Skid Control; AFGS-87139: para 3.2.3.1 General; 3.2.3.2 Brake actuation system; 3.2.3.3 Anti- skid brake control; and 3.2.4.3 Brakes. MIL-B-8584 Design of Brake Systems | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.12.25 00-970 P1 4.12.26 00-970 P1 4.12.27 4671.735 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: 23.45, 23.55, 23.493, 23.735, 25.45, 25.55, 25.493, & 25.735 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.735 CS 25.735 |

8.5.8.7 Merged with 8.5.8.2

8.5.8.8 Merged with 8.5.8.2

8.5.8.9 Merged with 8.5.8.4

8.5.8.10 Merged with 8.5.8.6

8.5.8.11 Anti-skid coupling (dynamic effects).

Operation of the anti-skid system shall not induce unacceptable dynamic instability or vibration, in any part of the aircraft during any brake operation.

Consideration shall be given to:

a. Minimising the fatigue effects on the aircraft;

b. Maximising braking and landing gear performance, and the comfort of occupants.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 357 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Analysis (e.g. brake system simulations) should identify the various characteristics (i.e. magnitude and frequency) of forces caused by operation of the anti-skid system in expected conditions.

2. Dynamic structural analysis should demonstrate that the oscillatory forces caused by the anti-skid system do not cause resonance with the aircraft or the landing gear, and that resulting dynamic effects (loads, displacements, velocities and accelerations) through the aircraft do not unacceptably affect the structural integrity of the aircraft, or the comfort of occupants.

3. Fatigue analysis should demonstrate that oscillatory forces caused by the anti-skid system do not have an unacceptable effect on the structural integrity or fatigue life of the aircraft or the landing gear.

4. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of performed analysis and should demonstrate that operation of the anti-skid system does not cause unacceptable dynamic effects through the aircraft, unacceptably affect the structural integrity of the aircraft and its landing gear, or the comfort of occupants.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|---|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2006: para 3.4.2.7 Dynamic response during ground/ship-based operations and 4.4.2 Ground loading conditions; JSSG-2009 Appendix A: A.3.4.1.4.4.3/A.4.4.1.4.4.3 Skid Control; AFGS-87139: para 3.2.1.4 Damping and 3.2.3.3 Anti-skid brake control. | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.12.26 00-970 P1 4.12.29 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

8.5.9. Directional control.

8.5.9.1 Backup for directional control.

The aircraft shall have primary and separate secondary/emergency means for directional control during ground operations.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring separation between the two directional control systems, so that failure of one system cannot lead to failure of the other system;

b. The conditions through which the aircraft will operate on the ground, including aircraft loading conditions, surface conditions, wind velocities and environmental conditions;

c. Ensuring that the aircraft is adequately controllable using either system, and during the transition from using one system to the other.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should identify the provided means for directional control on the ground, and should classify each means as primary or secondary/emergency.

2. SDD should identify the actuation method for each means of directional control.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 358 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

3. Analysis should demonstrate that the aircraft is safely controllable on the ground using either primary or secondary/emergency system throughout all of the conditions through which the aircraft is expected to operate.

4. System Safety Analysis (SSA) should demonstrate the separation of each directional control system, and that failure of one system cannot lead to failure of the other system.

5. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of the performed analysis, and should demonstrate that the aircraft is safely controllable on the ground using either system through critical operating conditions.

6. Technical Publications should identify the methods and procedures for operating the direction control systems on the ground.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|---|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix A: A.3.4.1.4.2/A.4.4.1.4.2 Directional control; and A.3.4.1.4.3/A.4.4.1.4.3 Emergency directional control AFGS-87139: 3.2.5.1 General; 3.2.5.2 Nose gear steering | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG | 00-970 P1 4.11.5 00-970 P1 4.11.24 00-970 P1 4.11.27 00-970 P1 4.14.13 00-970 P7 L302/1 3.1 4671.233 |
| | system MIL-S-8812 | Reference: | 4571 745 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: 23.45, 23.497, 23.499, & 23.745 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.233 CS 23.745 CS 25.233 CS 25.745 |

8.5.9.2 Steering control system.

The steering control system shall be designed to protect against steering failure such that no credible combination of failures may cause loss of control of the aircraft.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Detecting, preventing and mitigating the effect of failures, including those resulting in full-scale deflection of steering controls;

b. Ensuring that, given a failure of the steering system resulting in any magnitude of deflection, the resulting directional movement can be corrected instinctively and acceptably by the pilot using other controls.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should identify the full possible range (including the effect of failures) of steering angles.

2. System Safety Analysis (SSA) should demonstrate that the risk of loss of control of the aircraft resulting from any credible combination of steering failures is acceptable.

3. Analysis should demonstrate that sufficient control authority is available to counteract the effect of any magnitude of deflection of aircraft steering, for any expected aircraft condition.

4. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate that the aircraft is controllable despite any possible magnitude of deflection of the steering system.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 359 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|---|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix A: A.3.4.1.4.5.2/A.4.4.1.4.5.2 Response to nose wheel steering failure; and A.3.4.1.4.5.3/A.4.4.1.4.5.3 Emergency steering AFGS-87139: 3.2.5.1 General, 3.2.5.2 Nose gear steering system MIL-S-8812 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.11.30 00-970 P1 4.14.6 00-970 P1 4.14.9 00-970 P1 4.14.13 4671.745 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.745 CS 25.745 |
| | | | CS 25.1309 |

8.5.9.3 Steering engagement.

Directional control of the aircraft shall be maintainable with minimal pilot effort throughout engagement and disengagement of the steering system through all expected manoeuvres and speeds.

Consideration should be given to:

a. All expected ground operations and conditions;

b. The effect of intentional, inadvertent and uncommanded engagement and disengagement of the steering system.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should identify the controls and required pilot action necessary to engage and disengage the steering system.

2. SDD should define the characteristics of system engagement and disengagement while applying steering control inputs, and while performing on-ground directional manoeuvres (controlled through the steering system or otherwise).

3. Analysis should demonstrate that the aircraft is controllable throughout ground manoeuvres with intentional, inadvertent and uncommanded engagement and disengagement of the steering system.

4. Simulations should demonstrate that pilots can safely control the aircraft during all anticipated ground manoeuvres with intentional, inadvertent and uncommanded engagement and disengagement of the steering system.

5. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of performed analysis and simulations, and should demonstrate that pilots can safely control the aircraft during critical ground manoeuvres with intentional, inadvertent and uncommanded engagement and disengagement of the steering system.

6. Technical Publications should identify the method(s) and process for engagement and disengagement of the wheel steering.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix A: A.3.4.1.4.5.1/A.4.4.1.4.5.1 Steering Characteristics MIL-S-8812 AFGS-87139: 3.2.5.1 General | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.11.27 00-970 P1 4.11.28 00-970 P1 4.14.8 00-970 P1 4.14.9 00-970 P1 4.14.10 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 360 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Ini | formation Sources | | |
|----------|--------------------------------|------------|------------|
| | and 3.2.5.2 Nose gear steering | STANAG | 4671.745 |
| | system. | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.745 |
| | | Reference: | CS 23.1309 |
| | | | CS 25.745 |
| | | | CS 25.1309 |
| | | | CS 27.1309 |
| | | | CS 29.1309 |

8.5.9.4 Merged with 8.5.9.2

8.5.9.5 Steering capability.

The steering system shall enable the aircraft to perform all required ground manoeuvres safely including taxy, turning, parking, take-off and landing.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The range of expected conditions for ground operations, including environmental conditions, surface conditions and aircraft loading conditions;

b. Interaction between aircraft steering and other directional control systems (rudder, tail rotor, asymmetric braking, asymmetric thrust, etc.);

c. Requirements for ground handling characteristics, including range of speeds, rates of turn, acceleration/deceleration, etc.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents should describe the aircraft's provision for steering.

2. Analysis should demonstrate that the design of the steering system provides adequate ground handling characteristics through all expected on-ground operating conditions.

3. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of performed analysis and should demonstrate that the characteristics of the steering system are acceptable for critical expected operating conditions and ground manoeuvres.

4. Technical Publications should identify the permitted ground manoeuvres.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix A: A.3.4.1.4.5.1/A.4.4.1.4.5.1 Steering Characteristics MIL-S-8812 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.11.24 00-970 P1 4.11.25 00-970 P1 4.11.25 00-970 P1 4.11.27 00-970 P1 4.14.2 00-970 P1 4.14.3 00-970 P1 4.14.5 00-970 P1 4.14.14 00-970 P1 4.14.16 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.497 4671.499 4671.745 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: 23.45, 23.497, 23.499, 23.745, | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.45 CS 23.497 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 361 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|--|--|
| 25.233, 25.45, 25.497, 25.499, & 25.745 | CS 23.499 CS 23.745 CS 25.45 CS 25.495 CS 25.497 CS 25.499 CS 25.745 CS 27.497 CS 29.497 |

8.5.10. Landing gear actuation control.

8.5.10.1 Landing gear retraction and extension operation.

Retractable landing gear (where fitted) shall retract and extend (including emergency extension) safely, and without unintentional contact between moving parts.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The appropriate sequencing of separate movements, and prevention of out-of-sequence movement;

b. The effect of manufacturing tolerances, aircraft operation (e.g. expected deformation/creep of components) and maintenance;

c. The full range of flight conditions during which extension and retraction is required, including aerodynamic loads and aircraft accelerations.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should define the provisions for landing gear retraction.

2. SDD should define the characteristics of each landing gear position (UP & LOCKED, UP, IN TRANSIT, DOWN, and DOWN & LOCKED, etc.);

3. SDD should define the sequencing required to extend and retract the landing gear, including emergency extension, and the means of prevention of failure of this sequencing;

4. Analysis (e.g. kinematic analysis) should identify the clearance distances between moving parts during extension and retraction under a variety of operating conditions, and should demonstrate that the landing gear can retract and extend under any expected operating condition without unintentional contact between moving parts.

5. Rig, ground, and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of performed analysis and should demonstrate that adequate clearances are maintained throughout landing gear retraction, normal extension and emergency extension through critical operating conditions (e.g. at the Maximum landing gear operating speed).

6. Technical Publications should define the procedures for operation of the landing gear, including emergency extension, and limitations associated with landing gear operation (e.g. the maximum landing gear operation speed).

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-----------------|--------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix A: | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 S4.11.52 |
| | A.3.4.1.1.3, A.3.4.1.1.4, | Reference: | |
| | A.3.4.1.5.1, A.3.4.1.5.2, A.4.4.1.1.3, A.4.4.1.1.4, | STANAG | 4761 USAR.729 |
| | A.4.4.1.5.1, A.4.4.1.5.2 | Reference: | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 362 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | |
|----------|----------------------------------|------------------------|
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: 23.729 & 25.729 | CS 25.729 CS 27.729 |

8.5.10.2 Gear extension redundancy.

Retractable landing gear shall be designed with an emergency means of extension which extends the landing gear to the down and locked position despite any credible combination of failures (including failure of any single source of hydraulic, electric, or equivalent energy supply, or loss of any landing gear door) or the position of the normal landing gear selector.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring that failure of the normal landing gear extension/retraction system cannot lead to failure of the emergency extension system;

b. The variety of aerodynamic loading conditions that could affect the landing gear and associated panels/doors during flight;

c. Ensuring that the means for actuation of the emergency landing gear extension system is adequately simple such that a pilot can extend the landing gear quickly and easily, but adequately complex such that risk of inadvertent extension is minimised.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the provided means for emergency landing gear extension.

2. Analysis should demonstrate that the emergency extension means is capable of extending the leading gear to the down and locked position during any stage of flight and in any aircraft configuration or environmental condition, and keeping the landing gear locked down for the remainder of any flight.

3. System Safety Analysis (SSA) should demonstrate that no failure of the normal landing gear extension/retraction system may lead to failure of the emergency extension system.

4. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of performed analysis, and should demonstrate that the emergency extension system is capable of extending the landing gear to the down and locked position during critical phases of flight, and in critical aircraft loading conditions.

5. Technical Publications should clearly define the provided means for emergency landing gear extension, detailing the required method for its actuation, and any limitations/restrictions that apply to its operation.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|---|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix A: A.3.4.1.5.3/A.4.4.1.5.3 Single failure criteria; A.3.4.1.5.4/A.4.4.1.5.4 Actuation reversal; A.3.4.1.5.6/A.4.4.1.5.6 Operation with loss of door; and A.3.4.1.5.7/A.4.4.1.5.7 Emergency extension AFGS-87139: 3.2.6.1 Retraction-extension system; and 3.2.6.2 Actuation system indication | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.11.56 00-970 P1 4.11.60 4671.729 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 363 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|----------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: 23.729 & 25.729 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.729 CS 25.729 CS 27.729 CS 29.729 |

8.5.10.3 Gear status.

Visual indication shall be provided to indicate to the pilot(s) the position of each retractable landing gear set. Such indication shall clearly and unambiguously indicate when the landing gear and associated doors are in the locked up, locked down, and in transit positions.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The type, colour and configuration of position indicators;

b. Ensuring that incorrect indication is prevented.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should identify the means of landing gear indication provided to the pilots, and the conditions which must be met in order for each indication to be displayed.

2. Analysis should demonstrate that each landing gear position indication can only be displayed when the landing gear is in the respective condition.

3. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate that the risks associated with incorrect landing gear indication, including errors in maintenance and environmental effects (e.g. corrosion and fouling of sensors) is acceptable.

4. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of performed analysis, and should demonstrate that the landing gear position indication is clear and unambiguous, and that the landing gear indication reflects the position of the landing gear at all times.

| In | Information Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-----------------|-------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix A: | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 4.19.16 |
| | A.3.4.1.5.8.1/A.4.4.1.5.8.1 | Reference: | |
| | Gear position status indicators; and A.3.4.1.5.4/A.4.4.1.5.4 | STANAG | 4671.729 |
| | Actuation reversal | Reference: | 4671.1793 |
| | AFGS-87139: 3.2.6.1 | | |
| | Retraction-extension system; | | |
| | and 3.2.6.2 Actuation system | | |
| | indication | | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: 23.729 & | EASA CS | CS 23.729 |
| | 25.729 | Reference: | CS 25.729 |
| | | | (AMC 25.729(c)) |
| | | | CS 27.729 |
| | | | CS 29.729 |

8.5.10.4 Position warning system.

Warning devices shall be provided to provide clear warning when the aircraft is close to the ground and close to landing speeds without the landing gear in the down and locked position. It shall be possible for the pilot(s) to suppress aural warning.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 364 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring that any single warning device is adequate to clearly and unambiguously communicate the aircraft condition to all pilots;

b. Defining appropriate limits for ground proximity and speed, such that pilots are able to extend the landing gear by normal or emergency means prior to landing.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should define the warning devices incorporated to provide clear warning when the aircraft is close to the ground and close to landing speeds without the landing gear in the down and locked position.

2. SDD should define the limit(s) for ground proximity and speed at which the warning devices will operate when the landing gear is not in the down and locked position.

3. SDD should define the means for suppressing aural warnings.

4. Analysis should demonstrate that the warnings provide the pilots with sufficient advanced warning of the unsafe aircraft configuration to allow any pilot to extend the landing gear by primary means, and in the event of failure, by the emergency extension means prior to landing.

5. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of performed analysis, and should demonstrate that the pilots are provided with adequate warning of the unsafe landing condition with enough time to extend the landing gear by normal and emergency means.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | A.3.4.1.5.8.1/A.4.4.1.5.8.1 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.19.16 |
| | Gear position status indication AFGS-87139: 3.2.6.1 Retraction-extension system; and 3.2.6.2 Actuation system indication | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1793 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: 23.729 & 25.729 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.729 CS 25.729 (AMC 25.729(c)) CS 25.1322 CS 27.729 CS 29.729 |

8.5.10.5 Gear position speed.

Retractable landing gear shall extend (in both normal and emergency modes) and retract within acceptable time limits at all airspeeds required for take-off, landing and go-around.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Defining maximum time limits for retraction, normal extension and emergency extension of the landing gear, from selection by the pilot(s) to the landing gear achieving the relevant position;

b. Accounting for system performance and aircraft conditions.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 365 /675 | 1 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|---|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|---|

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should define the maximum allowed time for retraction, normal extension and emergency extension of the landing gear, from selection by the pilot(s) to the landing gear achieving the relevant position.

2. Analysis should demonstrate that the landing gear retracts and extends under normal and emergency operating conditions within the maximum time limit under all expected operating conditions.

3. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate that the risk associated with degraded operation of the landing gear extension/retraction mechanism is acceptable.

4. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of performed analysis, and should demonstrate that the landing retracts and extends within the maximum allowed time under normal and emergency modes through critical operating conditions.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|---|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix A: A.3.4.1.5.5.1/A.4.4.1.5.5.1 Retraction; and A.3.4.1.5.5.2/A.4.4.1.5.5.2 Extension AFGS-87139: 3.2.6.3 Retraction-extension time | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.11.53 00-970 P1 4.11.54 00-970 P1 L54 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.729, 25.729, 25.1515, 25.1583 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 25.1515 CS 25.1583 |

8.5.10.6 Merged with 8.5.10.5.

8.5.10.7 Gear position restraint.

Retractable landing gear shall provide an automatic means to lock the landing gear in the selected position which does not require any power (electric, hydraulic etc.) after reaching the locked position, and which can secure the gear in each position under all expected ground and flight conditions.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring that adequate means are provided to ensure that risk associated with unsecured landing gear is acceptable;

b. Incorporating multiple means of securing the landing gear, such as mechanical (springs, over-centre joints, etc.) and hydraulic methods (e.g. hydraulic locking), particularly in the extended position;

c. Ensuring that the emergency extension system can release and/or overcome any restraint securing the landing gear in the retracted position, and can secure the gear in the extended position.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the provided means for securing the landing gear in each selectable position.

2. Analysis should demonstrate that extension and retraction will always result in the securing of the landing gear in the respective position, under any expected operating condition.

3. Analysis should demonstrate that when secured in any selectable position, no expected operating condition or combination of failures could result in the landing gear becoming unsecured without total failure of the landing gear structure.

4. Analysis should demonstrate that the provided means for emergency extension can release and/or overcome any restraint securing the landing gear in the retracted position, and can secure the gear in the extended position.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 366 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

5. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of performed analysis, and should demonstrate that the landing gear can be secured in all selectable positions through critical operating conditions.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|---|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix A: A.3.4.1.5.9.1/A.4.4.1.5.9.1 Gear position restraint and A.3.4.1.5.1/A.4.4.1.5.1 Retraction and extension actuation interface AFGS-87139: 3.2.6.4 Position restraint | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.11.65 00-970 P7 L306 4.1 00-970 P7 L306 4.2 4671.729(b) |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: 23.729, 25.729 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.729(b) CS 25.729(b) CS 27.729(b) CS 29.729(b) |

8.5.10.8 Gear position restraint for ground operations.

Retractable landing gear shall incorporate a secondary means to secure each landing gear in the extended position and prevent unintentional retraction during ground operations. Such secondary means shall secure each landing gear in the extended position despite selection of landing gear retraction without structural damage to any part of the aircraft, and shall incorporate visual indications to clearly indicate to operators that the means are fitted.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The adequacy of visual indicators, such that the security of landing gear in the down position is clear and unambiguous to ground and flight crew when performing normal pre-flight/ground checks;

b. The strength of the landing gear and resulting loads, ensuring that the strength is adequate to withstand the forces arising from attempted landing gear retraction with the secondary securing means in place, on the ground, on jacks, and in flight.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should identify the provided means for secondary securing of the landing gear in the extended position on the ground, including the means for visual indication to operators.

2. Analysis should demonstrate that attempted retraction of the landing gear with the secondary securing means fitted cannot result in landing gear retraction (e.g. due to failure of the securing means) or permanent deformation of any part of the aircraft in any expected ground or flight condition (including aircraft on jacks).

3. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of performed analysis and should demonstrate the adequacy of provided visual indication means and that selection of landing gear retraction with the provided security means fitted does not result in landing gear retraction or permanent deformation of any part of the aircraft.

4. Technical Publications should detail the process for fitment and removal of the means to secure the landing gear in the extended position.

5. Technical Publications should detail the visual indication means provided to operators to indicate that the secondary securing means is fitted to the landing gear.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 367 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|---|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix A: A.3.4.1.5.9.1/A.4.4.1.5.9.1 Gear position restraint and A.3.4.1.5.1/A.4.4.1.5.1 Retraction and extension actuation interface AFGS-87139: 3.2.6.4 Position restraint | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.11.66 00-970 P7 L306 4.3 00-970 P7 L306 4.4 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | |

8.5.10.9 Merged with 8.5.10.8

8.5.10.10 Fail-safe provisions.

For retractable landing gear, no credible combination of failures shall lead to failure of the positive engagement of the landing gear in any selectable position, or failure of the emergency extension means.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Combination of detectable and dormant failures;

b. Failure of power supply systems (electrical, hydraulic, mechanical, etc.).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate that the risk of failure of landing gear positive engagement, and failure of the emergency extension means is acceptable.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|---|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: 3.2.7.4.4.2/4.2.7.4.4.2 Damage tolerant-fail safe evident subsystems and components; and Appendix A: A.3.4.1.5.3/A.4.4.1.5.3 Single failure criteria AFGS-87139: 3.2.6.1 Retraction-extension system and 3.2.6.2 Actuation system indication | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.11.72 4671.729 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: 23.729, 25.729 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.729 CS 25.729 CS 27.729 CS 29.729 |

8.5.11. Auxiliary deceleration devices.

8.5.11.1 Aircraft arrestment performance.

The aircraft shall be designed to be compatible with specified arresting systems (if any) (including both barrier/barricade and cable types) such that use of any such system can decelerate the aircraft to a stop for all expected conditions without damage to the aircraft or the arresting system(s).

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 368 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

Consideration should be given to:

a. The range of arresting systems with which the aircraft will be permitted to operate.

b. The range of expected manoeuvres where the arresting system may operate, including landing (fly-in engagements, brake overruns, etc.) and take-off (e.g. Rejected Take-off (RTO));

c. The range of expected conditions where the arresting system may operate, including all expected aircraft weights, CofG positions and speeds, air velocities (including crosswinds, tailwinds, etc.), surface conditions, and environmental conditions;

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should define the arresting systems (if any) with which the aircraft is permitted to operate, and the permitted manoeuvres that utilise such systems.

2. SDD should define the aspects of the aircraft design which allow operation with arresting systems.

3. Analysis should demonstrate that the aircraft can perform the permitted manoeuvres with the permitted arresting systems, and should define the resulting loads through the aircraft for all expected operating conditions.

4. Analysis should demonstrate that the loads associated with critical manoeuvres and critical arresting systems are reacted through the aircraft structure without permanent deformation of any part of the aircraft or arresting system.

5. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of performed analysis and should demonstrate that critical manoeuvres using critical arresting systems decelerates the aircraft to a stop without permanent deformation of any part of the aircraft or arresting system.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|---|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP-1538 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix A: A.3.4.1.8.1.1 thru A.3.4.1.8.1.8/A.4.4.1.8.1.1 thru A.4.4.1.8.1.8 Hook/Arresting system information MIL-A-18717 MIL-A-83136 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 2.3.21 00-970 P1 2.4.3 00-970 P1 2.5.22 00-970 P1 4.11.24 00-970 P1 4.11.26 00-970 P1 4.11.35 00-970 P1 4.41.35 00-970 P1 4.46 3.5.7 00-970 P13 3.6.7 00-970 P13 3.6.8 00-970 P13 3.6.20 00-970 P13 3.6.24 00-970 P13 3.6.25 00-970 P13 3.6.26 00-970 P13 S4 L10 00-970 P13 S4 L10 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 369 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

8.5.11.2 Arresting hook system.

The arresting hook system (where fitted) shall provide sufficient hook hold-down force and damping to prevent the hook skipping over the arresting cable, for all expected landing configurations and attitudes.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The minimum expected height of the arresting cable from the ground, taking account of the effect of depression of the cable by aircraft wheels, effects of landing off-centre, and the range of cable tensions that may be encountered;

b. The maximum height of the arresting hook pivot point from the ground, taking account of expected variations in aircraft weight and CofG position, aerodynamic forces and engine thrust.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should define the provisions for arresting hook hold-down and damping.

2. Analysis should demonstrate that the arresting hook cannot skip over an arresting cable for all expected landing configurations and attitudes.

3. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of performed analysis, and should demonstrate that the arresting hook does not skip over an arresting cable for critical landing configurations and attitudes.

4. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate that the risk of the arresting hook skipping over an arresting cable is acceptable.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|---|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP-1538 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix A: A.3.4.1.8.1.1 thru A.3.4.1.8.1.8/A.4.4.1.8.1.1 thru A.4.4.1.8.1.8 Hook/Arresting system information MIL-A-18717 MIL-A-83136 AFGS-87139: 3.2.7.1 Arresting hook system | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 2.3.21 00-970 P1 2.4.3 00-970 P1 2.5.22 00-970 P1 4.11.24 00-970 P1 4.11.26 00-970 P1 4.11.35 00-970 P1 4.41.35 00-970 P1 4.46 3.5.7 00-970 P13 3.6.7 00-970 P13 3.6.8 00-970 P13 3.6.20 00-970 P13 3.6.24 00-970 P13 3.6.25 00-970 P13 3.6.25 00-970 P13 3.6.26 00-970 P13 S4 L10 00-970 P9 UK471a 4671 U590 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | |

8.5.11.3 Hook actuation.

If an arresting hook is fitted, it shall be possible for flight crew to lower, and if necessary, raise the hook within an acceptable time. An indication shall also be provided to inform the flight crew of the hook position.

| Edition Number: 3.1 Edition Date: 25 | Sep 2018 Status: endorsed | Page 370 /675 |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|

Consideration should be given to:

a. Defining an acceptable time limit for hook extension and retraction;

b. Ensuring that the provided means to control the hook is appropriate;

c. Ensuring that indications provided to flight crew clearly and unambiguously identify whether the hook is secured in deployed and retracted positions.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should define the means for actuation of the hook provided to the flight crew, and the required time for deployment and retraction of the hook.

2. Analysis should demonstrate that the time to deploy and retract the hook is acceptable for all flight conditions.

3. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of the performed analysis and should demonstrate that the time to deploy and retract the hook is acceptable for critical flight conditions, and that indications provided to the flight crew clearly communicate the position and status of the hook.

4. Technical Publications should identify the procedure for extending and retracting the arresting hook.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP-1538 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix A: A.3.4.1.8.5 thru A.3.4.1.8.8/A.4.4.1.8.5 thru A.4.4.1.8.8 MIL-A-18717 MIL-A-83136 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.11.35 00-970 P1 L41 00-970 Pt 13 3.6.12 00-970 Pt 13 3.6.14 00-970 Pt 13 S4 L10 |
| | AFGS-87139: 3.2.7.1 Arresting hook system. | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | |

8.5.11.4 Snag prevention.

The aircraft shall be designed such that no part of the aircraft except the arresting hook, including structure, landing gear or stores, snags the arresting cable under normal operating conditions and with any landing gear set rolling on rims (e.g. following tyre failure(s)).

Consideration should be given to:

a. The maximum height of the arresting cable from the ground, taking into account the various arresting systems with which the aircraft is permitted to operate;

b. The minimum height of aircraft structure and stores from the ground, taking into account the various permitted loading configurations, aircraft weights, CofG positions and aerodynamic forces.

c. Critical positions of movable parts of the aircraft (e.g. control surfaces, landing gear doors, etc.)

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Analysis should identify the clearance between critical parts of the aircraft and the ground during ground operations and should demonstrate that no part of the aircraft except the arresting hook can snag on arresting cables under any expected operating condition including with any landing gear set rolling on rims (e.g. following tyre failure(s)).

2. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of performed analysis by verifying the clearance between critical parts of the aircraft and the ground during ground operations.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 371 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP-1538 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-A-18717 MIL-A-83136 AFGS-87139: 3.2.7.1 Arresting hook system. | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.11.33 00-970 P1 4.13.7 00-970 P1 4.13.8 00-970 P1 S4 L43 00-970 P1 S4 L60 00-970 P1 S4 L60 00-970 P1 6.1.18 00-970 P13 S4 L10 00-970 P7 S1 L300 2.1 00-970 P7 S1 L302/2 8.1 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | |

8.5.11.5 Drag parachutes.

Drag parachutes (where fitted) shall provide adequate drag force to decelerate the aircraft without causing excessive loads or damage to the aircraft, or an unacceptable effect on the handling/stability of the aircraft under any permitted operating condition.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The full range of operating conditions within which drag parachute operation is permitted, including aircraft loading conditions (mass and CofG position), aircraft speeds, environmental conditions (e.g. temperature, rain, snow, dust, etc.), wind velocities and gust conditions.

b. Providing automatic and/or manual means for jettison of the drag parachute.

c. The stability of the parachute, and variation in the resulting loads on the aircraft's structure.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should define the provisions made for deployment of drag parachutes.

2. Analysis should demonstrate that drag parachutes can be deployed and decelerate the aircraft effectively without causing excessive loads or damage to the aircraft, or an unacceptable effect on the handling/stability of the aircraft under any permitted operating condition.

3. Analysis should demonstrate that the loads that can be induced by the drag parachute do not cause permanent deformation of any part of the aircraft.

4. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of performed analysis, and should demonstrate that the drag parachute can be deployed during landing without causing excessive loads or damage to the aircraft, or an unacceptable effect on the handling/stability of the aircraft under any permitted operating condition.

5. Technical Publications should detail the procedure for operating drag parachutes, and should clearly define any limitations or restrictions associated with their use.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-----------------|-------------------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix A: A.3.4.1.8.2/A.4.4.1.8.2 Drag | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 2.3.21 00-970 P1 2.4.3 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 372 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | | |
|--|---|--|
| Chutes; and AFGS-87139 3.2.7.2 Drag Chutes. MIL-D-9056 | Reference: 00-970 P1 2.5.22 00-970 P1 4.11.24 00-970 P1 4.11.26 00-970 P1 4.11.35 00-970 P1 4.11.35 00-970 L42 4.6 00-970 L46 3.5.7 00-970 P13 3.13.2 00-970 P13 3.13.2 00-970 P13 3.13.3 00-970 P13 3.13.5-3.13.3 00-970 P13 3.13.9-3.13. 00-970 P13 3.13.14 00-970 P13 3.13.15 00-970 P13 3.13.15 00-970 P9 UK471a 00-970 P9 UK471d | |
| | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | EASA CS Reference: | |

8.5.11.6 Auxiliary deceleration systems.

Any other auxiliary deceleration systems (thrust reversers, speed brakes, etc.) shall provide adequate aircraft deceleration without causing any unsafe condition due to system operation or failure under any expected operating condition.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Concurrent use of multiple deceleration systems;

b. Maximising aircraft control;

c. The full range of resulting loads arising from operation of deceleration systems, and where systems can be operated concurrently, combination of these loads;

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should define the various deceleration systems fitted to the aircraft, including systems where deceleration is a secondary function (e.g. flight control surfaces).

2. Analysis should demonstrate that concurrent operation of aircraft deceleration systems cannot result in excessive loads on the aircraft structure.

3. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of performed analysis, and should demonstrate that concurrent operation of deceleration systems does not result in excessive loads on the aircraft or unacceptable handling characteristics.

4. Technical Publications should detail the procedures for operation of deceleration systems, and any restrictions or limitations on their concurrent operation.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | AFGS-87139: 3.2.7.1 Arresting hook system; and 3.2.7.2 Drag chutes. | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 2.3.21 00-970 P1 2.4.3 00-970 P1 2.5.22 00-970 P1 4.11.24 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 373 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Inf | ormation Sources | | |
|----------|------------------|------------|---------------------|
| | | | 00-970 P1 4.11.26 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 4.11.35 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 L46 3.5.7 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 5.1.53 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 5.1.54 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 5.1.55 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 5.1.138 |
| | | | 00-970 P9 UK471a |
| | | | 00-970 P9 UK471d |
| | | STANAG | 4671.933 |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.933 |
| | | Reference: | CS 23.934 |
| | | | CS 23.1155 |
| | | | CS 25.109 |
| | | | CS 25.125 |
| | | | CS 25.507 |
| | | | CS 25.933 |
| | | | CS 25.934 |
| | | | CS 25.1155 |
| | | | CS 29.62 |

8.5.11.7 Merged with 8.5.11.1.

8.5.12. Ground handling.

8.5.12.1 Jacking provisions.

Aircraft jacking points (where required) shall enable the aircraft to be raised to a height such that required maintenance activities can be conducted effectively. Where necessary, any restrictions/limitations associated with jacking of the aircraft (e.g. permitted jacks, aircraft weight, CofG position, environmental condition, ground slope, wind velocities, operation of flying control surfaces, etc.) shall be stated in the appropriate manual.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Critical combinations of aircraft weight and CofG;

b. The minimum and maximum required heights for jacking for maintenance activities;

c. Ensuring that jacking points are compatible with maintenance jacks that meet appropriate standards (e.g. AS4775B).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should define the provided provisions for aircraft jacking, including the minimum and maximum permitted jacking heights.

2. Analysis should demonstrate that jacking of the aircraft in accordance with defined procedures cannot result in any unsafe condition (e.g. over-stress of aircraft parts, failure of any approved jack, or unintentional movement of the aircraft).

3. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of the performed analysis, and should demonstrate that the aircraft can be jacked safely in accordance with defined procedures.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 374 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

4. Technical Publications should define the procedures for safe aircraft jacking, including any restrictions/limitations associated with jacking of the aircraft (e.g. aircraft weight, CofG position, environmental condition, ground slope, wind velocities, operation of flying control surfaces, etc.).

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix A: A.3.4.1.2.2.1.1/A.4.4.1.2.2.1.1 Axle jacking; and A.3.4.1.2.2.1.2/A.4.4.1.2.2.1.2 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 3.11.3 00-970 P1 4.4.11-4.4.16 00-970 P7 L308 S3 |
| | A.S.4.1.2.2.1.2/A.4.4.1.2.2.1.2 Fuselage jacking AFGS-87139: 3.2.8.1 Jacking NATO STANAG 3098 | STANAG Reference: | 3098 4671.507 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: 23.507 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.507 CS 25.519(b) |

8.5.12.2 Merged with 8.5.12.1.

8.5.12.3 Towing.

Aircraft towing points (where required) shall enable the aircraft to be towed and pushed safely in all expected directions, in all expected aircraft conditions and on all expected ground surfaces, including slopes. Where necessary, any restrictions/limitations associated with towing or pushing of the aircraft (e.g. towing/pushing equipment, towing/pushing speeds, aircraft weight, CofG position, environmental condition, ground slope, wind velocities, operation of flying control surfaces, etc.) shall be stated in the appropriate manual.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Critical combinations of aircraft weight and CofG;

b. Ensuring that towing points are compatible with towing equipment that meet appropriate standards (e.g. ARP1915E);

c. Incorporating design features that prevent load transmitted to the aircraft from causing structural damage (e.g. shear pins);

d. Ensuring that towing points are readily accessible for ground personnel and are appropriately marked;

e. Ensuring compatibility with any steering systems.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should define the provided provisions for aircraft towing and pushing.

2. Analysis should demonstrate that towing/pushing of the aircraft in accordance with defined procedures cannot result in any unsafe condition (e.g. over-stress of aircraft parts, failure of any approved towing/pushing equipment, or unintentional movement of the aircraft).

3. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of the performed analysis, and should demonstrate that the aircraft can be towed and pushed safely in accordance with defined procedures.

4. Technical Publications should define the procedures for safe aircraft towing and pushing, including any restrictions/limitations associated with towing/pushing of the aircraft (e.g. towing/pushing equipment, towing/pushing speeds, aircraft weight, CofG position, environmental condition, ground slope, wind velocities, operation of flying control surfaces, etc.).

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|--|
| | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 375 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | NATO STANAG 4101 MIL-STD-805 JSSG-2009 Appendix A.3.4.1.2.2.1.3/A.4.4.1.2.2.1.3 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 3.11.4-3.11.7 00-970 P1 4.4.21-4.4.23 00-970 P7 L308 S4 00-970 P7 L308/1 S2.1 |
| | Landing gear towing; A.3.4.1.2.2.1.5/A.4.4.1.2.2.1.5 Towing interface AFGS-87139: 3.2.8.2 Towing | STANAG Reference: | 3278 4101 4671.509 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: 23.509 & 25.509 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.509 CS 25.509 CS 25.745 |

8.5.12.4 Emergency towing.

Aircraft towing points shall be provided to enable the aircraft to be towed and pushed safely in an emergency, with the aircraft loaded at the maximum permitted weight and critical CofG positions, in any environmental condition and over the roughest ground that may reasonably be expected.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Specifying permitted equipment for emergency towing;
- b. Minimising any damage to the aircraft that may result from emergency towing;
- c. Ensuring that towing points are readily accessible for ground personnel and are appropriately marked;
- d. Ensuring compatibility with any steering systems.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should define the provided provisions for aircraft emergency towing and pushing.

2. System Safety Analysis should demonstrate that risk associated with emergency towing/pushing of the aircraft in accordance with defined procedures is acceptable.

3. Technical Publications should define the procedures for safe aircraft emergency towing and pushing.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix A: A.3.4.1.2.2.1.4/A.4.4.1.2.2.1.4 Emergency towing AFGS-87139: 3.2.8.2 Towing | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 3.11.4-3.11.7 00-970 P1 4.4.21-4.4.23 00-970 P7 L308 S4 00-970 P7 L308/1 S2.1 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.509 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: 23.509 & 25.519 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.509 CS 25.509 CS 25.745 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 376 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

8.5.12.5 Mooring.

Aircraft mooring points (where required) shall enable the aircraft to be moored safely at any permitted aircraft weight. Where necessary, any restrictions/limitations associated with mooring of the aircraft (e.g. permitted mooring equipment, CofG position, environmental condition, wind velocities, operation of flying control surfaces, etc.) shall be stated in the appropriate manual.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. The number of mooring points required;
- b. Different mooring patterns, attachment details and mooring methods;
- c. Mooring on land and on board ships.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should define the provided provisions for aircraft mooring.

2. Analysis should demonstrate that mooring of the aircraft in accordance with defined procedures cannot result in any unsafe condition (e.g. over-stress of aircraft parts, failure of any approved mooring equipment, or unintentional movement of the aircraft).

3. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of the performed analysis, and should demonstrate that the aircraft can be moored safely in accordance with defined procedures.

4. Technical Publications should define the procedures for safe aircraft mooring including any restrictions/limitations associated with mooring of the aircraft (e.g. permitted mooring equipment, CofG position, environmental condition, wind velocities, operation of flying control surfaces, etc.).

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|---|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix A: | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 3.12.2-3.12.5 |
| | A.3.4.1.2.2.1.6/A.4.4.1.2.2.1.6 Mooring provisions AFGS-87139: 3.2.8.3. Mooring | Reference: | 00-970 P1 3.12.10 |
| | | STANAG | 4671.415 |
| | A 66-67 139. 3.2.0.3. Mooning | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: 25.519, | EASA CS | CS 23.415 |
| | 23.519 | Reference: | CS 25.519 |

8.5.12.6 Specialised systems.

Specialised landing gear systems (where required) shall be safe for all expected functions. Where necessary, any restrictions/limitations associated with operation or use of such specialised equipment shall be stated in the appropriate manual.

Considerations should be given to:

a. The purpose and functions of such specialised landing gear systems, and the missions or operational situations for which the systems could be used;

b. Aircraft handling characteristics with specialised landing gear systems installed;

c. The various ways in which the incorporation of such specialised systems could affect the aircraft's existing systems/structure.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should define any specialised landing gear systems which are fitted or may be fitted to the aircraft.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 377 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

2. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate that the risk associated with aircraft operation, including ground operations, landing and take-off with specialised landing gear in any possible configuration/position is acceptable.

3. Analysis should demonstrate that loads arising from aircraft operation including ground operations, landing and take-off with specialised landing gear in any possible configuration/position cannot result in failure/detachment of any part of the specialised system or permanent deformation of any other part of the aircraft.

3. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of the performed analysis, and should demonstrate that the aircraft can be operated safely with specialised landing gear in any possible configuration/position.

4. Technical Publications should define the procedures for operation of specialised landing gear systems, including any restrictions/limitations associated with their use (e.g. aircraft weight, CofG position, environmental condition, ground slope, wind velocities, operation of flying control surfaces, etc.).

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix A: A.3.4.1.10.1 thru | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.11.24 |
| | A.3.4.1.10.2/A.4.4.1.10.1 thru A.4.4.1.10.2 Flotation and | STANAG | 4671.477-481 |
| | snow ski gear | Reference: | 4671.497 |
| | AFGS-87139: 3.2.9.1 General | | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: 23.737, | EASA CS | CS 23.505 |
| | 25.737 | Reference: | CS 23.737 |
| | | | CS 23.751 |
| | | | CS 25.1309 |
| | | | CS 27.505 |
| | | | CS 27.737 |
| | | | CS 27.751 |
| | | | CS 29.505 |
| | | | CS 29.737 |
| | | | CS 29.751 |

8.5.12.7 Merged with 14.2.3.

8.5.12.8 Merged with 8.5.2.2.

8.5.12.9 Ground Foreign Object Damage (FOD).

The landing gear shall be arranged to minimise the risk of Foreign Object Damage (FOD) or water ingestion to the engines or auxiliary power unit during take-off, landing and taxiing.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The relative position of the engine inlets / auxiliary power unit inlet and the landing gear;

b. The various foreign objects that could damage the engines or auxiliary power unit, including those present on ground surfaces (gravel, mud, etc.), and those that could result from failure of aircraft parts (e.g. tyre/wheel shrapnel).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Analysis should demonstrate that the arrangement of the landing gear is such that FOD or water ingestion to the engines or auxiliary power unit is minimised during take-off, landing and taxiing.

| Edition Number: 3.1 Edit | on Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 378 /675 |
|--------------------------|----------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|--------------------------|----------------------|------------------|----------------------|

2. System Safety Analysis (SSA) should demonstrate that the risk of FOD or water ingestion due to the location of the landing gear is acceptable, including failures of the landing gear.

3. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of performed analysis, and should demonstrate that the location of the landing gear does not cause any unacceptable FOD or water ingestion to the engines or auxiliary power unit.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|---|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix A: A.3.4.1.2.3/A.4.4.1.2.3 Ground FOD AFGS-87139: 3.2.1.1 General, 3.2.1.2 Arrangement; and 3.2.1.3 Clearances. | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.11.9 4671.1091 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1091 CS 25.1091 CS 27.1091 CS 29.1091 |

8.5.12.10 Structural Interface.

The landing gear systems shall be compatible with the aircraft structure, weight, balance and interfacing subsystems. This includes ensuring the arrangement, location and interface supports the aircraft at all specified loading conditions, for all specified operating conditions, within specified environmental conditions.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. The most adverse combination of C of G and gross weight;
- b. Environmental conditions, to be agreed and verified;
- c. Specified operating conditions, to be agreed and verified;
- d. Specified loading conditions, to be agreed and verified;
- e. Loads from operation over the roughest ground that may reasonably be expected in normal operation;
- f. Brake torque characteristics,
- g. The brake metering system and its components,
- h. Hydraulic flow requirements,
- i. Aircraft and landing dynamic characteristics, including shock absorber, brake, and tyre dynamics;
- j. Total aeroplane stopping performance requirements;
- k. Relevant characteristics of the tyres;
- I. The aircraft electrical and electronic systems;

m. Aircraft interface requirements to be agreed and verified.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Interface Documents (SID) should define all interfaces between the landing gear and other parts of the aircraft, including both structures and systems interfaces.

2. Analysis should demonstrate that the loads through the landing gear resulting from any expected operating condition are reacted through the aircraft structure without any permanent deformation of any part of the aircraft.

3. System Safety Analysis (SSA) should demonstrate that the risk associated with integration of landing gear power operated systems into the aircraft systems is acceptable.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 379 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

4. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of performed analysis, and should demonstrate that operation of the aircraft in critical operating conditions is safe, and does not result in permanent deformation of any part of the aircraft, or failure of any power supply system or power operated system.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | Level II Interface and Functional Requirements as stated in contractual interface documentation. | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | AFGS-87139: 3.2.1.1 General; 3.2.1.2 Arrangement; and | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.11.2 00-970 P1 4.12.6 |
| | 3.2.1.3 Clearances. | STANAG Reference: | 4671.23-4671.29 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: 23.471- 23.511, 25.471-25.519 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.23-23.29 CS 25.23-25.29 CS 27.25 CS 27.27 CS 29.25 CS 29.27 |

8.5.12.11 Landing gear system integrity.

No credible combination of failure of the landing gear (including single-point failures, dormant failures, and failure of any interfacing system) shall result in any uncommanded or unsafe effect or function of the landing gear.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Integrity of the structure, braking, steering control and retraction / extension systems;

b. The inclusion of sufficient redundancy within the given systems;

c. The use of reserve power supply for power operated systems;

d. Any reasonably credible combination of failures in externally provided power or governing control logic (for example electrical, hydraulic, etc.);

e. Any reasonably credible combination of failures in interfacing systems;

f. Acceptable levels of risk.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Interface Documents (SID) should define all interfaces between the landing gear and other parts of the aircraft, including both structures and systems interfaces, and the risk of functional failure of those interfacing structures/systems.

2. System Safety Analysis (SSA) should demonstrate that the risk associated with credible combinations of failure of the landing gear and interfacing structures/systems is acceptable, and that no uncommanded or unsafe effect or function of the landing gear can result.

3. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate that severe risks do not result in uncommanded or unsafe effects or functions of the landing gear.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | |
|-------------|--|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | Level II single point/redundancy requirements. | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 380 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: 3.2.7 - 3.2.7.6.5, | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 4.11.62 |
| | 4.2.7 - 4.2.7.6.5 | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | 4671.1309 |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | TSO C77b | EASA CS | CS 23.471-23.511 |
| | | Reference: | CS 23.1309 |
| | | | CS 25.471-25.511 |
| | | | CS 25.1309 |
| | | | CS 27.471-27.521 |
| | | | CS 27.1309 |
| | | | CS 29.471-29.521 |
| | | | CS 29.1309 |
| | | | |

8.5.12.12 Damage tolerance.

No partial failure of the landing gear (due to fatigue, leakage, corrosion, defects, damage, etc.) shall result in reduction of flight safety.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The typical loading spectra, temperatures, and humidity expected in service;

b. The identification of principal structural elements and detailed design points, the failure of which could cause catastrophic failure.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Analysis (SSA) should demonstrate that no partial failure results in reduction of flight safety.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | Level II Damage Tolerance requirements. | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | AFGS-87139: 3.2.2.1 General; | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 4.1.4 |
| | 3.2.2.2 Shock absorption; and | Reference: | |
| | 3.2.2.3 Tail bumpers. | STANAG | 4671.57 |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.574 |
| | | Reference: | CS 25.571 |
| | | | CS 27.571 |
| | | | CS 27.573 |
| | | | CS 29.571 |
| | | | CS 29.573 |

8.5.12.13 Failures and leakage.

Failure and partial failure (e.g. leakage) of the landing gear system or components shall be evident to the flight and/or maintenance personnel.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The required inspection schedule, to minimise aircraft operation with failures;

| Edition Number: 3.1 Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 Status: endorsed Page 381/6 | Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 381 /675 |
|---|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

b. Incorporation of Built In Test Equipment (BITE).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Technical Publications should define the inspection procedures and schedule for landing gear systems.

2. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate that risk associated with aircraft operation, including the effect of dormant landing gear failures, is acceptable.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | Level II Damage Tolerance requirements and Maintainability requirements. | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | AFGS-87139: 3.2.2.1 General; 3.2.2.2 Shock absorption; and 3.2.2.3 Tail bumpers. | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.4.3 00-970 P1 4.4.6 00-970 P1 4.4.7 |
| | | STANAG | 4671.57 |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: 25.1309, 25.571 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.573 CS 23.1309 CS 25.571 CS 25.611 |
| | | | CS 27.571 CS 27.573 |
| | | | CS 27.611 |
| | | | CS 29.571 CS 29.573 |
| | | | CS 29.611 |

8.5.12.14 Lift points.

Aircraft lifting points (where required) shall enable the aircraft to be lifted to a height such that required maintenance activities can be conducted effectively. Where necessary, any restrictions/limitations associated with lifting of the aircraft (e.g. permitted lifting equipment, aircraft weight, CofG position, environmental condition, ground slope, wind velocities, operation of flying control surfaces, etc.) shall be stated in the appropriate manual.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Critical combinations of aircraft weight and CofG;

b. The minimum and maximum required heights for lifting for maintenance activities;

c. Ensuring that lifting points are compatible with lifting equipment that meet appropriate standards (e.g. AS5944).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should define the provided provisions for aircraft lifting, including the minimum and maximum permitted lifting heights.

2. Analysis should demonstrate that lifting of the aircraft in accordance with defined procedures cannot result in any unsafe condition (e.g. over-stress of aircraft parts, failure of any approved lifting equipment, or unintentional movement of the aircraft).

3. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of the performed analysis, and should demonstrate that the aircraft can be lifted safely in accordance with defined procedures.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 382 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

4. Technical Publications should define the procedures for safe aircraft lifting, including any restrictions/limitations associated with lifting of the aircraft (e.g. aircraft weight, CofG position, environmental condition, ground slope, wind velocities, operation of flying control surfaces, etc.).

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-1472, paragraphs 5.9.11.3 through 5.9.11.3.9 (unverified) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 3.11.2 00-970 P1 4.4.10 00-970 P1 4.4.17 to 4.4.20 00-970 P7 L308 2.1 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.507 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | |

8.5.12.15 Operator interface.

Adequate means at crew/operator station shall be available to the flight crew to assess the operational condition of the landing and deceleration systems.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Clear presentation of relevant information to crew, including status indication, and warning, caution and advisory information.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should identify the controls and displays provided to crew. For controls, detail should be provided regarding the mode of operation and function of each control. For displays, detail should be provided regarding all information displayed to the crew, and where appropriate, the conditions that would lead to specific indications.

2. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the effectiveness of the provided operator interface.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P 4.15 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.729 4671.1793 4671.1835 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.729 CS 23.1563 CS 25.729 (AMC 25.729(c)) CS 25.1322 CS 27.729 CS 29.729 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 383 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

8.5.12.16 Technical manuals.

Flight and maintenance manuals shall include normal, back-up and emergency operating procedures, limitations, restrictions, servicing, and maintenance information and other information necessary for safe operation of the landing gear system

Consideration should be given to:

1. The level of detail necessary to provide accurate technical information while remaining concise;

2. The information, at the appropriate level of detail, required to allow personnel to operate and maintain the aircraft as safely and effectively as possible at an acceptable workload.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Operational Technical Publications for the flight crew (Aircraft Flight Manual, Emergency Procedures, Checklists etc.) should clearly define all required normal, back-up and emergency operating procedures, limitations and restrictions.

2. Maintenance Technical Publications for ground crew (Aircraft Maintenance Manual, Master Minimum Equipment List, Maintenance Schedule, etc.) should clearly define all required servicing and maintenance information.

3. Flight Simulations, Ground Testing and/or Flight Testing should verify that all Operational Technical Publications are clear and unambiguous and can be followed by a flight crew through all flight phases and conditions without incurring excessive crew workload and serve their intended function.

4. Rig and/or Ground Testing should verify that all Maintenance Technical Publications are clear and unambiguous and can be followed by a competent maintenance engineer in a manner which ensures the continuing airworthiness of the aircraft.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | 4671.1585 |
| | | Reference: | 4671.1587 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.1585 |
| | | Reference: | CS 23.1587 |
| | | | CS 25.1583 |
| | | | CS 25.1585 |
| | | | CS 25.1587 |
| | | | CS 27.1585 |
| | | | CS 29.1585 |
| | | | |

8.5.12.17 Qualification testing.

All landing gear and deceleration system components, either individually or as part of a landing gear and deceleration subsystem, shall pass all required qualification tests to ensure their suitability for use in all expected usage and environmental conditions.

Consideration should be given to:

a. A wide variety of qualification tests such as: proof, burst, vibration, containment, over-speed, acceleration, explosive atmosphere, pressure cycling, and temperature cycling.

b. Conducting qualification in accordance with appropriate existing standards, where such standards exist; and,

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 384 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

c. Creating appropriately detailed procedures for qualification where existing standards do not exist.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Qualification Test Procedures (QTP) should define the qualification tests necessary to demonstrate the suitability of components to perform their intended function;

2. Qualification Test Reports (QTR) should record the conduct and results of qualification testing in accordance with the relevant QTP or other existing, relevant standard;

3. Declarations of Design Performance (DDP) should record the scope of qualification, the intended function, and suitability to perform that function for each component; and,

4. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the correct function of all components when installed as part of the system.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.11.22 00-970 P1 4.12.4 00-970 P1 4.14.19 00-970 P1 4.14.20 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.723-4671.727 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.723-23.727 CS 25.723 CS 27.723-27.727 CS 29.723-29.727 |

8.5.12.18 Installation.

The landing gear system as installed to the aircraft shall pass all required tests to demonstrate its suitability for use in all expected usage and environmental conditions.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring all tests must be conducted on systems which are fully representative of the type design;

b. Functioning of both normal and emergency systems.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Test Procedures should define the tests necessary to demonstrate the suitability of the landing gear to perform its intended functions;

2. Test Reports should record the conduct and results of testing in accordance with the relevant Test Procedure.

| Inf | ormation Sources | | |
|--------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.11.74 00-970 P1 4.11.76 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.729 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.729 CS 25.729 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 385 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|-----------|
| | CS 27.729 |
| | CS 29.729 |

8.5.13 Parachute landing system.

8.5.13.1 Safe and reliable operation.

Parachute landing systems (where fitted) shall be safe and reliable.

Note that parachute landing systems are parachute systems designed to provide means for aircraft landing, as opposed to parachutes which slow the aircraft, for example during landing, as covered by section 8.5.11.5.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Appropriate levels of safety and reliability, taking into account the frequency and circumstance of parachute landing system operation.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should define any provided parachute landing system(s).

2. System Safety Analysis (SSA) should demonstrate that the risk associated with operation of the parachute landing system is acceptable.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 Part 9 00-970 UK FW.U599a |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671 USAR.U290 4671 USAR.U291 4671 USAR.U292 4671 USAR.U293 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1301 CS 23.1309 CS 25.1301 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1301 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1301 CS 29.1309 |

8.5.13.2 Aborted landing.

At any stage of the parachute landing procedure prior to deployment of the landing parachute, it shall be possible to abort the parachute landing and continue to normal flight.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The range of aircraft operating conditions through which the parachute landing procedure may be initiated, and therefore aborted.

b. Any specific flight handling or performance requirements applicable to the transition from aborted landing to safe continued flight.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

| Edition Number: 3.1 Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 Status: endorsed | Page 386 /675 | |
|--|----------------------|--|
|--|----------------------|--|

1. Technical Publications should define the parachute landing procedure, including the stages through which landing may be aborted, and any procedures required for safe continued flight.

2. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate that the risk associated with aborting parachute landing from any stage prior to deployment of the parachute is acceptable.

3. Analysis should demonstrate that it is possible to abort a parachute landing at any stage prior to parachute deployment and return to safe continued flight at any expected operating condition.

4. Rig and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of performed analysis and should demonstrate that it is possible to abort a parachute landing at any stage prior to parachute deployment and return to safe continued flight at critical operating conditions.

| Int | ormation Sources | | |
|--------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | 4671 USAR.U290 |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

8.5.13.3 Merged with 5.1.1.

8.5.13.3.1 Parachute deployment.

Parachute deployment systems/devices shall not adversely affect the airworthiness of other aircraft systems or the structural integrity of the aircraft.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The airworthiness of all power supply systems and power operated systems in the vicinity of the parachute landing system, and those systems which interface with the parachute landing system;

b. Parachute deployment loads in combination with existing aircraft loads and their effect on static and fatigue strength.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate that the installation, operation and failure of parachute landing systems (including parachute deployment systems) does not adversely affect the airworthiness of existing aircraft systems.

2. Analysis should demonstrate that the incorporation of parachute deployment loads into existing aircraft loads does not compromise the structural integrity of the aircraft, or unacceptably reduce the aircraft's fatigue life.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.1301 |
| | | Reference: | CS 23.1309 |
| | | | CS 25.1301 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 387 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|------------|
| | CS 25.1309 |
| | CS 27.1301 |
| | CS 27.1309 |
| | CS 29.1301 |
| | CS 29.1309 |

8.5.13.4 Minimization of dragging.

Dragging of the aircraft following parachute landing shall be minimized.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The full range of conditions in which parachute landing may be performed, including surface conditions, wind velocities and aircraft weights;

b. The effect of inadvertent landing in an unexpected attitude (e.g. inverted);

c. The incorporation of design features to minimize dragging, including detachment/jettison of parachutes following landing.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Analysis should demonstrate that any dragging following parachute landing is acceptable in any permitted parachute landing condition.

2. Rig and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of performed analysis and should demonstrate that any dragging following parachute landing is acceptable, and the correct function of any preventative design features.

| Inf | ormation Sources | | |
|--------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | 4671 USAR.U292 |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.1301 |
| | | Reference: | CS 23.1309 |
| | | | CS 25.1301 |
| | | | CS 25.1309 |
| | | | CS 27.1301 |
| | | | CS 27.1309 |
| | | | CS 29.1301 |
| | | | CS 29.1309 |

8.5.13.5 Environmental exposure.

The parachute landing system shall be unaffected by any environment to which the aircraft may be exposed.

Consideration should be given to:

a. All environments to which the aircraft may be exposed including those within which the aircraft may operate as well as other environments, for example those present during aircraft transportation or storage.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 388 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Analysis should demonstrate that the parachute landing system is suitably resistant to all expected environments.

2. Technical Publications should detail any maintenance activity that may be required to protect the parachute landing system against specific harsh environments, for example those that may occur during aircraft transportation or storage.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 S6.2.40 - 6.2.61 00-970 P1 S7.2.2 - 7.2.3, 00-970 P7 S2 Supplement 4 L732 1.4.1 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671 USAR.603 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.603 CS 25.603 CS 25.613 CS 25.1309 CS 27.307 CS 27.603 CS 29.307 CS 29.603 |

8.6. AUXILIARY/EMERGENCY POWER SYSTEM(S) (APS/EPS).

Auxiliary Power Systems (APS) are systems which routinely provide power (mechanical, electrical, hydraulic, pneumatic, etc.) for other aircraft systems (e.g. engines), but which do not provide propulsion. APS may be used on the ground and/or in flight.

Emergency Power Systems (EPS) are systems which provide power (mechanical, electrical, hydraulic, pneumatic, etc.) for other aircraft systems in the event of an emergency. Like APS, EPS do not provide propulsion, and may be used on the ground and/or in flight. Typical EPS include emergency batteries, and Ram Air Turbines (RAT).

Some APS may also be considered to be EPS, if their use in an emergency is considered essential for continued safe flight.

8.6.1 Suitability of components.

APS/EPS components shall be safe for their intended use and environment.

Consideration should be given to:

a. All appropriate safety criteria, which may include:

i. Design Service Life;

ii. Environment;

iii. Crash-worthiness;

.iv. Material and Processes (including chemical/mechanical compatibility of toxic substances & fuels such as hydrazine);

v. Coatings and Finishes;

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 389 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

vi. Use of Prohibited Materials and Processes;

vii. Producibility;

viii. Damage Tolerance;

ix. Strength;

x. Durability and Economic Life;

xi. Corrosion;

xii Fatigue;

xiii. Dielectric Materials;

xiv. Creep.

b. The level(s) of safety to be met, taking into account the overall design and usage of the aircraft, levels of system redundancy, requirements for maintenance, and any other factor that could affect the required level of safety.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the APS/EPS installed on the aircraft, and the components which make up each system.

2. System Safety Assessments (SSA) should detail the safety requirements to be met by each APS/EPS, and should demonstrate that the safety requirements are adequately met, taking into account appropriate safety criteria.

3. Declaration of Design Performance (DDP) should demonstrate that each APS/EPS component meets the relevant design and safety requirements.

4. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate that the APS and/or EPS installed on the aircraft functions correctly through its intended use and in its intended environment.

| <u>In</u> | Information Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: 3.2.7 - 3.2.7.6.5, 4.2.7 - 4.2.7.6.5 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 S4 L93 (Equipment Construction) 00-970 P1 5.1.42 00-970 P1 5.1.33-5.1.39 00-970 P1 S6 (Equipment Requirements) 00-970 P1 8.1.3 00-970 P7 S7 L700 00-970 P7 S10 L1001 00-970 P7 S10 L1002 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.USAR 1353 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: 23.573; 23.1309; 25.1309; 25.571; 27.1309; 29.1309 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1309 CS 25J.1207 CS 25.571 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1309 CS-APU 80 CS-APU 210 CS-E 500-590 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 390 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|-----------|
| | CS-E-1000 |

8.6.2 System operation.

The APS and EPS shall operate safely through all expected (normal and emergency) operating conditions.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Acceptable operating characteristics, taking into account interfacing system requirements;

b. The effect of normal and emergency conditions, for example flight in abnormal flight configurations and flight at and beyond Never Exceed Speeds (VNE);

c. The effect of environmental conditions including hot and cold environments, dust, sand, salt spray, etc.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents should detail the conditions in which operation of the APS and EPS is permitted, in particular those conditions where APS/EPS operation is automatic.

2. Systems Interface Documents (SID) should detail the interface characteristics between the APS/EPS and power transmission system, including the effects of abnormal operation.

3. Analysis should demonstrate that the APS/EPS provides power with acceptable characteristics throughout all expected operating conditions.

4. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of the performed analysis, and should demonstrate the correct functioning of power transmission systems and power operated systems when powered by the APS and/or EPS.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: Appendix C: C.3.4.3, C.4.4 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 8.1.3 00-970 P1 5.2.136 00-970 P1 5.2.137 00-970 P7 S7 L700 00-970 P7 S10 L1001 00-970 P7 S10 L1002 |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: 23.901, 25.901, 25.903 (f), 25.1167(a),(c) TSO C77b 4.4.1 - 4.5.2 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 25.1167 CS 25J903(a) CS 25J939(a) CS 25J943, 25J951, CS 25J952, CS 25J1019, CS 25J1023, CS 25J1025, CS 25J1106, CS 25J1521, CS 25J1527 CS-APU-40; CS-APU-80 CS-APU 410 420; 430; 440; 450; 460 and 470 CS-E Sub part A# CS-E 520 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 391 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|--|
| | |

8.6.2.1 Safety features.

The APS and EPS shall incorporate safety features to control and mitigate the risks associated with their operation to an acceptable level.

Consideration should be given to:

a. System failure modes;

b. The required level(s) of safety, taking into account the overall design and usage of the aircraft and any other factor that could affect the required level of safety.

c. Conditions for the correct functioning of any safety features installed.

d. Ensuring that failure of APS/EPS or any associated power conversion or transmission components cannot result in the failure of other power generation, supply or operated systems.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Assessments (SSA) should detail the risks associated with each APS/EPS, the safety requirements to be met by each APS/EPS, and should demonstrate that the safety requirements are adequately met, taking into account appropriate safety criteria.

2. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate that the APS and/or EPS installed on the aircraft, including its safety features, functions correctly when used as intended in its intended environment.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix C: C.3.4.3.12.1, C.4.4.3.12.1 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1, S1, 1.1.34 00-970 P1 4.15.27 00-970 P7 S7 L712 00-970 P7 S7 L705 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.USAR 1353 |
| FAA Doc: | TSO C77b 4.6.2 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 25J.1141(c) CS 25J.1189 CS-APU 270 CS-APU 280 CS-APU 450 CS-APU 460 CS-APU 530 CS-E 50 CS-E 400 CS-E 830 CS-E 830 CS-E 860-870 CS-E 920 |

8.6.3 Functional and physical compatibility.

The APS and EPS shall be functionally and physically compatible to the aircraft in which it is to be integrated.

Consideration should be given to:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 392 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

a. Appropriate aspects of functional compatibility, taking into account the aircraft and APS/EPS design, including power outputs, voltage, phase, frequency, pressures, RPM etc.

b. Appropriate aspects of physical compatibility, taking into account the aircraft and APS/EPS design, including size, weight, clearance, vibration, materials compatibility etc.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the physical and functional characteristics of the APS and/or EPS. This should include nominal, maximum and minimum power outputs, the size and weight of the APS and/or EPS, and the location of installation.

2. Technical Publications should detail the process for installation and functional testing of the APS and/or EPS.

3. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate that the APS and/or EPS can be installed correctly in accordance with the associated Technical Publications, and should demonstrate that the APS and/or EPS functions correctly when installed.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: 3.27; 4.2.7; 3.2.7.4.4; 4.2.7.4.4; 3.2.7.7.5; 4.2.7.7.5; Appendix C: C.3.4.3, C.4.4.3 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P7 S7 L700 00-970 P1 S8 (Gas Turbine APU Installation Par. 8.0.1; 8.0.3 and 8.1.3) |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.USAR 903 4671.USAR 1353 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.901, 23.1309, 25.901, 25.1309, 25.903 (f), 27.1309, 29.1309 TSO C77b 4.4.1 - 4.5.2, Sections 6 and 7 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1309 CS 25.1167 CS 25J939 CS 25J1163 CS 25.1309 Equipment, Systems and Installations CS 27.1309 CS 29.1309 CS-APU-40 CS-E 20 |

8.6.4 System safety

The APS and EPS shall be designed in such a way that the probability of failure of any component that could present an unacceptable hazard, or unacceptable risk to the safety of personnel or that could prevent continued safe flight is extremely remote.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Design and maintenance philosophies which minimise the risk of failure of the APS and/or EPS (damage tolerance, safe life, etc.).

b. Possibility for failure of components which would present a hazard to safety of personnel or continued safe flight, including the uncontained failure of high-energy rotors and whipping/flailing of failed hydraulic and pneumatic lines.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 393 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should highlight the incorporation of high-energy rotors, and other components whose failure would present a hazard to safety of personnel or continued safe flight, in the design of the APS and/or EPS.

2. Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) should detail the failure modes of the APS and/or EPS, and should detail the subsequent effects of their failure.

3. System Safety Assessments (SSA) should demonstrate that the incorporation of the APS and/or EPS to the aircraft does not present a hazard to safety of personnel or continued safe flight.

4. Analysis should demonstrate that the failure of the APS and/or EPS does not result in any unexpected effects (for example that high-energy rotors are successfully contained).

5. Rig testing should demonstrate the accuracy of the analysis performed, and should demonstrate that failure of the APS and/or EPS does not result in any unexpected effects (for example that high-energy rotors are successfully contained).

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: 3.3.3, 4.3.3; Appendix C: C.3.4.3.10.1, C.4.4.3.10.1 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 S1 1.1.34 00-970 P1 S1 1.1.39 00-970 P7 S1 L100 00-970 P7 S7 L700 00-970 P7 S7 L705 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671 USAR 1309 4671.USAR 1461 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: 23.903 (b); 23.1461, 25.901(c); 25.1461; 25.1167 (a),(c); TSO C77b (5.1) AC 20-128, TSO C77b: 5.9; 6.6; 6.7; 6.8 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 25.1309(b), 25.1461 CS-APU 210, 290 and 470 CS-E 80 and 510 |

8.6.4.1 Merged with 8.6.4.

8.6.5 Vibration.

Through all expected operating conditions, the APS and EPS shall be suitable for the vibration environment as installed on the aircraft, and shall not introduce vibration to the aircraft that could affect the integrity of surrounding structure or systems.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The existing vibration environment, including sources, magnitudes and frequencies, and its effect on the APS and/or EPS.

b. Vibrations resulting from installation and operation of the APS and/or EPS in normal, emergency and failed modes.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Analysis should demonstrate that the APS and/or EPS is suitable for the vibration environment to which it is installed, through all expected operating conditions.

2. Fatigue analysis should demonstrate that the installation of the APS and/or EPS does not appreciably affect the structural or systems integrity of the APS/EPS or surrounding structures or systems.

3. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of the analysis performed, that the vibration environment to which the APS and/or EPS is installed is acceptable, and that the APS/EPS does

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 394 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

not introduce vibrations that could appreciably affect the structural or systems integrity of the APS/EPS or surrounding structures or systems.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: Appendix C: C.3.4.3.10.2, C.4.4.3.10.2 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 5.1.16 00-970 P1 8.1.3 00-970 P7 S7 L700 00-970 P7 S10 L1002 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: 25.901 (c), 25.903 (f) TSO C77b 5.10 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 25J.1193 CS-APU 80 CS-APU 300 CS-E 100 CS-E 650 |

8.6.5.1 Merged with 8.6.2 for operational aspects and 8.6.3 for functional and physical aspects.

8.6.6 EPS Performance.

The EPS shall be capable of responding to failures and providing sufficient power within an appropriate time to allow continued safe flight.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The time required for the EPS to respond to failures, including the time for pilot action (if any), and system start-up.

b. Prioritisation of power supply types, for example prioritising power to systems which are more critical to continued safe flight.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the required time for EPS response to system failures, highlighting the time required between the failure of a primary system and the sufficient supply of power by the EPS.

System Safety Analysis (SSA) should demonstrate that the time required between failure of a primary power supply system and the sufficient supply of power by the EPS is acceptable for continued safe flight.
 Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate that the operation of the EPS following a failure of each primary power source allows continued safe flight.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: Appendix C: C.3.4.3.4, C.4.4.3.4 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.6.18-6.6.19 00-970 P1 8.1.3 00-970 P7 S7 L706 00-970 P7 S10 L1001 00-970 P7 S10 L1002 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.USAR 901 4671.USAR 1353 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: 23.943, 25.901 (f), 25.943 | EASA CS | CS-APU 440 CS-E 370 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 395 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|----|------------------------------|------------|--|
| | TSO C77b: 4.1, 4.4.1, 4.4.2, | Reference: | |
| | 4.4.3, 4.7 | | |

8.6.7 Safety considerations.

Installation of the APS and EPS shall take into account:

- a. Structural mounting;
- b. Wiring and plumbing support, routeing, and clearances;
- c. System/component and compartment drainage;
- d. System/component and compartment cooling and ventilation;
- e. System/components designed for appropriate levels of fire hardening;
- f. Accessibility to all required inspection and servicing features and areas.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Maintaining appropriate margins of safety throughout expected aircraft and APS/EPS operation.

b. Taking deviations from nominal dimensions (adverse tolerances, manufacturing concessions, etc.) into account.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should provide detail regarding: APS and/or EPS structural mounting; wiring and plumbing support; routeing and clearances; system/component and compartment drainage; system/component and compartment cooling and ventilation; system/components designed for appropriate levels of fire hardening; and accessibility to all required inspection and servicing features and areas.

2. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate that the installation of APS and/or EPS is appropriate, and that provisions for inspection and servicing provide adequate clearance for all required maintenance activities.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|---|---|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | For b. above: ARP994, Tubing/Plumbing Routing - tubing and line support, routing and clearance requirements SAE AS50881A, Wiring, Aerospace Vehicle - wiring support and routing requirements | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: For a. above: 3.2.7; 4.2.7; 3.2.7.4.4; 4.2.7.4.4; 3.2.7.5; 4.2.7.5 For b., c., and d. above: 3.3.8; 4.3.8 For e. above: 3.3.3; 4.3.3; 3.3.8; 4.3.8; and Appendix G: G.3.4.7; G.4.4.7 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.3.8 00-970 P1 4.26.22 00-970 P1 4.26.27 00-970 P1 4 L86 00-970 P1 5.1.70-5.1.72 00-970 P7 S7 L700 00-970 P7 S7 L712 4671.USAR 901 4671.USAR 1353 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 396 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|----------|--|-----------------------|--|
| | For f. above: 3.2.6; 4.2.6 | | |
| FAA Doc: | For f. above: 3.2.6; 4.2.6 For a. above: 14CFR references: 25.901 (c), (d); and TSO C77b: 4.8, 5.1.3, 5.2.5 For b. above: 14CFR references: 23.993, 23.1017, 25.901 (c), 25.993, 25.1017 For c. above: 14CFR references: 25.1187; and TSO C77b: 5.27, 5.42, 5.52 For d. above: 14CFR references: 23.1041 - 23.1045, 23.1103 (a), 25.1041 - 25.1045, 25.1103 (a); and TSO C77b (5.3) For e. above: 14CFR references: 23.1181 - 23.1203, 25.1181 - 25.1207; and TSO C77b (5.2) For f. above: 14CFR references: 23.901, 23.1021, 25.901, 25.102123.901, 23.1021, 25.901, 25.1021 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1181-1203 CS 25J.901 CS 25J.952 CS 25J.953 CS 25J.961 CS 25J.977 CS 25J.991 CS 25J.991 CS 25J.993 CS 25J.994 CS 25J.995 CS 25J.997 CS 25J.1011 CS 25J.1017 CS 25J.1017 CS 25J.1021 CS 25J.1025 CS 25J.1025 CS 25J.1025 CS 25J.1103 CS 25J.1106 CS 25J.1106 CS 25J.1165 CS 25J.1181-25.J1207 CS 25J.1181-25.J1207 CS 25J.1337 CS 25J.1551 CS 25J.1557 CS-APU 30 CS-APU 210 CS-APU 210 CS-APU 220 CS-APU 270 CS-APU 300 CS-APU 310 CS-E 340 CS-E 130 CS-E 250 CS-E 270 CS-E 340 CS-E 340 CS-E 690 |

8.6.8 Flammable fluid ingestion/exhaust gas impingement.

APS and EPS inlets and exhausts hazards (including air flow velocities, temperatures, acoustics, and exhaust by-products) shall be acceptable.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Hazards to personnel, including ground crew, flight crew and passengers.

b. Hazards to aircraft systems and structure.

| Edition Number: 3.1 Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 397 /675 |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
|---|------------------|----------------------|

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Functional Hazard Assessment (FHA) should identify the hazards associated with the APS and/or EPS inlets and outlets.

2. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate that the hazards associated with the APS and/or EPS inlets and outlets are acceptable, and that appropriate controls and/or mitigations are in place to reduce the resulting risk for each hazard.

3. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate that the hazards associated with inlets and exhausts of APS and/or EPS are acceptable.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: Appendix C: C.3.4.3.11, C.4.4.3.11 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 8.1.3 00-970 P1 5.1.74 00-970 P7 S7 L700 00-970 P7 S7 L712 00-970 P7 S10 L1002 |
| | | STANAG | 4671.USAR 1121 4671.USAR 1353 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CEB reference: 22 1001: | Reference: EASA CS | |
| FAA DOL. | 14CFR reference: 23.1091; 23.1103; 23.1121; 23.1123, 25.1091, 25.1103; 25.1121; 25.1123 TSO C77b: 5.3.1, 5.3.3, 5.6 | Reference: | CS 25J.939(c) CS 25J.1091 CS 25J.1103 CS 25J.1121 CS 25J.1123 CS 27.1091-1093, 27.1121- 1123 CS 29.1091-1109, 29.1121- 1125 CS-APU 230 CS-APU 260 CS-APU-80 CS-APU-470 CS-E 510 |

8.6.9 Merged with 8.6.14.

8.6.10 Merged with 8.6.3.

8.6.11 Merged with 8.6.4.

8.6.12 Operator interface.

Adequate controls and displays shall be available to notify the flight crew of the APS and EPS and its necessary functions to warn for hazardous conditions.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Clear presentation of relevant information to crew, including status indication, and warning, caution and advisory information.

b. All required APS and EPS functions and tracked parameters (e.g. voltage, current, pneumatic/hydraulic pressure, shaft speed, etc.);

c. The location of the sensors should be carefully considered so that true parameters will be indicated;

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 398 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

d. Ensuring all displays and controls meet the specified requirements (arrangement, location, type, size, guards etc.).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should identify the controls and displays provided to crew. For controls, detail should be provided regarding the mode of operation and function of each control. For displays, detail should be provided regarding all information displayed to the crew, and where appropriate, the conditions that would lead to specific indications.

2. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate that controls perform their intended function(s) and that displays provide accurate and useful information to the crew.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: Appendix C: C.3.4.3.8, C4.4.3.8 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.15 00-970 P1 4.19 00-970 P1 5.1.18 00-970 P1 5.1.22-5.1.23 00-970 P1 8.1.3 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.USAR 1701 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: 23.1141; 23.1142; 23.1549; 25.1141; 25.1142; 25.1549 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 25J.903 CS 25J.1141 CS 25J.1305 CS 25J.1549 CS-APU 100 CS-E 50 CS-E 60 CS-E 510 |

8.6.13 Component life/usage tracking.

APS and EPS life/usage parameters which affect the continuing airworthiness of the aircraft shall have appropriate means for tracking.

Consideration should be given to:

a. All life/usage parameters which may affect the continuing airworthiness of the aircraft, such as those arising from the adoption of 'Safe Life' or 'Damage Tolerant' design philosophies.

b. Appropriate means of tracking, taking into account the frequency of operation/usage of the aircraft and the installed APS/EPS. Such means may include automatic recording (e.g. an active Health and Usage Monitoring System (HUMS)), manual recording of aircraft parameters (flight hours, flight cycles, etc.), or manual means of recording APS/EPS specific parameters (e.g. number of Auxiliary Power Unit starts).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should highlight APS and/or EPS lifing/usage parameters, including their means for tracking.

2. System Safety Assessments (SSA) should identify any specific controls or mitigations which rely on the management of APS and/or EPS lifing/usage parameters.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 399 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

3. Maintenance Technical Publications should include means for the tracking and management of APS and/or EPS lifing/usage parameters, acceptable limits for each parameter, and procedures to follow in the event of reaching each lifing/usage parameter's associated limit.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-----------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | 3.2.7.4.4, 4.2.7.4.4, 3.2.7.6, | Reference: | |
| | 4.2.7.6 | STANAG | 4671.USAR 1529 |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: 23.1522; 23.1549; G23.3; 25.1522; 25.1549 : H25.3, A27.3 and A29.3 TSO C77b: 4.3; 4.4.1; 4.6.1; 5.7 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 25 Appendix H 25.43 CS A27.3, A29.3 CS-APU 150 CS-APU 310 CS-E 515 |

8.6.14 Technical manuals.

Flight and maintenance manuals shall include normal, back-up and emergency operating procedures, limitations, restrictions, servicing, and maintenance information and other information necessary for safe operation of the APS and EPS.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The level of detail necessary to provide accurate technical information while remaining concise;

b. The information, at the appropriate level of detail, required to allow personnel to operate and maintain the aircraft as safely and effectively as possible at an acceptable workload.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Operational Technical Publications for the flight crew (Aircraft Flight Manual, Emergency Procedures, Checklists etc.) should clearly define all required normal, back-up and emergency operating procedures, limitations and restrictions.

2. Maintenance Technical Publications for ground crew (Aircraft Maintenance Manual, Master Minimum Equipment List, Maintenance Schedule, etc.) should clearly define all required servicing and maintenance information.

3. Flight Simulations, Ground Testing and/or Flight Testing should verify that all Operational Technical Publications are clear and unambiguous and can be followed by a flight crew through all flight phases and conditions without incurring excessive crew workload and serve their intended function.

4. Rig and/or Ground Testing should verify that all Maintenance Technical Publications are clear and unambiguous and can be followed by a competent maintenance engineer in a manner which ensures the continuing airworthiness of the aircraft.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|----------------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2000: | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | 3.3.3; 3.6.2; 4.3.3 | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | 4671.USAR 1581 |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: 23.1581 - | EASA CS | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 400 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|--|---|
| 23.1585; G23.3 - G23.4; 23.1541; 25.1541; 25.1581 - 25.1585; H25.3 - H25.4 | Reference: CS 25.1581 (a) (2) CS 25.1585 CS 25J1501 CS 25J1521 CS 25.1583 CS 25.1583 CS 25 Appendix H 25.3 CS 25 Appendix H 25.4 CS-APU 20 CS-E 20 CS-E 30 CS-E 510 |

8.6.15 Merged with 8.6.2.1.

8.6.15 Merged with 8.6.2.1.

8.7. AIR-TO-AIR REFUELLING (AAR) SYSTEM.

The refuelling of Rotary Wing platforms on the ground (i.e. Rotors Running) is specifically excluded from this section and covered in Section 8.3 (Fuel Systems).

8.7.1 AAR operations.

AAR systems shall allow safe and successful AAR with the targeted tanker/receiver aircraft and its AAR system(s).

Consideration should be given to:

a. The role(s) that the aircraft will undertake in any AAR operations, which may include both dispense (tanker) and receipt roles.

b. The aircraft with which AAR operations may be conducted, which may include fixed wing and rotary types.

c. The AAR systems/equipment that may be utilised by the aircraft with which AAR operations will be conducted (flying boom, hose and drogue, etc.).

d. Dimensional, physical, electrical, and material compatibility between each AAR interface.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the AAR system(s) fitted to the aircraft.

2. SDD should detail the aircraft and AAR system types with which the aircraft can perform AAR operations.

3. Technical Publications should provide procedures for safe and successful AAR operations, for each tanker and/or receiver with which the aircraft is cleared to perform AAR operations.

4. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate that the interfacing aircraft and their AAR systems are able to interface safely and successfully.

| Information Sources | | |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | ATP-56 | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2001: 3.4.7.2.1, 3.4.7.2.2 | 00-970 P13 S3 3.5 00-970 P7 S2 L701/4 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 401 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | | |
|----------|---|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | JSSG-2009 Apper JSSG-2001B: 3.4.6.2.2 | ndix F 3.4.6.2.1, | STANAG Reference: | 3447 3847 3971 |
| FAA Doc: | 0.4.0.2.2 | | EASA CS | 7191 |
| FAA DOC. | | | Reference: | |

8.7.1.1 Technical manuals.

Flight, operator, and maintenance manuals shall include normal, back-up and emergency operating procedures, limitations, restrictions, servicing, and maintenance information to support safe AAR operations.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The level of detail necessary to provide accurate technical information while remaining concise;

b. The information, at the appropriate level of detail, required to allow personnel to operate and maintain the aircraft as safely and effectively as possible at an acceptable workload.

c. Ensuring that all required operating procedures are defined, taking account of requirements for military operation (e.g. in-flight rectification).

d. Ensuring alignment and consistency between the aircraft's Technical Publications and the publications of the aircraft with which it will perform AAR operations.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Operational Technical Publications for the flight crew (Aircraft Flight Manual, Emergency Procedures, Checklists etc.) should clearly define all required normal, back-up and emergency operating procedures, limitations and restrictions.

2. Maintenance Technical Publications for ground crew (Aircraft Maintenance Manual, Master Minimum Equipment List, Maintenance Schedule, etc.) should clearly define all required servicing and maintenance information, including appropriate inspection criteria for wear/damage of each AAR component.

3. Flight Simulations, Ground Testing and/or Flight Testing should verify that all Operational Technical Publications are clear and unambiguous and can be followed by a flight crew through all flight phases and conditions without incurring excessive crew workload and serve their intended function.

4. Rig and/or Ground Testing should verify that all Maintenance Technical Publications are clear and unambiguous and can be followed by a competent maintenance engineer in a manner which ensures the continuing airworthiness of the aircraft."

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2001: 3.4.7.2.1, JSSG-2001: 3.4.7.2.2 JSSG-2001B: 3.4.6.2.1, 3.4.6.2.2 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P13 S3 3.5 00-970 P13 S3 3.5.79 00-970 P1 7.5 00-970 P13 S4 L9 00-970 P7 S2 L1005 |
| | | | 00-970 P7 S2 L701 |
| | | STANAG | 3447 |
| | | Reference: | 3971 |
| FAA Doc: | Note: Use 14CFR reference | EASA CS | CS 25 Subpart G (Operating |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 402 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | | |
|---|------------|------------------------------|
| sections corresponding to Structural and Installation requirements. Use all systems 14CFR references as applicable, i.e., Electrical. | Reference: | Limitations and Information) |

8.7.1.1.1 Life-limited components.

A safe life shall be defined for all AAR components whose proper functioning is essential to maintain the flight safety of the aircraft and/or the safe usage of the AAR system.

Consideration should be given to:

a. All components directly installed as part of the AAR system, and any other aircraft components essential to maintain the flight safety of the aircraft and/or the safe usage of the system.

b. The maximum wear rates expected in service, taking into account frequency of AAR operation, rates of fuel dispense and/or receipt and environmental conditions.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the AAR components whose proper functioning is essential to maintain the flight safety of the aircraft and/or the safe usage of the AAR system, and the defined safe life for each component.

2. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate that failure of essential components does not occur before the end of their defined safe life.

3. Technical Publications should define the safe life of each essential component, and procedures for their installation and removal.

| Inf | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.574 |
| | | Reference: | |

8.7.1.1.2 Merged with 8.7.1.1.1.

8.7.1.2 Merged with 8.7.1.

8.7.1.2.1 Probe obstructions.

The area around the AAR probe/ receptacle shall be free from obstructions that might cause damage to the aircraft, or become a hindrance to the AAR operation.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring that fastener heads are flush with the surrounding surface;

b. Ensuring that any structure and panels in the vicinity of the AAR Probe/Receptacle cannot snag or otherwise interfere with the AAR boom or drogue;

c. Any hose and suspension devices.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 403 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

1. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate that the AAR probe/receptacle and surrounding structure and panels does not snag dispensing AAR equipment (e.g. boom/drogue).

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2006: 3.3.11, 4.3.11 JSSG-2010: 3.5.3.3, 4.5.3.3 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P13 S3 3.5.5-3.5.6 00-970 P13 S3 3.5.14 00-970 P13 S4 L9 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 3447 3487 7191 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | |

8.7.1.3 Loads at the refuelling interface.

The AAR system interface, its attachment to airframe structure, and the structure surrounding the interface shall withstand loads throughout the defined flight envelope and during normal and abnormal AAR operations (engagement, disengagement and fuel transfer) without the tanker/receiver interface(s) being damaged or creating FOD due to failure.

Consideration should be given to:

a. For boom and receptacle AAR subsystems, loads expected during normal engagements within the defined contact envelope and normal disengagements within the disconnect envelope; loads experienced when a single failure occurs in the latching mechanism of the receptacle and the boom nozzle must be forcibly pulled out of the receptacle in all flight conditions.

b. For probe and drogue AAR subsystems, loads expected during normal engagements/disengagements at the most severe receiver closure/fallback rates; those experienced due to inadvertent/off-centre engagements/disengagements; and those experienced when a single failure occurs in the latching mechanism of the AAR coupling and the probe nozzle must be forcibly pulled out of the receptacle in all flight conditions.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the AAR equipment, its interface with the aircraft, and the structure through which AAR refuelling loads are reacted.

2. Structural analysis should demonstrate that the AAR refuelling equipment, its interface with the aircraft, and surrounding structure do not undergo excessive or permanent deformation.

3. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of the performed structural analysis, and should demonstrate that AAR operation does not result in excessive or permanent deformation.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|---|-----------------|------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2001: 3.4.7.2.1, | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P13 S4 L9 |
| | 3.4.7.2.2 | Reference: | |
| | JSSG-2001B: 3.4.6.2.1, | STANAG | |
| | 3.4.6.2.2 (unverified - NL516) JSSG-2009 Appendix F: | Reference: | |
| | F.3.4.6.2.2.5, F.4.4.6.2.2.5, | | |
| | F.3.4.6.2.3.5, F.4.4.6.2.3.5 | | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 404 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| | JSSG-2006: 3.4.1.7, 4.4.1.7 AFGS-87154 load guidance MIL-A-8865A: 3.9.1.3.1 and 3.9.2.2 for load guidance | | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 25.1435 CS 26.963 |

8.7.1.4 Crewmember/operator cues.

Cues (visual or equivalent) shall be provided to assist the receiver aircraft to accomplish the AAR process under all anticipated attitudes and environmental conditions.

Consideration should be given to:

1. Ensuring that the cues provide sufficiently detailed information to assist AAR operations without confusing operators.

2. Ensuring that the type(s) of cues provided are appropriate for the AAR operations anticipated.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the cues provided for receiver operators.

2. Technical Publications should detail procedures for AAR rendezvous, including the cues provided by the tanker aircraft for the receiver aircraft and their meaning.

3. Flight simulation and flight testing should demonstrate that AAR rendezvous can be accomplished successfully and safely under all expected AAR operating conditions.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix F: F.3.4.6.2.1.3, F.4.4.6.2.1.3 JSSG-2010: 3.2.14, 4.2.14 (Unverified) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P13 3.5 00-970 P13 3.5.59 00-970 P13 S4 L9 6.5.1 00-970 P1 4.19 00-970 P1 4.19.38 00-970 P13 3.5.7 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 25.771 CS 25.1353 |

8.7.1.4.1 Marking durability.

Visual cues used for AAR (e.g. markings and exterior lights) shall be compatible with their expected environmental conditions and fluid exposures (fuel, hydraulic fluid, cleaning solvents, etc.).

Consideration should be given to:

a. Compatibility of markings with existing surface finish scheme;

b. Degradation of the aircraft's surface finish;

c. Ensuring that lights continue to work and be visible (without degradation or colour change) when subjected to environmental effects;

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 405 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

d. Weathering, corrosion, abrasion, mechanical damage;

e. Maintenance activities including washing;

f. Induced environment: contaminants such as fuel, oils, solvents etc.;

g. Natural Environmental; sunlight, rain, pressure, ice etc.

Consideration for preparation of AMC:

1. Declarations of Design and Performance (DDP) should identify the environmental conditions for which the AAR visual cues are approved to operate within.

2. Qualification Test Reports (QTR) should demonstrate that AAR visual cues are compatible with their expected environment without degradation for the duration of the prescribed maintenance interval.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010: 3.2.13, 4.2.13 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.19.38 00-970 P13 S3.5 00-970 P13 3.5.7 00-970 P13 S4 L9 6.5.1 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | |

8.7.1.4.2 Exterior lighting.

Exterior lights shall be provided for the guidance of aircrew, operators and automated systems during AAR operation.

Consideration should be given to:

a. For receiver receptacle based subsystems, receptacle/slipway illumination, illumination of the surface area immediately aft of the receptacle, wing leading edge illumination, and illumination of surface features possibly in the path of the boom;

b. For tanker boom-based subsystems, boom nozzle illumination, flood light illumination, wing and underbody illumination, wing pod and engine nacelle illumination, and receiver pilot director/status lights;

c. For receiver probe-based subsystems; probe illumination;

d. For tanker drogue based subsystems, drogue illumination, flood light illumination, wing, underbody and root-end of hose to show markings illumination, wing pod and engine nacelle illumination in conjunction with drogue subsystem status lights;

e. Rendezvous lights;

f. Refuelling sequencing and tanker subsystem status lights;

g. Crew's field of view, reflections and glare;

h. Lighting within drogues should not require power from the tanker for operation;

i. Need for compatibility with Night Vision Imaging Systems

j. Ground/Ship based lighting for HIFR.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the lighting provided to facilitate safe AAR operations.

2. Flight simulation and flight testing should demonstrate that the provided lighting facilitates safe AAR operations.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 406 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Inf | ormation Sources | | |
|--------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P13 3.5.39 00-970 P13 3.5.41 00-970 P13 3.5.56 00-970 P13 3.5.74 00-970 P1 4.17.6 00-970 P13 3.5.30-3.5.35 00-970 P13 S4 L9 00-970 P7 S12 L104 00-970 P7 S2 L1005 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | |

8.7.1.4.3 Merged with 8.7.1.4.2

8.7.1.4.4 Exterior lighting intensity.

The intensity of each exterior light or light group shall be variable to meet the needs of the interfacing tanker/receiver aircraft, and shall be Night Vision Device (NVD) compatible.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ability of the system to be controlled in response to differing ambient lighting conditions;

b. Tanker/receiver orientation and changes in orientation during refuelling operation.

Considerations fo preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should highlight the variability of AAR lighting and their NVD compatibility.

2. Flight simulation and flight testing should demonstrate that the variability of the AAR lighting, and its NVD compatibility allows for successful and safe AAR operations under all expected lighting conditions, both with and without the use of NVD.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

8.7.1.4.5 Merged with 8.7.1.4.4.

8.7.1.4.6 Merged with 8.7.1.4.1

8.7.1.4.7 Viewing systems.

Viewing systems (if used) shall permit safe AAR operations.

Consideration should be given to:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 407 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

a. Ensuring that failure of a viewing system is obvious to operators, and could not lead to the operators becoming unaware of an unsafe condition.

b. Preventing the obscuration or other degradation of viewing systems.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail any viewing systems incorporated for AAR.

2. Functional Hazard Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate that hazards associated with use of viewing systems for AAR operations are acceptable.

3. Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) should demonstrate that hazards associated with the full or partial failure of viewing systems is acceptable for tanker and receiver aircraft.

4. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate that hazards associated with the integration of viewing systems and their use during AAR operations are acceptable and appropriately controlled and mitigated.

5. Technical Publications should provide procedures for the use of viewing systems during AAR operations.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.1301 |
| | | Reference: | CS 25.1301 |
| | | | CS 27.1301 |
| | | | CS 29.1301 |

8.7.1.5 Communication system.

Communication system(s), including data communication systems, shall be available to transmit data / information between tanker and receiver aircraft during the AAR operation in the required time frame.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. The relative close proximity of transmitter and receiver communication systems;
- b. The need to restrict some forms of communication such as HF during AAR operations;
- c. The need to transmit / receive classified information securely or covertly.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the communication system(s) to be utilised during AAR operations.

2. Technical Publications should provide procedures for the conduct of AAR operations including communication between tanker and receiver aircraft.

3. Flight simulation and flight testing should demonstrate that AAR operations can be conducted effectively and safely, including effective communication between aircraft.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2001: 3.4.7.2.1, 3.4.7.2.2 | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 4.17.6 00-970 P13 3.5.22 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 408 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | | | |
|----------|------------------------------|------------|------------|-------------------|
| | JSSG-2001B | 3.4.6.2.1, | Reference: | 00-970 P13 3.5.29 |
| | 3.4.6.2.2 (unverified NL516) | | | 00-970 P13 3.5.30 |
| | AFGS-87154 | | | 00-970 P13 3.5.34 |
| | | | | 00-970 P13 S4 L9 |
| | | | STANAG | |
| | | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | | EASA CS | CS 25.1541 |
| | | | Reference: | |

8.7.1.6 Identification of fuels.

Permitted fuel types for AAR shall be defined.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Fuel specifications and tolerances including permitted deviations;

b. The use of additives;

c. The need to transport, pump and transfer different types of fuel including those not useable by the host aircraft;

d. Compatibility of different fuels with AAR system components;

e. Segregation of different types of fuel.

f. Adequate isolation between AAR fuel and aircraft fuel, when separation is required.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the types of fuel permitted for AAR operations, highlighting any restrictions applicable during carriage of any fuel type.

2. Technical Publications should detail the fuel types permitted for AAR operations and any restrictions applicable to each fuel type.

3. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate that the aircraft and AAR system(s) can operate safely with any permitted fuel type.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|---|---------------------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2001: 3.4.7.2.1, 3.4.7.2.2 JSSG-2001B: 3.4.6.2.1, 3.4.6.2.2 NAVAIR 00-80T-110 section 2.4.4 and 3.6.5 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P13 3.5.28 00-970 P13 S4 L9 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

8.7.1.6.1 Verify that any data communication system provided on the aircraft is compatible with the aircraft involved in the operation.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Potential impacts on flight control and electrical systems on the host tanker as well as targeted tanker(s)/receiver(s);

b. The relative close proximity of transmitters and receivers used in the communication systems;

| Edition Number: 3.1 Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 Status: endorsed F | Page 409 /675 |
|--|----------------------|
|--|----------------------|

c. The need to restrict some forms of communication such as HF during AAR operations;

d. The need to transmit / receive classified information securely or covertly.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2001: 3.4.7.2.1,3.4.7.2.2 - (Unverified) JSSG 2009 Appendix F: F.4.4.6.2.2.8 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.10 00-970 P13 S4 L9 6.4.4 00-970 P13 3.5.2.1 00-970 P13 3.5.28 00-970 P13 3.5.2 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 25.1309 |

8.7.1.7 Delivery pressure and flow rate.

As a receiver, the aircraft (including AAR receipt subsystem) shall withstand the maximum pressure and flow-rate, including the effects of single failures and surge. As a tanker, the maximum dispense pressure and flow-rate shall be defined and constrained within the design limits of intended receivers, including the effects of single failures and surge.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Providing capability to regulate or limit dispense and receipt pressures and flow rates;

b. Ensuring adequate capacity and capability of the fuel vent system;

c. Effects of surge, including effects of pump start-up and shut-down, valve closures (in tanker and receiver aircraft), and disengagement AAR at maximum rate of flow.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the maximum permitted pressures and flow rates for AAR receipt, at the AAR inlet, and the maximum expected pressures and flow rates for AAR dispense at the AAR outlet. In each case, such detail should include the magnitude and frequency of any surge pressures.

2. Technical Publications should detail the procedures for AAR operations, including any detail necessary to limit the pressure and/or flow rates of AAR dispense/receipt, and/or prevention of surge pressures.

3. Analysis should demonstrate that during AAR operation at the maximum permitted flow rate and pressure, the various flow rates and pressures throughout the aircraft fuel system do not exceed their design allowables.

4. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of the performed analysis, and should demonstrate that AAR operations, including disengagement of AAR during AAR at the maximum flow rate does not result in permanent deformation of any part of the aircraft.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2001: 3.4.7.2.1, 3.4.7.2.2 (Unverified) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 5.1.127 00-970 P1 5.2.3 00-970 P1 5.2.4 00-970 P1 S5 L4 00-970 P1 S5 L7 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 410 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | ormation Sources | | |
|----------|------------------|------------|------------------------|
| | | | 00-970 P13 S4 L9 1.3.3 |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

8.7.1.8 Merged with 8.7.1.7

8.7.1.9 Fuel spray.

Fuel spillage/spray during AAR operations shall not prevent safe AAR operation.

Consideration should be given to:

a. AAR operation throughout the permitted flight envelope, including normal and abnormal conditions and attitudes;

b. Fuel spray dispersion pattern created during engagement and disengagement of the AAR interfaces;

c. Abnormal disengagements at full flow rates;

d. Effect on other aircraft in the vicinity;

e. Impact of single point failures including breakage of AAR probe/nozzle.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Rig and flight testing should demonstrate that any fuel spillage/spray during AAR operations is acceptable, and does not inhibit the safe flight of tanker or receiver aircraft.

2. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate that the risk associated with fuel spray/spillage is appropriately controlled and mitigated.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2001: 3.2.3 JSSG-2001B: 4.2.3 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P13 3.5.79 00-970 P13 3.5.15 00-970 P13 3.5.21 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | |

8.7.1.10 Flight stability and handling qualities.

The aircraft shall demonstrate satisfactory flight stability and handling characteristics throughout the aircraft's cleared AAR flight envelope at all permitted configurations in all permitted roles (tanker / receiver).

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Movement in Centre of Gravity (CofG) during engagement and refuelling operation;
- b. Instability due to probe / boom deployment or proximity to tanker or other refuelling aircraft;
- c. Variations in aircraft configurations;
- d. Stability of tanker's hose, boom and probe.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 411 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

1. Technical Publications should provide procedures for AAR operations including any restrictions/limitations on flight handling.

2. Flight simulation and flight testing should demonstrate the aircraft's ability to perform AAR operations successfully and safely throughout the aircraft's cleared AAR flight envelope at all permitted configurations.

| Int | formation Sources | | | |
|--------------|--|----|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2001: 3.1.1.1.1, 3.3.11.1.1 JSSG-2009 Appendix F.3.4.6.2.2.2 | F: | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P13 3.5.19 00-970 P13 3.5.21 00-970 P13 3.5.23 00-970 P13 3.5.79 |
| | | | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23 Subpart B CS 25 Subpart B CS 25 Subpart G CS 27 Subpart B CS 29 Subpart B |

8.7.1.11 Merged with Section 15.

8.7.1.12 Equipment safing.

It shall be possible to inhibit/disengage any aircraft system which could pose a hazard during AAR.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring that procedures are in place to control and/or mitigate hazards through the inhibition/disengagement of aircraft systems.

b. Ensuring that methods for inhibition/disengagement of hazardous aircraft systems is appropriate, which may include automating shut-down of hazardous systems on AAR rendezvous.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should highlight aircraft equipment/systems which pose a hazard to AAR operations, and their means of inhibition/disengagement.

2. Technical Publications should detail procedures for the inhibition/disengagement of aircraft systems prior to or during AAR operations.

3. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate that hazards associated with aircraft systems and AAR operations are suitably controlled and mitigated.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.1301 |
| | | Reference: | CS 25.1301 |
| | | | CS 27.1301 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 412 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|------------|
| | CS 29.1301 |

8.7.1.13 Spatial clearance between participating aircraft.

There shall be adequate clearance between AAR aircraft to allow for safe AAR operations.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The effect of performing AAR operations throughout all conditions for which AAR is permitted, including altitudes, weather conditions, flight rules, etc.

b. The effect of modifications to tanker and/or receiver aircraft which could reduce the available clearance.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the nominal and minimum clearance between tanker and receiver aircraft.

2. Technical Publications should provide procedures for safe AAR, including detail on achieving and maintaining adequate clearance between aircraft.

3. Flight simulations and flight testing should demonstrate that the clearance between aircraft during AAR operations (in receipt and/or tanker roles as applicable) is safe throughout the AAR operation.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P13 S4 L9, 6.1 |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | ATP 3.3.4.2(B) |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

8.7.2 Safe installation and operation.

AAR operations, in receiver and/or tanker roles as applicable, shall meet an appropriate level of safety. In addition, no single failure shall result in loss of control or loss of the aircraft.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Defining an appropriate level of safety to be met, taking into account the type of the aircraft, its roles, and the frequency with which AAR operations will be conducted.

b. Effects of operation and failure of aircraft systems, and any subsequent effect on other aircraft systems.

c. Hazards associated with fuel, fuel systems, and potential leaks and subsequent ignition risks, and provision of adequate ventilation/drainage to prevent hazardous build-up.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. The aircraft specification should detail the level of safety to be met for AAR.

2. Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) should demonstrate that single failures in any aircraft system (including the AAR system) cannot not result in loss of control or loss of the aircraft.

3. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate that hazards associated with the aircraft and AAR operations are suitably controlled and mitigated, and that the overall level of safety is acceptable.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 413 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|---|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: 3.2.7.4.4.1, 4.2.7.4.4.1, 3.2.7.4.4.2, 4.2.7.4.4.2, 3.3.8, 4.3.8 MIL-STD-87166: 3.1.3 and 4.1.3 guidance on expected environments (cancelled; use for guidance) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P13 S3 3.5.39-3.5.41 00-970 P13 S4 L9 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.561, 23.1309 CS 25.561 CS 25.789 CS 25.1309 CS 27.561, 27.1309 CS 29.561, 29.1309 |

8.7.2.1 Minimization of hazards.

The AAR system shall be designed to minimise hazards from lightning, static electricity, fuel leaks, ignition sources and ground potential differences.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring that each hazard is identified and appropriately controlled and mitigated, to provide an acceptable level of safety.

b. Static electricity resulting from the potential difference between aircraft.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Functional Hazard Assessment (FHA) should identify the hazards associated with lightning, static electricity, fuel leaks, ignition sources and ground potential differences.

2. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate that hazards associated with lightning, static electricity, fuel leaks, ignition sources and ground potential differences are appropriately controlled and mitigated.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix F: F.3.4.6.1.7, F.4.4.6.1.7 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.27.36-4.27.39 00-970 P13 S3 3.5.16 00-970 P13 S3 3.5.18 00-970 P13 S3 3.5.26 00-970 P13 S3 3.5.39 00-970 P13 S4 L9 00-970 P1 S6 59-113 and 411 (for EMI/EMC) |
| | | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.581, 23.1316 CS 25.581 CS 25.954 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 414 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Informa | tion Sources | |
|---------|--------------|--------------------|
| | | CS 25.1316 |
| | | CS 27.954, 27.1316 |
| | | CS 29.954, 29.1316 |

8.7.2.1.1 Receptacle pressure box.

Receptacle installations shall have a fuel- and vapour-proof pressure box to collect any fuel spray that may occur during AAR. Probe compartments shall be fuel- and vapour-proof so that any fuel and vapour which may collect as a result of AAR operations is not able to migrate to other areas.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Venting and drainage of pressure box or probe compartments to prevent the build-up of hazardous quantities of fuel.

b. Isolation from potential ignition sources, including lightning.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the pressure box and/or probe compartment design, demonstrating that the pressure box collects fuel spray, and that the probe compartment prevents migration of fuel to other areas of the aircraft.

2. Functional Hazard Assessment (FHA) should demonstrate that the design of the pressure box and/or probe compartment provides adequate protection against ignition hazards.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: 3.3.8, 4.3.8; and Appendix F: F.3.4.6.2.2.4, F.4.4.6.2.2.4 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.26.5 00-970 P13 S3 3.5.16 00-970 P13 S3 3.5.26 00-970 P13 S4 L9 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 3614 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 25.954 |

8.7.2.1.2 Compartment drainage.

It shall be possible to drain the AAR compartments (receptacle pressure box, probe compartment, pod compartments, Hose Drogue Unit, etc.) without causing hazards to the aircraft, other aircraft or creating a potential hazard to personnel in all flight and ground conditions.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring that the capacity of the compartment is adequate to prevent over-flow.

b. Ensuring that the process for drainage of the compartment is easily achievable and safe.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the provisions for drainage of each AAR compartment.

2. Technical Publications should detail the procedures for safe drainage of each AAR compartment.

3. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate that drainage of each AAR compartment is achievable in accordance with the defined procedures in ground and flight as applicable.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 415 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: 3.3.8, 4.3.8; and Appendix F: F.3.4.6.2.2.3, F.4.4.6.2.2.3 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.3.8 00-970 P1 4.26.19 00-970 P13 S4 L9 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 25.975 CS 27.975 CS 29.975 |

8.7.2.1.3 Merged with 8.7.2.1.1.

8.7.2.1.4 Merged with 8.7.2.1.2.

8.7.2.1.5 Merged with 8.7.2.

8.7.2.1.6 Merged with 8.7.2.1.2.

8.7.2.1.7 Refuelling pump dry run capability.

Dry running of any AAR pump (i.e. the running of a pump not submerged in fuel) shall not create a potential ignition source.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The effect of failure of any safety device designed to prevent the dry running of AAR pumps.

b. The effect of running pumps when partially submerged and wholly unsubmerged.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Qualification Test Reports (QTR) should demonstrate that AAR pumps do not present a potential ignition source under prolonged dry run conditions.

2. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate that the dry running of AAR pumps does not cause an unacceptable rise in temperature of the pump or any surrounding area.

3. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate that the risk of ignition due to the dry running of any AAR pump is suitably controlled and mitigated.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2001: 3.2.1, 3.3.10.1.1 JSSG-2009 Appendix G: 3.4.7.6, 4.4.7.6 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.27.23-4.27.40 00-970 P13 3.5.14 00-970 P13 S4 L9 5.2.2 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 3614 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: 23.954, 25.954 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 25.581 CS 25.954 CS 25.1316 |

8.7.2.1.8 Secondary barrier.

A secondary liquid and vapour-tight barrier shall be in place between the AAR fuel tanks and all identified fire and ignition hazard areas and inhabited areas.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 416 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

Consideration should be given to:

a. Providing means to highlight the failure of the primary barrier.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Zonal Hazard Assessment (ZHA) should identify the fire and ignition hazard areas of the aircraft, and the inhabited areas of the aircraft.

2. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the primary and secondary vapour-tight barriers in place between AAR tanks and fire and ignition hazard areas and inhabited areas.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|---|-----------------|----------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix E: | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P13 S3 3.5.26 |
| | E.3.4.5.6.11, E.4.4.5.6.11; and | Reference: | |
| | Appendix F: F.3.4.6.1.6, F.4.4.6.1.6, F.3.4.6.1.7, | STANAG | |
| | F.4.4.6.1.7 | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

8.7.2.1.9 Merged with 8.7.2.1.

8.7.2.1.10 Merged with 8.7.2.1.

8.7.2.2 Aircraft flight control/handling qualities.

Flight control/handling qualities of the aircraft shall not be unacceptably degraded when the AAR subsystem is installed or operating under normal AAR and single-failure conditions.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Normal installation and operating conditions the aircraft (in tanker and/or receiver role) in isolation, with the AAR sub-system in either stowed or deployed configuration

b. Ensuring that satisfactory flight stability and handling qualities are achievable for the tanker/receiver AAR interface within the specified AAR envelope.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Technical Publications should detail any flight handling limitations or restrictions with the AAR fitted, or when performing AAR operations.

2. Flight simulation and flight testing should demonstrate that the handling qualities of the aircraft are acceptable with AAR equipment fitted, and during AAR operations.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix F: F.3.4.6.2.2.2, F.4.4.6.2.2.2, | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P13 Section 3.5 |
| | F.3.4.6.2.3.2, F.4.4.6.2.3.2 | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: 23 Subpart B, 25 Subpart B, 27 Subpart B, 29 Subpart B | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23 Subpart B CS 25 Subpart B CS 25 Subpart G CS 27 Subpart B |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 417 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|-----------------|
| | CS 29 Subpart B |

8.7.2.2.1 Flight control/handling qualities degradation.

Failure of the AAR system preventing return to a fully stowed configuration shall not degrade flight handling qualities below safe limits, or prevent continued safe flight and landing.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Failure of both receipt and dispense subsystems in the extended positions.

b. The operation of jettison systems, and the result of failure of such systems.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail any design features incorporated to prevent degradation in flight handling qualities resulting from failure of AAR sub-systems.

2. System Safety Assessments (SSA) should demonstrate that the risk associated with failure of AAR retraction sub-systems is acceptable.

3. Flight simulation and flight testing should demonstrate that flight handling qualities are acceptable following failure of the AAR system preventing return to a fully stowed configuration, and during jettison of AAR equipment (if applicable).

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2001: 3.3.11.1.1.1 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P13 3.5.39 00-970 P13 3.5.51 00-970 P13 S4 L9 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | |

8.7.2.2.2 Ram air turbine failure.

Ram Air Turbines (RAT) incorporated as part of the AAR system shall not unacceptably degrade flight control/handling qualities of the aircraft or prevent continued safe flight and landing.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Operation of the RAT in all flight phases.
- b. Asymmetrical RAT operation.
- c. Failure of the RAT in a deployed, stationary configuration.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should provide detail regarding any RAT(s) installed as part of the AAR system, and any associated safety devices.

2. Functional Hazard Assessment (FHA) should demonstrate that the hazards associated with the RAT cannot cause unacceptable system effects or flight handling qualities.

3. Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) should demonstrate that the hazards associated with failure of the RAT are acceptable, and cannot prevent continued safe flight and landing.

4. Flight simulation and flight testing should demonstrate that installation, operation and failure of the RAT(s) does not prevent continued safe flight and landing.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 418 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix F: 3.4.6.1.1, 4.4.6.1.1, 3.4.6.1.2, | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P13 S4 L9 00-970 P13 S3, 3.5.18 |
| | 4.4.6.1.2 | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

8.7.2.2.3 Jettison of stores/pods.

Jettison of AAR equipment shall not result in unacceptable flight handling qualities, or prevent continued safe flight or landing.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Defining an overall level of safety to be met, taking into account likely operational reasons for jettison of AAR equipment, and the frequency with which such jettison is expected.

b. Ensuring that the flight handling qualities of the aircraft following jettison of AAR equipment supports the expected aircraft missions following such jettison.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. The aircraft specification should specify the requirement for jettison of AAR equipment if applicable.

2. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail provisions for jettison of AAR equipment (if required).

3. Functional Hazard Assessment (FHA) should demonstrate that hazards associated with jettison of AAR equipment are acceptably controlled and mitigated.

4. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate that AAR equipment can be successfully and safely jettisoned.

5. Flight simulation and flight testing should demonstrate that flight handling qualities during and following jettison of AAR equipment are acceptable, and that jettison of AAR equipment does not prevent continued safe flight or landing.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.1309 |
| | | Reference: | CS 25.1309 |
| | | | CS 27.1309 |
| | | | CS 29.1309 |

8.7.2.2.4 Hose jettison function.

It shall be possible to jettison any portion of AAR hose in a safe and timely manner without resulting in unsafe flight handling qualities, or preventing continued safe flight or landing.

Consideration should be given to:

| Edition Number: 3.1 Edition | Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 419 /675 | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|-----------------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

a. Defining an overall level of safety to be met, taking into account likely operational reasons for jettison of AAR hose, and the frequency with which such jettison is expected.

b. Ensuring that the flight handling qualities of the aircraft following jettison of AAR hose supports the expected aircraft missions following such jettison.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail provisions for jettison of AAR hose.

2. Functional Hazard Assessment (FHA) should demonstrate that hazards associated with jettison of AAR hose are acceptably controlled and mitigated.

3. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate that AAR hose can be successfully and safely jettisoned.

4. Flight simulation and flight testing should demonstrate that flight handling qualities during and following jettison of AAR hose are acceptable, and that jettison of AAR hose does not prevent continued safe flight or landing.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P13 S4 L9 |
| | | Reference: | 00-970 P13 S3, 3.5.57 |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.1309 |
| | | Reference: | CS 25.1309 |
| | | | CS 27.1309 |
| | | | CS 29.1309 |

8.7.2.3 Egress with unstowed equipment.

The in-flight egress, ground emergency egress, and assisted egress of any crewmember of either tanker or receiver aircraft shall not be hindered when the AAR system interface cannot be returned to its fully stowed configuration.

Consideration should be given to:

a. All methods of egress, including ejection or use of any provided emergency escape.

b. Failure of AAR equipment in any combination of un-stowed configuration (for example more than 1 hose extended).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Functional Hazard Assessment (FHA) should demonstrate that hazards associated with emergency egress with AAR equipment in an un-stowed configuration are acceptable.

2. Technical Publications should provide procedures for emergency egress with AAR equipment fitted and in an un-stowed condition.

3. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate that emergency egress is not hindered by the AAR equipment in an un-stowed condition.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix F: | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P13 S4 L9 7.4.1 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 420 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|----------|---|------------|--------------------|
| | F.3.4.6.2.2.2, F.4.4.6.2.2.2, F.3.4.6.2.3.2, F.4.4.6.2.3.2 | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 25.809 |
| | | Reference: | CS 23.1309 |
| | | | CS 25.1309 |
| | | | CS 27.1309 |
| | | | CS 29.809, 29.1309 |

8.7.2.4 Built-in-test and fault isolation.

AAR systems shall incorporate Built In Test (BIT) functions and fault isolation provisions to maximise the safety of AAR operations.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring that the use of BIT functions and fault isolation provisions is simple and can be utilised at all appropriate times (during ground maintenance, pre-flight checks, AAR rendezvous, etc.).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail BIT functions and fault isolation provisions incorporated into the design of the AAR system.

2. Technical Publications should detail procedures for the use of AAR BIT functions and fault isolation provisions.

3. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate that AAR BIT functions and fault isolation provisions function as intended.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: 3.2.9, 3.2.9.1, 4.2.9 00-970 P13 S4 L9 7.4.1 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P13 S4 L9 6.5.1 00-970 P13 S4 L9 8.2.1 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1309 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1309 |

8.7.3 Removal of AAR equipment.

The aircraft shall have acceptable handling qualities and safety of flight in all permitted configurations of the AAR equipment.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Removable hardware, which may include AAR pods, fuel tanks and AAR probe installations;

b. All permitted flight configurations (e.g. partial installation of the AAR system).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the permitted flight configurations.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 421 /675 | 1 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|---|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|---|

2. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate that hazards associated with the AAR system in all allowable configurations of the AAR hardware are acceptable and appropriately controlled and mitigated.

3. Technical Publications should provide procedures for use of the AAR system and any flight handling limitations/restrictions, for all allowable configurations of the AAR system.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.1301 |
| | | Reference: | CS 25.1301 |
| | | | CS 27.1301 |
| | | | CS 29.1301 |

8.7.3.1 Removal of AAR equipment effect on other-system interfaces

With AAR equipment removed, interfaces with other systems (e.g., electrical, hydraulic and fuel) shall be safe.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Electrical, hydraulic and fuel system components, leads, pipes and assemblies, ensuring that all interfaces are properly covered, sealed, isolated, etc.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the various configurations in which AAR equipment installation is permitted, and for each configuration, provisions for the isolation of system interfaces not in use.

2. Technical Publications should provide procedures for the isolation of system interfaces when not in use.

3. Rig, ground and flight tests should demonstrate that interface isolation is effective when all or part of the AAR system is removed.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: F.3.4.6.1.5, | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P13 |
| | F.4.4.6.1.5; G.3.4.7.3, | Reference: | |
| | G.4.4.7.3 | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.1309 |
| | | Reference: | CS 25.1309 |
| | | | CS 27.1309 |
| | | | CS 29.1309 |

8.7.3.2 Merged with 8.7.3.

8.7.3.3 AAR equipment removal effect on operation of other systems.

Removal of AAR equipment shall not unacceptably degrade the operation of the other aircraft systems.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 422 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

Consideration should be given to:

a. Electrical, hydraulic and fuel system components, leads, pipes and assemblies;

b. Impact of removal or the AAR equipment on the operation of the remaining aircraft systems.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the various configurations in which AAR equipment installation is permitted, and should highlight any degradation in any aircraft system due to partial installation or removal of the AAR system.

2. Technical Publications should detail any limitations/restrictions in place due to partial installation or removal of the AAR system.

3. Rig, ground and flight tests should demonstrate that all aircraft systems operate acceptably with the AAR system partially installed or removed.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2001: 3.3.11.1.1- 3.3.11.1.3 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 Part 13 Section 3, 3.5 |
| | JSSG-2009: F3.4.6.1.5, F.4.4.6.1.5 MIL-STD-1797 | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1309 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1309 |

8.7.4 Merged with 8.7.1.

8.7.4.1 Exposure of components to proof pressure.

AAR system plumbing/components shall withstand exposure to the specified proof pressure without resulting in excessive or permanent deformation, fuel leakage and/or degradation of system performance.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring that appropriate proof pressures are defined, which should be greater than the maximum expected system pressure, including pressure transients (surges).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should define the proof pressure of the AAR system.

2. Analysis should demonstrate that pressures in the AAR system do not exceed the defined proof pressure, including all expected operating conditions.

3. Analysis should demonstrate that AAR system components and their supports are able to withstand the defined proof pressure without excessive or permanent deformation, fuel leakage and/or degradation of system performance.

4. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of the performed analysis, and should demonstrate that AAR system pressures do not exceed the defined proof pressure, and that the AAR system operates without excessive or permanent deformation, fuel leakage and/or degradation of system performance.

| Information | Sources | |
|-------------|---------|--|
| | | |

Edition Number: 3.1 Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 Status: endorsed Page 423/675

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|---|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | ARSAG 00-03-01, "Pressure Defs & Terms, Mar '03.doc" 3.5 and 4.7 (unverified) JSSG-2009 Appendix F: F.3.4.6.1.3, F.4.4.6.1.3 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P13 3.5.9 00-970 P13 3.5.10 00-970 P13 S4 L9 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | |

8.7.4.2 Functional modes.

Critical operational functions and functional modes shall be provided in the AAR system to ensure the AAR operations can be conducted without creating hazards to aircraft or personnel.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Initiation of safe emergency disconnects of the AAR system when required by either party (tanker or receiver) when in AAR contact;

b. Safe cessation of fuel flow when in contact;

c. Emergency extensions or retractions of the AAR probe system.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Functional Hazard Assessment (FHA) should state the critical operational functions and functional modes and demonstrate that hazards associated with AAR operations are acceptable.

2. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should capture the hazards associated with critical operational functions and functional modes associated with AAR operations, and show that they are are acceptable and appropriately controlled and mitigated.

3. Ground and flight testing should demonstrate that the functional modes permitting AAR can be conducted without causing loss of aircraft or creating hazards to personnel.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix F: F.3.4.6.2.2.7, F.4.4.6.2.2.7, | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P13 S4 L9 6.5.1 00-970 P13 S4 L9 8.2.1 |
| | F.3.4.6.2.3.1.2, F.4.4.6.2.3.1.2 | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1301, 23.1309 CS 25.979 CS 25.1301 CS 25.1302 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1301, 27.1309 CS 29.979, 29.1301, 29.1309 |

8.7.4.3 AAR Operator Control

Adequate controls shall be provided and properly located for the appropriate crewmember(s)/operator(s) to activate and safely control the critical functions of the AAR system.

Consideration should be given to:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 424 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

a. Critical functions.

b. Anthropometric ranges of AAR operators.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the controls provided to flight crew for the control of AAR functions.

2. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate the crew member/operator(s) ability to carry out the critical AAR operational functions.

3. Functional Hazard Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate the crew member/operator(s) ability to carry out the critical operational functions and functional modes and demonstrate that hazards associated with AAR operations are acceptable.

4. Workload and Anthropometric assessment should demonstrate that the crewmember(s)/operator(s) can safely activate and control the various functions of the AAR system.

5. Flight simulation and flight testing should demonstrate that controls are suitably located and provide the crew with the ability to safely initiate and control AAR functions.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix F: F.3.4.6.2.1.3, F.4.4.6.2.1.3 JSSG-2010: 3.2.14, 4.2.14 (Unverified) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P13 3.5 00-970 P13 3.5.59 00-970 P13 S4 L9 6.5.1 00-970 P1 4.19 00-970 P1 4.19.38 00-970 P13 3.5.7 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.771 CS 25.771 CS 25.1353 CS 27.771 CS 29.771, 29.1353 |

8.7.4.4 Display provisions.

Displays shall be provided and properly located to provide the appropriate crewmember(s)/operator(s) with the information necessary to safely perform AAR operations.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Display visibility, location, and background/ambient lighting conditions, taking into account day and night operation;

b. Clarity of displays and, if relevant, choice of colours and icons (consider international standards and interoperability requirements).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the AAR displays provided, the various indications and other information that the displays provide to crew, and the situations/logic that will lead to each indication.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 425 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

2. Technical Publications should provide procedures for safe AAR operations, including detail on the various indications that could be provided to aircrew, and the actions that aircrew should subsequently take.

3. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate that displays function as expected, and that AAR operations can be conducted safely in accordance with the provided procedures, using the provided displays effectively.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010: 3.2.13, 4.2.13 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.19.38 00-970 P13 S3.5 00-970 P13 3.5.7 00-970 P13 S4 L9 6.5.1 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1321 CS 25.1321 CS 27.1321 CS 29.1321 |

8.7.4.5 Display lighting.

The intensity of displays shall be variable and compatible with Night Vision Devices (NVD) where such devices are permitted for use on the aircraft.

Consideration should be given to:

a. An appropriate range of intensity variation, taking into account the type and role of the aircraft, and the possibility for reducing intensity to the point that it may become difficult to discern the content of displays accurately.

b. The types of NVD with which the displays should be compatible.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the provisions for variation of AAR display intensity, and compatibility with NVD.

2. Flight simulation and flight testing should demonstrate that displays function as intended, providing adequate intensity variation, and NVD compatibility.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010: 3.5.2.1.2, 4.5.2.1.2 (Unverified) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.15.63 00-970 P1 4.15.48 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 3224 3800 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | |

8.7.5 Compatibility with other systems.

Installation and operation of the AAR system shall not unacceptably degrade the operation, function, performance, or safety of other aircraft systems.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 426 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

Consideration should be given to:

a. Aircraft systems with which the AAR system has direct interfaces, such as hydraulic, electrical, fuel, and avionics systems, including fuel management sub-systems.

b. Aircraft systems with which the AAR system does not have direct interfaces, but which it may otherwise affect, such as flying controls.

c. The effect of AAR pressures in aircraft fuel systems, and hazards associated with any resulting leaks in either (AAR or fuel) system.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the systems with which the AAR system has direct interfaces, and any other systems which the AAR system may affect.

2. Systems Interface Documents (SID) should detail the parameters at each interface between the AAR system and other systems.

3. Analysis should demonstrate, for each aircraft system, that the load induced by the AAR system does not result in unacceptable performance of the aircraft system, or any other power-operated system.

4. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of performed analysis, and should demonstrate that the performance of all aircraft systems remains acceptable throughout AAR operations.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix F: | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P13 S4 L9 |
| | F.3.4.6.1.1, F.4.4.6.1.1 | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.1309 |
| | | Reference: | CS 25.1309 |
| | | | CS 27.1309 |
| | | | CS 29.1309 |

8.7.5.1 Merged with 8.7.5.

8.7.5.2 Merged with 8.7.1.6.

8.7.5.3 Merged with 8.7.1.5.

8.7.5.4 Field of view.

The field of view of the crew member(s)/operator(s)/automated system(s) shall be adequate for AAR operations, and other aircraft operations.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Normal operation of the AAR system (i.e. probe or pod fitted / stowed / extended);

b. Failure of retractable elements to return to the fully stowed configuration;

c. Safety critical flight phases.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Analysis should demonstrate that any restriction in the field of view in any direction, caused by the installation or use of AAR equipment is acceptable.

2. Flight simulation and flight testing should demonstrate that the installation and use of AAR equipment does not cause unacceptable restriction in the available field of view, and cannot prevent continued safe flight or landing.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 427 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | | | |
|--------------|-----------------------------|---|-----------------|-------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010: 3.3.2.1, 4.3.2.1 | 1 | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 4.17.6 |
| | (unverified) | _ | Reference: | 00-970 P13 3.5.22 |
| | JSSG-2009 Appendix | F | STANAG | |
| | 3.4.6.2.3.2 | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | | EASA CS | |
| | | | Reference: | |

8.7.5.5 Merged with 8.7.5.

8.7.5.6 Effects of electrical failure(s).

Electrical failures within the AAR system shall not prevent the continued safe function of the aircraft electrical system, or any other power-operated system.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Providing a means to electrically isolate the AAR system from the main electrical system in the event that a failure occurs.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail provisions for the electrical isolation of AAR equipment from the aircraft's electrical system.

2. Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) should demonstrate that any electrical failure of the AAR system cannot lead to failure of the aircraft's electrical system, or prevent operation of any other power-operated system.

3. Technical Publications should provide procedures for the electrical isolation of the AAR system, and, where appropriate, its sub-elements.

4. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate that the AAR system can be electrically isolated in accordance with defined procedures.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 Appendix F: F.3.4.6.1.1, F.4.4.6.1.1 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P13 3.5.18 00-970 P1 S6 |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1309 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1309 |

8.7.5.7 Merged with 8.7.5.

8.7.5.8 Merged with 8.7.5.

8.7.5.9 Merged with 8.7.5.

8.7.5.10 Merged with 8.7.2.2.1.

8.7.5.11 Merged with 8.7.2.2.4.

8.7.5.12 Merged with 8.7.5.

8.7.5.13 Merged with 8.7.5.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 428 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

8.8 MECHANISMS

This section covers the design, installation, integration and compatibility of all mechanical actuation subsystems that provide motion and position locking functions for stowable and deployable surfaces such as folding wing panels, folding rotor blade systems, folding tail rotors/pylons in ground and air applications for both operational and maintenance purposes. Additionally, equipment involved in the securing, fastening, and mechanizing of aircraft doors, hatches, ramps, etc. is also covered; including items such as locks, latches, bearings, hinges, linkages, indicators, and actuators.

Equipment that is mechanical in form, fit, and function, but not covered by any other system-level requirements should be included herein.

8.8.1 Functionality.

Mechanisms shall perform their intended function(s) throughout all expected operating environments and conditions.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The failure of power supply systems, actuators, and linkages, and the resulting effect on aircraft functions.

b. The varying operating environments through which operation is expected, including temperatures, humidities, dust and sand, frosting and icing, and operation under load.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the mechanisms incorporated in the design of the aircraft, their source of power, and their function(s).

2. Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) should demonstrate that the risk of failure of each mechanism is acceptable.

3. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate that mechanisms operate as intended through all expected operating conditions.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: Appendix I, 3.4.9.1, 3.4.9.4 MIL-M-87222 Mechanical Systems for Aircraft Doors and Canopies - inactive for new design | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.19.59 00-970 P1 4.20.1 00-970 P1 4.20.7 00-970 P1 4.20.8 00-970 P1 4.20.10 00-970 P1 4.20.18 00-970 P1 4.23.9 00-970 P1 4.23.10 00-970 P1 4.23.40 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.601 4671.783 |
| | | | 4671.843 |
| | | | 4671.905 |
| | | | 4671.1301 |
| | | | 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.601 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 429 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | | |
|---------------------|------------|------------|
| | Reference: | CS 23.783 |
| | | CS 23.807 |
| | | CS 23.843 |
| | | CS 23.905 |
| | | CS 23.1301 |
| | | CS 23.1309 |
| | | CS 25.601 |
| | | CS 25.807 |
| | | CS 25.809 |
| | | CS 25.810 |
| | | CS 25.843 |
| | | CS 25.1301 |
| | | CS 25.1309 |
| | | CS 27.601 |
| | | CS 27.807 |
| | | CS 27.1301 |
| | | CS 27.1309 |
| | | CS 29.601 |
| | | CS 29.783 |
| | | CS 29.809 |
| | | CS 29.1301 |
| | | CS 29.1309 |

8.8.2 Effects of jams.

The jamming of mechanisms (e.g. due to inadvertent interference between parts) shall not result in damage or permanent deformation to any latch or support structure.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The various locations at which a mechanism could jam, and the resulting forces throughout the mechanism, and on any latches or support structure.

b. Preferred points of failure of the mechanism, taking into account its fail-safe design, maximisation of airworthiness, and provisions for access for maintenance.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Structural analysis (static, dynamic and kinematic) should identify the point(s) of failure of the mechanism and the loads through each mechanism component, latch and supporting structure through expected and possible ranges of movement.

2. Rig testing should demonstrate the accuracy of the performed analysis, and should demonstrate that the jamming of mechanisms cannot result in damage or permanent deformation of any latch or support structure.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | |
|--------------|--|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: Appendix I, 3.4.9.1, 3.4.9.4 MIL-M-87222 Mechanical Systems for Aircraft Doors and | 00-970 P1 4.20.5 00-970 P1 4.20.8 00-970 P1 4.20.18 00-970 P1 4.23.40 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 430 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

| ا ا | formation Courses | | |
|----------|---------------------------------|------------|------------|
| Ini | ormation Sources | | |
| | Canopies: 3.1.4.9; 3.1.5.9; | STANAG | 4671.601 |
| | 4.1.4.9; 4.1.5.9 - inactive for | Reference: | 4671.1301 |
| | new design | | 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.601 |
| | | Reference: | CS 23.783, |
| | | | CS 23.807 |
| | | | CS 23.1301 |
| | | | CS 23.1309 |
| | | | CS 25.601 |
| | | | CS 25.783 |
| | | | CS 25.809 |
| | | | CS 25.1301 |
| | | | CS 25.1309 |
| | | | CS 27.601 |
| | | | CS 27.807 |
| | | | CS 27.1301 |
| | | | CS 27.1309 |
| | | | CS 29.601 |
| | | | CS 29.783 |
| | | | CS 29.809 |
| | | | |
| | | | CS 29.1309 |
| | | | CS 29.1301 |

8.8.3 Failure effects.

Failure of any mechanism shall not cause the loss of control of the aircraft, or prevent continued safe flight and landing.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The varying modes through which each mechanism could fail, taking into account the loads through each mechanism at all points in their travel.

b. All possible effects of failure, including direct effects (e.g. the failure of actuated flight control surfaces) and indirect effects (damage to surrounding structure and equipment, severing of hydraulic lines, electrical cables, fuel lines, etc.).

c. The effective mitigation of failure, for example ensuring that the failure of a primary mechanism does not cause the failure of a secondary (back-up) mechanism.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail any design features which provide controls and/or mitigations for the failure of mechanisms.

2. Structural analysis (static, dynamic and kinematic) should identify the point(s) of failure of the mechanism, and the travel of the mechanism at each failure.

3. Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) should demonstrate that the failure of any mechanism cannot cause the loss of control of the aircraft, or prevent continued safe flight and landing.

4. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate that any controls and/or mitigations provided to reduce the risk of failure of any mechanism functions correctly.

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|--|
| | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 431 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: Appendix I, 3.4.9.1, 3.4.9.4 MIL-M-87222 Mechanical Systems for Aircraft Doors and Canopies: 3.1.2.4; 4.1.2.4 - inactive for new design | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.20.1 00-970 P1 4.20.7 00-970 P1 4.20.8 00-970 P1 4.20.10 00-970 P1 4.23.9 00-970 P1 4.23.22 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.601 4671.783 4671.1301 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.601 CS 23.783 CS 23.1301 CS 23.1309 CS 25.601 CS 25.783 CS 25.1301 CS 25.1309 CS 27.601 CS 27.1301 CS 27.1309 CS 29.601 CS 29.783 CS 29.1309 |

8.8.4 Independence from flight controls.

Operation (commanded, inadvertent or uncommanded) of any non flight control system mechanism shall not restrict or prevent the correct operation of any flight control system mechanism.

Consideration should be given to:

a. All non flight control system mechanism, and any potential interference or other conflict that could arise with any flight control system mechanism.

b. Potential interference or other conflict at the pilot/crew interface, at the flight control surface, and at any point in between.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should demonstrate independence between flight control system mechanisms and mechanisms for other systems/functions.

2. Zonal Safety Assessment (ZSA) should confirm the independence between flight control system mechanisms and mechanisms for other systems/functions.

3. Flight simulation, ground and flight testing should demonstrate that operation of other controls does not restrict or prevent the correct operation of any flight control system mechanism.

| Information Sources | | |
|---------------------|-------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 432 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Inf | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: Appendix I, | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 4.20.1 |
| | 3.4.9.1.3, 3.4.9.4, 3.4.9.3 | Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.20.7 |
| | MIL-M-87222 Mechanical | | 00-970 P1 4.20.8 |
| | Systems for Aircraft Doors and | | 00-970 P1 4.20.10 |
| | Canopies: 3.1.2.6; 4.1.2.6 - | | 00-970 P1 4.23.9 |
| | inactive for new design | | 00-970 P1 4.23.22 |
| | | STANAG | 4671.601 |
| | | Reference: | 4671.783 |
| | | | 4671.1301 |
| | | | 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.601 |
| | | Reference: | CS 23.607 |
| | | | CS 23.783 |
| | | | CS 23.1301 |
| | | | CS 23.1309 |
| | | | CS 25.601 |
| | | | CS 25.607 |
| | | | CS 25.783 |
| | | | CS 25.1301 |
| | | | CS 25.1309 |
| | | | CS 27.601 |
| | | | CS 27.607 |
| | | | CS 27.1301 |
| | | | CS 27.1309 |
| | | | CS 29.601 |
| | | | CS 29.607 |
| | | | CS 29.783 |
| | | | CS 29.1301 |
| | | | CS 29.1309 |
| | | | 00 20.1003 |

8.8.5 Fail-safe latching.

No single failure shall allow any latch to open inadvertently.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Failures of each latch, and other failures that could lead to the inadvertent opening of latches (e.g. failure of hinges that could cause excessive loads on latches).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Structural analysis should identify the point(s) of failure of latches and any other part that could directly cause the inadvertent opening of latches.

2. Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) should demonstrate that the failure of latch or any other part does not cause the inadvertent opening of latches.

| Information Sources | | |
|---------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: Appendix I, 3.4.9.1.3, 3.4.9.4 | 00-970 P1 4.20.1 00-970 P1 4.20.7 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 433 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|----------|--------------------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | MIL-M-87222 Mechanical | | 00-970 P1 4.20.8 |
| | Systems for Aircraft Doors and | | 00-970 P1 4.23.9 |
| | Canopies: 3.1.5.1; 4.1.4.2 - | | 00-970 P1 4.23.40 |
| | inactive for new design | | 00-970 P1 4.23.41 |
| | | STANAG | 4671.601 |
| | | Reference: | 4671.783 |
| | | | 4671.1301 |
| | | | 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.601 |
| | | Reference: | CS 23.783 |
| | | | CS 23.1301 |
| | | | CS 23.1309 |
| | | | CS 25.601 |
| | | | CS 25.783 |
| | | | CS 25.1301 |
| | | | CS 25.1309 |
| | | | CS 27.601 |
| | | | CS 27.1301 |
| | | | CS 27.1309 |
| | | | CS 29.601 |
| | | | CS 29.783 |
| | | | CS 29.1301 |
| | | | CS 29.1309 |

8.8.6 Interrelation of latching and locking systems.

Any locking system shall be incapable of locking, or indicating it is locked, unless all the latches are properly latched in the fully secured position.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The latching and locking sequence, and the effect of any latch not being in the fully secured position.

b. Ensuring that any locked indications are only provided when all latches are fully secured, and locked.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail any provision for the locking of latches, and means preventing the locking of latches in an unsecured position.

2. Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) should demonstrate that the failure of any latch in an unsecured position cannot lead to the locking of latches or indication of a locked mechanism.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: Appendix I, 3.4.9.1, 3.4.9.4 MIL-M-87222 Mechanical Systems for Aircraft Doors and Canopies: 4.1.5.7 - inactive for new design | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.20.1 00-970 P1 4.20.7 00-970 P1 4.20.8 00-970 P1 4.20.10 00-970 P1 4.23.10 |
| | | STANAG | 4671.601 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 434 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Inf | ormation Sources | | |
|----------|------------------|------------|------------|
| | | Reference: | 4671.783 |
| | | | 4671.1301 |
| | | | 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.601 |
| | | Reference: | CS 23.783 |
| | | | CS 23.1301 |
| | | | CS 23.1309 |
| | | | CS 25.601 |
| | | | CS 25.783 |
| | | | CS 25.1301 |
| | | | CS 25.1309 |
| | | | CS 27.601 |
| | | | CS 27.1301 |
| | | | CS 27.1309 |
| | | | CS 29.601 |
| | | | CS 29.783 |
| | | | CS 29.1301 |
| | | | CS 29.1309 |

8.8.7 Door pressurisation interlock.

All aircraft doors, whose inadvertent opening would present a probable hazard to continued safe flight and landing, shall have provisions to prevent depressurisation or inadvertent pressurisation of the aircraft to an unsafe level when the doors are closed but not fully secured (closed, latched, and locked).

Consideration should be given to:

a. The levels of depressurisation and inadvertent pressurisation considered hazardous to the continued safe flight and landing of the aircraft.

b. Means for preventing the inadvertent opening of aircraft doors that are closed but not secured.

c. Means for preventing the depressurisation of the aircraft cabin when aircraft doors are closed but not secured.

d. Means for preventing the inadvertent pressurisation of the aircraft cabin when aircraft doors are closed but not secured.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the provided means to prevent the inadvertent opening of aircraft doors, and the depressurisation and inadvertent pressurisation when the aircraft doors are closed but not secured,

2. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate that when any aircraft door that could affect continued safe flight and landing is closed but not secured:

a. The door cannot be inadvertently opened.

b. The aircraft cabin cannot depressurise.

c. The aircraft cabin cannot inadvertently pressurise.

| Information Sources | | |
|---------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: Appendix I, 3.4.9.1, 3.4.9.4 | 00-970 P1.4.20.1 00-970 P1 4.20.7 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 435 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|----------|--------------------------------|------------|------------------|
| | MIL-M-87222 Mechanical | | 00-970 P1 4.20.8 |
| | Systems for Aircraft Doors and | STANAG | 4671.601 |
| | Canopies: 3.1.5.8; 4.1.5.8 - | Reference: | 4671.1301 |
| | inactive for new design | | 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.601 |
| | | Reference: | CS 23.1301 |
| | | | CS 23.1309 |
| | | | CS 25.365 |
| | | | CS 25.601 |
| | | | CS 25.783 |
| | | | CS 25.1301 |
| | | | CS 25.1309 |
| | | | CS 27.601 |
| | | | CS 27.1301 |
| | | | CS 27.1309 |
| | | | CS 29.601 |
| | | | CS 29.1301 |
| | | | CS 29.1309 |

8.8.8 Operator interface.

Adequate information shall be available to notify the flight crew of the status of aircraft door and mechanism security; i.e. that an unsafe indication is provided when a door/mechanism, latching, or locking system is unsecured, and that a safe indication is provided when aircraft doors and other mechanisms are secured

Consideration should be given to:

a. Clear presentation of relevant information to crew, including status indication, and warning, caution and advisory information.

b. Ensuring that changes in the aircraft door/mechanism status are highlighted to the crew in a clear and unambiguous manner.

c. Ensuring that any required pilot/crew input or intervention is clearly and unambiguously identified.

d. Ensuring that, where pilot/crew action is required in accordance with an emergency procedure, checklist or other Technical Publication, the relevant section of the Technical Publication is clearly defined such that the pilot/crew can intervene with minimal delay.

e. Ensuring that any credible combination of failures does not prevent the accurate notification of system operating conditions.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should identify the indications/displays provided to crew including where appropriate the conditions that would lead to specific indications.

2. System Description Documents (SDD) should clearly define the possible system operating conditions and the operating parameters that trigger each condition.

3. Analysis (e.g. System Simulations) should demonstrate that system status information and changes in system operating conditions are provided to the crew throughout all foreseeable aircraft operating conditions.

4. Rig and/or Ground Testing should verify the accuracy of the performed analysis, including the effect of system/equipment failures.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 436 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

5. Flight Simulations, Ground Testing and/or Flight Testing should verify that the system status information and changes in operating conditions are displayed clearly and unambiguously, and that emergency procedures, checklists and other Technical Publications can be used effectively.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: Appendix I, 3.4.9.1, 3.4.9.4 MIL-M-87222 Mechanical | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.20.1 00-970 P1 4.20.7 00-970 P1 4.23.10 |
| | Systems for Aircraft Doors and Canopies: 3.1.7.1; 4.1.7.1 - inactive for new design | STANAG Reference: | 4671.601 4671.783 4671.1301 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.601 CS 23.783 CS 23.1301 CS 23.1309 CS 25.601 CS 25.783 CS 25.1301 CS 25.1309 CS 27.601 CS 27.1301 CS 27.1309 CS 29.601 CS 29.783 CS 29.1309 |

8.8.9 Merged with 9.1

8.8.10 Door seals.

All door seals shall prevent rain or water leakage into the aircraft during all flight and ground operations, and while the aircraft is parked and depressurised under storm conditions.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. The effect of variation in aircraft attitude, and positions of moveable components.
- b. The effect of reasonably expected deterioration of seals prior to their replacement.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail provisions for the prevention of water ingress.

2. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the effectiveness of seals or other devices, and should demonstrate that no water enters the cabin on the ground or in flight during storm conditions.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009: Appendix I: 3.4.9.1.10; 3.4.9.4 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.3.4 00-970 P1 4.20.1 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 437 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|----------|--------------------------------|------------|--------------------|
| | MIL-M-87222 Mechanical | | 00-970 P1 4.20.16 |
| | Systems for Aircraft Doors and | | 00-970 P1 7.2.6 |
| | Canopies: 3.1.10.2; 4.1.10.2 - | | 00-970 P13 3.11.21 |
| | inactive for new design | STANAG | 4671.601 |
| | | Reference: | 4671.609 |
| | | | 4671.1301 |
| | | | 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.601 |
| | | Reference: | CS 23.609 |
| | | | CS 23.1301 |
| | | | CS 23.1309 |
| | | | CS 25.601 |
| | | | CS 25.609 |
| | | | CS 25.1301 |
| | | | CS 25.1309 |
| | | | CS 27.601 |
| | | | CS 27.609 |
| | | | CS 27.1301 |
| | | | CS 27.1309 |
| | | | CS 29.601 |
| | | | CS 29.609 |
| | | | CS 29.1301 |
| | | | CS 29.1309 |

8.8.11 Merged with 8.8.1.

8.8.12 Locking of structural load path mechanisms.

Mechanisms that also provide a structural load path, shall be fail-safe. I.e. failure of the mechanism shall not result in failure of the structural load path.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring that mechanisms fail in a suitable position, for example with a flight control surface in a central position.

b. Ensuring that any locking required to secure a failed mechanism is either automatic or easily achievable by the pilot/crew and documented in necessary technical publications.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail fail-safe provisions for all mechanisms that also provide a structural load path, and the range of positions the mechanisms may fail in.

2. Structural analysis should confirm that failure of any mechanism that also provides a structural load path does not result in failure of that structural load path.

3. Aerodynamic analysis and/or testing should demonstrate that the failure of any mechanism in any possible position, but while still acting as a structural load path, does not result in loss of control or prevent the continued safe flight and landing of the aircraft.

| In | formation Sources | |
|-------------|-------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 438 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009, Appendix I: | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 3.4.15 |
| | 3.4.9.1.10; 3.4.9.4; 3.4.9.4.2; | Reference: | |
| | 4.4.9.4.2 | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

8.8.13 Merged with 8.8.8.

8.8.14 Utility actuation control.

Utility actuation mechanisms (those mechanisms provided for functions not critical to flight) shall permit controlled operation of normal and emergency functions and shall provide separate means for motion control and locking.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring adequate separation between motion control and locking, such that both actuation power and control for moving the mechanism to its commanded position are independent from the power and control used to hold the mechanism in a given state.

b. Preventing inadvertent actuation of mechanisms, including through controls (e.g. by the incorporation of guards) and through extraneous interference (e.g. electromagnetic interference).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail any utility systems and their mechanisms, highlighting the separate controls for their actuation and their locking.

2. Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) should demonstrate that failure of the actuation means or locking means of any utility system does not result in a hazardous effect that could prevent continued safe flight and landing.

3. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate that the controls provided for utility systems allows for controlled actuation and locking of its mechanisms.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009, Appendix I: | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | 3.4.9.1.10; 3.4.9.4; 3.4.9.4.4; | Reference: | |
| | 4.4.9.4.4 | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

8.8.15 Safety devices for manual operation.

Actuation subsystems that have provision for manual operation shall include safety devices in order to prevent injury to maintainers in case of inadvertent application of power during a manually powered operation and shall incorporate means of controlling deployment speed to a specified safe rate.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Preventing inadvertent mechanism operation (incorporation of mechanical locks, circuit breaker lockout collars, manually operated valves, etc.);

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 439 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

b. Ensuring that manual system operation does not require personnel access near power-operated moving parts;

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail any provisions for manual operation of mechanisms and the safety devices provided to maximise the safety of its operator.

2. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate that the risk of injury when operating any manually operated mechanism is acceptable.

3. Technical Publications should detail procedures for the operation of manually operated mechanisms, highlighting any parts of the procedure required to ensure the safety of personnel.

4. Rig and ground testing should demonstrate that manually operated mechanisms can be operated in accordance with the Technical Publications effectively and safely.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | 3.4.9.1.10; 3.4.9.4; 3.4.9.4.5; | Reference: | |
| | 4.4.9.4.5 | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.1309 |
| | | Reference: | CS 25.1309 |
| | | | CS 27.1309 |
| | | | CS 29.1309 |

8.8.16 Utility actuation systems with ground power.

Utility actuation mechanisms (those mechanisms provided for functions not critical to flight) shall be capable of operating from ground power, and separately, from aircraft power.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring adequate system/mechanism performance for each and all sources of power, including transitions from one source of power to another.

b. Ensuring that system safety is unaffected by the source of power, including the control, indication and sequencing of mechanisms.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail any utility systems and their power supplies.

2. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the correct function of each utility system, and where possible during aircraft operation the concurrent operation of multiple utility systems using each and all sources of power, including transition from one power source to another.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009, Appendix I: | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | 3.4.9.1.10; 3.4.9.4; 3.4.9.4.6; | Reference: | |
| | 4.4.9.4.6 | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 440 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | | |
|---------------------|------------|--|
| | Reference: | |

8.8.17 Actuation time.

All actuation subsystems shall:

a. Safely perform their specific functions within the specified times and number of cycles;

b. Repeatedly perform their specific functions within an acceptable interval; and,

c. Have an acceptable expected life, taking into account the performance and expected usage of the mechanism.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The actuation times and cycles for each subsystem;

b. Ensuring the time between successive operations of the same cycle is not degraded over time and can be consistently repeated, throughout the design service life;

c. Ensuring the time between initiation of the command to the completion of the action is within the design allowable;

d. Ensuring specified times and cycles are compatible with the aircraft operational requirements;

e. Ensuring that common cycles between related systems that operate in conjunction with one another are taken into account.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the actuation time and/or number of actuation cycles required for each mechanism.

2. Equipment testing should demonstrate that actuators and mechanisms can withstand the required number of cycles without failure.

3. Technical Publications should define replacement intervals for mechanisms and their actuators.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-----------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009, Appendix I: | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | 3.4.9.1.10; 3.4.9.4; 3.4.9.4.7; 4.4.9.4.7 | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

8.8.18 Actuation without damage.

Utility actuation mechanisms shall prevent damage to adjacent movable surfaces (e.g. flaps) during folding and unfolding operations.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Use of mechanical interlocks or control logic to prevent actuation power/movement when other mechanical surfaces or flight control surfaces are in a position to be damaged or compromised;b. Environmental effects (such as wind, temperature and snow/ice).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 441 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the design features incorporated to prevent utility actuation mechanisms from causing damage to movable surfaces during folding and unfolding operations.

2. Technical Publications should detail procedures to prevent damage to moveable surfaces during folding and unfolding operations.

3. Rig and ground testing should demonstrate that folding and unfolding operations can be performed in accordance with defined procedures without causing interference or damage between utility mechanisms and moveable surfaces.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-----------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | DoD/MIL Doc: JSSG-2009, Appendix I: 3.4.9.1.10; 3.4.9.4; 3.4.9.4.8; 4.4.9.4.8 | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

8.8.19 Actuation subsystem attachment location.

Actuation subsystem attachments shall not form an integral part of aircraft structure, such that failure of attachments does not cause failure of structure, and such that attachments are replaceable without the replacement of aircraft structure.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring that fastening means for securing attachments to structure allow for the replacement of attachments.

b. Providing frangible design features to ensure that attachment points fail before any excessive or permanent deformation of aircraft structure.

c. Providing adequate access to attachment points to allow for their installation and removal.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the attachment means for each mechanism, highlighting separation from aircraft structure.

2. Structural analysis should demonstrate that overload of a mechanism causing failure of the attachment point cannot result in excessive or permanent deformation of aircraft structure.

3. Technical Publications should detail the procedures for replacement of each mechanism attachment point.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|---|-----------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | DoD/MIL Doc: JSSG-2009, Appendix I: 3.4.9.1.10; 3.4.9.4; 3.4.9.4.9; 4.4.9.4.9 | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 442 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

8.8.20 Mechanism clearances.

Sufficient clearance shall be maintained between mechanisms and other parts of the aircraft and the ground such that inadvertent interference/contact is impossible throughout the travel of the mechanisms in all ground and flight conditions.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Critical combinations of landing gear deflections (including flat tyres, compressed landing gear, etc.) and aircraft loading conditions.

b. Variation in mechanisms throughout the aircraft's life, including the effects of wear, adjustment of parts, and variation in part dimensions (i.e. tolerances).

c. Environmental effects (such as wind, temperature and snow/ice).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Structural analysis should demonstrate that inadvertent interference/contact is impossible throughout the travel of mechanisms in all ground and flight conditions.

2. Rig, ground and flight testing should verify the accuracy of analysis performed and should demonstrate that inadvertent interference/contact between mechanisms does not occur in critical ground and flight conditions.

3. Technical Publications should detail procedures for adjusting mechanisms to ensure that inadvertent interference/contact does not occur, taking into account the effects of flight conditions.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--|-----------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | oD/MIL Doc: JSSG-2009, Appendix I: 3.4.9.1.10; 3.4.9.4; 3.4.9.4.10; 4.4.9.4.10 | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

8.8.21 Manual actuation provisions for ground operations.

Mechanisms used during ground operations or maintenance shall have manual means of operation to allow operation during power-off conditions.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Provisions to prevent hazards to maintenance personnel or damage critical components that could cause blade/wing/tail surface control loss or damage to electrical connectors, control lines, or such during normal, manual, or externally powered blade-folding and spreading;

b. Power-off conditions due to maintenance, or emergency conditions (e.g. failed power units);

c. Externally-applied-load backdrive protection;

d. Maintainer-induced overload protection;

e. Ensuring successful operation with a coating of ice covering any locking mechanism or locked/unlocked indicating mechanism.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the means provided for manual operation of mechanisms used during ground operation, and the means provided for manual operation of other mechanisms used during maintenance.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 443 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

2. Technical Publications should detail procedures for use of manual operation means for mechanisms to be used during ground operations, and those to be used during maintenance.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--|-----------------|-------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | DD/MIL Doc: JSSG-2009, Appendix I: 3.4.9.1.10; 3.4.9.4; 3.4.9.4.11; 4.4.9.4.11 | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 4.20.11 |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

8.8.22 Clear display of locked/unlocked status.

The locked-unlocked condition of mechanisms used during ground operations shall be visually displayed, externally, internally, or both if appropriate, by purely mechanical, non-electric means.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Direct visual inspection of the locking mechanism itself;

b. Use of flags, distinctively coloured cylinders, and distinctively coloured portions of the aircraft surface that are revealed by the actuating mechanism itself for external identification;

c. Identification during day or night conditions;

d. Ensuring devices are visible from any position that a maintainer could be expected to be at during the actuation cycle.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the means provided to indicate the lockedunlocked condition of mechanisms to ground crew.

2. Technical Publications should detail procedures for working around mechanisms, highlighting the means for ensuring that mechanisms are secured, and the indications provided to ground crew.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 I: 3.4.9.4.13; 4.4.9.4.13 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.20.7 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.783 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.783 CS 25.783 CS 29.783 |

8.8.23 Securing of aircraft doors on the ground.

For ground operation with power off, means shall be provided to hold the aircraft doors in the open or closed position. Manually operated hold-open latches provided to secure doors in the open position, shall incorporate a lock, and shall be located in an area which personnel can access safely. Subsequent power operation of the doors, with these means left in place, shall not result in damage.

Consideration should be given to:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 444 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

a. Ensuring that the means for holding the doors in the open or closed position are either automatic, or that the procedure for their use is clearly displayed.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the design features incorporated to secure the aircraft doors in the open and closed positions.

2. Structural analysis should demonstrate that, with the doors secured in the open or closed positions, actuation of the doors does not result in excessive or permanent deformation of any part.

3. Technical Publications should detail procedures for the securing of the aircraft doors in their open and closed positions, and releasing such security to enable to opening or closing of the doors.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG 3.4.9.1.c, 3.4.9.1.13 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.20.3 00-970 P1 4.20.11 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.783 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.783 CS 25.783 CS 29.783 |

8.8.24 Aborted and resumed operation of controls.

Door controls shall be capable of stopping or reversing door movement at any time in the cycle at the option of the operator by selecting the appropriate control option. In the event of power loss / interruption in any associated system, doors shall not change position; and door controls shall go to the stop position and not require reprogramming upon resumption of power.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The consequence of hydraulic, pneumatic, electrical or mechanical failures;

b. Use of a positive mechanical device to prevent change in selected door positions due to hydraulic fluid bleeding down after hydraulic system power is shut off or loss of electrical power.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail controls provided for the operation of doors, and the effect of aborting and resuming door actuation.

2. Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) should demonstrate that the effects of failure (e.g. loss of power) does not result in an unacceptable risk.

3. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate that doors function as expected in ground and, where applicable, flight conditions.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|------------------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG 3.4.9.1.2, 3.4.9.1.9.a, | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | 3.4.9.1.9.d | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.1309 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 445 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Inf | ormation Sources | | |
|-----|------------------|------------|------------|
| | | Reference: | CS 25.1309 |
| | | | CS 27.1309 |
| | | | CS 29.1309 |

8.8.25 Merged with 8.9.24

8.8.26 In-flight actuation prevention for ground only systems.

Actuation systems designed for ground-only operation shall incorporate means to prevent in-flight actuation. All mechanical and powered locks and actuators shall be designed to prevent undesired surface positioning in flight. In the case of flight critical surfaces, control of any fold sequence shall require two separate and deliberate actions.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Utilising a "weight-on-wheels" (WOW) switch to prevent operation of ground-only actuating subsystems.

b. Ensuring that the incorporated means of preventing actuation in flight provides adequate protection.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the means to prevent operation in flight for all ground-only systems and mechanisms.

2. SDD should detail the design features incorporated to prevent undesired surface positioning in flight.

3. SDD should detail the inputs required to control flight-critical fold mechanisms, ensuring that at least two separate and deliberate actions are required.

4. Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) should demonstrate that the risk of failure of any protection means for ground-only systems/mechanisms is acceptable.

5. System Safety Analysis (SSA) should demonstrate that the hazards associated with in-flight operation of ground-only systems/mechanisms, undesired surface positioning in flight, and inadvertent folding are adequately controlled and mitigated.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009, Appendix I: | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | 1.3.4.9.4.2/1.4.4.9.4.2 | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

8.8.27 Prevention of inadvertent actuation.

Actuation systems shall incorporate positive locking features which do not depend on any power source to remain engaged to prevent inadvertent actuation following the activation and subsequent relief of safety devices such as thermal switches, fuses etc.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring that the locking features provide adequate mitigation of risk of inadvertent actuation, taking into account the effect of such inadvertent actuation on flight safety.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 446 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the positive locking features which prevent inadvertent actuation following the activation and subsequent relief of safety devices.

2. System Safety Analysis (SSA) should demonstrate that the risk associated with inadvertent operation of mechanisms following the activation and subsequent relief of safety devices is adequately controlled and mitigated.

3. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate that mechanisms cannot be inadvertently operated following the activation and subsequent relief of safety devices.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009, Appendix I: | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | 1.3.4.9.4.2/1.4.4.9.4.2 | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

8.8.28 Strength of removable devices for mechanism securing.

Removable devices fitted for the purpose of securing mechanisms and surfaces in any given position shall have strength equal to or exceeding that of the aircraft.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Removable surface securing devices used in lieu of integral locks;

b. Ensuring external securing devices are designed to reduce or eliminate the possibility of FOD during removal/installation;

c. Ensuring external bladefold securing devices are transportable within the aircraft vehicle to remote staging and operating areas;

d. Use in situations where high wind/sea state conditions occur and it is not feasible to move the aircraft vehicle to a safer location or within a hangar;

e. Withstanding maintainer induced loads (such as potential jam/forcing conditions), as well as normal environmental loads, such as wind, or shipboard movement.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail any provisions for removable devices for securing mechanisms or surfaces.

2. Structural analysis should demonstrate that the removable devices are stronger than the part(s) of the aircraft which they secure.

3. Technical Publications should detail the procedures for the installation and removal of removable devices and the maintenance activities that can take place with and without such removable devices installed.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG 3.4.9.4.12 | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 447 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|-----------|-------------------|------------|--|
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

8.8.29 Performance of bearings.

Airframe bearing selection and installation shall permit safe mechanical operation / function in each application, and shall be capable of:

a. Joining mechanical elements;

b. Transmitting design loads through the full range of the system operating parameters;

c. Permitting rotation, misalignment, or both while maintaining a specified dimensional relationship between the joined elements;

d. Reducing friction and wear; with appropriate limits for deviation/tolerance.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Selecting standard bearings in all applications, wherever possible, in order to minimise the cost of procurement and testing, reduce schedule and technical risk, and obtain multiple sources of supply;

b. The use of existing bearing selection parameters, such as MIL-HDBK-1599 Table 201-VII;

c. Ensuring bearings are durable, and are suitable for each application (e.g. whether to use anti-friction or plain bearings).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the use of bearings, including their type and size.

2. Structural analysis should demonstrate that selected bearings are suitable for their application.

3. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate that mechanisms function correctly, and that bearings do not fail or excessively wear.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009 App 1.3.4.9.2 MIL-HDBK-1599 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.5 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.603 4671.613 4671.657 |
| | | | 4671.693 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.603 CS 23.613 CS 23.657 CS 23.693 CS 25.603 CS 25.613 CS 25.657 CS 25.693 CS 27.603 CS 27.603 CS 27.613 |
| | | | CS 27.613 CS 29.603 CS 29.613 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 448 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

8.8.30 Life limit of bearings.

Each flight safety critical bearing shall have defined safe life limits which account for their operation in worst case environmental conditions.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Worst case operating conditions for each bearing, taking into account frequency of actuation, environmental effects, and effects of operation under load.

b. Ensuring that bearings are fail-safe, i.e. that failure of a bearing does not result in failure of a flight critical mechanism.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should highlight each flight critical bearing.

2. Technical Publications should include safe life limits for each flight safety critical bearing, and procedures for their replacement.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2009, Appendix I: | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 4.5 |
| | 1.3.4.9.2/14.4.9.2 | Reference: | |
| | MIL-HDBK-1599 | STANAG | 4671.603, 4671.613, 4671.657, |
| | | Reference: | 4671.693 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.603 |
| | | Reference: | CS 23.613 |
| | | | CS 23.657 |
| | | | CS 23.693 |
| | | | CS 25.603 |
| | | | CS 25.613 |
| | | | CS 25.657 |
| | | | CS 25.693 |
| | | | CS 27.603 |
| | | | CS 27.613 |
| | | | CS 29.603 |
| | | | CS 29.613 |

8.8.31 Endurance of mechanisms.

Safety of Flight critical mechanisms shall have sufficient endurance to preclude adverse safety effects throughout their service life.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Worst case operating conditions for each mechanism, taking into account frequency of actuation, environmental effects, and effects of operation under load.

b. Ensuring that mechanisms are fail-safe, i.e. that failure of a mechanism does not result in total failure of the subsystem.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should highlight each flight critical mechanism.

2. Fatigue analysis should demonstrate that mechanisms and their attachments points withstand the loads applied throughout their service life.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 449 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

3. Technical Publications should include safe life limits for each flight safety critical mechanism, and procedures for their replacement.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 S4 4.5.4 00-970 P1 S4 4.12.27 00-970 P7 S2 Supplement 4 L407 Para 2.1 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.603 CS 23.619 CS 23.627 CS 25.603 CS 25.619 CS 27.603 CS 27.619 CS 29.603 CS 29.619 |

8.8.32 No binding or jamming of flight critical mechanisms.

Safety of flight critical mechanisms shall not cause binding or jamming with surrounding structure or any portion of the system under expected operating conditions.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Temperature effects;
- b. Air loads;
- c. Landing loads;
- d. Structural deflections;
- e. Tire condition;
- f. Landing gear condition; and,
- g. Critical combinations of manufacturing tolerances and/or wear.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Structural analysis (static, dynamic and kinematic) should demonstrate that the loads through each safety of flight critical mechanism component does not cause binding or jamming with surrounding structure or any portion of the system, through expected and possible ranges of movement.

2. Rig testing should demonstrate the accuracy of the performed analysis, and should demonstrate that safety of flight critical mechanisms do not jam under expected operating conditions.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 450 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|----------|-------------------|------------|--|
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

8.9 CARGO HOOK SYSTEMS.

8.9.1 No adverse effects on safety.

Cargo Hook systems shall be safe for their intended use.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Usage parameters for the cargo hook equipment (weight limits, duration and frequency of use, aircraft and lifted item(s) accelerations, etc.);

b. Interfaces with the aircraft, and the effect of installation and use of the equipment on the aircraft, including effects on structures, crew workload, normal and emergency egress, flight handling qualities (including resonance and turbulence), operating procedures (normal and emergency), etc.;

c. The level of safety to be considered appropriate, taking into consideration the aircraft, its roles/missions, and the intended and expected operation of the cargo hook equipment.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Aircraft Specifications should identify the requirement for cargo hook equipment, including the required type(s) of equipment (sling mount, suspension mount, fixed, retractable, etc.), and the safety requirements to be met;

2. Systems Interface Documents (SID) should define the interfaces between the cargo hook equipment and the aircraft;

3. Technical Publications should provide procedures for use of cargo hook equipment, and should take account of effects of incorporation of such equipment (e.g. effects on flight handling, normal and emergency egress, operating procedures, maintenance procedures, etc.);

4. System Safety Assessment (SSA), and associated safety artefacts (Loss Models, Risk Registers, etc.) should demonstrate that the risk associated with incorporation of cargo hook equipment is acceptable;

5. Analysis should demonstrate that the cargo hook equipment and supporting aircraft structure is suitably strong for the equipment's expected use (see also Section 5);

6. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of performed analysis, and should demonstrate that the design of the cargo hook equipment, and its integration to the aircraft is acceptable.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | Refer to technical point of contact for this discipline (listed in section A.2) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P7 S2 L205 00-970 P7 S2 L205/1 00-970 P7 S2 L205/2 00-970 P7 S3 L1017 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 2445 2286 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference Parts 27 and 29 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 27.865 CS 29.865 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 451 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

8.9.2 Pilot/operator control of cargo hook system.

Adequate controls and displays shall be available to the pilot/operator to indicate the status of the cargo hook system to the required personnel (e.g. pilot and/or loadmaster), and controls shall be provided for the release of cargo in normal, automatic and emergency modes.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Clear presentation of relevant information to crew, including status indication, and warning, caution and advisory information;

b. Cargo hook status information appropriate to the aircraft's type, role and missions, in all weathers, operating environments, day and night;

c. The controls necessary to allow for the normal, emergency and automatic release of cargo, incorporating appropriate guards to prevent inadvertent release;

d. Ensuring that any required pilot input or intervention is clearly and unambiguously identified.

e. Ensuring that any credible combination of failures does not prevent the accurate notification of system operating conditions.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Aircraft Specifications should identify the requirement for cargo hook indications and controls;

2. Systems Interface Documents (SID) should define the interfaces between the cargo hook equipment and the aircraft;

3. System Description Documents (SDD) should identify the controls and displays provided to crew. For controls, detail should be provided regarding the mode of operation and function of each control. For displays, detail should be provided regarding all information displayed to the crew, and where appropriate, the conditions that would lead to specific indications.

4. Technical Publications should provide procedures for use of cargo hook equipment, and should take account of effects of incorporation of such equipment (e.g. effects on flight handling, normal and emergency egress, operating procedures, maintenance procedures, etc.);

5. System Safety Assessment (SSA), and associated safety artefacts (e.g. Failure Modes and Effects Analysis) should demonstrate that the effect of aircraft failures and any resulting cargo hook hazard is acceptable;

6. Rig ground and flight testing should demonstrate that controls perform their intended function(s) and that displays provide accurate and useful information to the crew and that the design of the cargo hook equipment, and its integration to the aircraft is acceptable.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | Refer to technical point of contact for this discipline (listed in section A.2) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P7 S2 L105 11.3 00-970 P7 S3.9 L714 2.4 00-970 P7 L205 2.7 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 133 Amendment No. 133-11, 133 Amendment No. 133-9 (Rotorcraft External-Load Operations) | EASA CS Reference: | CS 27.865(b) CS 27.865(c) CS 29.865(b) CS 29.865(c) |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 452 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

8.9.3 Securing of cargo.

Processes for the securing of cargo to the cargo hook system shall be defined in the appropriate manual(s), and shall be safe.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Usage parameters for the cargo hook equipment (types of loads to be lifted, lifting procedures, etc.);

b. Incorporation of markings and placards on the aircraft;

c. The level of safety to be considered appropriate, taking into consideration ground and flight crew, the aircraft, its roles/missions, and the intended and expected operation of the cargo hook equipment;

d. The maximum and minimum loads for safe movement of cargo.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Aircraft Specifications should identify the requirement for cargo hook equipment, including the required type(s) of equipment (sling mount, suspension mount, fixed, retractable, etc.), and the safety requirements to be met;

2. Technical Publications should provide procedures for use of cargo hook equipment, and should take account of effects of incorporation of such equipment (e.g. effects on flight handling, normal and emergency egress, operating procedures, maintenance procedures, maximum and minimum loads for safe movement of cargo, etc.);

3. System Safety Assessment (SSA), and associated safety artefacts (Loss Models, Risk Registers, etc.) should demonstrate that the risk associated with incorporation of cargo hook equipment is acceptable;

4. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate that the loads can be secured to the cargo hook system effectively and safely.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | Refer to technical point of contact for this discipline (listed in section A.2) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P7 S2 L205 00-970 P7 S2 L205/1 00-970 P7 S2 L205/2 00-970 P7 S3 L1017 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 2445 2286 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 133 Rotorcraft External-Load Operations, subpart D- Airworthiness Requirements, sec.133.45 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 27.25 CS 27.865 CS 27.1581 CS 27.1583 CS 29.25 CS 29.865 CS 29.1581 CS 29.1583 |

8.9.4 Merged with Section 13.

8.9.5 Merged with Section 5.

8.9.6 Technical manuals.

Flight and maintenance manuals shall include normal, back-up and emergency operating procedures, limitations, restrictions, servicing, and maintenance information.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The level of detail necessary to provide accurate technical information while remaining concise;

b. The information, at the appropriate level of detail, required to allow personnel to operate and maintain the aircraft as safely and effectively as possible at an acceptable workload.

c. Ensuring that all required operating procedures are defined, taking account of requirements for military operation (e.g. in-flight rectification).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Operational Technical Publications for the flight crew (Aircraft Flight Manual, Emergency Procedures, Checklists etc.) should clearly define all required normal, back-up and emergency operating procedures, limitations and restrictions, including the maximum and minimum safe loads for movement of cargo.

2. Maintenance Technical Publications for ground crew (Aircraft Maintenance Manual, Master Minimum Equipment List, Maintenance Schedule, etc.) should clearly define all required servicing and maintenance information.

3. Flight Simulations, Ground Testing and/or Flight Testing should verify that all Operational Technical Publications are clear and unambiguous and can be followed by a flight crew through all flight phases and conditions without incurring excessive crew workload and serve their intended function.

4. Rig and/or Ground Testing should verify that all Maintenance Technical Publications are clear and unambiguous and can be followed by a competent maintenance engineer in a manner which ensures the continuing airworthiness of the aircraft.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | Refer to the technical point of contact for this discipline (listed in section A.2) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P7 S2 L205 00-970 P7 S2 L205/1 00-970 P7 S2 L205/2 |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 27.865, 29.865 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 27.25 CS 27.865 CS 27.1581 CS 27.1583 Appendix A27.3 CS 29.25 CS 29.865 CS 29.1581 CS 29.1583 |

8.9.7 Merged with 8.9.1.

8.10 HOIST/WINCH SYSTEMS.

8.10.1 No adverse effects on safety.

Hoist/winch systems shall be safe for their intended use.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Usage parameters for the hoist/winch equipment (personnel and/or weight limits, duration and frequency of use, aircraft and hoisted/winched item(s) accelerations, etc.);

| Edition Number: 3.1 Edition | Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 454 /675 |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|-----------------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|

b. Interfaces with the aircraft, and the effect of installation and use of the equipment on the aircraft, including effects on structures, crew workload, normal and emergency egress, flight handling qualities, operating procedures (normal and emergency), etc.;

c. The level of safety to be considered appropriate, taking into consideration the aircraft, its roles/missions, and the intended and expected operation of hoist/winch equipment.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Aircraft Specifications should identify the requirement for hoist/winch equipment, including the required type(s) of equipment (rescue hoists, cargo winches, etc.), and the safety requirements to be met;

2. Systems Interface Documents (SID) should define the interfaces between the hoist/winch equipment and the aircraft;

3. Technical Publications should provide procedures for use of hoist/winch equipment, and should take account of effects of incorporation of such equipment (e.g. effects on flight handling, normal and emergency egress, operating procedures, maintenance procedures, etc.);

4. System Safety Assessment (SSA), and associated safety artefacts (Loss Models, Risk Registers, etc.) should demonstrate that the risk associated with incorporation of hoist/winch equipment is acceptable;

5. Analysis should demonstrate that the hoist/winch equipment and supporting aircraft structure is suitably strong for the equipment's expected use (see also Section 5);

6. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of performed analysis, and should demonstrate that the design of the hoist/winch equipment, and its integration to the aircraft is acceptable.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | Refer to technical point of contact for this discipline (listed in section A.2) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P7 S3.9 L714 00-970 P7 S2 L723 00-970 P7 S3 L1017 00-970 P7 S3 L1017/1 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 27.1309 CS 29.1309 |

8.10.2 Operation under all load conditions.

Hoist/winch equipment shall operate correctly under all expected (including both normal and emergency) loading conditions.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The effect of varying loads on the extension and retraction of the hoist/winch, including loads above the maximum expected cable breaking strength.

b. Operation throughout the flight envelope (airspeeds, attitudes, aircraft weights and C of G positions, etc.) for which hoist/winch operation is permitted;

c. Effects on the aircraft (performance, flight handling, static and dynamic structural, electrical, hydraulic, pneumatic, etc.) from deployment at varying lengths, and from extension and retraction of the hoist/winch;

d. Ensuring that effect of operating the hoist/winch outside normal limits is acceptable (e.g. stoppage of the motor rather than failure of the cable).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

| Edition Number: 3.1 Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 455 /675 |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
|---|------------------|----------------------|

1. Aircraft Specifications should identify the requirement for hoist/winch equipment, including performance and operational requirements to be met;

2. Systems Interface Documents (SID) should define the interfaces between the hoist/winch equipment and the aircraft;

3. Technical Publications should provide procedures for use of hoist/winch equipment, including performance and operating limitations;

5. Analysis should demonstrate that the hoist/winch equipment and supporting aircraft structure is suitably strong for the equipment's expected performance (see also Section 5);

6. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of performed analysis, and should demonstrate the acceptable performance of the hoist/winch equipment and supporting systems and structure.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | Refer to technical point of contact for this discipline (listed in section A.2) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P7 S3.9 L714 00-970 P7 S2 L723 00-970 P7 S3 L1017 00-970 P7 S3 L1017/1 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 27.1309 CS 29.1309 |

8.10.3 Merged with Section 13.

8.11 ABSEIL BOLSTER / FAST ROPE INSERTION/EXTRACTION SYSTEM (FRIES).

8.11.1 Insertion and extraction of personnel.

Equipment installed for the purpose of insertion and/or extraction of personnel using a stationary rope or similar arrangement (Abseil Bolsters, FRIES, etc.) shall be safe for its intended use.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Usage parameters for the insertion/extraction equipment (number of personnel, duration and frequency of use, aircraft and personnel accelerations, etc.);

b. Interfaces with the aircraft, and the effect of installation and use of the equipment on the aircraft, including effects on structures, crew workload, normal and emergency egress, flight handling qualities, operating procedures (normal and emergency), etc.;

c. The level of safety to be considered appropriate, taking into consideration the aircraft, its roles/missions, and the intended and expected operation of insertion/extraction equipment.

d. Ability of crewmembers to supervise and observe FRIES operation effectively

e. Ability of crewmembers to terminate FRIES or Abseil operations immediately, should safety be jeopardised

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Aircraft Specifications should identify the requirement for insertion/extraction equipment, including the required type(s) of equipment (Abseil Bolster, FRIES, etc.), and the safety requirements to be met;

2. Systems Interface Documents (SID) should define the interfaces between the insertion/extraction equipment and the aircraft;

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 456 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

3. Technical Publications should provide procedures for use of insertion/extraction equipment, and should take account of effects of incorporation of such equipment (e.g. effects on flight handling, normal and emergency egress, operating procedures, maintenance procedures, etc.);

4. System Safety Assessment (SSA), and associated safety artefacts (Loss Models, Risk Registers, etc.) should demonstrate that the risk associated with incorporation of insertion/extraction equipment is acceptable;

5. Analysis should demonstrate that the insertion/extraction equipment and supporting aircraft structure is suitably strong for the equipment's expected use (see also Section 5);

6. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of performed analysis, and should demonstrate that the design of the insertion/extraction equipment, and its integration to the aircraft is acceptable.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|---|---|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | US Army Publication: TC 21-24 – RAPPELLING – Issued 1/9/2008 US Marine Corps Handbook MCRP 3-11.4A (Helicopter Rope Suspension Techniques (HRST) Operations) dated August 2003 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P7 S2 L205/1 00-970 P7 S2 L407/4 00-970 P7 S3.9 L714 (2.1.4) 00-970 P7 S3 L1017 00-970 P7 S3 L1017/1 2445 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 27.865 CS 29.865 |

8.11.2 Merged with Section 5.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 457 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

SECTION 9 - CREW SYSTEMS

The crew systems area consists of the following elements: pilot interface, aircrew station (accommodations, lighting, furnishings, and equipment), human-machine interface, UAV/ROA control station (operator accommodations, lighting, and equipment), the life support system, the emergency escape and survival system, the transparency system, crash survivability, and air transportability.

- TYPICAL CERTIFICATION SOURCE DATA
- 1. Escape system requirements and validation
- 2. Crew station layout/geometry review
- 3. Human factors
- 4. Failure modes, effects, and criticality analysis (FMECA)
- 5. Life support system requirements and validation
- 6. Crash survivability requirements and validation
- 7. Lighting system design, analysis, test reports
- 8. Transparency integration
- 9. Air transportability, cargo, and airdrop systems
- 10. Load analyses
- 11. Aeroservoelastic analyses
- 12. Test plans
- 13. Test reports
- 14. Proof test results
- 15. Simulation test, modelling and results

CERTIFICATION CRITERIA

9.1. ESCAPE AND EGRESS SYSTEM.

This section covers the provision of means whereby the occupant(s) can leave the aircraft during in-flight, water, and ground emergencies.

Included within the scope of this section are:

- Escape systems & assisted escape systems (ejection seat, parachutes, escape capsules or modules etc.);
- Escape path clearance systems (canopy jettison (including thrusters and rockets);
- Emergency escape exits and routes;
- Emergency egress assist devices (slides, descent reels, life rafts, rope etc.);
- Onboard and ground rescue egress equipment (crash axe, canopy penetrator, fire rescue axe, powered saw etc.)

Some criteria in this chapter are supported in the text by examples of specific considerations. These examples are by no means to be considered as exhaustive. Verification should at least consider:

- The number or aircraft occupants;
- The anthropometric range and mass of the aircrew;

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 458 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

• Consistency of exit sign design.

9.1.1 Escape system safety compatibility.

The escape system, or means to effect emergency escape, shall allow safe operation and egress from the aircraft and/or control station ; and shall be integrated and compatible with the aircraft and/or control station

Emergency egress systems shall be free of physical restrictions that could prevent occupants from rapidly releasing from their restraint systems, departing their seats, traversing egress routes, and passing through emergency exits.

If escape path clearance mechanisms are used they shall minimise the risk to aircrew and their equipment. The escape path shall permit the safe egress of the most critical combination of aircrew and equipment specified for use with that escape system.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Absence of rigid objects (i.e. canopies and hatches) are located in the ejection path.

b.. Arrangement of any movable objects which can enter the path are arranged so that they are moved out of the ejection path upon ejection.

- c. All attitudes and speeds encountered in the flight envelope.
- d. Loads and accelerations imposed to the body.
- e. Environmental hazards on the escape path or due to the clearance mechanisms.
- f. Failure of the escape path clearance system.
- g. Escape path clearance interference with the crew tasks.
- h. The anthropometric range and mass of the aircrew.
- i. Inadvertent operation.
- j. Location and design of emergency controls.
- k. The use of command ejection system.

I. Escape path clearance independenance of any other system.

m. Ability to see outside the exit when exit is closed.

n. Ability to see the ground where the evacuee might land.

o. Engine(s) running at ground idle.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Rig and ground tests should demonstrate the escape system compatibility throughout the designated envelope with extreme permutations of crew anthropometry and mass properties.

2. Ground testing should demonstrate emergency egress with human subjects to verify he ability to safely operate required systems and egress the aircraft.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010-3: 3.3.4 (Note: Unverified - no access to JSSG 2010-3) JSSG-2010-7: 3.7.3.5.3 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.23.8-4.23.11 00-970 P1 4.23.14-4.23.25 00-970 P1 4.23.32-4.23.36 00-970 P1 4.23.40-4.23.49 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 459 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

| Inf | ormation Sources | | |
|----------|--|-----------------------|--|
| | JSSG-2010-11: 3.11.7, 3.11.7.2, 7.3.3.3.5.3 (Note: Unverified - no access to JSSG 2010 - 8, 9, 11, 13, 14) NATO Working Party 61 | | 00-970 P1/5 S4 L63 00-970 P 13 1.6.15.8 00-970 P 13 1.6.15.9 ARGARD 330 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.803 CS 23.805 CS 23.807 CS 25.803 CS 25.807 CS 25.809 CS 25.810 CS 27.805 CS 27.805 CS 27.807 CS 29.803 CS 29.805 CS 29.807 CS 29.809 |

9.1.1.1 Escape system reliability.

Aircraft escape systems and subsystems shall be designed and demonstrated to meet the specified reliability and confidence.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Escape systems including ejection seats, capsules, modules, and escape path clearance systems.

b. Subsystems including Cartridge Actuated and Pyrotechnic Actuated Devices (CAD/PAD).

- c. A programme of reliability tests.
- d. Specified system and subsystem reliability levels.
- e. Specified confidence intervals.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Rig and ground tests should demonstrate the escape system reliability throughout the designated envelope with extreme permutations of crew anthropometry and mass properties.

2. Rig and ground tests should demonstrate emergency egress with human subjects to verify the ability to safely operate required systems and egress the aircraft.

3. System Description Documents (SDD) should verify integration and compatibility with the aircraft and other subsystems.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010 (Unverified - restricted access to parts of JSSG-2010) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.22.67 00-970 P1 4.23.34 00-970 P1 S4 L75 Par. 18 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 460 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|----------|--------------------------|------------|------------|
| | MIL-C-83124 (Unverified) | STANAG | |
| | MIL-C-83125 (Unverified) | Reference: | |
| | MIL-C-83126 (Unverified) | | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.1309 |
| | | Reference: | CS 25.1309 |
| | | | CS 27.1309 |
| | | | CS 29.1309 |

9.1.2 Escape exits and routes.

Each crew and passenger area shall contain escape exits and escape routes of appropriate size, type, number, location and ease of opening, to permit rapid emergency evacuation of all aircraft occupants following landing / ditching of the aircraft. It shall be possible for all occupants to egress the aircraft, within specified time limits.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Size, type, number, location and ease of opening of exits.

b. Specified time limits for ground / ditching evacuation (typically 30-90 seconds).

c. Evacuation when all exits are functional, and when only half of the exits are functional.

d. Conducting an evacuation demonstration utilising the maximum number of occupants for which certification is desired.

e. Evacuation with landing gear extended or retracted.

- f. Ensuring window-type emergency exits are not obstructed by seats or seat backs.
- g. Minimum passenger aisle width.
- h. Aircrew and passenger clothing and personal equipment.

i. Passenger entrance, crew, and service doors may be considered as emergency exits if they meet the requirements.

j. The use of devices for ground emergency egress assist.

k. The number of seats abreast on each side of the aisle.

I. Emergency evacuation routes for service compartment located below the main deck, which may be occupied during the taxi or flight but not during takeoff or landing.

m. Ensuring integral stairs in emergency exits do not impair the effectiveness of emergency egress.

n. The possibility of the aircraft being on fire, and at maximum seating capacity.

o. Engine(s) running at ground idle.

p. The impact of a lockable pilot compartment door.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Rig and ground tests should demonstrate the escape system throughout the designated envelope with extreme permutations of crew anthropometry and mass properties.

2. Rig and ground tests should demonstrate emergency egress with human subjects to verify the ability to safely operate equired systems and egress the aircraft.

| Information Sources | | |
|---------------------|--|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | NATO Draft Working Party 61B JSSG-2010 (Unverified - restricted access to parts of JSSG-2010) | 00-970 P1 4.20.2 00-970 P1 4.22.3 00-970 P1 4.23.2 00-970 P1 4.23.4 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 461 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | | |
|---------------------------------|------------|----------------------|
| | | 00-970 P1 4.23.6 |
| | | 00-970 P1 4.23.7 |
| | | 00-970 P1 4.23.44 |
| | | 00-970 P1/5 S4 L63 |
| | | 00-970 P 13 1.6.15.8 |
| | | 00-970 P 13 1.6.15.9 |
| | STANAG | |
| | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: 14CFR reference 25.803 | EASA CS | CS 23.803 |
| | Reference: | CS 23.805 |
| | | CS 23.807 |
| | | CS 23.813 |
| | | CS 23.815 |
| | | CS 25.772 |
| | | CS 25.803 |
| | | CS 25.807 |
| | | CS 25.810 |
| | | CS 25.813 |
| | | CS 25.815 |
| | | CS 25.817 |
| | | CS 25.819 |
| | | CS 27.805 |
| | | CS 27.807 |
| | | CS 29.803 |
| | | CS 29.805 |
| | | CS 29.807 |
| | | CS 29.813 |
| | | CS 29.815 |

9.1.3 Emergency exit markings.

All emergency exits shall be adequately marked so that their intended use and their means of operation are readily apparent to air crew and passengers and also, where appropriate, to rescue personnel approaching the aircraft from outside.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring the design of exit signs are consistent throughout the aircraft .

b. Ensuring the identity and location of each passenger emergency exit are recognisable from a distance.

c. Identification in different light conditions, i.e. darkness, dense smoke.

- d. Colour of external and internal markings.
- e. Colour contrast.
- f. Reflectance.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Rig and ground tests should demonstrate emergency egress with human subjects to verify the ability to safely operate required systems and egress the aircraft.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| | | | |
| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 462 /675 |

Status: endorsed Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--|-----------------|------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010: 3.8, 4.8, 3.9, 4.9, | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 4.23.5 |
| | 3.11, 4.11, 3.12, 4.12, 3.13, | Reference: | |
| | 4.13, 3.14, 4.14 (Note: Unverified - no access to JSSG | STANAG | 3230 |
| | 2010 - 8, 9, 11, 13, 14) | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: 23.803- | EASA CS | CS 23.807(b) |
| | 23.815, 25.801-25.819, | Reference: | CS 23.811 |
| | 23.1411, 23.1415, 25.1411, 25.1415, 25.813, 23.813, 25 Appendix F; 25 Appendix J | | CS 25.809 |
| | | | CS 25.810 |
| | 25.1423 | | CS 25.811 |
| | | | CS 27.807(b) |
| | | | CS 29.811 |

9.1.4 Ground/ditching emergency egress devices.

Emergency egress assist devices (slides, descent reels, life rafts, rope etc), their stowage and means of deployment shall be demonstrably safe. This includes safe use by the intended air crew and passengers; and ensuring deployment handles/actuators capable of creating a safety-of-flight (SOF) or injury hazard are designed to prevent inadvertent actuation.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. The number and anthropometric range of occupants.
- b. The egress time requirements.
- c. The operational environmental requirements.
- d. Applicable physical and power integration requirements.
- e. The use of different emergency assist devices may be dependant upon:
- i. The type and size of exit.
- ii. Whether it is intended for use by passengers or air crew.
- iii. The height of the exit from the ground.
- f. Engine(s) running at ground idle.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Rig and ground tests should demonstrate emergency egress with human subjects to verify the ability to safely operate required systems and egress the aircraft.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-----------------|------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010: 3.8, 4.8, 3.9, 4.9, | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 6.8.22 |
| | 3.11, 4.11, 3.12, 4.12, 3.13, | Reference: | |
| | 4.13, 3.14, 4.14 (Note: Unverified - no access to JSSG | STANAG | |
| | 2010 - 8, 9, 11, 13, 14) | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: 23.803- | EASA CS | CS 23.1411 |
| | 23.815, 25.801-25.819, | Reference: | CS 23.1415 |
| | 23.1411, 23.1415, 25.1411, | | CS 25.810 |
| | 25.1415 | | CS 25.1411 |
| | | | CS 25.1415 |
| | | | CS 27.1411 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 463 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|------------|
| | CS 27.1415 |
| | CS 29.1411 |
| | CS 29.1415 |

9.1.5 Ground/ditching emergency processes and procedures.

Emergency egress and rescue processes and procedures shall be developed, incorporated in system documentation, and implemented in training. This shall include provision of documentation that informs and enables ground/ditching egress procedures for aircrew, passengers and rescue personnel.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring ground/ditching egress processes provide timely egress for aircrew and passengers.

b. Effectiveness of processes for rescue personnel including canopy, hatch/door removal by external actuation or cutting.

c. Engine(s) running at ground idle.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Rig and ground tests should demonstrate emergency egress with human subjects to verify the ability to safely operate required systems and egress the aircraft.

| Inf | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-----------------|------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010: 3.8, 4.8, 3.9, 4.9, | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | 3.11, 4.11, 3.12, 4.12, 3.13, | Reference: | |
| | 4.13, 3.14, 4.14 (Note: Unverified - no access to JSSG | STANAG | |
| | 2010 - 8, 9, 11, 13, 14) | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: 23.803- | EASA CS | CS 23.1581 |
| | 23.815, 25.801-25.819, | Reference: | CS 23.1585 |
| | 23.1411, 23.1415, 25.1411, 25.1415 | | CS 25.1581 |
| | 23:1415 | | CS 25.1585 |
| | | | CS 27.1581 |
| | | | CS 27.1585 |
| | | | CS 29.1581 |
| | | | CS 29.1585 |

9.1.6 Emergency egress/rescue equipment.

Egress equipment shall be provided to aid escape in the event exits are blocked, damaged, or when exit opening actuation fails.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Provision of onboard devices such as crash axe, canopy penetrator, etc.
- b. Ground rescue tools such as fire rescue axe, powered saw.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Rig and ground tests should demonstrate emergency egress with human subjects to verify the ability to safely operate required systems or devices and egress the aircraft when exits are blocked, damaged, or when exit opening actuation fails.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 464 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--|-----------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | No information available in | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | current JSSG. Information to be included in next revision of JSSG. | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 121.309, 121.310 | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

9.2. CREW STATIONS AND AIRCRAFT INTERIORS.

This section covers the design, arrangement and geometry of aircrew station accommodations, furnishings and equipment. This element also covers UAV Control Station (UAS) requirements, where appropriate.

Included within the scope of this section are:

- Arrangement and location of controls, displays and other human interfaces;
- Fields of view (minimising reflections, glare etc.);
- Control operability, including range of travel, restriction of movement;
- Materials used for aircraft and crew station interiors (flame resistance, non-toxic);
- Intercommunication;
- Speech intelligibility.

Some criteria in this chapter are supported in the text by examples of specific considerations. These examples are by no means to be considered as exhaustive.

Verification should at least consider:

- Differing visual requirements of single seater, tandem or side by side configurations;
- The anthropometric range and mass of the aircrew;
- Type and role of aircraft i.e. commercial, fighter, attack, bomber, transporter, maritime reconnaissance;
- Mission activities/tasks including take-off, landing and aerial refuelling.

9.2.1 Crew station arrangement.

Controls and displays shall be arranged and located to provide convenient operation (functional and visible). Controls and displays shall be arranged and located to provide convenient operation (functional and visible).

Consideration should be given to:

a. The physiological aspects of design, including anthropometric range of occupants and/or operators.

b. The normal reach and sight of the operator when harnessed in his seat and wearing the appropriate clothing and equipment specified for that particular aircraft .

c. Controls, which are in regular use in flight, should not be positioned aft of the pilot's shoulder line.

d. Location of the controls and pilot with respect to the plane of rotation of propellers.

e. Grouping and arrangement of flight instruments with respect to the pilot's forward vision.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 465 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail that the anthropometric requirements are met.

2. Rig and ground tests should demonstrate appropriate Human Machine Interface (HMI) with mission equipped human subjects representative of the intended anthropometric range.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|---|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010: 3.1, 4.1, 3.2, 4.2, 3.3, 4.3, 3.4, 4.4, 3.5, 4.5, 3.14, 4.14 (Note: Unverified - no access to JSSG 2010 - 1, 2, 3, 4, 14) JSSG-2001: 3.4.3.1.1, typical anthropometric dimensions and ranges considered acceptable to accommodate the US pilot population JSSG-2001: 3.4.3.1.5, guidance on controls and displays MIL-STD-1472, section 5.6, design criteria and features recommended to provide human accommodation | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.16.5 00-970 P1 4.19.44 00-970 P1 4.19.46 00-970 P1 4.19.48-4.19.50 00-970 P1 4.19.51 00-970 P7 S1 L105 3.1 4671.1703 4671.1721 4671.1731 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.777, 25.777 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.771 CS 23.777 CS 23.1321 CS 25.771 CS 25.777 CS 25.1321 CS 27.771 CS 27.777 CS 27.1321 CS 29.771 CS 29.777 CS 29.777 CS 29.1321 |

9.2.1.1 Controls and display readability.

All displays and indications shall be easily legible from the operator's position, for the full range of ambient lighting conditions.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The physiological aspects of design, including varying operator's eye positions given the anthropometric range of occupants;

b. Readability of displays under all expected illumination conditions , including during NVG operations if required;

c. The effect of reflection on clarity of information;

d. Minimising reflection of instruments and consoles in windshields and other enclosures;

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 466 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

e. The expected electronic display brightness level at the end of an electronic display indicator's useful life;

f. Minimising direct or indirect glare from lights.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail that the anthropometric requirements are met.

2. Rig and ground tests should demonstrate appropriate Human Machine Interface (HMI) with mission equipped human subjects representative of the intended anthropometric range.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010: 3.1, 4.1, 3.2, 4.2, 3.3, 4.3, 3.4, 4.4, 3.5, 4.5, 3.14, 4.14 (Note: Unverified - no access to JSSG 2010 - 1, 2, 3, 4, 14) MIL-STD-1472, section 5.2 addresses visual displays of various types | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.15.49 00-970 P1 4.15.54 00-970 P1 4.15.55 00-970 P1 4.16.5 00-970 P1 4.19.46 00-970 P1 4.19.51 00-970 P1 L105.15.2 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1721 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.777, 25.777 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.777(b) CS 25.777 CS 27.777(b) CS 29.777 |

9.2.1.2 Interior and exterior fields of view.

The aircraft shall provide the aircrew with sufficient interior and exterior fields of view to safely perform all flight and mission-critical functions and tasks, and avoid ground or flight obstacles. The flight crew shall have an unobstructed view of the flight instruments and other critical components and displays. The unimpaired external vision and/or transmitted visual indications available to the aircrew shall be free from unsafe blind spots that can introduce hazardous conditions, and shall meet the specified requirements and minimum angles appropriate to aircraft class, type and operational role.

For UAVs, the design of the control station shall facilitate the command and control of the UAV by the UAV crew for safe operations.

Consideration should be given to:

a. External vision / rectilinear plot.

b. The zero reference in azimuth.

c. Different crew seating configurations i.e. single seater, tandem or side by side.

d. Type and role of aircraft , i.e. commercial, fighter, attack, bomber, transporter, maritime reconnaissance.

e. Mission activities/tasks including takeoff, landing and aerial refuelling.

f. Location of controls, consoles, instrument panels, headup display ancillary equipment and other structures where they do not critically restrict vision.

g. Seat adjustment to allow the pilot to place his eyes at the level of the aircraft design eye position.

h. Avoiding blind spots from posts, canopy bow, windshield frames, heads up display (HUD) supports, etc.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 467 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

i. The physiological aspects of design, including anthropometric range of occupants and/or operators.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail that the anthropometric requirements are met.

2. Rig and ground tests should demonstrate appropriate Human Machine Interface (HMI) with mission equipped human subjects representative of the intended anthropometric range.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010: 3.1, 4.1, 3.2, 4.2, 3.3, 4.3, 3.4, 4.4, 3.5, 4.5, 3.14, 4.14 (Unverified) JSSG-2010: 4.3.2, rectilinear plots (Unverified) JSSG-2010-3: 3.3.2, rectilinear plots (Unverified) JSSG-2001: 3.4.3.1.7 and 3.4.3.1.8, interior and exterior vision, respectively | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.16.12 00-970 P1 4.16.19 00-970 P1 4.17.2 00-970 P1 4.17.4 00-970 P1 4.17.6-4.17.10 00-970 P1 4.17.12-15 00-970 P1/5 S4 L63, 00-970 P1/5 S4 L104 2.1 00-970 P1/5 S4 L104 2.2 00-970 P1/5 S4 L104 3.6 00-970 P1/5 S4 L104 4 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1701 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.771- 23.781, 25.771-25.781 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.773(a) CS 25.773 CS 27.773(a) CS 29.773(a) |

9.2.2 Controls and display usability.

Each control shall be designed, located and arranged, with respect to the pilots' and/or operators' seat, to allow unrestricted movement throughout the full range of travel, without interference from other controls, structures, aircrew bodies, their clothing or equipment. Controls shall be operable by the full anthropometric range of aircrew population. This shall include operation of all controls essential for crew survival (including ejection controls) from crewmember restrained positions under all flight conditions, aircraft attitudes and throughout the complete range of "g" force loads.

For UAVs, controls shall located and arranged so that the UAV crew, when at their workstation have full and unrestricted movement of each control without interference from either their clothing or the UCS structure. Controls needed for continued safe flight and landing shall remain available to the UAV crew in normal, abnormal and emergency conditions.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The physiological aspects of design, including anthropometric range of occupants.

b. Sufficient clearance between controls to permit unrestricted operation by the largest specified gloved hand.

c. Sufficient clearance to prevent interference between the largest specified flight boot and aircraft structure for the yaw control.

d. The location and actuation of the stick/wheel control to consider arm reach.

e. Ensuring ejection controls (automatic and/or manual) are readily accessible and activation is possible with either hand.

f. Provisions are incorporated to guard against accidental activation of ejection system/controls.

g. Specified flight conditions and aircraft attitudes.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail that the anthropometric requirements are met.

2. Rig and ground tests should demonstrate appropriate Human Machine Interface (HMI) with mission equipped human subjects representative o the intended anthropometric range.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|---|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010: 3.1, 4.1, 3.2, 4.2, 3.3, 4.3, 3.4, 4.4, 3.5, 4.5, 3.14, 4.14 (Unverified) JSSG-2001: 3.4.3.1.1, typical anthropometric dimensions and ranges considered acceptable to accommodate the US pilot population JSSG-2010-3: 4.3.3, Table VI, definition and application of zones (Unverified) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.15.22 00-970 P1 4.16.5-4.16.9 00-970 P1 4.16.18 00-970 P1 4.19.2 00-970 P1 4.19.31-4.19.32 00-970 P1 S4 L63 4671.1731 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.771- 23.781, 25.771-25.781 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.777 CS 23.779 CS 25.777 CS 25.779 CS 27.777 CS 27.779 CS 29.777 CS 29.779 |

9.2.3 Aircrew alerting systems.

Visual caution and warning displays shall be located in the operator's prime field of vision, and shall alert the operator of all hazardous situations in a fashion that permits rapid detection sufficient for the operator to take actions necessary for safe flight.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Locating visual cautions and warnings within the 30° cone of vision of the operator's normal line of sight as much as possible.

b. Alerting the operator to all specified hazardous situations which could present a hazard to the safety of the occupants, endanger human life, or cause substantial damage to the aircraft.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Rig and ground tests should demonstrate appropriate Human Machine Interface (HMI) with mission equipped human subjects representative of the intended anthropometric range.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 469 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | FAA References: 14 CFR references: 23.1321-23.1322, 25.1321-25.1322 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.15.35 00-970 P1 4.15.49 00-970 P1 4.15.59 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1721 4671.1785 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.1321- 23.1322, 25.1321-25.1322 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1321 CS 23.1322 CS 25.1321 CS 25.1322 CS 27.1321 CS 27.1322 CS 29.1321 CS 29.1322 |

9.2.4 Emergency markings.

Emergency action controls shall be appropriately marked, in accordance with the specified requirements.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Emergency controls to be included.
- b. Outlining functional groups.
- c. Ensuring no other controls are the same colour as emergency controls.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail that proper marking of emergency action controls has been verified by inspection and analysis of program documentation including cockpit, crew and operator station layout drawings or mockups, as well as inspection of hardware, manufacturing drawings and engineering drawings.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010: 3.1, 4.1, 3.2, 4.2, 3.3, 4.3, 3.4, 4.4, 3.9.7, 3.14, 4.14 (Unverified) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.15.22-4.15.25 00-970 P9 UK 1555a 00-970 P19 UK 1845 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1845 3230 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.1555, 23.1561, 25.1555, 25.1561 ASCC Air Standard 10/23E (Unverified) | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1555 CS 25.1555 CS 27.1555 CS 29.1555 |

9.2.5 Merged with 9.2.2

9.2.6 Interior finishes, components and equipment.

Materials (including finishes or decorative surfaces applied to the materials) used for aircraft interiors shall be at least flame resistant and non toxic.Consideration should be given to:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 470 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

- a. Interior compartments occupied by crew or passengers (including lavatories and galleys).
- b. Areas that are not continuously occupied (including cargo and baggage compartments).
- c. Flame propagation, burn-through and smoke limiting requirements.
- d. Additional requirements for aircraft with passenger capacities of 20 or more.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the materials used in the aircraft's interior.

2. Coupon testing should demonstrate that the materials used in the aircraft's interior are flame resistant and non-toxic, meeting the appropriate flame propagation and burn-through resistance, toxicity and smoke limiting requirements.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|---|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010: 3.1, 4.1, 3.2, 4.2, 3.3, 4.3, 3.4, 4.4, 3.5, 4.5, 3.14, 4.14 (Unverified) JSSG-2001: 3.4.3.1.1, typical anthropometric dimensions and ranges considered acceptable to accommodate the US pilot population JSSG-2010-3: 4.3.3, Table VI, definition and application of zones (Unverified) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.26.16 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.771- 23.781, 25.771-25.781 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.853 CS 23.855 CS 25.853 CS 25.855 CS 27.853 CS 27.855 CS 29.853 CS 29.853 CS 29.855 |

9.2.7 Communication systems.

A means of intercommunication shall be provided between the flight-deck, other aircrew, ground personnel and military and/or civilian airspace controllers.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. A means for the flight-deck to alert aircrew when required.
- b. An intercom system accessible for immediate use at any crew station.
- c. Provision of two way communication between all crew compartments.
- d. Communication with aircrew outside of the air vehicle for use by ground personnel, if required.
- e. Incorporation of a passenger address system.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Flight and ground tests should demonstrate functionality of communication systems.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 471 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010-4 (Unverified) | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 4.15.7 |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 121.319 | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

9.2.8 Speech intelligibility.

All audio communication systems shall have speech intelligibility of sufficient quality to ensure safe and effective aircraft operation.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. The efficiency of communications needed and the type material to be transmitted.
- b. Specified communications requirements (depending on level of intelligibility needed):
- i. Phonetically Balanced (PB) word test, typically 43-90%.
- ii. Modified Rhyme Test (MRT), typically 75-97%.
- iii. Minimum Articulation Index (AI), typically 0.3-0.7.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Flight and ground tests should demonstrate communication systems have sufficient speech intelligibility in the worst case noise environments.

| Information Sources | | |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.15.78 00-970 P1 4.15.79 00-970 P1 S4 L65 00-970 P1 S4 L66 00-970 P1 S6 L1 Sec 2 00-970 P1 S1 L108 |
| FAA Doc: | STANAG Reference: EASA CS | |
| | Reference: | |

9.3. AIRCRAFT LIGHTING.

This section covers the provision, controllability and luminance of all internal and external aircraft light systems and illumination. Aircraft lighting allows crewmembers to see information from displays and instruments, to operate controls, to move safely throughout and emergency egress the compartment and to perform all other mission-critical functions where sight is necessary. Included within the scope of this section are:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 472 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

- External and internal illumination (cargo compartment, loading and ramp areas, passageways, passenger seating area, avionics bays, auxiliary power plant compartment etc.);
- Emergency lighting;
- Intensity, balance and luminance of interior lighting;
- External lights necessary to permit operation in commercial airways (taxi & landing, position, riding, anti-collision etc.);
- Readability and discernability of instruments;
- Compatibility with NVIS and LEP.

Some criteria in this chapter are supported in the text by examples of specific considerations. These examples are by no means to be considered as exhaustive.

Verification should at least consider:

- All environmental lighting conditions;
- The chromaticity requirements;
- Average luminance ratio.

9.3.1 Lighting system performance.

Aircraft lighting systems shall provide adequate illumination (both internal and external) for crew, wing men, passengers, maintainers, and ground support personnel to perform all anticipated tasks safely, throughout all environmental lighting conditions.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Normal ingress and emergency egress.
- b. All compartments and areas.
- c. Emergency lighting.
- d. Chart, utility and worktable lighting.
- e. Where applicable, provision of required lighting to perform troop jumps (i.e. three light system).
- f. Cockpit floodlighting system, if fitted.
- g. Aerial refuelling (See Section 8.7.1.4.2).
- h. All illuminance requirements.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the photometric and radiometric performance requirements.

2. Rig and ground tests should demonstrate the adequacy of the lighting system, both internal and external to the cockpit, and control station and crew stations, through Lighting Mockup, System Integration Laboratory (SIL), and aircraft evaluations.

| Information Sources | | |
|----------------------------|---|---|
| | | |
| JSSG-2010-5: 3.5.2.1.1, | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 4.15.54 |
| | Reference: | |
| MIL-STD-1472F: 5.2.1.2 and | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1705 |
| | JSSG-2010-5: 3.5.2.1.1, 3.5.2.1.8.4, 3.5.2.1.8.6, 3.5.2.1.8.6.1-3, 3.5.3.7, 3.5.3.8 | JSSG-2010-5: 3.5.2.1.1, Def-Stan 00-970 3.5.2.1.8.4, 3.5.2.1.8.6, Reference: 3.5.2.1.8.6.1-3, 3.5.3.7, 3.5.3.8 MIL-STD-1472F: 5.2.1.2 and |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 473 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| | formation Courses | | |
|----------|--|-----------------------|---|
| <u></u> | formation Sources | | |
| | XVI, criteria for the operator station lighting system (Unverified) MIL-STD-3009: 4.2.2 table 1, criteria for the operator station lighting system (Unverified) | | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.1381- 23.1401, 25.1381-25.1403 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.812 CS 23.1381 CS 23.1383 CS 25.812 CS 25.1381 CS 25.1383 CS 27.1381 CS 27.1383 CS 29.812 CS 29.1381 CS 29.1383 |

9.3.2 Lighting controllability and uniformity.

Internal lighting shall be fully controllable and uniform and shall not permit glare, shadows, or reflections that interfere either with the aircrew member's interior or exterior vision.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Specified average luminance ratio (typically 2:1).

b. Minimising reflections from the canopy, windshields, and windows.

c. The possibility to control the intensity of illumination of all instruments and panels from full intensity to zero.

d. Provision of individual dimmer switches within easy reach of each crew member to control the lighting at his station.

e. Grouping dimmer switches together where more than one is required at a crew station.

f. Wing icing detection lights

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should detail the luminance and specular reflective performance requirements.

2. Rig and ground tests should demonstrate the adequacy of the lighting system, both internal and external to the cockpit, and control station and crew stations, through lighting mockup, System Integration Laboratory (SIL), and aircraft evaluations.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010-5: 3.5.2.1.1 -3 .5.2.1.4 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.15.55 00-970 P1 4.15.63 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1705 3224 Annex B |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.1381- 23.1401, 25.1381-25.1403 | EASA CS | CS 23.1381 CS 23.1383 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 474 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | | |
|---------------------|------------|---------------|
| | Reference: | CS 25.1381 |
| | | CS 25.1383(a) |
| | | CS 25.1403 |
| | | CS 27.1381 |
| | | CS 27.1383 |
| | | CS 29.1381 |
| | | CS 29.1383 |

9.3.3 Exterior Lighting.

The aircraft shall be provided with all external lights necessary to permit operation without restriction.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Operation within civil airspace, which includes provision of taxi and landing lights, navigation lights, riding (anchor) lights (where applicable) and an anti-collision light system.

b. Ensuring each light meets the specified performance requirements for location, arrangement, coverage, aimability, colour and intensity.

c. Ensuring the colour chromaticity meets the International Commission on illumination chromaticity.

d. Visibility of each riding (anchor) light to be agreed and verified (typically 3ú2 km - 4.0 km) (at night under clear atmospheric conditions).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the taxi and landing lights, navigation lights, riding (anchor) lights (where applicable) and an anti-collision light performance requirements.

2. Rig and ground tests should demonstrate the adequacy of the lighting system through lighting mockup, System Integration Laboratory (SIL), and aircraft evaluations.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010-5: 3.5.3.1, | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 6.7.1-6.7.17 |
| | 3.5.3.2.1, 3.5.3.2.2, 3.5.3.4 | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | 3224 Annex C |
| | | Reference: | 4671.1383-4671.1404 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.1381- | EASA CS | CS 23.1383-23.1401 |
| | 23.1401, 25.1381-25.1403 | Reference: | CS 25.1383-25.1401 |
| | | | CS 27.1383-27.1399 |
| | | | CS 29.1383-29.1401 |

9.3.4 Lighting for flight-critical tasks.

Aircraft lighting shall be sufficient to illuminate all visual displays, signals, instruments etc. related to flightcritical tasks throughout all environmental lighting conditions; and if applicable, shall be compatible with NVIS and Laser Eye Protection (LEP).

Consideration should be given to:

a. Aircraft lighting luminance requirements.

b. Verification of LEP and NVIS compatibility.

c. The effects of direct or indirect glare, and / or reflections.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 475 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

d. Ensuring lights do not have a direct or indirect affect on the image intensification capabilities of the NVIS.

e. Readability and discernability of instruments, including ensuring all illuminated instrument indicia are daylight readable when not energised (with the exception of self-luminous displays).

f. The physiological aspects of design, including anthropometric range of occupants.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Declaration of Design Performance (DDP) should detail that electronic and/or electro-optical displays meet the levels for luminance, chromaticity, and daylight contrast specified in the System Requirements Document (SRD).

2. Rig and ground tests should demonstrate the readability and discernibility of instruments through lighting mockup, System Integration Laboratory (SIL), and aircraft evaluations.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010-5 | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 6.7.1 |
| | JSSG-2010: 3.1, 4.1, 3.2, 4.2, | Reference: | |
| | 3.3, 4.3, 3.4, 4.4, 3.5, 4.5, 3.14, | STANAG | 3828 |
| | 4.14 | Reference: | 4671.1705 |
| | JSSG-2010-5: 3.5.2.1.8, | | |
| | cockpit and crew station | | |
| | lighting | | |
| | MIL-STD-3009: 5.7.2.2, | | |
| | addresses NVIS compatible | | |
| | aircraft lighting and Visual | | |
| | acuity charts (Unverified) | | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.1381- | EASA CS | CS 23.1381-23.1401 |
| | 23.1401, 25.1381-25.1403 | Reference: | CS 25.1381-25.1403 |
| | | | CS 27.1381-27.1401 |
| | | | CS 29.1381-29.1401 |

9.4. HUMAN FACTORS

This section covers recognition of human factors engineering principles within the aircraft design to enable the crewmember to monitor and control the system flight path management, navigation, caution, warning, advisory, communications, identification, propulsion, and mission and utilities subsystems, without undue discomfort or fatigue, and to reduce the potential for, and minimise the consequences of, a crew-induced error.

Included within the scope of this section are:

- Location and arrangement of the primary flight display suite;
- Accuracy and completeness of flight and technical manuals;
- Presentation of emergency checklists and procedures
- Crew system interfaces;
- Sound pressure levels.

Some criteria in this chapter are supported in the text by examples of specific considerations. These examples are by no means to be considered as exhaustive.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 476 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

Verification should at least consider:

- Acceptable crew workload limits;
- Workload, task and hazard analysis;
- The anticipated range of environmental conditions;
- The anthropometric range of occupants and/or operators.

9.4.1 Functional operations and workload.

Aircrew, operator and maintenance tasks and/or functional operations, and procedures and/or pilot / aircraft interfaces shall be demonstrably safe.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Workload and hazard analysis to ensure trained personnel can perform the tasks in a safe manner;

b. Ensuring all identified hazards have been reduced to a level consistent with safe operation of the system;

- c. Acceptable crew workload limits;
- d. Ensuring all tasks / procedures are defined and documented;
- e. Operation over the anticipated range of environmental conditions.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Rig and ground tests should verify that trained personnel can perform the tasks in a safe manner, through function and task analysis using fully trained and qualified operators and maintainers.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|---|-----------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010: 3.1, 4.1, 3.2, 4.2 (Unverified - no access to JSSG-2010-1 or 2) JSSG-2010-1 - Handbook 3.2.1 and 4.2.1 for Method of Compliance. Table 2 of the document provides a list of Figures of Merit (Unverified) JSSG-2001: 3.4.3 Human/vehicle interface MIL-HDBK-46855, guidance on human workload assessment techniques (Unverified) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 4671.1701 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.1311- 23.1322, 25.1321-25.1322 | EASA CS Reference: | |

9.4.1.1 Primary flight display suites.

The primary flight display suite shall afford crewmembers with the necessary flight and navigational data required to safely perform all basic and unique flight manoeuvres, in both normal and emergency conditions.

Consideration should be given to:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 477 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

a. Flight and navigational data required depending on aircraft type and role. This may include, but is not limited to the following: airspeed, heading, altitude, attitude, angle-of-attack, vertical speed.

b. Flight manoeuvres which typically include takeoff, navigation and landing.

c. Provision of at least one set of Primary Flight Reference (PFR) data per operators station.

i. Systems that operate the first pilot's instruments should be independent from other flight crew stations.

d. Ensuring Head-Up Displays (HUD), Helmet Mounted Displays (HMD) and Head-Down Displays (HDD) are installed iaw the required standards.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Analysis of hardware, engineering design drawings and documents should demonstrate that Primary Flight Display design is appropriate.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--|---|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | Air Force Flight Standards Agency white paper (Single Medium Flight Instrument Display Endorsement Process, Jan 01) provides procedures for requesting and getting PFR endorsement (Unverified) JSSG-2010-3: 3.2, 4.2 (Unverified) MIL-STD-1787: Appendix E, Figures 91, 92, and 93 list the | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.16.18 00-970 P1 4.19.2 00-970 P1 4.19.46 4671.1723 |
| | parameters for basic flight performance, unusual attitude and recovery performance, and dynamic maneuvering performance (Unverified) | | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.1311- 23.1322, 25.1321-25.1322 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1303 CS 23.1311 CS 25.1303 CS 25.1333 CS 27.1303 CS 29.1303 |

9.4.2 Relevant documentation.

All aircraft documentation shall not be in conflict with system descriptions and procedures (normal and emergency) and actual system performance. Technical manuals/orders and publications shall be accurate, in accordance with the aircraft design and complete for all tasks that may impact flight safety. Emergency procedures shall be clearly identified, and corrective action shall not create other hazardous situations. All procedures or pilot/vehicle interfaces shall be accomplished within acceptable crew workload limits.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring all documentation is produced to the required standard.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 478 /675 | l |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|---|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|---|

b. Identifying and segregating approved parts of any documented instruction, procedure, limitation etc. from each unapproved part (if applicable).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should verify emergency procedures are identified and documented and are compared to results from the subsystem integration testing, human use analysis, and failure and degraded modes analysis.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010: 3.1, 4.1, 3.2, 4.2 | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | MIL-DTL-7700G, Flight | Reference: | |
| | manuals/checklists accordance | STANAG | 4671.1581 |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.1581- | EASA CS | CS 23.1581-23.1589 |
| | 23.1589, 25.1581-25.1587 | Reference: | CS 25.1581-25.1587 |
| | | | CS 27.1581-27.1589 |
| | | | CS 29.1581-29.1589 |

9.4.3 Merged with 9.2.1.2

9.4.4 Crew system interface.

Crew system interfaces shall be designed and installed to reduce the potential for and minimise the consequences of human error. This includes consideration of human factors engineering principles in order to prevent confusion, distraction and fatigue which may cause inadvertent operation. Crew system interfaces shall provide a means of simple correction in the event of a crew-induced error.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. The physiological aspects of design, including anthropometric range of occupants and/or operators.
- b. Pilot-vehicle and human-computer interfaces.
- c. Grouping and arrangement of interfaces including displays, controls etc.
- d. Ensuring emergency controls are adequately protected.
- e. Selecting control knobs of distinctive shape to assist both visual and tactual identification.
- f. Operating controls with cold or gloved hands.

g. Ensuring Armament Control Systems incorporate protection against inadvertent firing or release of weapons or countermeasures.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the crew system interface.

2. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate adequate analysis of crew system interfaces.

3. Subsystem integration testing, human use analysis, failure and degraded modes analysis, and crew system simulation and documentation should demonstrate adequate crew system interfaces.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | |
|--------------|---|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-1472F 5.1 through 5.4 and 5.4.3, guidance for the human factors design of | 00-970 P1 4.15.2-4.15.64 00-970 P1 4.19.46 00-970 P1 4.19.51 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 479 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|----------|---|-----------------------|---|
| | equipment that minimizes the occurrence of human error. MIL-STD-1472F: 5.1.14, design guidance for human computer interface and associated methods for the minimization of human error. JSSG-2001: 3.4.3 | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1701 4671.1733 |
| | Human/vehicle interface | | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.777 CS 23.781 CS 25.777 CS 25.781 CS 27.777 CS 29.777(a) |

9.4.5 Merged with 9.4.2

9.4.6 Exposure to sound.

Sound pressure exposure levels in areas of the aircraft occupied by personnel during flight shall not exceed safe limits, in order to prevent hearing damage and to allow effective communication.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Internal noise levels, typically not more than 85 dB(A)

b. Ensuring noise levels are controlled as required by human factors requirements.

c. Combined noise levels from the air conditioning system and all other sources, i.e. engine, are lower than the maximum acceptable levels.

d. Individual hearing protection.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate that internal noise levels do not exceed safe limits.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2006: A.3.5.2, A.4.5.2 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 5.2.4 00-970 P1 4.15.78-4.15.79 00-970 P1 4.15.81 00-970 P1 4.24.30 00-970 P1/5 S4 L65-66 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1703 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1431 CS 25.771 CS 27.771 CS 29.771 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 480 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

9.5. LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEMS.

This section covers the installation, integration, interface and functionality of aircraft life support systems and personal protective equipment. Life support systems provide aircraft occupants with breathing and anti-g provisions, and natural, induced, and combat hazard protection for aircraft missions; during and after any in-flight emergency; and as appropriate after escape from the aircraft. This may include oxygen systems which provide protection against hypoxia, inhalation of toxic smoke and fumes, and the effects of high 'g' accelerations; pressure suits for protection against high altitude / depressurization; ocular protection against foreign matter, irritants, or laser threats; ballistic protection systems for aircraft occupants, particularly armour; protection from the effects of Nuclear, Biological, Chemical (NBC) and/or laser environments; and floatation and drowning prevention.

Included within the scope of this section are:

- Physiological requirements of life support systems;
- Emergency oxygen systems;
- Flotation devices and signalling equipment.

Some criteria in this chapter are supported in the text by examples of specific considerations. These examples are by no means to be considered as exhaustive.

Verification should at least consider:

- Natural and induced environmental conditions, and specifically those which degrade human physical and cognitive capabilities;
- The specified worst-case crewmember breathing scenario, to be agreed and verified.

9.5.1 Life support functionality.

Aircraft life support systems and personal protective equipment shall be designed, installed and integrated, such that they are fully functional and accessible for the intended personnel and passengers throughout the operational envelope of the aircraft.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Hypoxia, toxic smoke and fumes.
- b. Effect of high 'g' accelerations.
- c. Effect of high altitude / depressurization.
- d. Effect of foreign matter and irritants.
- e. Ballistic threats.
- f. Nuclear, Biological, Chemical and/or Laser environments.
- g. Anthropometric range of occupants.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Rig and ground tests should demonstrate life support system integration and functionality, from the standpoint of the overall system performance and installation.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010: 3.6, 4.6, 3.9, 4.9, 3.10, 4.10, 3.13, 4.13 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.13 00-970 P13 3.10 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 481 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | | |
|----------|-------------------|-----------|------------|-----------------|
| | JSSG-2010-9 | Personal | | 00-970 P13 3.11 |
| | Protective | Equipment | STANAG | 3198 |
| | Handbook 3.9.1, 4 | .9.1 | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | | EASA CS | CS 23.1301 |
| | 23.1441, 25.1301, | 25.1441 | Reference: | CS 23.1441 |
| | | | | CS 25.1301 |
| | | | | CS 25.1441 |
| | | | | CS.27.1301 |
| | | | | CS 29.1301 |

9.5.2 Life support physiology.

Aircraft life support systems and personal protective equipment shall include sufficient provisions and protection to satisfy the specified physiological requirements, in order to permit aircraft occupants to maintain control under all anticipated environmental conditions. The physiological needs of aircraft occupants shall be met in normal flight, as defined by the mission roles of the aircraft; during and after any in-flight emergency; and as appropriate after escape from the aircraft.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Specified physiological requirements.

b. Natural and induced environmental conditions, and specifically those which degrade human physical and cognitive capabilities.

c. Maintaining core body temperature, including protection from cold weather/water.

d. Preventing hypoxia without inducing unacceptable physiological effects, such as acceleration atelectasis or delayed optic barotrauma.

e. Breathing gas pressures and concentrations to meet respiratory demands without imposing excessive resistance to breathing.

f. Mask cavity temperature and pressure.

g. Protection from chemical or biological threats.

h. Maintaining consciousness during manoeuvring loads and for extreme cabin altitudes.

- i. Floatation and drowning prevention for an unconscious crewmember.
- j. Fire protection/resistance properties of aircrew personal protective equipment and clothing.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail physiological requirements.

2. Human testing in mockups, simulators and production representative systems should verify physiological requirements are met.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|---|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010: 3.6, 4.6, 3.9, 4.9, 3.13, 4.13 (Unverified - no access to JSSG-2010: 6, 9, 13) JSSG-2010: 3.10, 4.10 JSSG-2010-9: Personal Protective Equipment Handbook (Unverified - no | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 S6.13 00-970 P13 S3.10 00-970 P13 S3.11 00-970 P1/5 S6 L29 3198 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 482 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|-----------|---|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| | access to JSSG-2010-9) | | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.1301, 23.1441, 25.1301, 25.1441 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1441 CS 25.1441 |

9.5.3 Life support interfaces.

Where aircraft life support systems interface with other aircraft subsystems, no operational mode of any life support system shall degrade other subsystems sufficiently to cause an unsafe condition; and no operational or failure mode of subsystems shall cause a life support system failure, or condition that can injure occupants, fail to meet physiological needs, or prevent sustained flight.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Specified design limits for the life support system where there is an interface with other subsystems.

b. The effect of a software/firmware failure to subsystems that interface with the oxygen subsystem such as built-in-test.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Rig and ground tests should demonstrate the life support system's interface with other subsystems. Demonstrations should include using mock-ups and simulations, and on-aircraft and/or control station system check-outs.

2. Failure Modes Effects and Criticality Analysis (FMECA) should identify potential failure mode causes, including those that could be induced by life support system or subsystem operations.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|---|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010: 3.6, 4.6, 3.9, 4.9, 3.10, 4.10, 3.13, 4.13 JSSG-2010-9 Personal Protective Equipment Handbook (Unverified - no access to JSSG-2010-9) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.13 00-970 P13 3.10 00-970 P13 3.11 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.1301, 23.1441, 25.1301, 25.1441 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1441 CS 25.1441 |

9.5.4 Emergency oxygen.

The oxygen system, which is used in the event of an emergency shall provide a supply of breathing gas to all aircraft occupants. The duration of supply shall be sufficient to protect all occupants during descent for the maximum time possible. As a minimum this shall be the longest anticipated time taken to descend from the maximum altitude to a safe altitude. Emergency oxygen flow should be automatically initiated and alert the occupants that it is activated.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. The longest anticipated time to descend to 10,000 ft from the maximum altitude.
- b. The worst-case crewmember breathing scenario.
- c. Whether the cabin is pressurised or depressurised.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 483 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

- 1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the emergency oxygen system capabilities.
- 2. Rig and ground tests should demonstrate the emergency oxygen system capabilities.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|---|---|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010: 3.10.2.2 Other oxygen subsystems JSSG-2010: 3.13, 4.13 (Unverified - no access to JSSG-2010-13) Refer to technical point of contact for this discipline (listed in section A.2) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.13.5.31-6.13.5.32 3198 S4b. Par. 11 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1441 CS 25.1441 |

9.5.4.1 Emergency oxygen-escape.

An emergency oxygen supply shall be available for use during high altitude escape and shall have sufficient stored oxygen capacity to protect crew members during descent for the maximum time possible. As a minimum this shall be the longest anticipated time taken to descend from the maximum altitude to a safe altitude. Emergency oxygen flow shall be automatically initiated and supplied to crew members on escape.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The longest anticipated time to descend to 10,000 ft from the maximum altitude.

b. The specified worst-case crewmember breathing scenario.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail emergency oxygen requirements.

2. Rig and ground tests should demonstrate oxygen requirements are met. Testing should consist of initial simulated human exposures to operational environments, followed by human testing in mockups and simulators.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 6.13.5.31-6.13.5.32 |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | 3198 S4b. Par. 12 |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

9.5.5 Life raft operation.

Emergency floatation devices (life rafts, life preservers etc.) shall be plainly marked as to their method of operation. All survival equipment shall be approved, and shall be plainly marked for identification and method of operation. Emergency floatation and signalling equipment shall be installed so that it is readily available to the crew and passengers. This includes stowage provisions, which shall be marked for the

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 484 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

benefit of occupants and to facilitate easy removal of the equipment. Each signalling device shall be accessible, function satisfactorily and free of any hazard in its operation.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Life raft provision on aircraft with extended overwater operations;
- b. Placing markings and instructions as near as possible to the relevant control, release mechanism etc.;
- c. Survival equipment including pyrotechnic signalling devices (i.e. flares);
- d. Size and colour or lettering / numbering shall meet the specified requirements.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should verify the existence of markings and instructions by aircraft and article inspections. Floatation accessibility is verified by mockup demonstrations and functional tests of floatation deployment and inflation systems.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010-11: 3.11.7.3 (Unverified - no access to JSSG-2010-11) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 P1 6.8.18 00-970 P1 7.4.13 00-970 P1/5 S6 L34 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 25.1561, 23.1561, 23.1415, 121.339 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1411 CS 23.1415 CS 23.1561 CS 25.1411 CS 25.1415 CS 25.1561 CS 27.1411 CS 27.1415 CS 27.1561 CS 29.1411 CS 29.1415 CS 29.1561 |

9.5.6 Life raft release.

Each life raft released automatically or by a crew member shall be attached to the aircraft by a static line to keep it alongside the aircraft. This line shall be sufficiently weak to break away from the aircraft to prevent submerging the raft when the aircraft becomes submerged.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring intergration with the aircraft is such that probability of inadvertant inflation during egress is minimised.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should verify the physical characteristics of the aircraft flotation system.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| | | | |
| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 485 /675 |

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.8.13 00-970 P1 6.8.14 00-970 P1 6.8.21 00-970 P1/5 S6 L34 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 25.1561, 23.1561, 23.1415, TSO C70a | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1415 CS 25.1415 CS 27.1415 CS 29.1415 |

9.5.7 Firefighting equipment and protection

The aircraft shall be equipped with breathing and eye protection equipment, fire-fighting equipment, and fire extinguishers appropriate for the expected use.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring equipment is conveniently located and readily accessible by the crew.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should verify availability and accessibility of fire protection equipment by inspection of operator/crew equipment provisions and the aircraft system configuration.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-HDBK-516: 8.2, 8.4 and section 14 JSSG-2010: 3.7, 4.7 JSSG 2010-9: 3.9.3 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 Pt 13 Sec 1.4 00-970 Pt 13 Sec 1.6.12 00-970 Pt 13 Sec 1.6.13 to 1.6.13.2 |
| | FAA References: 14 CFR references: 25.851 | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.851 CS 23.1197 CS 23.1439 CS 25.851 CS 25.1197 CS 25.1439 CS 29.851 CS 29.1197 CS 29.1197 CS 29.1439 |

9.6. TRANSPARENCY INTEGRATION.

This section covers the installation, integration, interface and operation of aircraft transparency systems, including criteria relevant to crew exterior vision and crew protection from the external environment. Included with the scope of the this section are:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 486 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

- Remote camera systems, flat transparency windows, windscreens, and/or canopy systems;
- Transparency/canopy frames, canopy actuators, canopy latch/locking systems.

Some criteria in this chapter are supported in the text by examples of specific considerations. These examples are by no means to be considered as exhaustive.

9.6.1 Transparency system integration with the escape system.

Canopies, or other transparency systems, along with their associated support structure, actuation, latching, and locking mechanisms shall be compatible with the aircraft escape system to permit safe egress and escape.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Canopies, or other transparency systems, and their associated mechanisms cannot be rendered inoperative through ice accretion.

b. Canopies, or other transparency systems, and their associated mechanisms cannot be rendered inoperative through thermal effects on the ground. See Line 9.6.3 for thermal effects in flight.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Flight tests, computer modeling and inspections of engineering drawings, should demonstrate transparency system compatibility with the escape system.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | For a new transparency in an existing aircraft, it is recommended that reference be made to the existing aircraft specifications. | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010-14: 3.14, 4.14 JSSG-2010-11 MIL-STD-1474 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.18.3 00-970 P1 4.19.28 00-970 P1 4.20.2-4.20.4 00-970 P1 4.20.10-4.20.14 00-970 P1 4.23.20-4.23.23 00-970 P1 4.23.40-4.23.45 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.775, 25.775 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.807 CS 25.809 CS 27.807 |

9.6.2 Transparency system survivability.

The transparency system shall meet the survivability requirements for bird strike impact.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Defining the meaning of a birdstrike in terms of bird weight, combined velocity, impact angle etc.
- b. Acceptable breakage characteristics of the transparency.
- c. Remote camera system susceptibility to bird strike.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 487 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

1. Structural analysis should demonstrate that maximum stresses due to a bird strike are below material allowables.

2. Rig and ground tests of full scale bird strikes at worst case impact locations should demonstrate no transparency or backup structural failure is sufficient to cause loss of the aircraft, or crew member incapacitation, or loss of remote camera system functionality.

| <u>Ini</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | ASTM F330, Bird Impact Testing of Aerospace Transparent Enclosures | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010-14: 3.14, 4.14 JSSG-2006-3.2.24.1 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.9.4 00-970 P1 4.9.6 00-970 P1 4.9.7 00-970 P1 4.18.8 00-970 P13 1.1.2.5 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.775 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.775, 25.775 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.775 CS 25.631 CS 25.773 CS 25.775 CS 27.775 CS 29.631 CS 29.775 |

9.6.3 Transparency system structural/thermal capabilities.

The structural/thermal capability of the transparency system shall be adequate for all loads and flight conditions.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Structural impacts including impact loads from hail.

b. Wire strikes are a significant hazard for certain platform types, and although more properly an operating risk, consideration should be given to mitigating their effects;

c. The potential effect of specialised coatings;

d. The ability of the transparency to withstand ice shed from propeller tips.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Rig and ground tests should demonstrate the structural and thermal capabilities of the aircraft transparencies.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010-14: 3.14, 4.14 JSSG-2006-3.2.22 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.18.6 00-970 P1 4.18.8 00-970 P13 1.1.2.5 |
| | | STANAG | 4671.775 |
| | | Reference: | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 488 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|-----------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.775, 25.775 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.775 CS 25.775 CS 25.875 |

9.6.4 Transparency system shape compatibility.

Transparency system shape shall be compatible, and not interfere, with crew-member and equipment positions and motions used during normal and emergency conditions.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Use of specialized cockpit equipment,.
- b. Transferring equipment from one crew member to another.
- c. Inertial reactions to accelerations.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Rig and ground tests should demonstrate the extent of any scratching or crazing as the result of any activities or positions that may cause contact.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010-14: 3.14, 4.14 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.9.6 00-970 P1 4.16.6 00-970 P1 4.23.46 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.775, 25.775 | EASA CS Reference: | |

9.6.5 Optical characteristics of the transparency system.

The optical characteristics of the transparencies (windshield, canopy, windows, and enclosures for flight critical remote camera systems and sensors, as applicable), shall be compatible with the safety-critical optical systems used by the aircrew and provide a safe optical environment for the crew.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Optical characteristics such as transmissivity, angular deviation, optical distortion, haze, multiple imaging, binocular disparity, birefringence, and minor optical defects

b. Specialised coatings.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Rig and ground tests should demonstrate transparency system optical characteristics by optical test of coupon samples and representative first articles.

2. Rig and ground tests should demonstrate that the pilot and/or operator visibility is sufficient in all relevant operational lighting conditions (including NVIS lighting) to maintain vehicle control and perform critical tasks.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010-14: 3.14, 4.14 | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 4.17.2 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 489 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|----------|--|-----------------------|--|
| | JSSG-2010-14: 3.1.4.1, for additional transparency optical characteristics and | Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.18.6 00-970 P1 4.18.18 00-970 P7 S1 L104 3.1 |
| | recommended values | STANAG Reference: | 4671.775 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.775, 25.775 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.773 CS 25.773 CS 27.773 CS 29.773 |

9.6.6 Canopy deployment power.

The power required to open the canopy shall be available under normal and emergency conditions, and manual actuation of the canopy shall be possible when aircraft or external power is not available.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Provision of external means to open canopy by a ground rescue crew.

b. Entering and leaving the aircraft with all power off.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Rig and ground tests should demonstrate deployment power availability and manual capabilities.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010-14: 3.14, 4.14 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.20.11 00-970 P1 4.23.23 00-970 P1 6.6.2 00-970 P1 6.6.6 00-970 P1 6.6.8 00-970 P1 6.6.88 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.775, 25.775 | EASA CS Reference: | |

9.6.7 Transparency system integration with the environmental management system.

The environmental management system interface shall provide necessary defogging, pressurization, heating, cooling, humidity control, and ventilation of the transparency system under normal and emergency conditions.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring provisions are incorporated to sufficiently remove rain, snow, ice, and fog from transparencies.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Rig and ground tests should demonstrate the capability of removing fog, ice, snow, or rain from the transparency through system tests in simulated flight conditions.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 490 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010-14: 3.14, 4.14; JSSG 2010-3: 3.3.2, 4.3.2 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.17.2 00-970 P1 4.17.3 00-970 P1 4.24.2 00-970 P1 4.24.6 00-970 P1 4.24.28-4.24.29 00-970 P1 4.24.50 00-970 P1 4.24.53-4.24.54 00-970 P13 1.1.2.1 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.775 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.775, 25.775 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.773 CS 25.773 CS 25.1438 CS 27.773 CS 29.773 |

9.6.8 Transparency system external degradation

Provision shall be made to ensure that the pilots' transparencies remain adequately clear from obscurants at all times, and that such provisions do not cause temporary or permanent optical degradation of the transparencies.

Consideration shall be given to:

- a. Rain removal;
- b. Removal of insect debris, dust, dirt, sand, and salt from sea spray;
- c. De-fogging and de-icing;
- d. Anti-fogging, anti-icing, and snow removal.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Rig and ground tests should demonstrate the capability of removing external debris and precipitation from the transparency through system tests in simulated flight conditions.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010-14: 3.14, 4.14 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.17.8-4.17.15 00-970 P1 4.17.23 00-970 P1 4.18.18 00-970 P7 S1 L104 2.3 00-970 P13 1.1.2.1 00-970 P13 1.1.2.2 00-970 P13 1.1.2.5 00-970 P13 1.5.1.23 00-970 P13 1.5.1.24 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.775 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.775, 25.775 | EASA CS | CS 23.773 CS 23.775 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 491 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | | |
|---------------------|------------|-----------|
| | Reference: | CS 25.773 |
| | | CS 27.773 |
| | | CS 29.773 |

9.7. CRASH SURVIVABILITY.

This section covers the provision of suitable and sufficient crash protection and procedures in order to minimise injury to the pilot, air crew, and passengers in the event of an aircraft crash scenario. Included within the scope of this section are:

- The seating system(s) design (including energy absorbing seats, stretchers);
- Restraint system design and configuration;
- Functionality of exits post crash;
- Injury prevention from items of mass (including engines, gearboxes, rotor blades etc.);
- Provision of fire fighting equipment (fire extinguishers, breathing and eye protection equipment etc.);
- Ditching provisions (including floatation devices);
- Pre crash warnings and crash recovery procedures;
- Crew extraction devices.

Some criteria in this chapter are supported in the text by examples of specific considerations. These examples are by no means to be considered as exhaustive.

Verification should at least consider:

- The number or aircraft occupants;
- Ensuring that body mass figures used are appropriate, and allow a sufficient margin for fully equipped troops;
- Type and role of aircraft;
- The ability of personnel to operate appropriate emergency devices while wearing personal protective equipment; this relates to aircrew and rescue crews.

9.7.1 Seating system load capabilities.

The seating system, or systems including stretchers, to be used on the aircraft shall be appropriate for their proposed use. The design of the floor and load paths to the seat, or stretcher, attachments shall be capable of sustaining the loads of the seat or stretcher system in applicable crash load conditions.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Body mass, including kit, of seat occupants.
- b. Static and dynamic load conditions.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Rig and ground tests should demonstrate that the seat and restraint system, with associated aircraft structure, meets the standard with a seated occupant.

| Information Sources | | Sources | | |
|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| | | | | |
| | Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 492 /675 |

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|---|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010: 3.7, 4.7 JSSG-2006-3.4.2.11 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG | 00-970 P1 4.22.2 00-970 P7 L307 |
| 511.0 | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.561, 23.562, 25.561, 25.562, 25.563 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.561 CS 23.562 CS 23.785 CS 25.561 CS 25.562 CS 25.785 CS 27.561 CS 27.562 CS 27.562 CS 27.785 |
| | | | CS 29.561 CS 29.562 CS 29.785 |

9.7.2 Seating stroke clearance envelopes.

There shall be no intrusion into the stroke clearance envelope of energy absorbing seats that could impede the seat stroke.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Equipment.
- b. Structure.
- c. Other materiel including stowage of carry-on kit.
- d. The ability of occupants to tuck feet under the seat.

e. The physiological aspects of design, including anthropometric range of occupants.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Rig and ground tests should demonstrate the stroke clearance envelope, indicating the occupied stroke volume for the design impact velocity of the aircraft and ensuring that volume exists and is unobstructed in the aircraft design.

| Int | ormation Sources | | |
|--------------|------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.22.2 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.785 CS 27.785 CS 29.785 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 493 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

9.7.3 Restraint systems loads.

The escape system environment and the requisite crash loading of the seats, or stretchers, shall be defined. The restraint system shall be defined in terms of properly restraining the seat or stretcher occupant for each defined environment.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Type of seat restraint - lap belt, or lap and shoulder;

b. Aircraft occupants may be in a stretcher, or litter, as opposed to a seat;

c. Ensuring that body mass figures used are appropriate, and allow a sufficient margin for fully equipped troops;

d. Ensuring that there is sufficient margin between required allowances for body mass now and projected body mass for lifetime of the platform.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Rig and ground tests should demonstrate that the restraint system properly restrains the occupant.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010: 3.7, 4.7 JSSG-2006-3.4.2.11 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.15.11 00-970 P1 4.21 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 4.22.2 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 4.22.42 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 4.22.43 |
| | | | 00-970 P7 S1 L111 |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.561, | EASA CS | CS 23.561 |
| | 23.562, 25.561, 25.562, 25.563 | Reference: | CS 23.562 |
| | | | CS 23.785 |
| | | | CS 25.561 |
| | | | CS 25.562 |
| | | | CS 25.785 |
| | | | CS 27.561 |
| | | | CS 27.562 |
| | | | CS 27.785 |
| | | | CS 29.561 |
| | | | CS 29.562 |
| | | | CS 29.785 |
| | | | |
| | | | |

9.7.4 Occupant strike envelope.

The strike envelope of the occupant during defined crash loads shall be kept free of objects, including deforming platform structure, that are risks to survival or which may cause serious injury rendering the crewmember(s), or other occupants, unable to perform post-crash egress functions.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail design crash loads.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 494 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

2. Rig and ground tests should demonstrate that occupant body translation is determined for design crash loads and that no objects in the crew station that would cause major injury are within that translation volume. Analytical models of human body motion under crash load conditions should verify that no strike hazards exist.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010: 3.7, 4.7 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.15.17-4.15.18 00-970 P1 4.22.9-4.22.13 00-970 P1 4.22.20 00-970 P1 4.22.44 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.561, 23.562, 25.561, 25.562, 25.563 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.561 CS 23.562 CS 23.785 CS 25.561 CS 25.562 CS 25.785 CS 27.561 CS 27.562 CS 27.785 CS 29.561 CS 29.562 CS 29.785 |

9.7.5 Post crash operational exits.

The design crash loads for the aircraft shall be defined and it shall be shown that the designated emergency exits are operable up to, and including, these defined loads.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Rig and ground tests should demonstrate that all aircraft exits function following application of loads up to and including design crash loads.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010: 3.7, 4.7 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.22.4 00-970 P1 4.22.6 00-970 P1 4.22.8 00-970 P1 4.22.44 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.22.56 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.561, 23.562, 25.561, 25.562, 25.563 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.783 CS 23.807 CS 25.563 CS 25.801 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 495 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|-----------|
| | CS 25.809 |
| | CS 26.801 |
| | CS 27.801 |
| | CS 28.801 |
| | CS 29.783 |
| | CS 29.801 |
| | CS 29.809 |

9.7.6 Items of mass.

Under emergency landing, ditching, and crash load conditions, items of mass shall not cause serious injury to occupants or prevent their escape by any recognised escape route. Items of mass shall include cargo or baggage carried by the aircraft.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ultimate loads for structural installations for normal and emergency operations/conditions.

b. Installed equipment in passenger compartments.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the aircraft system level crash condition requirements.

2. Analyses and/or rig and ground tests should demonstrate that aircraft component installations do not pose a serious injury hazard.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010: 3.7, 4.7 JSSG-2006-3.4.2.11 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.22.44 00-970 P1 4.22.46 00-970 P1 4.22.49-4.22.50 00-970 P1 4.22.51-4.22.52 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.561, 23.562, 25.561, 25.562, 25.563, 25.787, 25.789, 23.787, 25.801, 25.1411, 25.1421 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.561 CS 23.787 CS 25.561 CS 25.787 CS 25.789 CS 25.1421 CS 27.561 CS 27.787 CS 29.561 CS 29.787 |

| Int | ormation Sources | | |
|--------------|------------------|-----------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 496 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|----------|--------------------------|------------|--|
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 25.851 | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

9.7.7 Ditching provisions.

Where certification with 'ditching provision' is required, the requisite safety equipment shall be installed. On all aircraft without assisted escape systems this shall include sufficient life rafts for all occupants, and individual floatation devices for each occupant.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Number and location of life rafts.

b. Provision of over capacity of life rafts to account for damage or access after ditching.

c. Numbers and location of other safety equipment which might be required by ditching provisions.

d. Any structural damage due to ditching shall not adversely affect survivability including launch of life rafts.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should verify normal and emergency operations for all intended aircraft occupants through analyses and intergration testing from the standpoint of the overall system performance and installation.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010: 3.7, 4.7 | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 6.8 |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.561, | EASA CS | CS 23.1411 |
| | 23.562, 25.561, 25.562, 25.563 | Reference: | CS 23.1415 |
| | | | CS 25.563 |
| | | | CS 25.801 |
| | | | CS 25.1411 |
| | | | CS 25.1415 |
| | | | CS 27.801 |
| | | | CS 27.1411 |
| | | | CS 27.1415 |
| | | | CS 29.801 |
| | | | CS 29.1411 |
| | | | CS 29.1415 |

9.7.8 Pre-crash warning system.

A method to provide a pre-crash warning between aircrew and all other compartments shall be available. The warning shall be available in all occupied, or occupiable sections of the aircraft, without aircrew or other occupants leaving their seated position.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 497 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

Consideration should be given to:

a. Making redundant warning - visual and auditory for example.

b. Provision of warnings at all duty stations, in toilets, galleys, and all other areas where crew or passengers might be expected.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the ability to convey a warning indication to all crew and passengers.

2. Technical Publications should detail the process to activate the warning system.

3. Rig and ground tests should demonstrate the operation of the warning system from seated positions.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010: 3.7, 4.7 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.15.6 00-970 P1 4.15.33 00-970 P1 4.15.39 00-970 P1 6.6.88 00-970 P13 1.2.4.1- 1.2.5.1 |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | Def Stan 00-970 Pt 1 Sec 4.15.6 Def Stan 00-970 Pt 1 Sec 4.15.33 Def Stan 00-970 Pt 1 Sec 4.15.39 Def Stan 00-970 Pt 1 Sec 6.6.88 Def Stan 00-970 Pt 13 Sec 1.2.4.1 and 1.2.5.1 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.771 CS 25.819 CS 25.1307 CS 25.1423 CS 29.1307 |

9.7.9 Occupiable volume reduction in rotary wing aircraft.

For rotary wing aircraft, occupiable volume reduction resulting from design crash loads shall provide reasonable protection against occupant injury; this applies to structural deformation, and other intrusion into occupiable space.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The mounting of engines, transmissions, fuel cells, rotor masts, and other high mass objects.

b. Impact conditions such as rollover about the aircraft's pitch or roll axes.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Structural test and analysis and crash load tests should verify that the design meets occupant volume requirements.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2001: 3.3.10.2.1, 4.3.10.2.1 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.15.17-4.15.18 00-970 P1 4.22.9-4.22.13 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 498 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|----------|-------------------------|------------|---------------------------|
| | JSSG-2010-7: 3.7.3.2.1 | | 00-970 P1 4.22.29-4.22.41 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 4.22.51-4.22.52 |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: 27.562 | EASA CS | CS 27.561 |
| | | Reference: | CS 27.562 |
| | | | CS 27.785 |
| | | | CS 27.787 |
| | | | CS 29.561 |
| | | | CS 29.562 |
| | | | CS 29.785 |
| | | | CS 29.787 |

9.7.10 Emergency crew extraction mechanisms.

The mechanisms used for emergency crew extraction and for fire fighting shall be properly marked, easily identified, and shall be operable while wearing personal protective equipment.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ejection mechanisms.

- b. Emergency exit use by aircrew, passengers, and rescue crews.
- c. Lighting of emergency exits and fire fighting equipment.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Rig and ground tests should demonstrate the ability to operate required emergency egress and rescue mechanisms.

2. Technical Publications should detail the process to operate required emergency egress and rescue mechanisms.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-1472: 5.5, 5.6 JSSG-2001: 3.4.3, 4.4.3 JSSG-2010-9: 3.9.5, 4.9.5 JSSG-2010-13: 3.13.6, 4.13.16 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P13 1.6.11.5 00-970 P13 1.6.12.1 00-970 P13 1.6.13.1-1.6.13.2 00-970 P13 1.6.15.1 00-970 P13 1.6.15.3 00-970 P13 1.6.15.5 00-970 P13 1.6.15.6 00-970 P13 1.6.15.7 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 3230 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference 25.811 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.811 CS 23.812 CS 23.1557 CS 23.1561 CS 25.811 CS 25.812 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 499 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|------------|
| | CS 25.1557 |
| | CS 25.1561 |
| | CS 27.1557 |
| | CS 27.1561 |
| | CS 29.811 |
| | CS 29.812 |
| | CS 29.1557 |
| | CS 29.1561 |

9.8. LAVATORIES, GALLEYS, AND AREAS NOT CONTINUOUSLY OCCUPIED.

This section covers aircraft compartments, and areas that may be accessible to crew, passengers or maintainers, but that may not be occupied at all times during flight.

Included within the scope of this section are:

1. That food service carts, refuse carts, and waste containers used to receive any combustible materials contain a fire ignited within.

2. That all compartments have separate and approved smoke and/or fire detectors to alert the crew at the pilot or flight engineer station for both in-flight and ground operations; that each compartment has dedicated hand fire extinguishers; and that if unoccupied cargo holds are present, fire protection and fire detection/suppression requirements are met.

3. That the fire alarm and intercom/public address system can be heard in all lavatories, galleys, and other compartments.

4. That the human factors design for operation of installed equipment minimises the probability of human error that could create a safety hazard in the aircraft.

5. That all equipment installed in lavatories, galleys, and other areas can be safely operated in the aircraft environment, and is designed to withstand all potential aircraft environmental exposures, including rapid decompression, without creating a safety hazard.

6. That occupants cannot become trapped in lavatories, galleys, and other compartments during emergency evacuation situations, and that emergency lighting is available to aid egress.

9.8.1 Combustible material containment.

Food service carts, refuse carts, and waste containers used to receive any combustible materials shall be capable of containing any fire likely to occur within it.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Fire resistant material.

b. Minimising the use of openings for ventilation, entry, or other use in fire containment areas.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the fire retardant requirements for combustible materials containers.

2. Rig tests should demonstrate the ability of the disposal receptacle to contain fires under all probable conditions of wear, misalignment, and ventilation expected in service.

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|--|
| | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 500 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 4.26.53 |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.853 |
| | | Reference: | CS 25.853 |
| | | | CS 29.853 |

9.8.2 Smoke and fire detectors & extinguishers.

All aircraft and/or control station compartments shall have separate and approved smoke and/or fire detectors to alert the crew at the pilot, operator or flight engineer station for both in-flight and ground operations. Each aircraft and/or control station compartment shall have dedicated hand fire extinguishers, and if unoccupied cargo holds are present, fire protection and fire detection/suppression requirements shall be met.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring fire extinguishers are readily accessible for use.

b. Ensuring no extinguishing agent likely to enter personnel compartments will be hazardous to the occupants.

c. Built-in fire extinguishing systems.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the smoke detectors, fire extinguishers, and fire protection/detection/suppression systems installed throughout the aircraft and/or control station.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010-7: 3.7.3.4 JSSG-2009 Appendix G: 3.4.7.9 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.26.55 00-970 P1 4.26.56 00-970 P1 4.26.60 00-970 P1 4.26.61-4.26.62 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 25.855, 25.857, 25.858, 25.859, 25.854 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.855 CS 23.859 CS 25.851 CS 25.854 CS 25.855 CS 25.857 CS 25.858 CS 25.859 CS 27.855 CS 27.855 CS 29.855 CS 29.859 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 501 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

9.8.3 Intercom/ public address system.

The fire alarm and intercom/public address system shall be audible in all lavatories, galleys, and other compartments not continuously occupied.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring system volume is sufficient to be detected in all compartments, during all normal flight noise levels.

b. Capability to provide independance of alarm and intercom or PA systems from any required crewmember interphone system.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Rig and ground tests should demonstrate the fire alarm, intercom, and public address systems functionality under all approved operating configurations and conditions.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 25.819 |
| | | Reference: | CS 25.1423 |

9.9.4 Merged with 9.4.1 for equipment and 9.4.2 for supporting documentation.

9.8.4 Safe operation under aircraft environmental exposures.

All equipment installed in lavatories, galleys, and other areas not continuously occupied shall be safe to operate in the aircraft environment, and shall be designed to withstand all potential aircraft environmental exposures, including rapid decompression, without creating a safety hazard.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Where locking mechanisms are installed, capability exists to be unlocked from the outside without the aid of special tools.

b. Ensuring enclosed spaces, such as lavatories and compartments, have emergency lighting to permit the occupants to perform flight safety critical functions and escape during a loss of electrical power.

c. Ensuring that lighting automatically operates upon loss of power.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail for requirements for functionality of areas not continuously occupied in all approved operating configurations and conditions.

2. Rig and ground tests should demonstrate the function and performance of emergency lighting during loss of electrical power.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 4.1.31 |
| | | Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.3.5 |
| | | | 00-970 P1 4.3.6 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 502 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|----------|-------------------|------------|------------|
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.1301 |
| | | Reference: | CS 23.1309 |
| | | | CS 25.789 |
| | | | CS 25.1301 |
| | | | CS 25.1309 |
| | | | CS 25.1365 |
| | | | CS 27.1301 |
| | | | CS 27.1309 |
| | | | CS 29.1301 |
| | | | CS 29.1309 |

9.8.5 Occupant entrapment.

It shall not be possible for occupants to become trapped in lavatories, galleys, or other compartments during emergency evacuation situations. Emergency lighting shall be available to aid egress.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring each enclosed cabin with passenger accommodations has adequate access to external doors.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Rig and ground tests should demonstrate availability of emergency egress from lavatories, galleys, or other compartments.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-PRF-85676 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.22.3 00-970 P13 1.6.11.5 |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.803 |
| | | Reference: | CS 23.812 |
| | | | CS 25.803 |
| | | | CS 25.812 |
| | | | CS 25.819 |
| | | | CS 25.820 |
| | | | CS 29.803 |
| | | | CS 29.812 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 503 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

SECTION 10 - DIAGNOSTICS SYSTEMS

This section covers the functionality and integration of aircraft diagnostics; and specifically the detection, isolation, and reporting of loss or degradation of system functions. Some diagnostic systems covered include, but are not limited to, built-in-tests (BIT), built-in-test-equipment (BITE) and health and usage monitoring systems (HUMS).

CERTIFICATION CRITERIA

10.1. FAILURE MODES.

10.1.1 Identification and detection.

Critical functional failure modes shall be identified, and provisions incorporated within the aircraft design for their detection.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Conducting a recognised System Safety Analysis (SSA);

b. Conducting Failure Modes, Effects & Criticality Analysis (FMECA), or acceptable similar analysis, in order to identify all safety and mission critical failures;

c. Identifying all possible modes of failure, including malfunctions and damage from external sources;

d. The probability of multiple failures, and the probability of undetected faults;

e. The resulting effects on the aircraft and third parties, considering the stage of flight and operating conditions;

f. The air crew's capability of determining faults.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Analysis (SSA), supported by other safety artefacts as appropriate (e.g. FMECA) should identify all safety and mission critical failures including damage from external sources, probabilities of multiple failures and undetected faults, and the resulting effects on aircraft safety and airworthiness.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE AIR 4845 details the FMECA process. SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2000: 3.3.2 JSSG-2001: 3.3.7, 3.3.7.1 FAA References: 14 CFR references: 23.1301, 23.1309, 23.1351, 25.1301, 25.1309, | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 2.25.76 00-970 P1 4.12.8 00-970 P1 6.2.35 00-970 P7 L725 4 |
| | 25.1351, 27.1309, 29.1309 | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1309 4671.1788 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.1301, 23.1309, 23.1351, 25.1301, 25.1309, 25.1351 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1309 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1309 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 504 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|------------|
| | CS 29.1309 |

10.1.2 Timely reporting.

Detection of critical functional failures, including built-In-test (BIT) features, shall activate caution and warning functions and message indicators in a timely manner, to enable appropriate corrective action to be taken.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring that cautions and warnings are provided in time to preclude further uncontrolled degradation to safety, mission accomplishment, and survivability;

b. Use of visual and/or aural indication;

c. Ensuring systems and controls and associated monitoring and warning means are designed to minimise crew errors.

d. A warnings philosophy for new designs which standardises the warnings criticality level with the matching warning indication and recording criteria.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the caution and warning functions and message indicators associated with each of the aircraft critical functional failures.

2. System Safety Analysis (SSA) should include caution and warning functions, including the effects of likely delays in corrective action (automatic or manual).

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2000: 3.3.2 JSSG-2001: 3.3.7, 3.3.7.1 FAA References: 14 CFR references: 23.1301, 23.1309, 23.1351, 25.1301, 25.1309, | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00.970 P1 2.5.30 00-970 P1 4.4.7 00-970 P7 L207 8.5 00-970 P7 L725 3 00-970 P9 UKU 249e |
| | 25.1351, 27.1301, 27.1309, 27.1351, 29.1301, 29.1309, 29.1351 | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1309 4671.1728 4671.1787 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.1301, 23.1309, 23.1351, 25.1301, 25.1309, 25.1351 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1301 CS 23.1309 CS 23.1351 CS 25.1301 CS 25.1309 CS 25.1351 CS 27.1301 CS 27.1309 CS 27.1351 CS 29.1301 CS 29.1309 CS 29.1351 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 505 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

10.2. OPERATION.

10.2.1 Safety of flight parameters.

Diagnostic systems shall accurately monitor all appropriate safety-of-flight (SOF) parameters, in order to permit proper diagnosis.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The fidelity & integrity of both aircraft and ground diagnostic systems.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the diagnostic provisions for all SOF parameters, including their accuracy.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|---|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2000: 3.6.2 FAA References: 14 CFR references: 23.1301, 23.1309, 23.1351, 25.1301, 25.1309, 25.1351, 27.1301, 27.1309, 27.1351, 29.1301, 29.1309, 29.1351 EASA CS 23.1301, 23.1309, 23.1351, 25.1301, 25.1309, 25.1351, 27.1301, 27.1309, 27.1351, 29.1301, 29.1309, 29.1351 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.4.7 00-970 P9 USAR U1787 4671.1607 4671.1787 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.1301, 23.1309, 23.1351, 25.1301, 25.1309, 25.1351 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 29.1465 |

10.2.1.1 Critical parameter calibration.

Diagnostic sensor operation and calibration procedures shall maintain accurate measurement of all critical parameter values, within specified tolerances.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Establishing specified tolerances for each critical parameter values being monitored;

b. Ensuring diagnostic sensor calibration methods deliver the required integrity.

Consideration for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the diagnostic sensors incorporated in the design of the aircraft and their tolerances and calibration procedures.

2. Technical Publications (e.g. Aircraft Maintenance Manual) should detail the procedures for calibration of each aircraft diagnostic sensor,

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|------------------|-----------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2000: 3.3.2 | Def-Stan 00-970 | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 506 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|----------|--|------------|--|
| | JSSG-2001: 3.3.7,3.3.7.1 | Reference: | |
| | FAA References: 14 CFR | STANAG | |
| | references: 23.1301, 23.1309, | Reference: | |
| | 23.1351, 25.1301, 25.1309, | | |
| | 25.1351, 27.1301, 27.1309, | | |
| | 27.1351, 29.1301, 29.1309, | | |
| | 29.1351 | | |
| | EASA CS 23.1301, 23.1309, | | |
| | 23.1351, 25.1301, 25.1309, | | |
| | 25.1351, 27.1301, 27.1309, | | |
| | 27.1351, 29.1301, 29.1309, | | |
| | 29.1351 | | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.1301, | EASA CS | |
| | 23.1309, 23.1351, 25.1301, 25.1309, 25.1351 | Reference: | |

10.2.2 Diagnostics system safety.

Failure of the diagnostic system itself shall not adversely affect safety-of-flight (SOF), induce undetected failures, or otherwise damage the aircraft .

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring the diagnostic system design is minimally invasive;

b. Ensuring systems and controls are designed to minimise crew errors;

c. Ensuring dangerous-condition-prevention devices do not limit flight within the Operational Flight Envelope.

d. Ensuring the failure of diagnostic function does not directly or indirectly reduce SOF.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the interfaces between diagnostic systems and other aircraft systems.

2. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate that failure of aircraft diagnostic systems does not reduce aircraft safety below the level of safety that would be met without a diagnostic system installed.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2000: 3.3.2 | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 2.25.76 |
| | JSSG-2001: 3.3.7,3.3.7.1 | Reference: | |
| | JSSG-2001 Air vehicle: 3.3.7 | STANAG | 4671.1309 |
| | Diagnostics | Reference: | |
| | AFGS 87256 Integrated | | |
| | Diagnostics provides general | | |
| | guidance regarding diagnostics | | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.1301, | EASA CS | CS 23.1301 |
| | 23.1309, 23.1351, 25.1301, | Reference: | CS 23.1309 |
| | 25.1309, 25.1351 | | CS 23.1351 |
| | | | CS 25.1301 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 507 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|------------|
| | CS 25.1309 |
| | CS 25.1351 |
| | CS 27.1301 |
| | CS 27.1309 |
| | CS 27.1351 |
| | CS 29.1301 |
| | CS 29.1309 |
| | CS 29.1351 |

10.2.3 Safety systems health reporting.

All critical safety systems shall be monitored to ensure they are fully functional throughout the aircraft flight envelope.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Use of Built-In-Test (BIT) and/or continuous health monitoring.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail provisions for the status monitoring of all critical safety systems and should confirm that system status is monitored throughout the flight envelope.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2000: 3.3.2 JSSG-2001: 3.3.7,3.4.4.1.6 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P9 UK1309 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.1301, 23.1309, 23.1351, 25.1301, 25.1309, 25.1351 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1301 CS 23.1309 CS 23.1351 CS 25.1301 CS 25.1309 CS 25.1351 CS 27.1301 CS 27.1309 CS 27.1351 CS 29.1301 CS 29.1309 CS 29.1351 |

10.2.4 Operation and maintenance manuals.

Flight and maintenance manuals shall include normal, back-up and emergency operating procedures, limitations, restrictions, servicing, and maintenance information and other information necessary for safe operation of diagnostic systems.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The level of detail necessary to provide accurate technical information while remaining concise;

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 508 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

b. The information, at the appropriate level of detail, required to allow personnel to operate and maintain the aircraft as safely and effectively as possible at an acceptable workload.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Operational Technical Publications for the flight crew (Aircraft Flight Manual, Emergency Procedures, Checklists etc.) should clearly define all required normal, back-up and emergency operating procedures, limitations and restrictions.

2. Maintenance Technical Publications for ground crew (Aircraft Maintenance Manual, Master Minimum Equipment List, Maintenance Schedule, etc.) should clearly define all required servicing and maintenance information.

3. Flight Simulations, Ground Testing and/or Flight Testing should verify that all Operational Technical Publications are clear and unambiguous and can be followed by a flight crew through all flight phases and conditions without incurring excessive crew workload and serve their intended function.

4. Rig and/or Ground Testing should verify that all Maintenance Technical Publications are clear and unambiguous and can be followed by a competent maintenance engineer in a manner which ensures the continuing airworthiness of the aircraft.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2000: 3.6.2 FAA References: 14 CFR | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | |
| | references: 23.1301, 23.1309, | STANAG | 4671.1581 |
| | 23.1351, 25.1301, 25.1309, 25.1351, 27.1301, 27.1309, 27.1351, 29.1301, 29.1309, 29.1351 | Reference: | 4671.1787 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.1301, 23.1309, 23.1351, 25.1301, 25.1309, 25.1351 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1301 CS 23.1309 CS 23.1351 CS 25.1301 CS 25.1309 CS 25.1351 CS 27.1301 CS 27.1309 CS 27.1351 CS 29.1301 CS 29.1309 CS 29.1351 CS 29.1465 |

10.2.5 Flight Data Recorder / Cockpit Voice Recorder

Aircraft shall be equipped with Flight Data Recorders (FDR) and Cockpit Voice Recorders (CVR) where appropriate.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The essential parameters to be recorded as determined by the National Regulatory Authority.

b. Any potential security aspects associated with the required installation and parameters to be recorded.

c. Location of microphone for audio recordings.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 509 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

d. Power supply to recording device.

e. Prevention of erasure, or over-writing, of recording after a crash impact.

f. Crash survivability of recording device.

g. Any conspicuity requirements, including underwater detection if required.

h. Ability for pre-flight checking of recorder to ensure correct functioning.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the provision of FDR and CVR.

2. Technical Publications (e.g. Aircraft Maintenance Manual) should detail the procedures for pre-flight checking of the recorders.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|----------------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | 14 CFR: 23.1457, 23.1459, | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | 25.1457, 25.1459, 27.1457, | Reference: | |
| | 27.1459, 29.1457, 29.1459 | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | CS 23.1457 |
| | | | CS 23.1459 |
| | | | CS 25.1457 |
| | | | CS 25.1459 |
| | | | CS 27.1457 |
| | | | CS 27.1459 |
| | | | CS 29.1457 |
| | | | CS 29.1459 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 510 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

SECTION 11 - AVIONICS

This section covers the design, installation, arrangement and compatibility of the complete aircraft avionics system.

CERTIFICATION CRITERIA

(Note: For subsystems that use computer resources, see section 15 for additional, specific criteria.)

11.1. AVIONICS ARCHITECTURE.

11.1.1 Avionics subsystems architecture.

Avionics Subsystems shall have the number and type of sensors, data processors, data buses, controls and displays, and communications devices adequate for Safety of Flight and air traffic management considerations.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Air data systems, including provisions for displaying primary flight parameters;

b. Propulsion system instrumentation, with the ability to monitor performance, fuel status, and integrity of the system;

c. Display of other aircraft or management system parameters as required for safe flight;

d. An installed interoperable communications subsystem capable of supporting Safety of Flight and Air Traffic Management operations with the required integrity (including security) and continuity of service throughout the intended missions;

e. A navigation subsystem capable of meeting Safety of Flight and Air Traffic Management performance, integrity, availability and continuity of service requirements for long range reference, local area reference, and landing/terminal reference;

f. An installed surveillance and identification subsystem capable of meeting the Safety of Flight and Air Traffic Management performance, integrity, and continuity of service requirements for identification, relative positioning, trajectory, timing, and intent;

g. Normal, Emergency and Critical Failure Mode conditions.

h. Operation in, or in the vicinity of, a volcanic ash cloud.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the number and type of sensors, data processors, data buses, controls and displays, and communications devices which form part of the avionics subsystem(s).

2. Component qualification, application of appropriate HW and SW development standards, rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate that the avionics subsystem(s) support the correct function of aircraft systems.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | |
|-------------|--|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | For air data system: RTCA DO-236A, guidance on | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 511 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| <u></u> | CNS/ATM related air data system requirements | | |
| | For radio subsystems: RTCA DO-186A is the civil standard for VHF radio RTCA DO-219 RTCA SC-189 | | |
| | For navigation subsystems: RTCA DO-236A, for CNS/ATM related navigation system requirements RTCA DO-200A: 2.3.2, 2.3.3, 2.3.5, and 2.4.1 (RNP Data Processing) RTCA DO-236 | | |
| | For surveillance and identification subsystems: RTCA DC-181C is the civil standard for Mode S RTCA DO-185A Is the civil standard for TCAS II RTCA DO-212 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2005: 3.2.1.5 and 4.2.1.5 RTCA DO-178C | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.3.2 00-970 P1 6.3.4 |
| | RTCA DO-254 | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1301 4671.1707 4671.1723 4671.1725 |
| FAA Doc: | AC-23.1301, 23.1309, 25.1301, 25.1309, RTCA DO- 200A AC 27-1B, Certification of Normal Category Rotorcraft AC 29-2C, Certification of Transport Category Rotorcraft AC 20-145 Guidance for Integrated Modular Avionics (IMA) AC 20-130A, Airworthiness Approval of Navigation or Flight Management Systems Integrating Multiple Navigation | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1301 CS 25.1301 CS 27.1301 CS 29.1301 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 512 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

| In | formation Sources | |
|---------|---------------------------------------|--|
| <u></u> | Sensors | |
| | For air data system: | |
| | FAA IG 91-RVSM, 7.c(4), | |
| | 7.C(5), 7.c(8), 7.d, 8.b(5), | |
| | | |
| | 8.b(6), 8.b(7), 8.c, and 8.d. | |
| | (RVSM) | |
| | AC-23.1323, 23.1325, | |
| | 23.1326, 25.1323, 25.1325, 25.1326 | |
| | AC 90-97 7 (Baro VNAV) | |
| | AC 20-129 6 (RNAV VNAV) | |
| | For propulsion system | |
| | instrumentation: | |
| | 14CFR reference: 23.1301, | |
| | 13.1305, 23.1309, 25.1301, | |
| | 25.1305, 25.1309 and FAA | |
| | AC-1307-1C section 8.5 | |
| | provide more extensive | |
| | guidance. | |
| | AC-27-1 and AC-29-2 provide | |
| | guidance on helicopter | |
| | equipment, primarily in subpart | |
| | "F" | |
| | For display system guidance: | |
| | AC 23.1301, 23.1309, | |
| | 23.1351d, 25.1301, 25.1309, | |
| | 25.1351d | |
| | 14CFR reference: 23.1301, | |
| | 23.1307, 25.1301 and 25.1307 | |
| | provide additional guidance. | |
| | AC-27-1 and AC-29-2 provide | |
| | guidance on helicopter | |
| | equipment, primarily in subpart | |
| | "F" | |
| | For radio subsystems: | |
| | AC 20-140, Guidelines for | |
| | Design Approval of Aircraft | |
| | Data Communications | |
| | Systems | |
| | For navigation subsystems: | |
| | AC-25.1303, AC 90-96 | |
| | AC_90-96 (BRNAV only) | |
| | AC_90-96A (Draft containing | |
| | both BRNAV and PRNAV | |
| | requirements) | |
| | FAAO 8400.12A 10.a-b, & 15a | |
| | (RNP-10) | |

| AC 20-129 Airworthiness Approval of Vertical Navigation (VNAV) Systems for use in the U.S. National Airspace System (NAS) and Alaska, 9-12-88, 6 (RNAV VNAV) For surveillance and identification subsystems: TSO C112, AC 20-131A, TSO | In | formation Sources | |
|--|----|--|--|
| C151a | | AC 20-129 Airworthiness Approval of Vertical Navigation (VNAV) Systems for use in the U.S. National Airspace System (NAS) and Alaska, 9-12-88, 6 (RNAV VNAV) For surveillance and identification subsystems: TSO C112, AC 20-131A, TSO | |

11.1.2 Redundancy.

Failure of any single sensor, connection, processor, or display unit with any credible combination of failures shall not result in loss of safety-critical data or display of unsafe or misleading data.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Normal, Emergency and Critical Failure Mode conditions;

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA), as part of the Safety Assessment should demonstrate that the failure of any single sensor, connection, processor, or display unit does not result in loss of safety-critical data or display of unsafe or misleading data.

2. Component qualification, application of appropriate HW and SW development standards, rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the accuracy of the performed analysis and should demonstrate that failure of any single sensor, connection, processor, or display unit does not result in loss of safety-critical data or display of unsafe or misleading data.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 sections 4.2 FMEA, 4.4 CCA, 4.4.2 PRA, and 4.4.3 CMA | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2005: 3.2.1.4.1, 4.2.1.4.1 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.2.36 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1309 4671.1331 |
| FAA Doc: | AC-23.1309, 23.1311, 23.1331, 25.1309, 25.1331 14CFR references: 23.1309, 23.1311, 23.1331, 25.1309, 25.1331 AC-27-1 and AC-29-2 provide guidance on helicopter equipment, primarily in subpart "F" | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1309 CS 23.1311 CS 23.1331 CS 25.1309 CS 25.1331 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1309 CS 29.1331 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 514 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

11.1.3 Data buses.

Data buses shall have sufficient redundancy, reliability, and integrity to meet system safety and flightcritical requirements, and shall preclude the loss of safety-critical functioning, the display of unsafe or misleading information to the operator or maintainer, and any undetected failure modes.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Normal, Emergency and Critical Failure Mode conditions;
- b. Multiple, independent paths for critical signals.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail provisions for redundancy, reliability and integrity, and should demonstrate that such provisions meet system safety and flight-critical requirements.

2. Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) as part of the Safety Assessment should demonstrate that data bus design precludes the loss of safety-critical functioning, the display of unsafe or misleading information to the operator or maintainer, and any undetected failure modes.

3. Component qualification, application of appropriate HW and SW development standards, rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate that the avionics subsystem(s) support the correct function of aircraft systems.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2005: 3.2.2, 4.2.2 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00 01 01 1 0.2 |
| | | STANAG | 4671.1309 |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | AC-27-1 and AC-29-2 provide guidance on helicopter equipment, primarily in subpart "F" For prevention of loss of flight critical functioning: AC- 23.1301, 23.1309, 25.1301, 25.1309 For prevention of unsafe or misleading information: AC-23.1301, 23.1309, 23.1311, 25.1301, 25.1309 14CFR references: 23.1301, 23.1309, 23.1311, 25.1301, 25.1309 For prevention of undetected failure modes: AC-23.1301, 23.1309, 25.1301, 25.1309 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1309 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1309 |

11.1.4 Deterministic operation.

The overall avionics system shall operate in a predictable, deterministic and bounded manner and limit latency of any time-critical data, including primary flight data, as needed to support all safety-critical functions.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 515 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

Consideration should be given to:

a. Defining and achieving limits appropriate to the design of the avionics system such as latency limits, signal attenuation and/or data loss rates.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the identified design limits for each avionics system, and the likely causes and effects of operation outside of such limits.

2. Systems Interface Documents (SID) should define the avionics interface characteristics for each interfacing system, including relevant design limits and the likely effects of operation outside of such limits.

3. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate that the avionics system operates in a predictable, deterministic or bounded manner supporting all safety-critical functions.

4. Component qualification, application of appropriate HW and SW development standards, rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate that the avionics subsystem(s) support the correct function of aircraft systems.

| Ini | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| | | Def Cter 00.070 | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2005: 3.3.5, 4.3.5 | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P7 L725/2 |
| | For undetected failure modes, | Reference: | |
| | and timing or latency | STANAG | 4671.1309 |
| | anomalies: JSSG- | Reference: | 4671.1331 |
| | 2005:3.2.1.3.2, 4.2.1.3.2 | | 4671.1723 |
| | For interface/interconnect | | |
| | failures: JSSG-2005: 3.2.2.2, | | |
| | 4.2.2.2, 3.2.2.3, 4.2.2.3 | | |
| FAA Doc: | AC-23.1301, 23.1309, | EASA CS | CS 23.1309 |
| | 23.1329, 23.1335, 25.1301, | Reference: | CS 23.1331 |
| | 25.1309, 25.1329, 25.1335 | | CS 25.1309 |
| | AC-27-1 and AC-29-2 provide | | CS 25.1331 |
| | guidance on helicopter | | CS 27.1309 |
| | equipment, primarily in subpart | | CS 29.1309 |
| | "F" | | CS 29.1331 |
| | AC 20-145, Guidance for | | |
| | Integrated Modular Avionics | | |
| | (IMA) that Implement TSO- | | |
| | C153 Authorized Hardware | | |
| | Elements. | | |

11.1.5 Modes of operation.

All modes of operation of the avionics system shall be safe, taking into account the effect of undetected failure modes, timing or latency anomalies, and failures of interfaces and interconnections.

Consideration should be given to:

a. All identified modes of operation, and resulting effects on system interfaces due to operation in back-up or emergency modes.

b. Ensuring that any degradation in function or reliability resulting from operation in back-up or emergency modes does not result in unacceptable degradation in flight handling qualities or airworthiness.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 516 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should define the various modes of operation of the avionics system, including any back-up or emergency modes, and the effects that such operation has on system interfaces.

2. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate that all modes of operation of the avionics system are safe while specifically taking into account the effect of undetected failure modes, timing or latency anomalies, and failures of interfaces and interconnections.

3. Component qualification, application of appropriate HW and SW development standards, rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate that the avionics subsystem(s) support the correct function of aircraft systems.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|---|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2005: 3.3.5, 4.3.5 For undetected failure modes, and timing or latency anomalies: JSSG-2005: 3.2.1.3.2, 4.2.1.3.2 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.2.35 00-970 P1 6.2.36 00-970 P7 L725 4.1 4671.1309 4671.1607 |
| | For interface/interconnect failures: JSSG-2005: 3.2.2.2, 4.2.2.2, 3.2.2.3, 4.2.2.3 | | |
| FAA Doc: | AC-23.1301, 23.1309, 23.1329, 23.1335, 25.1301, 25.1309, 25.1329, 25.1335 AC-27-1 and AC-29-2 provide guidance on helicopter equipment, primarily in subpart "F" AC 20-145, Guidance for Integrated Modular Avionics (IMA) that Implement TSO- C153 Authorized Hardware Elements. | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1309 CS 23.1329 CS 25.1309 CS 25.1329 CS 27.1309 CS 27.1329 CS 29.1309 CS 29.1329 |

11.1.6 Diagnostics.

Integrated avionics diagnostic systems shall provide fault coverage, low false alarm rates, fault isolation and fault detection such that bad data and failed components that would unacceptably degrade aircraft safety are detected.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring that incorporated diagnostic systems and functions are appropriate to the design of the avionics system and the systems with which it interfaces, taking into account the effect on flight safety of failure of the diagnostic system..

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 517 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the provision of diagnostic systems in the design of the avionics system, including the fault coverage, false alarm rates, fault isolation and fault detection capabilities of the diagnostic system.

2. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate that avionics diagnostic systems adequately support aircraft safety.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2005: 3.2.1.3.2, 4.2.1.3.2 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P7 L725 3.4/3.5 |
| | | STANAG | 4671.1787 |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | AC-23.1309, 25.1309 | EASA CS | |
| | 14CFR reference 23.1309, | Reference: | |
| | 25.1309 | | |
| | AC-27-1 and AC-29-2 provide | | |
| | guidance on helicopter | | |
| | equipment, primarily in subpart "F" | | |
| | AC 29-2C, Certification of Transport Category Rotorcraft | | |

11.2. AVIONICS SUBSYSTEMS.

11.2.1 Critical information.

Flight, status and warning information shall be provided to the crew in a timely, clear and unambiguous form.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Legibility of primary flight displays. Ensure that primary flight information is provided to the crew at all times and is readable in all mission environments (including NVG where applicable) and lighting conditions (including full sunshine on displays, sun in the eyes, and total darkness);

b. Accuracy. Ensure that accuracy of flight-critical information meets SOF requirements;

c. Warnings, cautions, and advisories. Ensure that cautions and warnings are legible in all mission environments and are provided in an organized, prioritized system, and that the presentation of high-priority information is not masked by older or lower priority warnings and cautions;

d. Symbology. Ensure that instruments and symbols used to display flight-critical information employ accepted formats, directions, etc.;

e. BIT features. Ensure that BIT features of equipment alert the flight crew of flight-critical equipment status.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the flight, status and warning information provided to the crew.

2. Component qualification, application of appropriate HW and SW development standards, rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate that the flight, status and warning information provided to the crew is provided in a timely, clear and unambiguous form.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 518 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Inf | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-----------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | DoD/MIL Doc: | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 6.2.1-6.2.6 |
| | | Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.2.1-6.2.6 00-970 P1 6.2.33 |
| | AFI 11-202 Vol 3: 2.6, 2.6.1, | STANAG | |
| | 2.6.1.1, 2.6.1.2, and 2.6.1.2.1 | Reference: | 4671.1309 4671.1323 |
| | MIL-HDBK-87213 section 3.1.1 | Reference. | 4671.1327 |
| | JSSG-2005: 3.2.1.8, 4.2.1.8, | | 4671.1721 |
| | 3.2.1.8.1, and 4.2.1.8.1 | | 4671.1727 |
| | | | 4671.1785 |
| | MIL-HDBK-87213: 3.2.1.25.4.1 | | 3705 |
| | and App A | | |
| | | | |
| | JSSG-2005: 3.2.1.8.5, | | |
| | 4.2.1.8.5 | | |
| | MIL-HDBK-87213 | | |
| | | | |
| | MIL-STD-1787 section 4.2 | | |
| | MIL-STD-1787 Appendix A | | |
| | JSSG-2005: 3.2.1.3.2, | | |
| | 4.2.1.3.2 | | |
| | | | |
| | FAA Doc: | | |
| | AC-27-1 and AC-29-2 | | |
| | AC 23.1301, 23.1309, 23.1351, | | |
| | 25.1301, 25.1309, 25.1351 | | |
| | 23.1311, 23.1321, 25.1321 | | |
| | 14CFR references: 23.1301, | | |
| | 23.1309, 23.1351, 25.1301, | | |
| | 25.1309, 25.1351, 23.1311, | | |
| | 23.1321, 25.1321 | | |
| | 23.1311, 23.1323, 23.1325, | | |
| | 23.1326, 23.1327, 25.1323, | | |
| | 25.1325, 25.1326, 25.1327 | | |
| | 23.1311, 23.1322, 25.1322 | | |
| | | | |
| | AC-1311-1A section 9 | | |
| | 14CFR reference 23.1321, | | |
| | 23.1541, 25.1321, 25.1541 | | |
| | 23.1309, 25.1309 | | |
| FAA Doc: | AC-27-1 and AC-29-2 provide | EASA CS | CS 23.1309 |
| | guidance on helicopter | Reference: | CS 23.1321 |
| | equipment, primarily in subpart "F" | | CS 23.1322 |
| | For legibility of primary flight | | CS 23.1323 |
| | displays: | | CS 23.1326 |
| | AC 23.1301, 23.1309, 23.1351, | | CS 23.1327 |
| | ,,_, | | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 519 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

| Information Sources | |
|------------------------------|------------|
| | |
| 25.1301, 25.1309, 25.1351 | CS 23.1541 |
| 23.1311, 23.1321, 25.1321 | CS 25.1309 |
| 14CFR references: 23.1301, | CS 25.1321 |
| 23.1309, 23.1351, 25.1301, | CS 25.1322 |
| 25.1309, 25.1351, 23.1311, | CS 25.1323 |
| 23.1321, 25.1321 provide | CS 25.1326 |
| related FAA criteria | CS 25.1327 |
| For accuracy: 14CFR | CS 25.1541 |
| reference 23.1311, 23.1323, | CS 27.1309 |
| 23.1325, 23.1326, 23.1327, | CS 27.1321 |
| 25.1323, 25.1325, 25.1326, | CS 27.1322 |
| 25.1327 | CS 27.1323 |
| For warnings, cautions, and | CS 27.1327 |
| advisories: 14CFR reference | CS 27.1541 |
| 23.1311, 23.1322, 25.1322 | CS 29.1309 |
| For symbology: | CS 29.1321 |
| AC-1311-1A section 9 | CS 29.1322 |
| 14CFR reference 23.1321, | CS 29.1323 |
| 23.1541, 25.1321 and 25.1541 | CS 29.1326 |
| For BIT features: 14CFR | CS 29.1327 |
| reference 23.1309, 25.1309 | CS 29.1541 |

11.2.2 Reliability/redundancy of controls.

Avionic controls, such as those for controlling avionic modes and system function, shall have adequate redundancy and/or reliability in order to maintain required control of safety critical functions.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring that provisions for redundancy and reliability of controls takes into account the criticality and effects of failure of such controls.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the avionic controls and incorporated provisions for redundancy and reliability.

2. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate that the redundancy and reliability of avionic controls is appropriate, taking into account the effects of failure on the aircraft and its systems.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | 4.2.1.8.6 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P 1/5 S2 00-970 P 1/6 S6 L12 |
| | FAA References: 14 CFR 23.1309, 25.1309 | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1731 |
| FAA Doc: | AC-25.777 AC-27-1 and AC-29-2 provide guidance on helicopter equipment, primarily in subpart "F" | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1309 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1309 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 520 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

11.2.3 Safety and flight critical control functions.

Safety and flight critical control functions shall ensure safety of flight integrity and continuity of service throughout all intended missions, and shall ensure that hazardously misleading information is identified and not displayed to the operator.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Appropriate integration of off-board system command and control.
- b. Automatic and semi-automatic (man-in-the-loop) landing.
- c. Formation flight.
- d. Guidance.
- e. Other control used for safety and flight critical functions.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should define all safety and flight critical control functions, and should identify provisions which ensure the integrity and continuity of service throughout all intended missions.

2. SDD should define provisions for the identification of hazardously misleading information, and the provisions used to prevent the display of such information to the operator.

3. System Safety Analysis (SSA) should demonstrate that the integrity and continuity of safety and flight critical control functions is sufficient to ensure SOF.

4. Component qualification, application of appropriate HW and SW development standards, rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate that the avionics subsystem(s) support the correct function of aircraft systems.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2005: 3.2.2, 4.2.2 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.5.1 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1309 4671.1601-4671.1617 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference 23.1301, 23.1309, 25.1301, 25.1309 AC 29-140, Guidelines for Design Approval of Aircraft Data Communications Systems | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1309 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1309 |

11.2.4 Operational environment.

The avionics system, including its subsystems and equipment, shall operate safely and effectively throughout the expected operational environment.

Consideration should be given to:

a. System, subsystems and equipment environmental qualification to the full aircraft operating envelope.

b. Ensuring that pre-existing qualification of Commercial/Military Off-The-Shelf (COTS/MOTS) equipment is adequate, and where appropriate performing additional qualification to account for the operating environment of the military aircraft such as high manoeuvre loads, high vibration and shock loads and operation at supersonic speeds.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 521 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

c. Electromagnetic Compatibility (EMC), including operation in High Intensity Radiated Fields (HIRF).

d. Heating of external probes.

Consideration for preparation of AMC:

1. The Aircraft Specification should define the environments within which the aircraft will operate.

2. System Description Documents (SDD) should define the operating environment of the avionics system, including its subsystems and equipment throughout the aircraft through critical operating conditions.

3. Declaration of Design and Performance should demonstrate that avionics equipment operates safely and effectively in their given location and throughout all expected operating conditions.

4. Qualification Test Plans and associated Reports (QTR & QTP) should identify the environmental requirements for the avionics system, subsystems and equipment, and should demonstrate that the system, subsystem and equipment operates safely and effectively in their given location and throughout all expected operating conditions.

5. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate that the avionics system, subsystem and equipment operates safely and effectively in their given location and throughout all expected operating conditions.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2005: 3.2.3, 4.2.3 MIL-STD-810 can be used as guidance in selection and tailoring of appropriate | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 S6.2 00-970 P1 6.2.40-6.2.61 00-970 P7 L725 2.3.1 00-970 P7 L725 6.1-6.4 |
| | requirements for specified environments. MIL-STD-810 provides guidance and test methods for verification. FAA References: 14 CFR 23.1309, 25.1309, 27.1309 and 29.1309 | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | AC-23.1309, 25.1309 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1309 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1309 RTCA DO-160 |

11.2.5 Electrical power quality.

The avionics system shall operate safely throughout the range of expected power supply characteristics (see also criterion 12.1.4).

Consideration should be given to:

a. Power supply characteristics (voltage, frequency, impedance, current, etc.) through normal, back-up and failure operating conditions.

b. Prioritisation of SOF-critical avionics functions.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 522 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the power-supply of the avionics system, including any particular power-supply characteristics for the system, subsystems or equipment.

2. Systems Interface Documents (SID) should define the power-supply characteristics for the interface between the avionics system and its power supply/supplies.

3. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate that the avionics system operates safely throughout the range of expected power supply characteristics.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2005: 3.2.2.5, 4.2.2.5 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.2.35 00-970 P1 6.2.36 00-970 P1 6.2.37 00-970 P7 L725 4.1 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1309 4617.1351 |
| FAA Doc: | AC-23.1351, 25.1351 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1351 CS 25.1351 CS 27.1351 CS 29.1351 RTCA DO-160 |

11.3. AVIONICS INSTALLATION.

11.3.1 Avionics installation.

The installation of the avionics system, including its arrangement and crashworthiness, shall support SOF.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Normal, Emergency and Critical Failure Mode conditions;

b. Independence of appropriate flight critical services such as separate flight crew stations.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the installation of avionics systems including the arrangement and crashworthiness of subsystems and equipment.

2. Structural analysis (static and/or dynamic) should demonstrate the appropriate arrangement and crashworthiness of the avionics system, including its subsystems and equipment.

3. System Safety Analysis (SSA) should demonstrate that the overall level of safety of the installation of the avionics system is acceptable, taking into account any particular risks associated with its design and installation.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 RTCA DO-160 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2005: 3.2.3, 4.2.3 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.2.9-6.2.18 00-970 P7 L725 2.3.1 |
| | | STANAG | 4671.1309 |
| | | Reference: | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 523 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|----------|---|-----------------------|--|
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference 23.1309, 23.1321, 25.1309, 25.1321 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1309 CS 23.1321 CS 25.1309 CS 25.1321 CS 25.1333 CS 27.1309 CS 27.1321 CS 29.1309 CS 29.1321 |

11.3.2 Operation and maintenance manuals.

Flight and maintenance manuals shall include normal, back-up and emergency operating procedures, limitations, restrictions, servicing, and maintenance information and other information necessary for safe flight, including emergency operations.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The level of detail necessary to provide accurate technical information while remaining concise;

b. The information, at the appropriate level of detail, required to allow personnel to operate and maintain the aircraft as safely and effectively as possible at an acceptable workload.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Operational Technical Publications for the flight crew (Aircraft Flight Manual, Emergency Procedures, Checklists etc.) should clearly define all required normal, back-up and emergency operating procedures, limitations and restrictions.

2. Maintenance Technical Publications for ground crew (Aircraft Maintenance Manual, Master Minimum Equipment List, Maintenance Schedule, etc.) should clearly define all required servicing and maintenance information.

3. Flight Simulations, Ground Testing and/or Flight Testing should verify that all Operational Technical Publications are clear and unambiguous and can be followed by a flight crew through all flight phases and conditions without incurring excessive crew workload and serve their intended function.

4. Rig and/or Ground Testing should verify that all Maintenance Technical Publications are clear and unambiguous and can be followed by a competent maintenance engineer in a manner which ensures the continuing airworthiness of the aircraft.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG -2005: 3.2.2, 4.2.2 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1501 4671.1581 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference 23.1501, 25.1501 AC 27-1B, Subpart G, Certification of Normal Category Rotorcraft AC 29-2C, Certification of Transport Category Rotorcraft | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1501 CS 25.1501 CS 27.1501 CS 29.1501 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 524 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

11.3.3 Antenna performance.

Aircraft antennae subsystems shall ensure that aircraft flight-critical functions are retained, that unsafe information is not displayed to the operator or maintainer, and that availability and continuity of service is adequate to support SOF.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Ensuring adequate gain and coverage for transmission and receiving functions.
- b. Normal, emergency and failure conditions.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the design of antenna subsystems and should demonstrate provisions for retention of flight critical functions, prevention of display of unsafe information to operators/maintainers, and maintaining availability and continuity of service to support SOF.

2. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate that antenna subsystems ensure that aircraft flightcritical functions are retained, that unsafe information is not displayed to the operator or maintainer, and that availability and continuity of service is adequate to support SOF.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2005: 3.3.5, 4.3.5 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.1.17 00-970 P7 L707 2.1 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1309 4671.1607 4671.1615 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference 23.1309 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1309 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1309 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 525 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

SECTION 12 - ELECTRICAL SYSTEM

This section covers the design, installation, arrangement and compatibility of the complete aircraft electrical system. It covers both the electrical power generation system and electrical wiring system, including power distribution.

(Note: For subsystems that use computer resources, see section 15 for additional specific criteria.)

CERTIFICATION CRITERIA

12.1. ELECTRIC POWER GENERATION SYSTEM.

The electric power generating system includes electrical power sources, main power buses, transmission cables, and associated control, regulation and protective devices.

For airborne, shipborne or ground applications, the electric power generating system includes electrical power sources, main power buses, transmission cables, and associated control, regulation and protective devices.

12.1.1 Power quantity.

Sufficient electrical power shall be available to meet the aircraft systems power requirements during all modes of operation and potential failure conditions, including operation from emergency back-up systems (e.g. batteries).

Consideration should be given to:

a. Individual electrical load power requirements of systems at normal flight, normal ground, maintenance, environmental extremes, peak and failure mode conditions.

b. The compound electrical load power requirements of all systems at normal, peak and failure mode conditions.

c. The balance of electrical loads across distribution systems and power generation systems, including redundancy for flight critical systems.

d. The need to shed non-essential electrical loads in a safe manner in order to preserve essential systems electrical supply in the event of failure.

e. Systems future growth and development requirements.

f. System transients due to switching, fault clearing.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Electrical Loads Analysis should demonstrate that sufficient power is available. This requires consideration of all sources, and includes evaluating battery rate(s) of discharge.

| Information Sources | | |
|---------------------|---|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | For guidance/principles regarding aspects of assuring effective and proper electric power generation system design, integration and compatibility: | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 526 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Inf | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-----------------|------------------|
| <u> </u> | | | |
| | SAE AS50881 | | |
| | ARINC Report 609 | | |
| | NFPA 70 | | |
| | For electric power quality: | | |
| | SAE AS1831 | | |
| | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | For guidance/principles | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 6.6.2 |
| | regarding aspects of assuring | Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.6.16 |
| | effective and proper electric | | 00-970 P1 6.6.18 |
| | power generation system | STANAG | |
| | design, integration, compatibility and electrical | Reference: | |
| | system capacity | | |
| | MIL-E-7016 | | |
| | AFGS-87219 | | |
| | MIL-STD-7080 | | |
| | MIL-HDBK-454 | | |
| | ADS-51-HDBK chapter/section | | |
| | 4-8.6 | | |
| | MIL-STD-464 | | |
| | For electric power quality: | | |
| | MIL-STD-704 | | |
| | MIL-HDBK-704 | | |
| | | | |
| | MIL-STD-1399-300 | | |
| | JSSG-2009: | | |
| | Appendix C C.3.4.3.5.2, | | |
| | C.4.4.3.5.2 | | |
| | Appendix H H.3.4.8.2, | | |
| | H.4.4.8.2 | | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: | EASA CS | CS 23.1351 |
| | 23.1351 | Reference: | CS 23.1353 |
| | 25.1351 | | CS 25.1310 |
| | | | CS 25.1351 |
| | | | CS 27.1351 |
| | | | CS 29.1351 |
| | | | |

12.1.1.1 Notification of battery discharge.

There shall be a means to notify the crew if an electrical malfunction is causing the continuous discharge of any safety of flight battery system.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Providing voltage and current information.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents should detail the notifications provided to aircrew for electrical malfunctions.

2. Rig and ground testing should demonstrate that notifications provided to aircrew for electrical malfunctions are clear and unambiguous.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 527 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Inf | ormation Sources | | |
|--------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P9/13 3 |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | 4671.1809 |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

12.1.2 Safe operation of generation system.

The operation of the electric power generation system and its component parts shall be safe.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Adequate implementation of cooling and ventilation provisions.
- b. Status/failure indications including central warning panels.
- c. Mechanical/thermal disconnect (as applicable) of generators, converters, inverters, batteries, etc.
- d. Cabin pressure failure.
- e. Escape of crew and passengers.
- f. Overcharging and electrical load analysis.
- g. Routeing of fuel, oil and water.
- h. Connection of external ground power.
- i. Corrosion, toxic substances and gases from batteries.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate, using Functional Hazard Assessment (FHA) and Failure Modes, Effects and Criticality Analysis (FMECA), that the system is safe.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|---|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | For guidance/principles regarding design and operation of safe electrical generation systems: AFGS-87219 MIL-G-21480 MIL-HDBK-454 MIL-STD-464 ADS-51-HDBK Chapter/Section 8-7 JSSG-2009: Appendix H H.3.4.8, H.4.4.8, H.3.4.8.4, H.4.4.8.4 FAA Doc: | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.6.1 00-970 P1 6.6.2 00-970 P1 6.6.28 00-970 P1 6.6.34 00-970 P1 6.6.87 00-970 P7 C706 4.2.2 00-970 P7 C706 4.6 00-970 P7 C706 7.4.2 4671.1351 - 1367 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.1351-23.1367 25.1351-25.1363 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1351-23.1367 CS 25.1351-25.1363 CS 27.1351-27.1367 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 528 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|--------------------|
| | CS 29.1351-29.1363 |

12.1.3 Safe operation of integrated electrical power system.

Operation of the integrated electrical power system for normal and emergency modes shall be safe.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Normal and emergency modes.
- b. Use of actual or simulated drives and loads.
- c. All flight and control configurations.
- d. Transition between modes.
- e. Bus switching.
- f. Load shedding.
- g. Fault condition operation (detection, clearing, and reconfiguration).
- h. Assurance that no single fault affects more than one power source.
- i. Electrical loads analysis.
- j. Application of external power.
- k. Circuit protection.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate that operation of the integrated system during normal and emergency modes is safe.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | regarding/affecting design and operation of safe integrated | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.6.2 00-970 P1 6.6.6 00-970 P1 6.6.15 |
| | electrical systems: AFGS-87219 MIL-STD-464 MIL-E-7016 ADS-51-HDBK (Chapter/Section 8-7) JSSG-2009: Appendix H H.3.4.8, H.4.4.8, H.3.4.8.4, H.4.4.8.4, H.3.4.8.5, H.4.4.8.5 | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1351 - 1367 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1351-23.1367 CS 25.1351-25.1363 CS 27.1351-27.1367 CS 29.1351-29.1363 |

12.1.4 Power quality.

The required power quality shall be maintained for all operating conditions and load combinations.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Voltage stability.

b. Frequency stability.

c. Impedance stability.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 529 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

d. Current stability.

e. Power quality under electrical generation system failure mode conditions.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Interface Documents (SID) should detail the power quality characteristics for each supplied system.

2. Electrical Loads Analysis should demonstrate that adequate power quality is maintained for all supplied systems through all operating conditions and load combinations.

3. Rig and ground testing should demonstrate that accuracy of Electrical Loads Analysis and should demonstrate that adequate power quality is maintained for Safety Of Flight (SOF) critical systems through critical operating conditions and load combinations.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE AS1831 for guidance/principles regarding/affecting design and operation of electrical systems to provide compatible and predictable electric power quality. | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | Comm'l Doc: SAE AS1831 for guidance/principles regarding/affecting design and operation of electrical systems to provide compatible and | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.6.1 00-970 P1 6.6.6 00-970 P1 6.6.12 00-970 P1 6.6.16 00-970 P7 C706 2.7.1-2.7.3 00-970 P7 C706 3.2-3.3 |
| | predictable electric power quality. DoD/MIL Doc: For guidance/principles regarding/affecting design and operation of electrical systems to provide compatible and predictable electric power quality: AFGS-87219 MIL-STD-464 MIL-STD-704 MIL-STD-704 MIL-HDBK-704 MIL-STD-1399-300 ADS-51-HDBK chapter/section 7 JSSG-2009: Appendix H H.3.4.8.1, H.4.4.8.1 MIL-HDBK-704 for test methods and procedures for verification of power quality | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1351 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.1351-23.1367 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1351 CS 23.1353 |

Edition Number: 3.1Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018Status: endorsedPage 530/675

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|------------|
| 25.1351-25.1363 | CS 23.1357 |
| | CS 25.1351 |
| | CS 25.1353 |
| | CS 25.1355 |
| | CS 25.1357 |
| | CS 25.1363 |
| | CS 27.1351 |
| | CS 27.1353 |
| | CS 29.1351 |
| | CS 29.1353 |
| | CS 29.1355 |
| | CS 29.1363 |

12.1.5 Uninterruptible power.

Independent, uninterruptable power sources shall be available to satisfy the requirements of essential redundancy for flight-critical functions following failure of the primary power system and that there are no points where a single failure or a reasonable combination of failures could result in total loss of power anywhere in the power system (including circuit boards).

Consideration should be given to:

a. All Safety Of Flight (SOF) critical functions, including those on-aircraft and within Ground Control Stations (GCS).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate that adequate independence/redundancy is provided such that electric power is reliably delivered to essential systems and equipment under both normal and adverse operating conditions.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | Comm'l Doc: Nil DoD/MIL Doc: | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.6.2 00-970 P1 6.6.6 00-970 P7 C706 2.4 |
| | For guidance/principles | | 00-970 P7 C706 2.7.1-2.7.3 |
| | regarding/affecting design and | STANAG | 4671.1351 |
| | operation of electrical systems for uninterruptible electric power: AFGS-87219 MIL-E-7016 NAVSEA TM-S9310-AQ-SAF- 010 JSSG-2009: Appendix H H.3.4.8, H.4.4.8 | Reference: | 4671.1353 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.1351-23.1367; 25.1351-25.1363 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1351 (b)(3) CS 25.1351 (d) CS 25.1355 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 531 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | |
|-----------|-------------------|------------|
| | | CS 25.1363 |
| | | CS 29 1351 |

12.1.6 Battery charging.

Where batteries are employed to provide backup power for Safety Of Flight (SOF) functions, the installation shall be safe and the method for charging and checking shall be adequate.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring that no explosive or toxic gases emitted by any battery in normal operation, or as the result of any probable malfunction in the battery subsystem, accumulate in hazardous quantities within the aircraft.

b. Ensuring that safe battery cell temperatures and pressures are maintained during any probable charging and discharging conditions.

c. Ensuring battery charging systems are designed to automatically control the charging rate of the battery in order to prevent overheating.

d. Ensuring that, where lithium batteries are installed, adequate charging methods and checks are provided.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the incorporation of batteries in the design of the aircraft electrical power system, including details regarding charging methods and ongoing monitoring of all parameters that could affect Safety Of Flight (SOF) (e.g. temperature).

2. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate that battery cell temperatures and pressures remain within safe limits through all expected operating conditions.

3. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate, using Functional Hazard Assessment (FHA) and Failure Modes, Effects and Criticality Analysis (FMECA), that battery sub-systems are safe.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 RTCA DO-277 RTCA DO-311 RTCA DO-347 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | Comm'l Doc: Nil | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.6.82-6.6.88 00-970 P7 C706 7 |
| | DoD/MIL Doc: For guidance/principles regarding/affecting the integrated design and operation of battery subsystems within aircraft electrical systems: AFGS-87219 NAVSEA TM-S9310-AQ-SAF- 010 JSSG-2009: Appendix H H.6.4.2 | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1353 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.1351-23.1367; | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1353 CS 25.1353(c) |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 532 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|------------|
| 25.1351-25.1363 | CS 25.1165 |
| | CS 27.1353 |
| | CS 29.1353 |

12.1.6.1 Merged with 12.1.6

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: | EASA CS | |
| | 23.1351-23.1367; | Reference: | |
| | 25.1351-25.1363 | | |

12.1.7 Technical manuals.

Flight and maintenance manuals shall include normal, back-up and emergency operating procedures, limitations, restrictions, servicing, and maintenance information.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The level of detail necessary to provide accurate technical information while remaining concise;

b. The information, at the appropriate level of detail, required to allow personnel to operate and maintain the aircraft as safely and effectively as possible at an acceptable workload.

c. Ensuring that all required operating procedures are defined, taking account of requirements for military operation (e.g. in-flight rectification).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Operational Technical Publications for the flight crew (Aircraft Flight Manual, Emergency Procedures, Checklists etc.) should clearly define all required normal, back-up and emergency operating procedures, limitations and restrictions.

2. Maintenance Technical Publications for ground crew (Aircraft Maintenance Manual, Master Minimum Equipment List, Maintenance Schedule, etc.) should clearly define all required servicing and maintenance information.

3. Flight Simulations, Ground Testing and/or Flight Testing should verify that all Operational Technical Publications are clear and unambiguous and can be followed by a flight crew through all flight phases and conditions without incurring excessive crew workload and serve their intended function.

4. Rig and/or Ground Testing should verify that all Maintenance Technical Publications are clear and unambiguous and can be followed by a competent maintenance engineer in a manner which ensures the continuing airworthiness of the aircraft.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | Nil | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 7.5(all) |
| | DoD/MIL Doc: | STANAG | 4671.1583 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 533 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|----------|---|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| | For guidance/principles affecting/providing awareness of limitations of aircraft electrical systems: MIL-E-7016 | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.1301, 23.1309; 25.1301, 25.1309 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1583 CS 25.1583 |

12.1.8 Merged with Line 12.1.7.

12.1.9 Start and reversion to safe state.

The system shall power up in a safe state and in circumstances involving a loss of power, power transients or fluctuations, the system shall remain or revert to a known safe state.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Continuous built-in-test (BIT), fault detection, indication, isolation capability, and fault alarm rates.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should verify that the system will operate properly.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-----------------|-------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | For guidance/principles | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 6.6.104 |
| | regarding design with knowledge of the states of | Reference: | |
| | aircraft electrical systems: | STANAG | 4671.1351 |
| | AFGS-87219 | Reference: | |
| | MIL-STD-464 | | |
| | JSSG-2009: Appendix H | | |
| | 3.4.8.4, 3.4.8.5 | | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: | EASA CS | CS 23.1351 |
| | 23.1351-23.1367; | Reference: | CS 23.1353 |
| | 25.1351-25.1363, 25.1309, | | CS 23.1357 |
| | 25.1529 | | CS 25.1351 |
| | | | CS 25.1353 |
| | | | CS 25.1355 |
| | | | CS 25.1357 |
| | | | CS 27.1351 |
| | | | CS 27.1353 |
| | | | CS 27.1357 |
| | | | CS 27.1361 |
| | | | CS 29.1351 |
| | | | CS 29.1355 |
| | | | CS 29.1357 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 Edition Date: 25 Sep 20 | 8 Status: endorsed | Page 534 /675 |
|---|--------------------|----------------------|
|---|--------------------|----------------------|

12.2. ELECTRICAL WIRING SYSTEM, INCLUDING POWER DISTRIBUTION.

This element involves all wiring and wiring components (connectors, circuit breakers, etc.) throughout the aircraft and for UAV, the control station safety of flight-related wiring system. Databuses are excluded from the scope of this section and covered in Section 11.

12.2.1 Selection of components.

Appropriate electrical system wiring and components shall be suitable for the physical environment in each area on the aircraft where they are used. Electrical wiring system installation shall be safe regarding shock hazard protection for personnel.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Electrical wiring, including conductor material, coating and insulation system.
- b. Electrical system components.
- c. Electrical system support devices.
- d. Electrical system design.
- e. Operating environment:
- i. Moisture;
- ii. Heat;
- iii. Vibration, mechanical abrasion/damage, flexing;
- iv. Contamination from oils, fuels, chemicals etc.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the types of wiring used, and the general routing of wiring/looms through the aircraft.

2. Declarations of Design and Performance (DDP) should demonstrate that the electrical system wiring and components are suitable for the physical environment in each area on the aircraft where they are used.

3. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate that wiring and components are safe, taking into account shock hazards.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | For guidance/principles regarding design of aircraft electrical wiring systems: ARINC Report 609 SAE AS50881 SAE ARP1870 SAE ARP4761 NFPA 70 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | For guidance/principles regarding design of aircraft electrical wiring systems and the design and selection of aircraft electrical system components: AFGS-87219 MIL-HDBK-419 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.6.3 00-970 P1 6.6.56 00-970 P1 6.6.57 00-970 P1 6.6.59 00-970 P1 6.6.92 00-970 P7 C706 2.5 00-970 P7 C706 6.1.5-6.1.6 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 535 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|----------|-------------------------|------------|----------------------|
| | MIL-STD-1310 | | 00-970 P7 C706 6.2.1 |
| | MIL-STD-1683 | STANAG | 4671.1365 |
| | MIL-STD-7080 | Reference: | 4671.1367 |
| | MIL-HDBK-299 | | |
| | MIL-HDBK-454 | | |
| | MIL-STD-464 | | |
| | For guidance/principles | | |
| | regarding : | | |
| | JSSG-2009: Appendix H | | |
| | H.6.4.1 | | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: | EASA CS | CS 23.1365*# |
| | 23.1365; | Reference: | CS 25.1360 |
| | 25.1353 | | CS 25.1365 |
| | | | CS 25.1707 |
| | | | CS 25 Subpart H |

12.2.2 Ampacity.

Wiring shall be sized properly for the required current handling capability and voltage drop.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Cable material properties for both conductor and insulation.
- b. Wire diameter.
- c. Cable length.
- d. Connector type and properties.
- e. Cable bundling and separation.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Electrical Loads Analysis should demonstrate that wire sizing is sufficient for its associated voltage and current.

2. Rig testing should demonstrate the accuracy of the performed analysis and should demonstrate that safe limits are provided for current capacity and voltage drop.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | Comm'l Doc: For guidance/principles | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.6.56 00-970 P7 C706 6.1.5 |
| | regarding proper selection/sizing of aircraft | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1365(a) |
| | electrical system wiring components: | | |
| | SAE AS50881 | | |
| | NFPA 70 DoD/MIL Doc: | | |
| | JSSG-2009: Appendix H | | |
| | H.6.4.1 for guidance/principles regarding proper selection/sizing of aircraft | | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 536 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | | |
|-----------|--|--------|-----------------------|--|
| | electrical system components | wiring | | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.1365; 25.1353 | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1365(a) CS 25.1703 CS 25.1707 CS 25.1711 CS 27.1365(a) CS 29.1353 |

12.2.3 Circuit protection.

Proper circuit protection shall be provided for wiring associated with power distribution throughout its entire run.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Circuits contained in or exiting from any electronic enclosures performing intermediate power switching or distribution functions.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should include details of circuit protection for aircraft power distribution systems.

2. Electrical Loads Analysis should demonstrate that the provided circuit protection devices provides adequate protection against unsafe electrical loads, whilst providing an appropriate margin above normal operating loads to ensure that such devices are not activated erroneously.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | Comm'l Doc: | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 6.6.37 |
| | For guidance/principles | Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.6.41 |
| | regarding design and selection | | 00-970 P1 6.6.50 |
| | of aircraft wiring protection: | STANAG | 4671.1357 |
| | SAE AS50881 | Reference: | |
| | NFPA 70 | | |
| | DoD/MIL Doc: | | |
| | For guidance/principles | | |
| | regarding design and selection | | |
| | of aircraft wiring protection: | | |
| | MIL-HDBK-454 | | |
| | MIL-STD-7080 | | |
| | JSSG-2009: Appendix H | | |
| | H.3.4.8.5, H.4.4.8.5 | | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: | EASA CS | CS 23.1357 |
| | 23.1357 | Reference: | CS 25.1357 |
| | 25.1357 | | CS 27.1357 |
| | | | CS 29.1357 |

12.2.4 Circuit isolation.

Ensure that redundant circuits provided for safety are sufficiently isolated.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 537 /675 | 1 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|---|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|---|

Consideration should be given to:

a. Potential for differing specifications for aircraft and ground mobile applications.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Assessment (SSA), including Functional Hazard Assessment (FHA) and Failure Modes, Effects, and Criticality Analysis (FMECA) should demonstrate that there is sufficient isolation of redundant circuits.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | Comm'l Doc: | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | For guidance/principles | Reference: | |
| | regarding provision of isolation | STANAG | 4671.1309 |
| | for aircraft electrical circuits: | Reference: | 4671.1351 |
| | SAE AS50881 | | |
| | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| | NFPA 70 | | |
| | DoD/MIL Doc: | | |
| | JSSG-2009: Appendix H | | |
| | H.6.4.1* for guidance/principles | | |
| | regarding provision of isolation | | |
| | for aircraft electrical circuits | | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.1301*, | EASA CS | CS 23.1309 |
| | 23.1309*; 25.1301*, 25.1309* | Reference: | CS 25.1309 |
| | | | CS 27.1309 |
| | | | CS 29.1309 |

12.2.5 Avoidance of single point failures.

The electrical system design shall preclude single-point failures related to wiring.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Integrating redundant functions within an electronics enclosure.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should confirm the absence of single point failures.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | For guidance/principles relating | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.6.2 00-970 P7 C706 2.4 |
| | to design of equipment to minimize single point failures in redundant circuits: SAE AS50881 SAE ARP4761 NFPA 70 DoD/MIL Doc: | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1351 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 538 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--|-----------------------|--|
| | For guidance/principles relating to design of equipment to minimize single point failures in redundant circuits: MIL-HDBK-454, Guideline 69 JSSG-2009: Appendix H H.6.4.1, 6.1 | | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.1301*, 23.1309*, 23.1351-23.1367*; 25.1301*, 25.1309*, 25.1351- 25.1363*, 25.1529* SFAR No. 88Fuel Tank System Fault Tolerance Evaluation Requirements | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1351 CS 25.1351 CS 27.1351 CS 29.1351 |

12.2.6 Sufficiency of design.

The design of the wiring system installation, including connectors, shall be adequate for all expected operating conditions.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Normal and emergency modes.
- b. All modes of operation.
- c. Operating conditions.
- d. Load combinations.
- e. Failure conditions.
- f. Installation environment.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Electrical Loads Analysis should demonstrate that the wiring system installation (including connector) is suitable for supplied electrical loads under all expected operating conditions.

2. System Safety Assessment (SSA), including Functional Hazard Assessment (FHA) and Failure Modes, Effects, and Criticality Analysis (FMECA) should demonstrate that the installation of the wiring system is safe, taking into account expected operating conditions, load combinations, and failures within the electrical distribution system.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | Comm'l Doc: For guidance/principles regarding good engineering design of wiring system installations: | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.6.1 00-970 P1 6.6.35 00-970 P1 6.6.52-6.6.58 00-970 P7 C706 2.2 00-970 P7 C706 5.1.1 |
| | SAE AS50881 NFPA 70 DoD/MIL Doc: For guidance/principles regarding good engineering | STANAG Reference: | 3659 4671.1351 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 539 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|----------|--|-----------------------|--|
| | design of wiring system installations: JSSG-2009: 3.3, 3.3.4; Appendix E E.4.4.5.1.3, E.3.4.5.1.11, E.4.4.5.1.11, E.3.4.5.8.7, E.4.4.5.8.7, E.3.4.5.8.12, E.4.4.5.8.12; Appendix G G.3.4.7.2, G.3.4.7.6, G.4.4.7.6; Appendix H H.6.4.1, 6.1 | | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.1301, 23.1309, 23.1351- 23.1367; 25.1301, 25.1309, 25.1351- 25.1363, 25.1529 SFAR No. 88Fuel Tank System Fault Tolerance Evaluation Requirements AC 43.13-1B CHG 1 - Acceptable Methods, Techniques and Procedures - Aircraft Inspection and Repair | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1351 CS 25.1351 CS 25.1703 CS 27.1351 CS 29.1351 |

12.2.6.1 Prevention of ignition.

Wiring in areas containing explosive vapours shall be protected to prevent potential ignition sources.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Electrical systems in close proximity to fuel systems;

b. Issues resulting from installation, operational environment, ageing and deterioration of the wiring.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should the protection provided for all wiring routed in areas containing explosive vapours.

2. Functional Hazard Assessment (FHA) should demonstrate that hazards associated with the routing of electrical wiring are acceptable.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | Comm'l Doc:Forguidance/principlesregardingwiringdesignprinciples/practiceforprevention of ignition sources: | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.26.14 00-970 P1 4.26.32 00-970 P1 6.2.59 00-970 P1 6.6.3 00-970 P7 C712 3.7.1 |
| | SAE AS50881 NFPA 70 DoD/MIL Doc: For guidance/principles | STANAG Reference: | 4671.863(b) 4671.1359 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 540 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|----------|---|-----------------------|--|
| FAA Doc: | regarding wiring design principles/practice for prevention of ignition sources: JSSG-2009: 3.3, 3.3.4; Appendix E E.4.4.5.1.3*, E.3.4.5.1.11, E.4.4.5.1.11, E.3.4.5.8.7*, E.4.4.5.8.7*, E.3.4.5.8.12, E4.4.5.8.12; Appendix G G.3.4.7.2, G.3.4.7.6, G.4.4.7.6; Appendix H.6.1 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.863 CS 25.863 CS 25.1703 CS 25.1705 CS 25.1707 CS 25.1713 CS 25.1723 CS 27.863 CS 29.863 |

12.2.6.2 Faults in safety critical wiring.

Failure within a wiring harness that includes safety-critical wiring shall not cause loss of, or unacceptable degradation to, any safety-critical functions.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Open circuit faults.

b. Shorted/crossed-circuit faults.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Assessment (SSA) and Failure Modes, Effects and Criticality Analysis (FMECA) should demonstrate that failure within any Safety Of Flight (SOF) critical wiring harness does not cause loss or unacceptable degradation of any SOF-critical functions

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|---|---|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | Comm'l Doc: For guidance/principles leading toward good design practice and minimization of loss of safety-critical functions: SAE AS50881 NFPA 70 DoD/MIL Doc: JSSG-2009: Appendix H H.6.1 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.6.2 00-970 P1 6.6.3 00-970 P7 LC706 2.4 4671.1351 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 541 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|----------|--|-----------------------|--|
| | for guidance/principles leading toward good design practice and minimization of loss of safety-critical functions. | | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.1351- 23.1367; 25.1351-25.1363, 25.1309, 25.1529 SFAR No. 88Fuel Tank System Fault Tolerance Evaluation Requirements | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1309 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1309 |

12.2.6.3 Wiring separation.

The wiring design and installation procedures shall maintain positive separation of wiring from all fluid or gas carrying lines, and from mechanical and electrical flight controls.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Dynamic G loading.
- b. Mechanical system movement.
- c. Cable flexing.
- d. Thermal effects.
- e. Vibration.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the provisions for separation of electrical distribution system wiring from fluid or gas carrying lines, and from mechanical and electrical flight controls.

| Information Sources | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|---|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| Comm'l Doc: DoD/MIL Doc: | Comm'l Doc: For guidance/principles regarding the fundamentals of sound design for effective separation of wiring from other subsystem components: SAE AS50881 NFPA 70 DoD/MIL Doc: JSSG-2009: 3.3.8; Appendix B B.3.4.2.1.17; Appendix H H.6.4.1; Appendix M M.6.4.1 for guidance/principles regarding the fundamentals of sound | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.6.3 00-970 P1 6.6.90 4671.1351 4671.1365 |
| | design for effective separation of wiring from other subsystem components | | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 542 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

| Inf | ormation Sources | | |
|----------|------------------|---------|---------------|
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.1351 |
| | | | CS 23.1365(d) |
| | | | CS 25.1707 |
| | | | CS 27.1351 |
| | | | CS 29.1351 |
| | | | CS 29.1353 |

12.2.6.4 Chafing.

The routeing design and installation procedures shall be such that the installation of wiring is free from mechanical damage or chafing conditions.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Mechanical system movement.

- b. Vibration.
- c. Cable flexing.
- d. Cable clipping/bundling.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail provisions for prevention of chafing of electrical distribution system wiring.

| Int | Information Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | Comm'l Doc: For guidance/principles regarding the prevention of | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.6.64 00-970 P1 S6 L14 4.1.3 00-970 P7 C706 6.5.1 |
| | wire/cable/harness chafing: | STANAG | 4671.1351 |
| | SAE AS50881 NFPA 70 DoD/MIL Doc: JSSG-2009: 3.3.8; Appendix A A.3.4.1.5.8.1; Appendix B B.3.4.2.1.17; Appendix H H.6.4.1; Appendix L L.3.4.12; Appendix M M.6.4.1 for guidance/principles regarding | Reference: | |
| | the prevention of wire/cable/harness chafing | | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1351 CS 23.1365(d) CS 25.1717 CS 27.1351 |

12.2.6.5 Wiring support.

Wiring design shall provide primary and secondary support for the wiring throughout the installation.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 543 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

Consideration should be given to:

a. Possible differences between specifications for aircraft and ground control systems applications.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail provisions for wiring support.

2. Structural analysis should demonstrate that wiring supports can be subjected to the maximum loads that would be expected in service without excessive or permanent deformation, taking into account maximum wiring runs between supports, aircraft deflections under ground and flight loads, etc.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|---|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | Comm'l Doc: For guidance/principles regarding the provision of proper support for wiring: SAE AS50881 NFPA 70 DoD/MIL Doc: For guidance/principles regarding the provision of proper support for wiring: JSSG-2001: 4.3.10.1.1 JSSG-2009: 3.2.6, 3.2.9.2; | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.6.55 00-970 P1 6.6.64 00-970 P7 C706 6.1.4 00-970 P7 C706 6.5.1 4671.1365 |
| | Appendix H H.6.4.1, H.6.4.2 | | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.1365(d) |
| | | Reference: | |

12.2.6.6 Avoidance of damage.

Wiring design shall provide routing and installation to minimize the risk of damage to wiring by cargo, crew and maintenance personnel.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Possible differences between specifications for aircraft and ground control systems applications.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail provisions for the protection of wiring against damage by cargo, crew and maintenance personnel.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2001: 3.1.5 | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P7 C706 2.5 |
| | JSSG-2009: Appendix H.6.4.1 | Reference: | |
| | Wiring. | STANAG | 1365 USAR.1367 |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.685 |
| | | Reference: | CS 25.685 |
| | | | CS 27.685 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 544 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|-----------|
| | CS 29.685 |

12.2.6.8 Bonding and grounding.

All equipment and equipment racks shall be designed for proper electrical bonding and grounding.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Appropriate limits for electrical resistance between local ground points and main ground points.

b. Clear ground markings.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail of provisions for electrical bonding and grounding.

2. Electrical Loads Analysis should demonstrate that the resistance of bonding and grounding points and any leads/straps is suitable for the electrical loads expected in service, taking into account reasonably expected failures.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|---|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | For guidance/principles leading toward maintainable design(s): SAE AS50881 NFPA 70 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | Comm'l Doc: SAE ARP1870 for guidance/principles regarding the provision of proper electrical bonding DoD/MIL Doc: For guidance/principles regarding the provision of proper electrical bonding: MIL-HDBK-419 MIL-HDBK-454 MIL-STD-464 sections A5.10 and A5.11 MIL-STD-1310 JSSG-2001: $3.2.1$, $4.2.1$, 3.3.10.1.1, $4.3.10.1.1JSSG-2009: 3.3, 3.3.4;Appendix E: E.4.4.5.1.3,E.3.4.5.1.11, E.4.4.5.1.11,E.3.4.5.8.7, E.4.4.5.8.7,E.3.4.5.8.12, E.4.4.5.8.12;Appendix G: G.3.4.7.2,$ | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.27.7 00-970 P1 4.27.9 00-970 P1 6.2.25 00-970 P7 S7 L706/1 3.1 4671.867 |
| FAA Doc: | G.3.4.7.6, G.4.4.7.6 | EASA CS | CS 23.867 |
| | | Reference: | CS 25.581 |
| | | | CS 25.899 |
| | | | CS 25.973 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 545 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|------------|
| | CS 25.1353 |
| | CS 25.1715 |
| | CS 27.610 |
| | CS 29.610 |

12.2.6.9 Care in modification.

The addition of a modification into existing wiring installations shall not create cracking or conditions for chafing or other degradation of existing wiring insulation.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Possible differences between specifications for aircraft and ground control systems applications.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail provisions for the prevention of chafing or other wiring/harness degradations.

2. System Safety Assessment (SSA), including Functional Hazard Assessment (FHA) and Failure Modes, Effects and Criticality Analysis (FMECA) should demonstrate that modifications made to, and in the vicinity of wiring installations do not cause cracking, chafing or other unsafe degradation.

| Inf | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | Comm'l Doc: | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | For guidance/principles | Reference: | |
| | regarding the prevention of | STANAG | 4671.1351 |
| | wire/cable/harness chafing: | Reference: | |
| | SAE AS50881 | | |
| | NFPA 70 | | |
| | DoD/MIL Doc: | | |
| | JSSG-2009: 3.3.8; | | |
| | Appendix B B.3.4.2.1.17; | | |
| | Appendix H H.6.4.1; | | |
| | Appendix M M.6.4.1 | | |
| | Def-Stan 00-970: | | |
| | 00-970 6.6.64 | | |
| | 00-970 S6 L14 4.1.3 | | |
| | P7 C706 6.5.1 | | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.1351 |
| | | Reference: | CS 23.1365(d) |
| | | | CS 25.1703 |
| | | | CS 25.1707 |
| | | | CS 27.1351 |

12.2.6.7 Maintainability.

Maintainability shall be a factor in the design and installation procedures for wiring and components.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring accessibility for inspection is considered in the design.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 546 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

b. Ensuring all wiring and components are properly identified.

c. Ensuring identification means does not adversely affect the performance or life of the wiring or components.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail provisions for improving the maintainability of electrical wiring and components, including but not limited to accessibility and wiring/component identification.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP1870 for guidance/principles regarding the provision of proper electrical bonding | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | Comm'l Doc: For guidance/principles leading | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P7 C706 2.5 |
| | toward maintainable design(s): | STANAG | |
| | SAE AS50881 | Reference: | |
| | NFPA 70 | | |
| | DoD/MIL Doc: | | |
| | For guidance/principles leading | | |
| | toward maintainable design(s): | | |
| | JSSG-2001: 3.1.5, 4.1.5, | | |
| | 3.3.10.2.2, 4.1.8.2.5.1, | | |
| | 4.1.8.2.5.2, 4.4.8 | | |
| | JSSG-2009: Appendix H 6.4.1 | | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 547 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

SECTION 13 - ELECTROMAGNETIC ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS (E3)

This Section covers electro-magnetic compatibility of the platform with its cleared environment and weapon loads; it considers potential effects from internal and external sources. Consideration is also given to potentially harmful electro-magnetic effects on personnel, ordnance, and fuel and the associated required safety margins.

This Section also covers the national and international requirements for meeting the electro-magnetic spectrum licensing requirements.

CERTIFICATION CRITERIA

13.1. COMPONENT/SUBSYSTEM E3 QUALIFICATION.

13.1.1 Flight/safety critical equipment requirements.

All flight-critical and safety-critical equipment shall comply with all electromagnetic environmental effects requirements.

Consideration should be given to:

a. All electromagnetic environmental effects requirements, including lightning susceptibility, as appropriate for the particular equipment; this includes conducted and radiated emissions and susceptibility requirements.

b. Introduction of appropriate mitigations for those equipment that are not shown to be compliant.Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Safety Analysis to identify all parts of aircraft systems which are flight critical and/or safety critical.

2. System Description Documents (SDD) should specify the parts of aircraft systems which are flight critical and/or safety critical.

3. Demonstrating that all equipment have been included in the EMC/EMI test plan.

4. Demonstrating that all equipment, flight and/or safety critical aircraft systems have been appropriately tested up to aircraft level.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | RTCA DO-160 sections 18 through 22 SAE ARP5412, section 4 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | RTCA DO-160 sections 18 through 22 SAE ARP5412B, section 4 SAE ARP 5414A, 5416A MIL-STD-461, section 5 MIL-STD-464, section 5.4 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 3.10.11 00-970 P1 3.10.13 00-970 P1 4.27.1-4.27.40 00-970 P1 6.1.41 00-970 P1 6.6.54 00-970 P1 6.6.66 00-970 P1 6.10 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.685(e) 4671.867 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 548 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Inf | ormation Sources | | |
|----------|------------------|------------|------------|
| | | | 4671.1309 |
| | | | 4671.1431 |
| | | | 4671.1605 |
| | | | 4671.1717 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.867 |
| | | Reference: | CS 23.1301 |
| | | | CS 23.1308 |
| | | | CS 23.1309 |
| | | | CS 23.1431 |
| | | | CS 25.581 |
| | | | CS 25.899 |
| | | | CS 25.1316 |
| | | | CS 25.1317 |
| | | | CS 25.1707 |
| | | | CS 23.1431 |
| | | | CS 27.610 |
| | | | CS 27.1317 |
| | | | CS 29.610 |
| | | | CS 29.1309 |
| | | | CS 29.1317 |
| | | | CS 29.1431 |

13.1.2

All non-flight-critical equipments shall be identified and shown to not adversely affect the safe operation of flight critical equipment; and comply with all (conducted, radiated' and 'transient') electromagnetic environmental effects requirements that are appropriate for the particular equipment, including lightning susceptibility; this includes both radiated and susceptibility requirements.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|---|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | RTCA DO-160 sections 18 through 22 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | RTCA DO-160 sections 18 through 22 SAE ARP 5412, section 4 MIL-STD-461, section 5 MIL-STD-464, section 5.47 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 3.10.11 00-970 P1 3.10.13 00-970 P1 4.27.1-4.27.40 00-970 P1 6.1.4 00-970 P1 6.1.41 00-970 P1 6.6.54 00-970 P1 6.6.66 4671.685(e) 4671.867 4671.1309 4671.1431 4671.1481 4671.1605 |
| | | FACA 00 | 4671.1717 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.867 CS 23.1301 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 549 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|------------|
| | CS 23.1309 |
| | CS 23.1431 |
| | CS 25.581 |
| | CS 25.899 |
| | CS 25.1316 |
| | CS 25.1431 |
| | CS 25.1707 |
| | CS 27.610 |
| | CS 27.865 |
| | CS 29.610 |
| | CS 29.865 |
| | CS 29.1309 |
| | CS 29.1431 |

13.1.3. Merged with 13.1.2

13.2. SYSTEM-LEVEL E3 QUALIFICATION.

13.2.1 Mutual electromagnetic compatibility of equipment and subsystems.

All systems and sub-systems shall be mutually electro-magnetically compatible.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Flight-critical and safety-critical systems.

b. Non flight-critical and non safety-critical systems.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

- 1. System Description Documents (SDD) should be provided for all systems.
- 2. Demonstrating that all systems and sub systems have been included in the EMC/EMI test plan.
- 3. The EMC/EMI test plan should take cognisance of all systems at a platform level.
- 4. The EMC/EMI test plan should account for all likely combinations of concurrent system operation.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-464, section 5.2 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 3.10.13 00-970 P1 4.27.1-4.27.22 00-970 P1 6.1.4 00-970 P1 6.1.41 00-970 P1 6.6.66 00-970 P1 6.10 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.685 4671.1309 4671.1431 4671.1605 4671.1717 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.1301 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 550 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | | |
|---------------------|------------|------------|
| | Reference: | CS 23.1309 |
| | | CS 23.1431 |
| | | CS 25.899 |
| | | CS 25.1431 |
| | | CS 25.1707 |
| | | CS 29.1309 |
| | | CS 29.1431 |

13.2.2 Mutual compatibility of antenna-connected and other equipment.

All antenna-connected equipment shall be identified and shown to be compatible with each other and not degraded beyond their operational bounds by other on or off-board equipment to a level that would affect safety. To achieve this, the antenna-connected equipment operational bounds shall be defined, and any margins between the operational bounds and the levels where safety may become affected shall be identified.

Consideration should be given to:

a. All antenna-connected systems ; includingbut not limited to: radios; radars including rad-alt, TFR, ECM and ECCM systems, and their various modes; GPS systems; navigation systems; surveillance systems.b. Off-board equipment considered to have the potential to interact with on-board equipment ; including but not limited to: ATC systems; other aircraft transmitters; terrestrial radio and TV transmitters; military systems, and all equipment able to generate intended or spurious RF transmissions.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should identify all antenna-connected systems.

2. The EMC/EMI test plan should take cognisance of all antenna-connected systems.

3. The EMC/EMI test plan should take cognisance of all off-board equipment considered to have the potential to interact with on-board equipment.

5. The EMC/EMI test plan should account for all likely combinations of on and off-board equipment in concurrent system operation.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-464, Section 5.2 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.1.4 00-970 P1 6.1.19 00-970 P1 6.1.41 00-970 P1 6.1.42 00-970 P1 6.10 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1309 4671.1431 4671.1605 4671.1717 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1309 CS 23.1431 CS 25.1431 CS 25.1707 CS 29.1309 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 551 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|------------|
| | CS 29.1431 |

13.2.3 Compatibility of aircraft with electromagnetic environment.

The intended external RF electro-magnetic environment for the aircraft shall be defined, and the aircraft shall be shown to be compatible with this environment.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ground, sea and air based emitters;

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. The EMC/EMI test plan should define how compliance will be demonstrated and what the acceptance criteria are.

2. Testing, or demonstration of compliance, should be at aircraft level.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP5583, sections 5 and 7 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-464, section 5.3 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 3.10.11 00-970 P1 4.27 00-970 P1 6.1.19 00-970 P1 6.10 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.685 4671.867 4671.1309 4671.1431 4671.1605 4671.1717 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.867 CS 23.1301 CS 23.1309 CS 23.1431 CS 25.581 CS 25.899 CS 25.1431 CS 27.610 CS 27.865 CS 29.610 CS 29.865 CS 29.1309 CS 29.1431 |

13.2.4 Lightning effects.

All requirements for meeting lightning protection, both the direct (physical) and indirect (electro-magnetic) effects, shall be identified, agreed and verified by testing. Potential for ignition of fuel vapours shall be eliminated.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 552 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

Consideration should be given to:

a. Fuel system components including, but not limited to: refuel/defuel/engine supply components; pumps; storage and collector tanks; fuel vent system and components; fuel coolers; adjacent EWIS components;

b. Structural protective measures/Bonding;

c. Fuel tank inerting.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. The EMC/EMI test plan should define how compliance will be demonstrated and what the acceptance criteria are.

2. Testing, or demonstration of compliance, shall be at aircraft level.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP5412, section 4 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | SAE ARP5412B, section 4 SAE ARP 5414A, 5416A | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 3.10.11 00-970 P1 4.27 all |
| | MIL-STD-464, section 5.45 | | 00-970 P1 6.10 |
| | | | 00-970 P7 L707 5.1.3 |
| | | | 00-970 P7 L708 |
| | | STANAG | 4671.863 |
| | | Reference: | 4671.867 |
| | | | 4671.954 |
| | | | 4671.1309 |
| | | | 4671.1605 |
| | | | 4671.1717 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.867 |
| | | Reference: | CS 23.954 |
| | | | CS 23.1309 |
| | | | CS 25.581 |
| | | | CS 25.899 |
| | | | CS 25.954 |
| | | | CS 25.1316 |
| | | | CS 25.1707 |
| | | | CS 27.610 |
| | | | CS 27.865 |
| | | | CS 29.610 |
| | | | CS 29.865 |
| | | | CS 29.954 |
| | | | CS 29.1309 |

13.2.5 EMP protection.

If protection from the effects of an electro-magnetic pulse is required, the appropriate level of protection and associated acceptance criteria shall be established.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Which, if any, systems should be afforded protection from EMP effects.

b. Any nuclear hardening of components that might be required - chips or larger assemblies for example.

c. Whether any extra protection against EMI, over and above the base level, is required.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 553 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

d. Any requirement for redundant systems for use after experiencing EMP.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Analysis of flight critical and/or safety critical equipment EMP susceptibility.

2. Demonstrating that any EMP requirements have been included in the EMC/EMI test plan.

3. Demonstrating that all equipment, flight and/or safety critical aircraft systems have been appropriately tested up to aircraft level.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-464,section 5.6 MIL-STD-2169 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.27 00-970 P1 6.2.60 00-970 P1 6.5.23 00-970 P1 6.10 00-970 P13 3.2.14 00-970 P13 3.11.6- 3.11.11 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1431 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | |

13.2.6 Electrostatic charge.

The aircraft design shall be able to control and dissipate the build-up of electrostatic charges caused by particle impingement, fluid flow, air flow, and other triboelectric charge-generating mechanisms.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Ordnance hazards;
- b. Personnel shock hazards;
- c. Control p-static interference or damage to electronics;
- d. The static discharge spark.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Analysis of flight critical and/or safety critical equipment/systems susceptibility to electrostatic charge.

2. Demonstrating that any electrostatic charge requirements have been included in the EMC/EMI test plan.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-464, section 5.8 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.27 00-970 P1 6.1.32 00-970 P7 L708 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.867 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.867 CS 23.1309 CS 25.899 CS 25.1715 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 554 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|-----------|
| | CS 27.610 |
| | CS 29.610 |

13.2.7 Hazards of electromagnetic radiation.

Sources of electromagnetic radiation shall pose no Hazard of Electromagnetic Radiation to Personnel (HERP), Hazard of Electromagnetic Radiation to Fuel (HERF), and Hazard of Electromagnetic Radiation to Ordnance (HERO), and the appropriate manuals shall include safety criteria regarding distance from on-board and off-board transmitters to personnel and fuel sources.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The radiation pattern of on-board emitters.

b. The minimum distance by which the air vehicle must stay away from external transmitters, both on the ground and in the air.

c. Other sources of high intensity radio frequency radiation.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

- 1. Analysis of radiation pattern of on-board emitters.
- 2. Demonstrating by test that any electromagnetic safe distances are valid.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|---|-----------|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP5583, sections 5 and 7 SAE ARP5412, section 4 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | SAE ARP5583, sections 5 and 7 MIL-STD-464, section 5.9 DoDI 6055.11, Protection of DoD Personnel from Exposure to Radiofrequency Radiation and Military Exempt Lasers TO 31Z-10-4, Electromagnetic Radiation Hazard NAVSEA OP 3565, Electromagnetic Radiation Hazard TB MED 523, Control of Hazards to Health from Microwave and Radio Frequency Radiation and Ultrasound | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 4671.1581 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | |

13.2.8 Electrical bonding.

The electrical bonding shall be adequate to ensure safe air vehicle operation.

Consideration should be given to:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 555 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

- a. Minimum impedance.
- b. Maximum fault current.
- c. Current return path.
- d. Shock hazard.
- e. RF potential.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

- 1. Analysis of current return path.
- 2. Demonstration by test of bonding performance.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-464, section 5.11 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.27 00-970 P7 L708 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 3659 4671.1309 |
| | | Reference. | 4671.1431 |
| | | | 4671.1605 |
| | | | 4671.1717 |
| | | | 4671.867 |
| | | | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.867 |
| | | Reference: | CS 23.1309 CS 23.1431 |
| | | | CS 25.581 |
| | | | CS 25.899 |
| | | | CS 25.1316 |
| | | | CS 25.1707 |
| | | | CS 27.610 |
| | | | CS 27.865 |
| | | | CS 27.1309 |
| | | | CS 29.610 |
| | | | CS 29.865 |
| | | | CS 29.1309 |

Nil. This line has been deleted.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|---|-------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP5583, sections 5 and 7 SAE ARP5412, section 4 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-464, sections 5.3 and 5.4 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P13 3.2.14 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 556 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

13.2.9 Electromagnetic spectrum licensing and certification.

The system shall meet the electromagnetic spectrum licensing requirements in accordance with national and international regulations, and have received electromagnetic spectrum certification.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Analysis of radiation of on-board emitters.

2. Demonstration by test of bonding performance.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|---|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | DoDD 4650.1, Management and Use of the Radio Frequency Spectrum DD Form 1494, Application for Frequency Allocation Joint Service Publication (JSP) 602 Lflt 1038 National procedures | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | Joint Service Publication (JSP_ 602 Lflt 1038 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 557 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

SECTION 14 - SYSTEM SAFETY

This section details the criteria to establish, verify, and implement a comprehensive and robust system safety programme.

CERTIFICATION CRITERIA

14.1. SYSTEM SAFETY PROGRAM.

This section covers the implementation of a comprehensive and robust system safety programme, which spans the system lifecycle. The aim of the system safety programme is to identify any associated system hazards / risks, and to eliminate them where possible, or mitigate the risks such that the residual risks are at acceptable levels.

- Included within the scope of this section are:
- Integration of the safety programme with systems engineering processes;
- The implementation of a hazard tracking system;
- The comprehensiveness of safety analysis and processes.

Some criteria in this chapter are supported in the text by examples of specific considerations. These examples are by no means to be considered as exhaustive. However, all criteria should at least consider the use of the latest safety standards, guidance and techniques.

14.1.1 System safety process.

An effective system safety programme shall be implemented to manage all hardware, software, and human system integration risks, iaw specified standards, in order to achieve acceptable mishap risk, within the constraints of operational effectiveness and suitability, time, and cost.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Use of the latest safety standards and guidance.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate that a system safety approach has been documented through inspection of technical and programmatic documents.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-882D: 1.1, 4.1, 4.2, | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P7 L732 3.3.1 |
| | 4.3, 4.4, 4.5 | Reference: | 00-56 |
| | DoDI 5000.2 Enclosure 3 | STANAG | 4671.1309 |
| | Table E3.T1, for details of | Reference: | |
| | PESHE content and relation to | | |
| | system safety | | |
| | 14CFR references: system | | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 558 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|----------|--|-----------------------|--|
| | safety sections of Parts 23, 25, 27, 29 AC 23.1309-1E AC 25.1309-1A | | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: system safety sections of Parts 23, 25, 27, 29 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 25 AMC 25.19 7 CS 25 AMC 25.901 5 CS 25 AMC 25.1309 CS 23.1309 CS.25.1309 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1309 |

14.1.1.1 System safety requirements.

The system safety programme shall be integrated effectively into all aspects of the systems engineering lifecycle, throughout all acquisition phases, in order to ensure its beneficial influence on requirements, design and ultimately the safety of the system.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring the system safety programme is not considered in isolation.

b. Ensuring system safety requirements, analyses, time lines and other milestones are in synchronisation with the rest of the program.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate that a system safety approach has been documented through technical and programmatic documents.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-882D: 4.1 14CFR references: system | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-56 |
| | safety sections of Parts 23, 25, | STANAG | 4671.AMC1309 (b) |
| | 27, 29 | Reference: | |
| | AC 23.1309-1E | | |
| | AC 25.1309-1A | | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: system | EASA CS | CS 25 AMC 25.1309 |
| | safety sections of Parts 23, 25, | Reference: | CS 23.1309 |
| | 27, 29 | | CS.25.1309 |
| | | | CS 27.1309 |
| | | | CS 29.1309 |

14.1.1.2 Covered by 14.2.10 (changed or modified equipment) and 14.2.1 (hazard identification and mitigation)

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | Covered by 14.2.10 | Def-Stan 00-970 | Covered by 14.2.10 |
| | | Reference: | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 559 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| formation Sources | | |
|---|---|--|
| | STANAG | Covered by 14.2.10 |
| | Reference: | |
| 14CFR references: system | EASA CS | Covered by 14.2.10 |
| safety sections of Parts 23, 25, 27, 29 | Reference: | |
| | formation Sources 14CFR references: system safety sections of Parts 23, 25, 27, 29 | STANAG Reference: 14CFR references: system EASA CS safety sections of Parts 23, 25, Reference: |

14.1.1.3 Hazard/risk tracking and risk acceptance.

A tracking system shall be maintained throughout the system life cycle in order to record hazards / risks identified during the system safety process; their closure actions and/or risk reduction / mitigation; and residual risks and risk acceptance.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Use of a closed loop hazard tracking system / hazard log.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate that appropriate closed loop hazard tracking system and the risk acceptance processes are in place by inspection of safety program documentation.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-882D: 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 4.4, 4.5 14CFR references: system | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P13 1.7.1 00-970 P13 1.8.1 00-56 |
| | safety sections of Parts 23, 25, 27, 29 AC 23.1309-1E AC 25.1309-1A | STANAG Reference: | 4671 AMC.1309(b) |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: system safety sections of Parts 23, 25, 27, 29 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 25 AMC 25.1309 CS 23.1309 CS.25.1309 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1309 |

14.1.1.4 System safety program implementation.

The system safety programme shall be comprehensive, and as a minimum, shall address; flight safety, ground/industrial safety, explosives and ordnance safety (non-nuclear munitions), range safety, nuclear safety, radiation/laser safety, test safety and support, software safety, materials, failure modes and effects testing and built-in-test, fail safe design, and support equipment.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Assessing safety design deficiencies uncovered during flight mishap or fault investigations;

- b. Ensuring flight mishap rates for system do not exceed threshold limits that are established for program;
- c. Establishing an FOD prevention program to minimise the risk of FOD during assembly;

d. Conducting weapons testing, certification, and obtainment of explosive hazard classifications;

e. Ensuring the appropriate safety and design standards are followed, and that safe processes are employed;

f. Establishing the key safety design requirements;

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 560 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

g. System safety organisation participation in test planning and post-test reviews to analyse all testrelated hazards and recommended corrective actions to ensure hazard closeout or mitigation;

h. Risks associated with use of new/alternate/substituted materials or material deficiencies.

i. Operation in, or in the vicinity of, a volcanic ash cloud.

j. Requirements to satisfy Extended Range Twin Operations (ETOPS) where appropriate.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Assessment (SSA) and supporting documentation should specifically address, where applicable; flight safety, ground/industrial safety, explosives and ordnance safety (non-nuclear munitions), range safety, nuclear safety, radiation/laser safety, test safety and support, software safety, materials, failure modes and effects testing and built-in-test, fail safe design, and support equipment..

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|---|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | For f. above: ANSI Z 136.1 for definitions of key laser safety design requirements SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-882D: 1.1, 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 4.4, 4.5 14CFR references: system safety sections of Parts 23, 25, 27, 29 AC 23.1309-1E AC 25.1309-1A For f. above: ANSI Z 136.1 for definitions of key laser safety design requirements For c. above: DOD Standard 6055.9-STD and DoD TO-11A- 1-47 For e. above: DoD Directive 3150.2, 23 Dec 1996, 4.1 lists the four key design standards. For f. above: MIL-STD-1425A and MIL-HDBK-828 For h. above: Section 14.3 of this document | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-56 4671 AMC.1309(b) |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: system safety sections of Parts 23, 25, 27, 29 a. Flight safety b. Ground/industrial safety c. Explosives and ordnance safety; non-nuclear munitions d. Range safety e. Nuclear safety f. Radiation/laser safety g. Test safety and support h. Software safety | EASA CS Reference: | CS 25 AMC 25.1309 CS 23.1309 CS.25.1309 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1309 |

| Information Sources | |
|--|--|
| i. Materials j. Failure modes and effects testing and built-in-test k. Fail safe design | |
| I. Support equipment | |

14.2. SAFETY DESIGN REQUIREMENTS.

This section outlines a number of air vehicle safety design criteria which are required in order to ensure the aircraft is 'safe'. The objective of safety design requirements is to achieve acceptable mishap risk through a systematic application of design guidance from standards, specifications, regulations, design handbooks, safety design checklists, and other sources.

Included within the scope of this section are:

- Risks caused by single-point failures;
- Aircraft redundant systems design;
- Consideration of human factors within design and appreciation of human error;
- Safety implications of operating in extreme environmental conditions;
- System installation;
- Isolation of hazardous substances, components and operations;
- Ensuring risks are re-assessed following design changes.

Some criteria in this chapter are supported in the text by examples of specific considerations. These examples are by no means to be considered as exhaustive.

Considerations include:

- The agreed level of acceptable risk;
- Potential risks to personnel, equipment or property, and / or the environment;
- Following the latest safety standards, guidance and techniques.

14.2.1 Hazard identification/control/resolution process

A systematic safety assessment process shall be employed to identify and characterise potential hazards, devise corrective actions, and conduct residual risk assessments.

The safety assessment process should be planned and managed to provide the necessary assurance that all relevant failure conditions have been identified and that all reasonably credible combinations of failures which could cause those failures conditions have been considered.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Identify hazards through a systematic hazard analysis process, following recognised safety assessment techniques.

b. Analysis of system hardware and software, the environment (in which the system will exist), and the intended use or application (including applications of negative acceleration).

c. Use of historical hazard and mishap data, including lessons learned from other systems.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 562 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

1. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate that a hazard identification/control/resolution process is employed, by inspection of safety process documentation and review of safety analyses and system safety group proceedings.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | 4.4, 4.5, Appendix A | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-56 |
| | 14CFR references: system safety sections of Parts 23, 25, | STANAG | 4671 AMC.1309(b) |
| | 27, 29 | Reference: | |
| | AC 23.1309-1E | | |
| | AC 25.1309-1A | | |
| | MIL-STD-882E | | |
| FAA Doc: | 1401 IN ICICICIOIOS. System | EASA CS | CS 25.1315 |
| | safety sections of Parts 23, 25, | Reference: | CS 25 AMC 25.1309 |
| | 27, 29 | | CS 23.1309 |
| | | | CS.25.1309 |
| | | | CS 27.1309 |
| | | | CS 29.1309 |

14.2.2 Mitigation of mishap risks.

All mishap risks associated with the aircraft design shall be eliminated where possible, or controlled such that the residual risks are reduced to an acceptable level.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Risks to personnel, equipment or property, and / or the environment.

b. Eliminating and / or controlling risks iaw agreed standards and best practice.

c. Implementation of a safety hazard tracking database / hazard log.

- d. The level of acceptable risk, to be agreed and verified.
- e. Risk to 3rd parties.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should include a process to mitigate hazards with "unacceptable" mishap risk by detailing the system safety documents, technical documents, test documents, programmatic documents, safety hazard tracking database and the residual risk acceptance process.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | 4.4, 4.5, 4.6, Appendix C; | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-56 |
| | Appendix A, A.4.3.3.1.1 shows unacceptable conditions; Table A-IV shows mishap risk categories & acceptance levels 14CFR references: system safety sections of Parts 23, 25, 27, 29 AC 23.1309-1E | STANAG Reference: | 4671 AMC.1309(b) |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 563 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

| Information Sources | | |
|---------------------|--|--|
| | AC 25.1309-1A | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: system safety sections of Parts 23, 25, 27, 29 | CS25 AMC 25.1309 CS 23.1309 CS.25.1309 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1309 |

14.2.3 Single point failure assessment.

All mishap risks associated with single-point failures shall be eliminated where possible, or controlled such that the residual risks are reduced to an acceptable level.

No aircraft or system loss shall result from a single failure.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Use of safety devices that will minimise mishap risk caused by single-point failures (e.g., interlocks, redundancy, fail safe design, system protection, fire suppression);

b. The level of acceptable risk.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate that the risk of all single point failure hazards do not exceed the hazard baseline set for the program, and that the residual risk has been accepted. Verification methodology includes inspection of the safety analyses for single point failures and the relevant data in the closed loop hazard tracking system.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--|---|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-882D: 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 4.4, 4.5, 4.6, Appendix C; Appendix A identifies severity levels 14CFR references: system safety sections of Parts 23, 25, 27, 29 AC 23.1309-1E AC 25.1309-1A | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 1.1.13 00-970 P1 3.7.10 00-970 P1 4.11.60 00-970 P1 4.12.12 00-970 P1 6.1.28 00-970 P1 6.6.2 00-970 Pt 1 6.11.25 00-970 Pt 1 6.11.52 00-970 Pt 1 6.11.53-6.11.55 00-970 Pt 1 6.12.3-6.12.4 00-970 Pt 1 6.12.6 00-970 Pt 1 6.12.15 00-970 P7 L100 9.1.1 00-970 P7 L204 4.3 00-970 P7 L306 3.3 00-970 P7 L307 3.2.2 00-970 P7 L310 2.2.3 00-56 4671.933 4671.1309 |
| | | | 4671.1435 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 564 /675 |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
|---|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--|-----------------------|--|
| | | | 4671.1437 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: system safety sections of Parts 23, 25, 27, 29 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.629 CS 23.933 CS 23.1309 CS 25.629(d) CS 25.933(a) CS 25.971(c) CS 25.1309 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1309 |

14.2.4 Subsystem protection.

Redundant aircraft subsystems, required to achieve acceptable mishap risks, shall be designed so their power sources, controls, and critical components are adequately protected using acceptable methods.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring adequate protection by means of physical separation or shielding, or by other acceptable methods.

b. Requirements to satisfy Extended Range Twin Operations (ETOPS) where appropriate.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail how power sources, controls, and critical components of redundant subsystems are separated/shielded.

| Inf | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-882D: 4, Appendix A 14CFR references: system | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-56 |
| | safety sections of Parts 23, 25, | STANAG | 4671 AMC.1309(b) |
| | 27, 29 | Reference: | |
| | AC 23.1309-1E | | |
| | AC 25.1309-1A | | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 25.1707(k) |
| | safety sections of Parts 23, 25, | Reference: | CS 23 AMC 23.1309 |
| | 27, 29 | | CS 23.1309 |
| | | | CS.25.1309 |
| | | | CS 27.1309 |
| | | | CS 29.1309 |
| | | | AMC 20-06 Rev. 2 |

14.2.5 Human factors.

All human factors design requirements shall be met; and any safety issues/risks related to human factors shall be identified and eliminated, or reduced to an acceptable level.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The full anthropometric range of air crew and passengers.

b. Aircrew workload, ergonomics and situational awareness.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 565 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

- c. Operations in full NBC or other restrictive clothing, e.g. gloves, respirators etc.
- d. The level of acceptable risk.
- e. Requirements to satisfy Extended Range Twin Operations (ETOPS) where appropriate.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should demonstrate that human factors requirements are incorporated into the design.

2. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should identify safety issues/risks related to human factors and reduce them to an acceptable level. This is achieved by inspection of safety documentation, safety analyses and program functional baselines.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-882D: 4, Appendix A MIL-STD-1472 gives the human-factor design | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.23.32 00-56 00-250 |
| | requirements 14CFR references: system safety sections of Parts 23, 25, 27, 29 AC 23.1309-1E AC 25.1309-1A | STANAG Reference: | 4671 AMC.1701 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: system safety sections of Parts 23, 25, 27, 29 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 25.783(d)(8) CS 25 AMC 25.1155 4(c) CS 25 AMC 25.1309 CS 23.1309 CS.25.1309 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1309 AMC 20-06 Rev. 2 |

14.2.6 Human error.

The risks from failures or hazards, created by human error during the operation and / or support of the aircraft, shall be minimised through system design, and reduced to an acceptable level.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. The level of acceptable risk;
- b. The use of safeguards to prevent inadvertent operations.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate that design safeguards are in place to reduce the mishap risks associated with human error to acceptable levels, by inspection of safety documents and analyses and review of the closed loop hazard tracking system.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-882D: 4, Appendix A 14CFR references: system | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P7 L702/1 1.2 00-56 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 566 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|-----------|--|-----------------------|---|
| | safety sections of Parts 23, 25, 27, 29 AC 23.1309-1E AC 25.1309-1A | STANAG Reference: | 4671 AMC.1701 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: system safety sections of Parts 23, 25, 27, 29 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 25.783(g) AMC 25.1309 CS 23.1309 CS.25.1309 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1309 |

14.2.7 Environmental conditions.

Risks caused by operation in the worst-case environmental conditions shall be minimised through system design, and reduced to an acceptable level.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The worst-case conditions across the complete range of expected environmental conditions, e.g. extreme hot and extreme cold.

b. The level of acceptable risk.

c. Operation in, or in the vicinity of, for example, a volcanic ash cloud.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate that the safety risk minimization process addresses effects of worst-case environmental conditions on the design, by review of safety analyses and environmental/climatic test results/reports.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP 4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-882D: 4, Appendix A MIL-STD-810 gives environmental and climatic testing requirements 14CFR references: system safety sections of Parts 23, 25, 27, 29 AC 23.1309-1E | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 3.1.7 00-970 P1 3.1.22 00-970 P1 6.1.1 00-970 P1 6.2.40-6.2.61 00-970 P1 6.11.61-6.11.85 00-970 P7 L200 1.8 00-970 P7 L301 8.3 00-56 |
| | AC 25.1309-1A | STANAG Reference: | 4671.603(a) 4671.607(b) 4671.613(c) 4671.1309(e) 4671.1431(a) |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: system safety sections of Parts 23, 25, 27, 29 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.603(a) CS 23.607(b) CS 23.613(c) CS 23.1309(e) CS 23.1431(a) CS 25.603(c) |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 567 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|---------------|
| | CS 23.607(c) |
| | CS 23.613(c) |
| | CS 23.1309(a) |
| | CS 25.1431(a) |
| | CS 25.1435(a) |
| | CS 27.603(c) |
| | CS 27.607(a) |
| | CS 27.1309 |
| | CS 29.603(c) |
| | CS 29.607(a) |
| | CS 29.1309 |
| | |

14.2.8 Assembly/installation hazards.

Risks to personnel, caused by exposure to hazards during the installation process, including those due to hazardous locations of systems in the aircraft, shall be eliminated, or reduced to an acceptable level.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Errors in assembly, installation, or connections which could result in a safety hazard or mishap for the system;

b. Provision of equipment installation, operation and maintenance processes documentation;

c. The level of acceptable risk.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate procedural safety requirements acceptability by inspection and approval of maintenance process documentation.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-882D: 4, Appendix A 14CFR references: system safety sections of Parts 23, 25, 27, 29 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 3.9.54 00-970 P1 6.1.21 00-970 P7 L203 8.2.1 00-56 |
| | AC 23.1309-1E AC 25.1309-1A | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: system safety sections of Parts 23, 25, 27, 29 | EASA CS Reference: | CS M25.3(c) AMC 25.1309 CS 23.1309 CS.25.1309 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1309 |

14.2.9 Safety design process.

The aircraft shall be designed such that hazardous substances, components, and operations are isolated from other activities, areas, personnel, and incompatible materials. Any residual risks which cannot be eliminated through isolation, shall be mitigated and reduced to an acceptable level.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 568 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

Consideration should be given to:

a. Minimising or eliminating hazardous material use where possible;

b. When using potentially hazardous materials, select those materials that pose the least risk throughout the life cycle of the system;

c. Isolating sources of contaminated air, hazardous exhaust gases, fumes and fuel from fuel tanks etc.;

d. Ensuring equipment is located so that access during operations, servicing, repair, or adjustment minimises personnel exposure to hazards (e.g., hazardous substances, high voltage, electromagnetic radiation, and cutting and puncturing surfaces);

e. The level of acceptable risk.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate the standard to assure that hazardous substances, components and operations have been identified and corrective measures taken (e.g., separation, shielding, isolation), and/or risks reduced to an acceptable level for the program. Methods include review of safety analyses and program technical documentation.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-882D: 4, Appendix A 14CFR references: system safety sections of Parts 23, 25, 27, 29 AC 23.1309-1E AC 25.1309-1A | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 3.7.27 00-970 P1 4.26.23 00-970 P1 6.14.3 00-970 P7 L307 4.9.4 00-970 P7 L700 4.1.10 00-970 P7 L702 12.7 00-56 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1121(a) AMC.1309 AMC.1701 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: system safety sections of Parts 23, 25, 27, 29 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.851 CS 23.967 CS 23.1121 CS 23.1309 CS 25.851 CS 25.967 CS 25.1121 CS 25.1309 AMC 25.1309 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1309 |

14.2.10 Analysis of changes or modifications.

Where changes or modifications are made to existing equipment or software, the effect on the baseline mishap risk shall be assessed. Any resulting hazards or changes in risks shall be eliminated or mitigated, in order to ensure an acceptable level of mishap risk is maintained.

Consideration should be given to:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 569 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

a. Changes to design, configuration, production, or mission requirements (including any resulting system modifications and upgrades, retrofits, insertions of new technologies or materials, or use of new production or test techniques).

b. Changes to the environment in which the system operates.

c. Ensuring changes or other modifications do not: create new hazards; impact a hazard that had previously been resolved; make any existing hazard more severe; or adversely affect any safety-critical component.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate that no changes/modifications to existing systems will cause an uncceptable level of mishap risk, by inspections of system safety analyses on changed or modified equipment or software.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP 4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | Reference sections 14.3.3, 15.3.3.2, 15.3.3.3 of this | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-56 |
| | document AC 23.1309-1E AC 25.1309-1A MIL-STD-882D: 4 | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1309 CS.25.1309 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1309 |

14.2.11 Assess safety of operational contingencies.

The system shall provide and implement operational contingencies in the event of catastrophic, critical and marginal failures or emergencies involving the system.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Pre-determined states and modes following a failure.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate that operational contingencies have been approved by inspection of system safety documentation.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | AC 23.1309-1E | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | AC 25.1309-1A | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | 4671 USAR 1309 |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.1309 |
| | | Reference: | CS.25.1309 |
| | | | CS 27.1309 |
| | | | CS 29.1309 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 570 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

14.2.12 Safety assurance for special military modes of operation.

Special military modes of operation when inactive shall not reduce the aircraft below threshold safety levels.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Weapons or stores arming and release.
- b. Operation of electromagnetic spectrum emitters.
- c. Physical/functional separation between the special modes when inactive and the basic UAS.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate that special military modes of operation of UAS when inactive meet probability of failure and design and development assurance requirements through inspections of programmatic, system safety and software safety documents.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | AC 23.1309-1E | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | AC 25.1309-1A | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | 4671.USAR.U19 |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.1309 |
| | | Reference: | CS.25.1309 |
| | | | CS 27.1309 |
| | | | CS 29.1309 |

14.2.13 Military requirements for cockpit & cabin design.

The aircraft shall be designed, where appropriate, to include suitable physical protection measures for the flight deck, resistance to the effects of an explosive or incendiary device, survivability of systems, and the interior design should facilitate searches.

Consideration should be given to (taken from CS 25.795):

a. Ability of the flight deck door to resist forced entry;

b. Ability of the flight deck door to resist penetration by small arms or fragmentation devices;

c. Limit the effects of an explosive or incendiary device as follows:

i. Limit entry to flight deck of smoke, fumes, or noxious gases;

ii. Limit entry to passenger compartment of smoke, fumes, or noxious gases, or other means to prevent passenger incapacitation;

iii. Cargo compartment fire suppression system should:

(1) Be capable of suppressing a fire;

(2) Be designed to withstand the following effects:

(a) Impact from a ballistic object;

(b) A 103kPa (15psi) pressure load to component surfaces larger than 0.4 square metres (4 square feet);

(c) A 15 cm displacement applied anywhere along the distribution system where relative movement between the system and its attachment can occur;

d. Aircraft design should include a designated location where a bomb or other explosive device could be placed to best protect integrity of the structure and flight critical systems from damage in the case of detonation;

e. Redundant systems necessary for continued safe flight and landing should be physically separated;

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 571 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

f. Interior designs should incorporate features that will deter concealment or promote discovery of weapons, explosives, or other objects from a simple inspection in the following areas of the cabin:
i. Areas above the overhead bins;

ii. Toilets must be designed to prevent the passage of solid objects greater than 5 cm (0.2 in) in diameter;

iii. Life preservers or their storage locations must be designed so that tampering is evident.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. The aircraft specification should include all relevant requirements for physical protection measures for the flight deck, resistance to the effects of an explosive or incendiary device, survivability of systems, and the ease of searching the aircraft interior.

2. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail all design features incorporated to provide physical protection measures for the flight deck, resistance to the effects of an explosive or incendiary device, survivability of systems, and the ease of searching the aircraft interior.

3. Analysis should demonstrate that the design features provided meet the associated requirements of the aircraft specification.

4. Testing should demonstrate the accuracy of the performed analysis and should demonstrate that the required levels of protection, resistance, survivability and searchability have been met.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | AC 23.1309-1E AC 25.1309-1A | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | AC 25.1509-1A | Reference: STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1309 CS 25.795 |
| | | | CS 25.1309 CS 27.1309 |
| | | | CS 29.1309 |

14.3. SOFTWARE SAFETY PROGRAM.

This section covers software safety, and its integration with the overall safety programme.Included within the scope of this section are:

- Safety Related Software (SRS) i.e. software that relates to a safety function or system;
- Establishing Safety Levels;
- Safety Critical Software (SCS), i.e. software that relates to a safety critical function or system, the failure of which could cause the highest risk to human life.

Complex Electronic Hardware is considered in Section 15.Note: Software safety is also covered by Section 15.3

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 572 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

14.3.1 Comprehensive approach to software safety.

A comprehensive software safety program (including all key software safety issues), shall be integrated into the overall system safety program.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Establishing software levels , typically in accordance with prescribed industry standards.

- b. Identifying safety critical functions and their associated safety critical software.
- c. Analysing and addressing single point failures caused by software.
- d. Producing the requisite safety and software plans and other documentation.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate by inspection of program safety, software safety, and software documentation that the comprehensive software safety program has been integrated into the system safety program in a manner which meets the selected standard.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|---|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | DO-178B to establish software integrity levels for commercial aircraft SAE ARP4754A | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | Joint Software System Safety Committee, Software System Safety Handbook: A Technical & Managerial Team Approach, Dec 1999 FAA Doc: 14CFR references: system safety sections of Parts 23, 25, 27, 29 AC 23.1309-1E AC 25.1309-1A | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.2.63 00-970 P9 UK1309b 00-970 P13 1.7.1 00-970 P13 1.8.1 4671 AMC.1309(b) |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: system safety sections of Parts 23, 25, 27, 29 | EASA CS Reference: | AMC 25.1309 CS 23.1309 CS.25.1309 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1309 |

14.3.2 Planning/accomplishing software safety analyses and assessments.

Appropriate software safety designated analyses shall be performed as part of the software development process, to satisfy the software safety programme.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The types and quantities of required software safety analyses and their delivery schedules.

b. Ensuring the safety analyses programme has a complete systems view, including identification of software hazards, and associated software risks.

c. Review of baseline software requirements that system safety requirements for software development.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 573 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

1. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate by inspection of system safety, software safety, and software documentation that the tailored set of analyses and assessments (or equivalent) required by this section (14.3) are planned for and accomplished.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | Joint Software System Safety Committee, Software System Safety Handbook: A Technical & | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.2.63 00-970 P13 1.7.1 00-970 P13 1.8.1 |
| | Managerial Team Approach, Dec 1999 FAA Doc: 14CFR references: system safety sections of Parts 23, 25, 27, 29 AC 23.1309-1E AC 25.1309-1A | STANAG Reference: | 4671 AMC.1309(b) |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: system safety sections of Parts 23, 25, 27, 29 | EASA CS Reference: | AMC 25.1309 CS 23.1309 CS.25.1309 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1309 |

14.3.2.1 Performance of software safety analyses.

The required software safety analyses preparation shall be accomplished.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring software safety analyses and assessments include the tailored documentation required by the references of this section (14.3).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate by inspection that the delivered software safety analyses for the program have a complete systems view, including identification of software hazards, and associated software risks.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4754A | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | AC 23.1309-1E AC 25.1309-1A | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.2.63 00-55 |
| | RTCA DO-178C | STANAG Reference: | 4671 AMC.1309(b) |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | AMC 25.1309 CS 23.1309 CS.25.1309 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1309 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 574 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

14.3.2.2 Performance of software safety traceability analyses.

The required software safety traceability analyses shall be accomplished.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Bi-directional traceability to the identified hazard(s).

b. Ensuring software safety analyses and assessments include the tailored documentation required by the references of 14.3 (this document).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate by inspection of system safety, software safety and program documentation that the bi-directional software safety traceability analyses amongst requirements, design, implementation, verification, and hazard have been accomplished.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4754A | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | AC 23.1309-1E AC 25.1309-1A | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.2.63 00-55 |
| | RTCA DO-178C | STANAG Reference: | 4671 AMC.1309(b) |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | AMC 25.1309 CS 23.1309 CS.25.1309 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1309 |

14.3.3 Evaluation of software for elimination of hazardous events.

Software, as designed or modified, shall not initiate hazardous events or mishaps in either the on or off (powered) state.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Both controlled and / or monitored functions;

b. Implementing of a system safety assessment process which includes evaluation of software and identification of anomalous software control/monitoring behaviour.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate by inspection that the delivered software safety analyses for the program have a complete systems view, including identification of software hazards, and associated software risks.

2. Rig/simulation tests of software applications should demonstrate that systems respond as intended.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | DO-178B defines software integrity levels for safety critical functions SAE ARP4754A | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | Joint Software System Safety Committee, Software System Safety | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.2.63 00-970 P13 3.2.33 00-970 P13 1.7.1 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 575 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|----------|----------------------------------|------------|------------------|
| | Handbook: A Technical & | | 00-970 P13 1.8.1 |
| | Managerial Team Approach, | | 00-55 |
| | Dec 1999 | STANAG | 4671 AMC.1309(b) |
| | FAA Doc: | Reference: | |
| | 14CFR references: system | | |
| | safety sections of Parts 23, 25, | | |
| | 27, 29 | | |
| | AC 23.1309-1E | | |
| | AC 25.1309-1A | | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: system | EASA CS | AMC 25.1309 |
| | safety sections of Parts 23, 25, | Reference: | CS 23.1309 |
| | 27, 29 | | CS.25.1309 |
| | | | CS 27.1309 |
| | | | CS 29.1309 |

14.3.4 Commercial off-the-shelf software integrity level confirmation.

Commercial Off-the-Shelf (COTS) and reuse software (which includes application software and operating systems) shall be developed to the necessary software integrity level.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Defining the appropriate software integrity level at the software and/or safety planning stage.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate by inspection of program, system safety, software safety and software engineering documentation that COTS and reuse software is developed to the necessary software integrity level.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4754A | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | AC 23.1309-1E AC 25.1309-1A | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.2.63 00-055 |
| | RTCA DO-178C | STANAG Reference: | 4671 AMC.1309(b) |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | AMC 25.1309 CS 23.1309 CS.25.1309 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1309 |

14.3.5 Identification of safety designated/significant software.

Software elements that perform functions related to system hazards shall be identified and handled as safety related/critical software.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate by inspection of program, system safety and software safety documentation that the identified safety related software elements are handled (labeled,

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 576 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

tracked, implemented, tested, etc.) as required by software/safety planning based on their safety criticality levels.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4754A | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | AC 23.1309-1E AC 25.1309-1A | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.2.63 00-055 |
| | RTCA DO-178C | STANAG Reference: | 4671 AMC.1309(b) |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | AMC 25.1309 CS 23.1309 CS.25.1309 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1309 |

14.3.5.1 Assignment of criticality levels.

Each safety designated software function shall be assigned an appropriate criticality level.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring that, if a software function contains multiple software elements, the function is assigned a criticality level equal to the criticality level of the highest element.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate by analysis and inspection of documentation, that the appropriate level of criticality is assigned to each software function.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4754A | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | AC 23.1309-1E AC 25.1309-1A | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.2.63 00-055 |
| | RTCA DO-178C | STANAG | 4671 AMC.1309(b) |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | AMC 25.1309 CS 23.1309 |
| | | | CS.25.1309 |
| | | | CS 27.1309 |
| | | | CS 29.1309 |

14.3.5.2 Testing to criticality levels.

Each safety designated software function shall be developed and tested commensurate with its assigned criticality level.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate by inspection of documentation, that the appropriate level of development and testing for designated safety software has been performed and required results were achieved.

| Information | n Sources | | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| | | | |
| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 577 /675 |

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4754A | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | AC 23.1309-1E AC 25.1309-1A | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.2.63 00-055 |
| | RTCA DO-178C | STANAG Reference: | 4671 AMC.1309(b) |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | AMC 25.1309 CS 23.1309 CS.25.1309 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1309 |

14.3.6 Software safety test analyses.

The appropriate software safety test analyses shall be planned and performed.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring that results are recorded using formal procedures and are kept under configuration control.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate by inspection of the safety plans that software safety testing and test analyses have been adequately planned, performed and documented.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | AC 23.1309-1E AC 25.1309-1A | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.2.63 00-055 |
| | RTCA DO-178C | STANAG Reference: | 4671 AMC.1309(b) |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | AMC 25.1309 CS 23.1309 CS.25.1309 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1309 |

14.3.7 Structural coverage analysis.

Structural Coverage Analysis shall be planned and executed.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring that results are recorded using formal procedures and are kept under configuration control.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate by inspection of the test plans that adequate structural coverage analysis is planned and documented.

2. Test/simulation should demonstrate that adequate structural coverage is in place.

| Int | formation Sources | |
|-------------|-------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 578 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| DoD/MIL Doc: | AC 23.1309-1E AC 25.1309-1A | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.2.63 00-055 |
| | RTCA DO-178C | STANAG | 4671 AMC.1309(b) |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | AMC 25.1309 CS 23.1309 CS.25.1309 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1309 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 579 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

SECTION 15 - COMPUTER SYSTEMS AND SOFTWARE

This section covers the design, installation, arrangement and compatibility of the complete aircraft computer resources. This includes manned aircraft avionics, as well as airborne and ground segment avionics for UAVs.

CERTIFICATION CRITERIA

15.1. AIRCRAFT PROCESSING ARCHITECTURE

15.1.1 Safety critical functions (SCFs).

Safety critical functions (SCFs) shall be identified and documented for each aircraft system.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Allocation of an integrity level for each system.

b. Identifying all associated system hardware and software.

c. Ensuring that the required safety level has been associated with each of the hardware and software functions.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Analysis (SSA) should identify each safety critical function.

| Int | Information Sources | | |
|--------------|--|---|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | RTCA DO-178C, RTCA DO- 254 SAE ARP4761 SAE ARP4754A | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.1.12, 3.3.1 MIL-STD 882D, sections 4.2, 4.7, 4.8 for further guidance | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG | 00-970 P0 S4 00-970 P13 1.7 4671.1301 |
| | concerning identification, review and tracking of safety hazards to establish program safety definitions JSSG-2008 Appendix A: | Reference: | 4671.1301 4671.1309 |
| | 3.1.2.1 for establishing safety criticality along with CNS/ATM safety performance references in the ESC developed Generic Performance Matrices (10E-5 to 10E-7 hazard rates depending on flight phase) | | |
| FAA Doc: | AC 20-115C | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1301 CS 23.1309 CS 25.1301 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1301 CS 27.1309 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 580 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|---|
| | CS 29.1301 CS 29.1309 AMC 20-115C |

15.1.2 SPA requirements.

System Processing Architecture (SPA) safety requirements shall be fully defined and documented.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Attributes such as functional requirements, processing demands, timing criticalities, data flow, interfacing elements, redundancy and fault tolerance.

b. Federated and integrated elements of the SPA.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Assessment should document that all technical and safety SPA risks are appropriately mitigated/captured.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | RTCA DO-178C, RTCA DO-254 SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.3.1 JSSG-2008 Appendix A: 3.1.7, | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P0 S4 00-970 P13 1.7 |
| | 3.1.12,3.3.1forfurtherguidanceconcerningredundancy,systemandprocessing architecturesJSSG-2008Appendix A:3.3.1processingprovidesprocessingarchitecturedesignstrategiestomitigatecomponentfailures;3.1.9containssinglepointfailureguidanceregardingin-flighthazardsandredundancy;3.1.11.1addressessafeoperationoperationinthecasecaseofmultiplefailures | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1301 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | AC 20-115C | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1301 CS 23.1309 CS 25.1301 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1301 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1301 CS 29.1309 AMC 20-115C |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 581 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

15.1.3 SPA redundancy.

The SPA shall employ redundancy to preclude the loss of safety critical processing in the event of a single failure or data channel loss and support fault tolerance requirements.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Provision of mitigations for any failure modes identified

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. A full system failure analysis (e.g. FMECA) should determine potential single points of failure.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | RTCA DO-178C RTCA DO-254 SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.3.1 JSSG-2008 Appendix A: 3.1.7, | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P0 S4 00-970 P13 1.7 |
| | 3.1.12,3.3.1forfurtherguidanceconcerningredundancy,systemandprocessing architecturesJSSG-2008Appendix A:3.3.1processingprovidesprocessingarchitecturedesignstrategiestomitigatecomponentfailures;3.1.9containssinglepointfailureguidanceregardingin-flighthazardsandredundancy;3.1.11.1addressessafeoperationoperationinthecasecaseofmultiplefailures | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1301 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | AC 20-115C | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1301 CS 23.1309 CS 25.1301 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1301 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1301 CS 29.1309 AMC 20-115C |

15.1.4 SCF threads.

All SPA supported Safety Critical Function (SCF) threads shall be identified, documented and completely traced, and all Safety Supporting Elements (SSEs) of the SPA shall be identified.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Identifying Safety Supporting Hardware Elements (SSHEs) relevant to the SCF thread.

b. Identifying non-SSEs relevant to the SCF thread.

| Edition Number: 3.1 Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 582 /675 |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
|---|------------------|----------------------|

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SSD) for software should include details of functional thread analysis for each SCF from the SPA to the SSEs, Safety Supporting Software Elements (SSSEs), SSHEs, and components.

2. System Description Documents (SSD) for software should include details of automation of the SCF thread tracing capability to allow repeatability and expansion of analysis.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | RTCA DO-178C RTCA DO-254 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P0 S4 00-970 P13 1.7 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1301 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | AC 20-115C | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1301 CS 23.1309 CS 25.1301 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1301 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1301 CS 29.1309 AMC 20-115C |

15.1.5 Probability of loss of control and hazard mitigations.

The SPA shall be designed to meet Probability of Loss of Control (PLOC), Probability of Loss of Aircraft (PLOA), SCF processing, hazard mitigations, and reliability requirements.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Identifying and recording the quantitative safety targets for the PLOC and PLOA as well as the requirements of SCF processing, hazard mitigations and reliability.

- b. Identifying and recording the fault tolerance requirements for the architecture.
- c. Documenting the redundancy scheme based on the requirements.
- d. Documenting the voting mechanism for the architecture.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate that SPA are designed to meet Probability of Loss of Control (PLOC), Probability of Loss of Aircraft (PLOA), SCF processing, hazard mitigations, and reliability requirements

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|---|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | RTCA DO-178C RTCA DO-254 SAE ARP4761 SAE ARP4754A | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.3.1 JSSG-2008 Appendix A: Paragraph 3.3.1 provides | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG | 00-970 P0 S4 00-970 P13 1.7 4671.1301 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 583 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | [| |
|----------|---|-----------------------|---|
| | guidance for fault tolerant processing including fault detection and redundancy; 3.1.2, 3.1.7 and 3.1.5.7 provide guidance for allocating quantitative safety requirements to subsystem level in the context of VCMS and mission avionics allowing for variability factors; 3.1.9 gives guidance on establishing redundancy levels based on control criticality; 3.1.11+ and 3.1.12+ provide detailed guidance on the establishment of proper levels of redundancy | Reference: | 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | AC 20-115C | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1301 CS 23.1309 CS 25.1301 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1301 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1301 CS 29.1301 CS 29.1309 AMC 20-115C |

15.1.6 SPA interfaces.

All SSEs of the SPA that interface (physically or functionally) with other processing elements (SSEs or non-SSEs) shall continue safe operation in the event there is a data channel failure or data corruption with the interfacing elements.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring data/calculation/system-timing dependencies do not impede system performance in any operational mode or degrade architectural safety coverage.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the robustness of the architecture regarding data channel failure or data corruption with the interfacing elements.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | RTCA DO-178C RTCA DO-254 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P0 S4 00-970 P13 1.7 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1301 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | AC 20-115C | EASA CS | CS 23.1301 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 584 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | | |
|---------------------|------------|-------------|
| | Reference: | CS 23.1309 |
| | | CS 25.1301 |
| | | CS 25.1309 |
| | | CS 27.1301 |
| | | CS 27.1309 |
| | | CS 29.1301 |
| | | CS 29.1309 |
| | | AMC 20-115C |

15.1.7 Computer System Integrity Levels (CSILs).

All SCFs shall be fully allocated to elements within the SPA and each element assigned a Computer System Integrity Level (CSIL) based on the criticality of support that it provides to the SCF.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Identifying both the hardware and software components relating to SCFs.

b. Dissimilar systems within redundant SPAs.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Analysis (SSA) should demonstrate that each of the identified SCFs have been allocated.

2. SSA should include analysis of SPA criticality.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | RTCA DO-178C RTCA DO-254 | | |
| | SAE ARP4761 SAE ARP4754A | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.1.16 | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P0 S4 |
| | MIL-STD-882D Appendix A: | Reference: | 00-970 P13 1.7 |
| | A.4.4.2 establishes hardware | STANAG | 4671.1301 |
| | and software analysis in the | Reference: | 4671.1309 |
| | hazard identification process | | |
| FAA Doc: | AC 20-115C | EASA CS | CS 23.1301 |
| | | Reference: | CS 23.1309 |
| | | | CS 25.1301 |
| | | | CS 25.1309 |
| | | | CS 27.1301 |
| | | | CS 27.1309 |
| | | | CS 29.1301 |
| | | | CS 29.1309 |
| | | | AMC 20-115C |

15.1.8 CSIL processes.

Every CSIL shall have a corresponding development process defined and applied and each process shall be adequate to support the safety requirements of the classification.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 585 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

1. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate by analysis that each CSIL process has been justified.

2. Proof that the defined development process has been followed for each software and hardware component.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | RTCA DO-178C RTCA DO-254 SAE ARP4761 SAE ARP4754A | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.3 JSSG-2008 Appendix A: 3.3 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P0 S4 00-970 P13 1.7 |
| | Requirement Guidance and Lessons Learned for additional information concerning processing element selection. 3.1.7.3, 3.1.8, 3.1.11, 3.14.4 and 3.2.2.6 provide guidance for integrating safety critical VCMS systems with non-safety critical processing elements | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1301 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | AC 20-115C | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1301 CS 23.1309 CS 25.1301 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1301 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1301 CS 29.1309 AMC 20-115C |

15.1.9 Data flow and control flow

Interfaces (control and data flow) supporting SPA SSEs shall be clearly defined and documented.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Hardware and software SSE.

b. Ensuring that interfaces do not impede or prevent system performance or degrade the system safety target.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Systems Interface Documents (SID) should include relevant details for control and data flow, including but not limited to: data flow and accuracy, data latency, timing issues, and handshaking protocols.

| <u> </u> | nformation Sources | | | |
|--------------|--|----|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc. | RTCA DO-178C RTCA DO-254 | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc. | JSSG-2008: 3.3.7 JSSG-2008 Appendix | A: | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P0 S4 00-970 P13 1.7 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 586 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|----------|---|-----------------------|---|
| | 3.1.7.1 provides guidance for identifying subsystem interfaces and ensuring sufficient data communication timing margins. Paragraph 3.5.7 discusses the application of integrity processes in the design of system interfaces from both the hardware and software standpoint | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1301 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | AC 20-115C | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1301 CS 23.1309 CS 25.1301 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1301 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1301 CS 29.1301 CS 29.1309 AMC 20-115C |

15.1.10 Physical and functional separation.

Physical and functional separation between SSEs and non-SSEs shall be accounted for in the SPA.

Consideration should be given to:

1. Clearly identifying all SSE of the architecture.

2. Ensuring that non-SSE of the architecture do not share either hardware or software resources.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Assessment (SSA) shall demonstrate physical and functional separation of SSEs and non-SSEs.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | RTCA DO-178C RTCA DO-254 SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008 Appendix A: | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P0 S4 00-970 P13 1.7 |
| | 3.1.7.1 provides for basic partitioning of the architecture (hardware and software); 3.1.7.2 gives guidance regarding "system arrangement" (architecture design); 3.1.7.3 directly addresses isolation of less critical elements to prevent their failure from impacting | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1301 4671.1309 |

Edition Number: 3.1Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018Status: endorsedPage 587/675

| In | formation Sources | | |
|----------|--------------------|-----------------------|---|
| | critical functions | | |
| FAA Doc: | AC 20-115C | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1301 CS 23.1309 CS 25.1301 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1301 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1301 CS 29.1309 AMC 20-115C |

15.1.11 Notification of loss of critical processing.

The operator shall be notified upon the loss of flight critical processing capability or redundancy in flight critical processing.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Built-In-Test (BIT) detection of loss of redundant processing capabilities for flight critical systems.
- b. Prioritization of loss or degraded processing annunciations.
- c. Latency time from detection to annunciation for flight critical alerts

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should include details of operator notification due to the loss of flight critical processing capability or redundancy in flight critical processing.

2. Rig and ground testing should demonstrate that operator notifications (due to the loss of flight critical processing capability or redundancy in flight critical processing) are displayed correctly.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | RTCA DO-178C RTCA DO-254 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P0 S4 00-970 P13 1.7 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1301 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | AC 20-115C | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1301 CS 23.1309 CS 25.1301 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1301 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1301 CS 29.1309 AMC 20-115C |

15.1.12 Uninterruptable power.

Electrical power quantity and quality for the SPA(s) shall be sufficient to maintain continuous operation.

Consideration should be given to:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 588 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

a. Identifying all redundant operations.

b. The architecture design should clearly state the requirement for independence of power supplies to the redundant operations.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Systems Interface Documents (SID) should include relevant details for electrical power quantity and quality for SPAs.

2. Rig, ground, and flight testing should demonstrate that electrical power quantity and quality remains within defined limits, sufficient to maintain continuous operation, through all expected operating conditions.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|---|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | RTCA DO-178C RTCA DO-254 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.2.2.2., 3.2.2.2.5, 3.3 JSSG-2008 Appendix A: 3.2.2.2.2 and 3.2.2.2.5 give extensive guidance on aircraft power system support to safety critical equipment | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P0 S4 00-970 P13 1.7 4671.1301 4671.1309 4671.1351 |
| FAA Doc: | AC 20-115C | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1301 CS 23.1310 CS 23.1351 CS 25.1301 CS 25.1309 CS 25.1351 CS 25.1355 CS 27.1301 CS 27.1309 CS 27.1351 CS 29.1301 CS 29.1309 CS 29.1351 CS 29.1355 AMC 20-115C |

15.2 DESIGN AND FUNCTIONAL INTEGRATION OF SPA ELEMENTS.

15.2.1 Functional coupling.

SSEs shall avoid unnecessary coupling.

Consideration should be given to:

a. All parameters coupled to SSE threads should be recorded and justified to prevent unnecessary coupling.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 589 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

1. System Safety Analysis (SSA) should include analysis of the processing elements to ensure that all parameters are defined and recorded in the appropriate software documentation.

2. System Safety Analysis (SSA) should include analysis of safety critical functional threads, to record processing element inter-dependencies.

3. Rig and ground testing should demonstrate that SSEs are not unnecessarily coupled.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | RTCA DO-178C RTCA DO-254 SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.3.6 JSSG-2008 Appendix A: | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P0 S4 00-970 P13 1.7 |
| | 3.3.6+ and 3.1.14.6 address software structure, partitioning and CSCI integration; 3.1.5.1 gives guidance on data latency issues; 3.1.7 gives overall architecture design guidance along with specific data latency discussions in Lessons Learned subparagraph I | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1301 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | AC 20-115C | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1301 CS 23.1309 CS 25.1301 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1301 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1301 CS 29.1309 AMC 20-115C |

15.2.2 Functional autonomy and critical data sources.

The level of autonomy achieved by the flight-essential elements shall be sufficient to preclude loss of flight-critical functions due to failure in mission or maintenance related elements.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The system design should preclude use of single-source safety critical data.

b. The system design should preclude use of single-source non-safety critical data for safety critical applications.

c. Where use is made of mission or maintenance related elements in flight-critical functions, these shall be recorded.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Rig and ground testing should demonstrate that failure in mission or maintenance related elements cannot cause loss of flight-critical functions.

| In | formation Sources | |
|-------------|-------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | RTCA DO-178C | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 590 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| | RTCA DO-254 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.3.1 JSSG-2008 Appendix A: 3.3.1 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P0 S4 00-970 P13 1.7 |
| | contains guidance addressing redundant data path management, data validity and reasonableness; 3.1.7.3 and 3.1.8 provide guidance for interfacing between safety and non-safety critical subsystems | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1301 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | AC 20-115C | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1301 CS 23.1309 CS 25.1301 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1301 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1301 CS 29.1309 AMC 20-115C |

15.2.3 Integration methodology.

The integration methodology used for the SPA SSEs shall be defined and documented, and shall provide complete verification coverage of SCFs at all levels, for each flight configuration release.

Consideration should be given to:

a. An established and proven process to record all aspects of the architecture life cycle (hardware and software), including but not limited to: requirements, design, build, integration, and testing.

b. The contents of each document in the suite used for a) should be clearly defined.

c. Integration of each element into the complete system.

d. Integration of the complete system into the air vehicle.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Analysis (SSA) should define and document the integration methodology used for the SPA SSEs.

2. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate correct function for all SCFs.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|---|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | RTCA DO 178C RTCA DO-254 SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.3.1 JSSG-2008 Appendix A: 4.3 addresses processing element verification and 3.3.1 addresses integration; 4.1.14.4, 4.2.2.2 and 4.5.7 specify a build up approach in | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P0 S4 00-970 P13 1.7 4671.1301 4671.1309 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 591 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|----------|--------------------------|------------|-------------|
| | verification and testing | | |
| FAA Doc: | AC 20-115C | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | CS 23.1309 |
| | | | CS 25.1301 |
| | | | CS 25.1309 |
| | | | CS 27.1301 |
| | | | CS 27.1309 |
| | | | CS 29.1301 |
| | | | CS 29.1309 |
| | | | AMC 20-115C |

15.2.4 Critical discrepancies.

Safety critical hardware and software discrepancies identified shall be safely corrected or mitigated.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Use of a robust and approved design and development control methodology to document and record all aspects of the design and its testing through to acceptance.

b. The above methodology should ensure that follow-up action on identified discrepancies is tracked through to a successful conclusion.

c. All interfaces should be well documented and compatible (hardware/hardware, hardware/software, and software/software).

d. Use of a peer review audit system comprising independent reviewers.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Analysis (SSA) should detail any identified safety critical hardware and software discrepancies, and should demonstrate that any such discrepancies have been safely corrected or mitigated.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | RTCA DO-178C SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.3.7 JSSG-2008 Appendix A: 3.3.8 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P0 S4 00-970 P13 1.7 |
| | provides guidance under | STANAG | 4671.1301 |
| | lessons learned for tracking | Reference: | 4671.1309 |
| | and mitigating software | | |
| | discrepancies | | |
| FAA Doc: | AC 20-115C | EASA CS | CS 23.1301 |
| | | Reference: | CS 23.1309 |
| | | | CS 25.1301 |
| | | | CS 25.1309 |
| | | | CS 27.1301 |
| | | | CS 27.1309 |
| | | | CS 29.1301 |
| | | | CS 29.1309 |
| | | | AMC 20-115C |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 592 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

15.2.5 Simulations, models and tools.

Simulators, models, and tools used in the development, integration, and testing of software and hardware supporting SCFs shall be appropriately qualified and validated.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Identification of source, i.e.: off-the-shelf (commercial or government), modified, or developed for the application.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. A test plan including analysis of the effectiveness (accuracy and fidelity) of the output performance for all simulators, models, and tools.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | RTCA DO-178C RTCA DO-254 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P0 S4 00-970 P13 1.7 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1301 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | AC 20-115C | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1301 CS 23.1309 CS 25.1301 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1301 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1301 CS 29.1301 CS 29.1309 AMC 20-115C |

15.2.6 Safety interlocks.

Interlocks shall provide safe engagement and disengagement of system modes for flight and ground operations.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Specifics of how each safety interlock is used by each SSE.

b. safety interlocks required for engagement, switching, and disengagement, of single or multiple modes.

c. Safely control mode (enable/prevent) engagement based on ground or flight parameters and to prevent engagement of incompatible modes.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should provide details of interlocks which provide safe engagement and disengagement of air system modes for flight and ground operations.

2. Rig and ground testing should demonstrate the correct function of safety interlocks.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | RTCA DO-178C RTCA DO-254 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P0 S4 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 593 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|-----------|-------------------|-----------------------|--|
| | | Reference: | 00-970 P13 1.7 |
| | | STANAG | 4671.1301 |
| | | Reference: | 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | AC 20-115C | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1301 CS 23.1309 CS 25.1301 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1301 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1301 CS 29.1309 |
| | | | AMC 20-115C |

15.2.7 Single event upset (SEU) susceptibility.

The SPA shall be designed to ensure that SEUs do not cause unsafe conditions.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Identification of areas of the flight envelope susceptible to SEU effects.

b. Detection, correction, and prevention techniques to mitigate hazards and the loss of SCFs.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Analysis (SSA) should include assessment of hazards associated with SEUs.

2. Rig and ground testing should demonstrate correct system function throughout any expected SEU.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | RTCA DO-178C RTCA DO-254 SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P0 S4 00-970 P13 1.7 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1301 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | AC 20-115C | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1301 CS 23.1309 CS 25.1301 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1301 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1301 CS 29.1309 AMC 20-115C |

15.2.8 Security techniques.

Security techniques used shall be implemented safely.

Consideration should be given to:

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 594 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

a. The degree of security required and means of implementing it (software or hardware); intrusions could include malicious (theft, or data corruption) or accidental access.

b. Use of encryption systems.

c. Any security techniques used should be clearly identified in the documentation, and proven not to affect SSE functionality.

d. Physical security means.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate that security techniques used in the SPA are implemented safely.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | RTCA DO-178C RTCA DO-254 SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.3.7 JSSG-2008 Appendix A: | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P0 S4 00-970 P13 1.7 |
| | 3.1.14.6.i and 4.1.14.6.d guidance addresses analysis, allocation and verification of security requirements; 3.3.4 directly addresses unauthorized modification and tampering with components; 3.3.7 establishes a place for traceable security requirements AFPam 63-1701 provides guidance for implementation of Systems Security Engineering | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1301 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | AC 20-115C | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1301 CS 23.1309 CS 25.1301 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1301 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1301 CS 29.1301 CS 29.1309 AMC 20-115C |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 595 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

15.3 PROCESSING HARDWARE/ELECTRONICS.

15.3.1 Merged with 15.1.7, 15.1.5 and 15.1.10

15.3.2 Merged with 15.1.10

15.3.3 Merged with 15.1.7, 15.1.5 and 15.1.10

15.3.4 Environmental qualification.

All hardware processing elements shall be capable of safely operating within planned operational environments.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Natural and induced environmental conditions expected for air system locations/envelopes/operational environments.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Rig, ground, and flight testing should demonstrate that processing elements are qualified to defined environmental requirements.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | RTCA DO-178C RTCA DO-254 RTCA DO-160G1 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P0 S4 00-970 P13 1.7 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1301 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | AC 20-115C | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1301 CS 23.1309 CS 25.1301 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1301 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1301 CS 29.1301 CS 29.1309 AMC 20-115C |

15.4 SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT PROCESSES.

15.4.1 Software processes.

The software development process for Safety Supporting Software Elements (SSSEs) shall be fully documented (e.g., Software Development Plan (SDP), Software Safety Plan (SSP)), followed, and sufficiently suitable to produce software supporting SCFs.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Production of a rigorous SSSE development process which is suitable, comprehensive, well defined, documented and consistently applied.

b. Identifying activities to support the SSSE requirements, design, code, integration, test and release of products.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 596 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Analysis (SSA) and appropriate supporting documentation (e.g., Software Development Plan (SDP), Software Safety Plan (SSP)) should document the development process for SSSEs, and should demonstrate that the SSSEs are sufficiently suitable to produce software supporting SCFs.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|----------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | RTCA DO-178C RTCA DO-254 SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P13 1.7 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | AC 20-115C | EASA CS Reference: | AMC 20-115C |

15.4.2 Traceability.

Each SSSE shall have sufficient bidirectional traceability established for requirements (performance and interface), design, source code, and test data.

Consideration should be given to:

a. SCF bidirectional traceability both vertically (system level to the lowest software level) and horizontally (across the system/software).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Requirements Documents (SRD) and associated Validation/Verification plans should demonstrate that bidirectional traceability for requirements and functions are coupled to all levels of testing.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | RTCA DO-178C RTCA DO-254 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P13 1.7 |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | AC 20-115C | EASA CS | AMC 20-115C |
| | | Reference: | |

15.4.3 Configuration management.

The configuration/change control management process shall be fully documented, followed, and sufficiently suitable to control software supporting SCFs.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Definition of hardware and software configuration items.

b. Identification of each configuration item's criticality to supported SCFs.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 597 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Development Plans (SDP) or other appropriate artefact should document configuration management/change control processes, and should demonstrate that the processes maintain the integrity of hardware and software configurations.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | RTCA DO-178C RTCA DO-254 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P13 1.7 |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | AC 20-115C | EASA CS | AMC 20-115C |
| | | Reference: | |

15.5 SOFTWARE ARCHITECTURE AND DESIGN.

15.5.1 Software architecture.

The software architecture and design shall be defined, shall properly implement the system/software requirements, and be safe.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Allocation of system level requirements to the subsystem and software requirements.
- b. Software functions that ensure system integrity (e.g., partition schemes).
- c. Software architecture compatibility with the target hardware architecture.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should define the software architecture and design.

2. Rig and ground testing should demonstrate that system/software requirements are properly implemented.

3. System Safety Analysis (SSA) should demonstrate that the software architecture and design, and implementation of system/software requirements is safe.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | RTCA DO-178C RTCA DO-254 SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P0 S4 00-970 P13 1.7 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1301 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | AC 20-115C | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1301 CS 23.1309 CS 25.1301 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1301 CS 27.1309 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 598 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | | |
|---------------------|--|--------------------------|
| | | CS 29.1301 CS 29.1309 |
| | | AMC 20-115C |

15.5.2 Software control structure and execution rates.

For each SSSE, the execution rates provided by the executive/control structure (considering priority assignments and interrupt design) shall be consistently obtainable and sufficient to safely provide the required performance for all SCFs supported.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The executive structure, or operating system, should be developed as safety/flight critical.

b. There is sufficient processing capacity to ensure that all SSE requirements are met despite priority task assignments and interrupts.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Rig and ground testing should demonstrate that allowable data latencies are not exceeded and that SSE requirements are met, while taking into account system loading, interrupts, and worst case timing scenarios.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | RTCA DO-178C | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.3.4 JSSG-2008 Appendix A: 3.3.1 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P0 S4 00-970 P13 1.7 |
| | establishes timing and control allocations based on | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1301 4671.1309 |
| | operational requirements; 3.3.4 addresses synchronization, deterministic execution and frame rate issues | | |
| FAA Doc: | AC 20-115C | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1301 CS 23.1309 CS 25.1301 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1301 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1301 CS 29.1309 AMC 20-115C |

15.5.3 Software architecture attributes and performance.

The software architecture and design, including the initialization, synchronization, timing, data flow, control flow, interrupt structure, and data structures for all SSSEs shall be safe and sufficient to support the required processing performance for all SCFs supported.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Sub-system/system integration, and identification of potential failure modes.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 599 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Safety Analysis (SSA) should demonstrate that the software architecture and design is safe, taking into account the initialization, synchronization, timing, data flow, control flow, interrupt structure, worst case execution time, stack analysis, and data structures for all SSSEs.

2. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate that software meets the required execution rates under worst case timing conditions.

| In | Information Sources | | |
|--------------|--|---|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | RTCA DO-178C SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2001: 3.3.3.1 provides guidance for establishing adequate computer hardware reserve capacity JSSG-2008: 3.3 JSSG-2008 Appendix A: 3.3.4 addresses synchronization, deterministic execution and frame rate issues; 3.1.7 gives overall architecture design guidance along with specific data latency discussions in Lessons Learned subparagraph I; 3.1.5.1 gives guidance on data latency issues | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P0 S4 00-970 P13 1.7 4671.1301 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | AC 20-115C | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1301 CS 23.1309 CS 25.1301 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1301 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1301 CS 29.1309 AMC 20-115C |

15.5.4 Dynamic operation.

The following shall be designed to safely operate under all dynamic conditions anticipated: mode inputs, operational flight modes, failure monitoring and detection techniques, failure management functions, redundancy management, voting schemes, self-checks, built-in-tests, safety interlock mechanizations, SSSE interfaces supporting SCFs, health status interfaces, reconfiguration capabilities, and switchover of command and control data links.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Transient effects of mode switching and condition changes.

b. Loss of control due to switchover of command and control data links.

c. Isolation of flight test features and software hooks for laboratory testing to prevent inadvertent activation in flight.

d. The techniques for assessing self-health.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 600 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

e. Integration, including sub-system/system integration and system/system integration for all normal and failure states under all dynamic conditions.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Rig and ground testing, including system/subsystem integration tests, and FMET at various levels, should demonstrate safe system operation taking into account all expected dynamic conditions and modes throughout the design envelope.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | RTCA DO-178C RTCA DO-254 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P0 S4 00-970 P13 1.7 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1301 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | AC 20-115C | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1301 CS 23.1309 CS 25.1301 CS 25.1309 CS 25.1701 CS 25.1707 CS 25.1709 CS 27.1301 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1301 CS 29.1309 AMC 20-115C |

15.5.5 Failure management and redundancy management.

The BIT and redundancy/failure management algorithms shall operate correctly.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The software coverage required for the BIT.

b. The required BIT success rate for the software covered.

c. Detection requirements for second and subsequent failures.

d. The failure and redundancy management algorithms successfully managing the failure condition enabling continued SOF.

e. Failures between systems or sub-systems should be detected and prevented.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Rig and ground testing should demonstrate that BIT and redundancy/failure management algorithms operate correctly.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | RTCA DO-178B | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | RTCA DO-178C JSSG-2008: 3.3.6.2 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P0 S4 00-970 P13 1.7 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 601 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|----------|--|-----------------------|---|
| | formation Sources JSSG-2008 Appendix A: 3.3.6.2 establishes guidance for CSCI failure detection and execution of BIT; 3.1.13 (Requirement Guidance a. 2.) defines types of BIT and a list of typical items tested; 3.1.12 addresses redundancy management | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1301 4671.1309 |
| | AC 20-115C | | |
| FAA Doc: | AC 20-115B | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1301 CS 23.1309 CS 25.1301 CS 25.1309 CS 25.1701 CS 25.1707 CS 25.1709 CS 27.1301 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1301 CS 29.1309 AMC 20-115C |

15.5.6 Digital system failures.

To preclude SOF issues, the SSSEs shall have adequate techniques for: self-check; failure monitoring; redundancy management; reconfiguration; voting; transient suppression; overflow protection; antialiasing; saturation interlock; memory protection; and means for preventing failure propagation.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Initially agreeing and documenting the architecture design along with the required protection and resilience attributes.

b. Use of a robust and approved design methodology.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Rig and ground testing should demonstrate that SSSEs have adequate techniques for: self-check; failure monitoring; redundancy management; reconfiguration; voting; transient suppression; overflow protection; anti-aliasing; saturation interlock; memory protection; and means for preventing failure propagation.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | RTCA DO-178C | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.3.6 JSSG-2008 Appendix A: | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P0 S4 00-970 P13 1.7 |
| | 3.1.11.9, 3.1.13, 3.1.17 and 3.3.2.1 provide guidance for integrity and BIT checks often implemented in software; | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1301 4671.1309 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 602 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| · · · · | | | |
|-----------|---|-----------------------|---|
| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
| | 3.1.12.1 gives detailed guidance for redundancy management; 3.3.6.2 provides guidance for robust integrated CSCI design | | |
| FAA Doc: | AC 20-115C | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1301 CS 23.1309 CS 25.1301 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1301 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1301 CS 29.1309 AMC 20-115C |

15.5.7 Restart and reset capabilities.

The SSSE designs shall have the necessary provisions to restart and/or reset the system safely while in flight.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The system hardware and software should be designed to work together to allow resets or restarts without significant effects.

b. The architecture should be structured to allow: the required interrupts; data synchronisation & resynchronisation; and system re-initialisation and recovery to a safe state.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate in flight SSSE reset and/or restart capability.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| Comm'l Doc: | RTCA DO-178C RTCA DO-254 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008 Appendix A: | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | |
| | 3.1.12.1 discusses redundancy | STANAG | 4671.1301 |
| | management support for | Reference: | 4671.1309 |
| | restart; 3.2.4.6 addresses | | |
| | software support for failure | | |
| | recovery; 3.1.17 provides | | |
| | guidance regarding failure | | |
| | propagation of computational | | |
| | failures; 3.3.2.2 discusses | | |
| | microprocessor timing and | | |
| | synchronization; 3.3.4 details | | |
| | issues surrounding | | |
| | synchronization rates; 4.1.13.2 | | |
| | provides lessons learned in | | |
| | verification of in-flight | | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 603 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---|
| | monitoring capability | | |
| FAA Doc: | AC 20-115C | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1301 CS 23.1309 CS 25.1301 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1301 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1301 CS 29.1309 AMC 20-115C |

15.5.8 Unsafe techniques.

SSSEs shall not utilize or include unsafe techniques or attributes (e.g., patches, de-activated code, lab test functionality).

Consideration should be given to:

a. Configuration control methods.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Rig testing should demonstrate code coverage.

2. Inspection of the delivered software should demonstrate that no unsafe techniques or attributes are included in software design.

3. Software release and change control records should detail all changes made to the software, and reviews should not find any details of unsafe techniques or attributes.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | RTCA DO-178B RTCA DO-254 SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.3.7 contains guidance on Software Change | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P0 S4 00-970 P13 1.7 |
| | Control | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1301 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | AC 20-115C Functional design integration of processing elements. | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1301 CS 23.1309 CS 25.1301 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1301 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1301 CS 29.1309 AMC 20-115C |

15.5.9 Resource capacity.

There shall be capacity and design margin for all processors, data channels (I/O, buses, etc.), and data storage devices.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 604 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

Consideration should be given to:

a. Establishing the minimum necessary processing capacity to complete all the SOF critical tasks without incurring any unsafe system behaviour. This should include data throughput, memory, bus, and I/O capacity using worst case scenarios;

b. Ensure adequate margin to allow for data latency, bus scheduling, re-start, etc.;

c. Agree spare capacity to allow for future system changes, upgrades, or additional functionality.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should include details of excess capacity and design margin for all processors, data channels (I/O, buses, etc.), and data storage devices.

| In | Information Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | RTCA DO-178C RTCA DO-254 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.3.5 JSSG-2008 Appendix A: 3.3.5 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P0 S4 00-970 P13 1.7 |
| | contains guidance regarding reserve capacity; 3.1.14.6 contains guidance for worst case throughput and I/O spare; 3.5.7 establishes performance parameters for spare capacity | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1301 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | and margin AC 20-115C | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1301 CS 23.1309 CS 25.1301 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1301 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1301 CS 29.1301 CS 29.1309 AMC 20-115C |

15.5.10 Safety Supporting Software Elements (SSSE) performance.

All SSSEs shall provide acceptable performance and safety.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring software requirements and interfaces are accurate, consistent, unambiguous, stated in quantifiable terms with tolerances, sufficiently detailed, and verifiable.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

- 1. System Safety Assessment (SSA) should demonstrate the safety of all SSSEs.
- 2. Rig, ground and flight testing should demonstrate the adequate performance of all functions of SSSEs.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | RTCA DO-178C | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P0 S4 00-970 P13 1.7 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 605 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|----------|-------------------|-----------------------|---|
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1301 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | AC 20-115C | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1301 CS 23.1309 CS 25.1301 CS 25.1309 CS 25.1701 CS 25.1707 CS 25.1709 CS 27.1301 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1301 CS 29.1309 AMC 20-115C |

15.6 SOFTWARE QUALIFICATION AND INSTALLATION.

15.6.1 Software test methodology.

Each SSSE shall be tested and integrated in a multi-level approach from the software component level to the integrated system level and there shall be adequate test coverage at each level of testing.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Test coverage at all levels. Levels include:
- Unit testing.
- Component testing.
- Computer Software Configuration Items (CSCI) testing on target hardware.
- CSCI integration testing.
- Subsystems testing.
- Systems integration testing, including operator-in-the-loop testing.
- SCF Thread Testing.
- Iron bird testing.
- Flying test bed testing.
- Aircraft level testing.
- Ground testing.
- Flight testing.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

a. A test plan should assess requirements coverage, failure condition testing (out-of-bounds, off-nominal and robustness) and regression testing, and should document the required testing at each appropriate level.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | RTCA DO-178C | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P0 S4 |
| | | Reference: | 00-970 P13 1.7 |
| | | STANAG | 4671.1301 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 606 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|----------|-------------------|-----------------------|--|
| | | Reference: | 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | AC 20-115C | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1301 CS 23.1309 CS 25.1309 CS 25.1309 CS 25.1701 CS 25.1707 CS 25.1709 CS 27.1301 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1301 CS 29.1309 |
| | | | AMC 20-115C |

15.6.2 Full qualification of software.

All SSSEs released for flight shall be fully qualified.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Requirements Documents (SRD) should include all software requirements, which should be traceable through to the software programme.

2. SRD should include SOF requirements.

3. System Development Plan (SDP) should document the rigorous and approved software development methodology, including but limited to.

i. Use of an approved software language, along with approved development and support tools.

ii. Use of formal reviews and audits of the software.

iii. Full and accurate documentation of the software - its development and testing.

iv. Robust configuration control.

v. Full test of each complete software release.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | IEEE STD 12207 provides industry best practice software development guidance. RTCA DO-178C RTCA DO-254 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.3.6 JSSG-2008: 3.1.14.6, 3.2.4.6, | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | |
| | 3.3.6+ and 3.3.7+ provide guidance regarding software design and development for safety critical systems ASC Engineering Technical Guide version 1.1 dated 11 October 2002 established an integrity program for software development | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1301 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | AC 20-115C | EASA CS | CS 23.1301 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 607 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | | |
|---------------------|------------|---------------------------|
| | Reference: | CS 23.1309 CS 25.1301 |
| | | CS 25.1309 CS 27.1301 |
| | | CS 27.1309 CS 29.1301 |
| | | CS 29.1309 AMC 20-115C |

15.6.3 Software build process.

The software build process for SSSEs shall be safe.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Integration of each element into the complete system.

b. Integration of the complete system into the air vehicle.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Development Plan (SDP) should document the established and proven methodology to be used to record all aspects of the Operational Flight Profile (OFP) life cycle, including but not limited to: requirements, design, build, integration, and testing.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | RTCA DO-178C | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.3.6 JSSG-2008 Appendix A: 3.3.6 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P0 S4 00-970 P13 1.7 |
| | addresses breaking down | STANAG | 4671.1301 |
| | complexsoftwareintomanageableCSCIs;3.2.2.2discussessubsystemintegration;4.3discussesverificationofintegratedprocessingcapabilities;3.3.1providesguidanceforintegratedarchitecturedesigndesign | Reference: | 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | AC 20-115C | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1301 CS 23.1309 CS 25.1301 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1301 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1301 CS 29.1309 AMC 20-115C |

15.6.4 Software load compatibility.

Adequate configuration management controls shall be in place to ensure proper/ functionally compatible software loading for the intended use on the aircraft .

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 608 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

Consideration should be given to:

a. A matrix of allowable hardware/software versions ; which should encompass all allowable inter and intra system loads;

b. A robust configuration control process , which should record and manage what software is loaded where;

c. Provision of a means to easily cross check a) and b) above;

d. Allowable versions of system software, which should be carefully controlled to prevent inappropriate versions or combinations being loaded onto the aircraft .

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Development Plans (SDP) or other appropriate artefact should document configuration management/change control processes, and should demonstrate that the processes maintain the proper/functionally compatible software loading for the intended use on the aircraft.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | RTCA DO-178C | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008 Appendix A: 3.1.16 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P0 S4 00-970 P13 1.7 |
| | provides guidance regarding OFP version control and integrity | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1301 4671.1309 |
| FAA Doc: | AC 20-115C | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1301 CS 23.1309 CS 25.1301 CS 25.1309 CS 27.1301 CS 27.1309 CS 29.1301 CS 29.1301 CS 29.1309 AMC 20-115C |

15.6.5 Software load process.

The software loading and load verification processes for all software shall be safe and correct.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The SOF OFP should be fully documented and produced using a robust and approved methodology;

b. A robust configuration control process should be employed to record and manage which OFP is loaded where;

c. A comprehensive test plan for the OFP is developed and followed leading to successful rig and aircraft ground tests before flight. This should encompass use of approved field loading devices.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

Edition Number: 3.1

1. Technical publications should detail software loading and load verification processes for all software.

2. Rig and ground testing should demonstrate that software can be loaded safely and correctly when following the defined loading procedure.

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|--|
| | |
| | |

Status: endorsed

Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018

Page 609/675

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | RTCA DO-178C | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2008: 3.1.16 JSSG-2008 Appendix A: 3.3.2 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P0 S4 00-970 P13 1.7 |
| | gives guidance for single point | STANAG | 4671.1301 |
| | OFP load and verification; | Reference: | 4671.1309 |
| | 3.3.7 addresses software change control; 3.3.8 | | |
| | addresses software | | |
| | certification of hardware | | |
| | compatibility; 3.1.14.6 | | |
| | discusses system | | |
| | invulnerability to software | | |
| | errors | | |
| FAA Doc: | AC 20-115C | EASA CS | CS 23.1301 |
| | | Reference: | CS 23.1309 |
| | | | CS 25.1301 |
| | | | CS 25.1309 |
| | | | CS 27.1301 |
| | | | CS 27.1309 |
| | | | CS 29.1301 |
| | | | CS 29.1309 |
| | | | AMC 20-115C |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 610 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

SECTION 16 - MAINTENANCE

This section includes criteria for servicing and maintenance activity and oversight. CERTIFICATION CRITERIA

16.1. MAINTENANCE MANUALS/CHECKLISTS.

16.1.1 Servicing instructions.

Servicing instructions shall be provided for all systems that require servicing.

Consideration should be given to:

a. All aircraft systems, including fuel, engine oil, hydraulic systems, landing gear struts, tyres, oxygen, escape system, etc.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Instructions for Continued Airworthiness should include requirements for servicing of aircraft systems, and the procedures for carrying out such servicing.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2000: 3.6.1, 3.6.2 JSSG-2001: 3.1.5 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00 07 01 1 1.1.20 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1501 4671.1529 4671 Appendix G |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.1501, 23.1529, 25.1501, 25.1503- 25.1533, 25.1529, 25.1541, 25.1543, 25.1557, 25.1563 14CFR reference Part 23, Appendix G and Part 25, Appendix H, Instructions for Continued Airworthiness | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1501, CS 23.1523 CS 23 Appendix G CS 25.1501 CS 25.1529 CS 25 Appendix H CS 27.1501 CS 27.1529 CS 27 Appendix A CS 29.1501 CS 29.1529 CS 29 Appendix A |

16.1.2 Cautions and warnings.

Cautions and warnings shall be included in maintenance manuals, aircrew checklists, and ground crew checklists.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring the cautions and warnings and associated technical information maximises the safety of personnel by providing clear and unambiguous instructions for preventative or remedial actions.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Instructions for Continued Airworthiness should include clear and unambiguous warnings and cautions. All associated technical information should inform personnel of the preventative or remedial action that should be taken.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-----------------|------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | 14CFR: 23.1501, 23.1529, | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 4.4.25 |
| | 25.1501, 25.1503-25.1533, | Reference: | |
| | 25.1529, 25.1541, 25.1543, 25.1557, 25.1563 | STANAG | 4671.1501 |
| | 23.1337, 23.1303 | Reference: | 4671.1529 |
| | | | 4671.1541 |
| | | | 4671.1581 |
| | | | 4671 Appendix G |
| FAA Doc: | 23.1501, 23.1529, 25.1501, | EASA CS | CS 23.1501 |
| | 25.1503-25.1533, 25.1529, | Reference: | CS 23.1529 |
| | 25.1541, 25.1543, 25.1557, 25.1563 | | CS 23.1581 |
| | 20.1000 | | CS 23.1589 |
| | | | CS 23 Appendix G |
| | | | CS 25.1501 |
| | | | CS 25.1529 |
| | | | CS 25.1581 |
| | | | CS 25.1591 |
| | | | CS 25 Appendix H |
| | | | CS 27.1501 |
| | | | CS 27.1529 |
| | | | CS 27.1581 |
| | | | CS 27.1589 |
| | | | CS 27 Appendix A |
| | | | CS 29.1501 |
| | | | CS 29.1529 |
| | | | CS 29.1581 |
| | | | CS 29.1589 |
| | | | CS 29 Appendix A |

16.1.3 Maintenance checklists.

Maintenance checklists shall be available for critical maintenance tasks.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Procedures for replenishments such as fuel and oxygen;
- b. Towing procedures including restrictions;
- c. Jacking and trestling procedures;
- d. Engine operation during maintenance (engine running guards and tie down etc.);
- e. Flight servicing.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Instructions for Continued Airworthiness should include checklists for all critical maintenance tasks.

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|--|
| | |
| | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 612 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2000: 3.6.1, 3.6.2 JSSG-2001: 3.1.5 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | |
| | 14CFR:23.1501,23.1529,25.1501,25.1503-25.1533,25.1529,25.1541,25.1543,25.1557,25.1563 | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1529 4671 Appendix G |
| FAA Doc: | 23.1501, 23.1529, 25.1501, 25.1503-25.1533, 25.1529, 25.1541, 25.1543, 25.1557, 25.1563 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1529 CS 23 Appendix G CS 25.1529 CS 25 Appendix H CS 27.1529 CS 27 Appendix A CS 29.1529 CS 29 Appendix A |

16.1.4 Support equipment.

Support equipment shall not adversely affect the safety of the aircraft.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Equipment or vehicles which are directly connected to the aircraft vehicle or used in close proximity to

- it, including but not limited to:
- i. Towing arms
- ii. Towing vehicles
- iii. Ground power sets (electrical, hydraulic, or pneumatic)
- iv. Special to type test equipment or rigs
- v. Replenishment equipment
- vi. Weapon loading vehicles and equipment
- vii. Cargo handling vehicles and equipment

b. Authorisation of all support equipment required to support the air vehicle with any limitations documented;

c. Clear identification of any maintenance requirements for the support equipment and appropriate record keeping;

d. Abnormal operation of special to type test equipment (STTE).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Instructions for Continued Airworthiness should include details of all support equipment approved for use on the aircraft, including any procedures and limitations associated with their use.

2. Rig and ground testing should demonstrate that all approved support equipment can be used without adversely affecting the safety of the aircraft, when operated in accordance with defined procedures and limitations.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|--------|---------------------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2000: 3.6.1, 3.6.2 JSSG-2001: 3.1.5 | | 00-970 P1 4.4.10 00-970 P1 6.11.21 |
| | | STANAG | 4671.635 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 613 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|-----------|--|-----------------------|--|
| | | Reference: | 4671.1529 4671 Appendix G |
| FAA Doc: | 23.1501, 23.1529, 25.1501, 25.1503-25.1533, 25.1529, 25.1541, 25.1543, 25.1557, 25.1563 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1529 CS 23 Appendix G CS 25.1529 CS 25 Appendix H CS 27.1529 CS 27 Appendix A CS 29.1529 CS 29 Appendix A |

16.1.5 Removal procedures.

Maintenance manuals shall incorporate procedures for system/component removal.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Specifying the tooling required for component removal.

b. Including any additional procedures to take account of any removable equipment that might be fitted to the aircraft (weapons, role equipment, etc.) that may need to be removed prior to the removal of a component.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Aircraft Maintenance Manuals (AMM) should include procedures for system/component removal.

2. Analysis should demonstrate that the AMM includes all necessary procedures for the safe installation and removal of systems and components, including but not limited to all procedures which support the safety of the aircraft as documented in System Safety Assessments (SSA).

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2000: 3.6.1, 3.6.2 | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | JSSG-2001: 3.1.5 | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | 4671.1529 |
| | | Reference: | 4671 Appendix G |
| | | | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference Part 23, | EASA CS | CS 23.1529 |
| | Appendix G and 14CFR | Reference: | CS 23 Appendix G |
| | reference Part 25, Appendix H | | CS 25.1529 |
| | | | CS 25 Appendix H |
| | | | CS 27.1529 |
| | | | CS 27 Appendix A |
| | | | CS 29.1529 |
| | | | CS 29 Appendix A |

16.1.6 Operational testing.

Maintenance manuals shall include procedures for testing of normal/emergency system operation after removal/replacements of parts.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 614 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

Consideration should be given to:

a. Degree of testing required, dependent on component replaced.

b. Testing required after disturbing systems, e.g. as a means of accessing other areas of the aircraft .

c. Any inter-system testing that may be required.

d. Any requirement for testing after changing a software load.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Aircraft Maintenance Manuals (AMM) should include procedures for operational testing of normal/emergency systems after removal/replacements of parts.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2000: 3.6.1, 3.6.2 JSSG-2001: 3.1.5 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.10.29 |
| | | STANAG | 4671.1529 |
| | | Reference: | 4671 Appendix G |
| | | | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference Part 23 | EASA CS | CS 23.1529 |
| | Appendix G and 14CFR | Reference: | CS 23 Appendix G |
| | reference Part 25, Appendix H | | CS 25.1529 |
| | | | CS 25 Appendix H |
| | | | CS 27.1529 |
| | | | CS 27 Appendix A |
| | | | CS 29.1529 |
| | | | CS 29 Appendix A |

16.1.7 Troubleshooting procedures.

Maintenance manuals shall provide adequate troubleshooting procedures to diagnose and allow correction of expected system/component failures.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring any special to type test equipment or tools required are also listed.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Aircraft Maintenance Manuals (AMM) should include troubleshooting procedures to diagnose and allow correction of expected system/component failures.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2000: 3.6.1, 3.6.2 | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | JSSG-2001: 3.1.5 | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | 2445 |
| | | Reference: | 4671.1501 |
| | | | 4671.1529 |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 615 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|----------|---|-----------------------|--|
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference Part 23, Appendix G and 14CFR reference Part 25, Appendix H | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1529 CS 23 Appendix G CS 25.1529 CS 25 Appendix H CS 27.1529 CS 27 Appendix A CS 29.1529 CS 29 Appendix A |

16.1.8 Non-destructive inspections.

In-service, non-destructive inspection techniques, inspection intervals, damage limits and detailed repair procedures shall be included in appropriate technical publications.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Use of non-destructive testing methods, such as eddy-current, magnetic-particle, liquid penetrant, radiographic, and ultrasonic testing.

b. Use of other non-destructive inspection methods such as visual and tactile inspection.

c. Ensuring that inspection intervals and damage limits are appropriate to the type of inspection carried out.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Instructions for Continued Airworthiness should include non-destructive inspection techniques, inspection intervals, damage limits and detailed repair procedures.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | 4671 G.3 |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS23 G23.3 |
| | | Reference: | CS25 H25.3 |
| | | | CS27 A27.3 |
| | | | CS29 A29.3 |

16.2. INSPECTION REQUIREMENTS.

16.2.1 Special inspection procedures.

Special inspection procedures shall be available for unusual or specified conditions.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Exceeding operating limits;
- b. Severe vibration;
- c. Engine stall;
- d. Foreign object damage to engine or structure;
- e. Excessive loss of oil;
- f. Conditions requiring oil sampling and analysis;

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 616 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

g. Severe braking action, hard landing, and running off runway;

h. Air vehicle subject to excessive "g" loads or manoeuvres outside the specified flight envelope;

i. Emergency procedures implemented;

j. Dropped objects or parts.

k. Operation in, or in the vicinity of, a volcanic ash cloud.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Instructions for Continued Airworthiness should include inspection procedures for all unusual or specified conditions as listed in this criterion.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-PRF-5096: 3.2.2.3.1 gives guidance regarding special | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 1.1.29 |
| | inspections after a specific occurrence. JSSG-2000: 3.6.1, 3.6.2 JSSG-2001: 3.1.5 | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1501 4671.1529 4671 Appendix G |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1501 CS 23.1529 CS 23 Appendix G CS 25.1501 CS 25.1529 CS 25 Appendix H CS 27.1501 CS 27.1529 CS 27 Appendix A CS 29.1501 CS 29.1529 CS 29 Appendix A |

16.2.2 Life-limited parts.

Life-limited items and replacement intervals shall be identified using relevant test and development data.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring that all life-limited items have been identified;

b. Ensuring that appropriate lives have been allocated to the item (FMECA and R&M predictions);

c. Ensuring that items are fitted with elapsed time indicators, magnetic chip detectors etc. where possible to record operational usage;

d. Defining a programme of in-service monitoring to ensure that the assigned predicted life is appropriate (not too long or too short).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Instructions for Continued Airworthiness should include details of life-limited items and replacement intervals, which should be based on relevant test and development data.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | |
|-------------|-------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 617 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-PRF-5096: 3.2.2.3.1 gives guidance regarding special | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 1.1.29 |
| | inspections after a specific occurrence. | STANAG | 4671.1501 |
| | JSSG-2000: 3.6.1, 3.6.2 | Reference: | 4671.1529 |
| | JSSG-2001: 3.1.5 | | 4671 Appendix G |
| FAA Doc: | 23.1501, 23.1529 | EASA CS | CS 23.1501 |
| | | Reference: | CS 23.1529 |
| | | | CS 23 Appendix G |
| | | | CS 25.1501 CS 25.1529 |
| | | | CS 25 Appendix H |
| | | | CS 27.1501 |
| | | | CS 27.1529 |
| | | | CS 27 Appendix A |
| | | | CS 29.1501 |
| | | | CS 29.1529 |
| | | | CS 29 Appendix A |

16.2.3 Inspections and intervals.

All required inspection intervals shall be identified using relevant operational and development (i.e. test) data.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring the inspection periodicity has been justified;

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Instructions for Continued Airworthiness (recognised through the Maintenance Planning Documents) should include details of life-limited items and replacement intervals, which should be based on relevant test and development data.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2000: 3.6.1, 3.6.2 JSSG-2001: 3.1.5 MIL-PRF-5096: 3.2.1.1.1 gives guidance regarding frequency | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 Pt 1 3.2.17 00-970 Pt 1 3.2.18 00-970 Pt 1 3.2.19 00-970 Pt 1 4.4.6 |
| | of maintenance items | STANAG Reference: | 4671.1529 4671 Appendix G |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1529 CS 23 Appendix G CS 25.1529 CS 25 Appendix H CS 27.1529 CS 27 Appendix A CS 29.1529 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 618 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|------------------|
| | CS 29 Appendix A |

16.3 INSTRUCTIONS FOR CONTINUED AIRWORTHINESS

16.3.1 Preparation of Instructions for Continued Airworthiness Instructions for Continued Airworthiness shall be prepared.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring that Instructions for Continued Airworthiness contain a section titled Airworthiness Limitations that is segregated and clearly distinguishable from the rest of the document.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Instructions for Continued Airworthiness should include all relevant information, drawings and processes for maintaining the continued airworthiness of the aircraft.

| Int | ormation Sources | | |
|--------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | 4671.1529 |
| | | Reference: | 4671 Appendix G |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.1529 |
| | | Reference: | CS 23 Appendix G |
| | | | CS 25.1529 |
| | | | CS 25 Appendix H |
| | | | CS 27.1529 |
| | | | CS 27 Appendix A |
| | | | CS 29.1529 |
| | | | CS 29 Appendix A |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 619 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

SECTION 17 - ARMAMENT/STORES INTEGRATION

This section covers the installation, integration, interface and operation of the aircraft armament system, including guns/rockets, stores and in particular laser systems.

Included within the scope of this section are:

- Fixed and free guns/rockets.
- Stores A store is any device intended for internal or external carriage, mounted on aircraft suspension and release equipment, which may or may not be intended to be for in-flight separation from the aircraft. Stores include missiles, rockets, bombs, nuclear weapons, mines, fuel and spray tanks (permanently attached and/or detachable), torpedoes, sonobuoys, dispensers, pods (refuelling, thrust augmentation, gun, electronic countermeasures, etc.), targets, decoys, chaff and flares, and suspension equipment.
- Laser systems fitted to the aircraft.
- aircraft and personnel protection from third party laser systems.
- Specific safety interlock systems to prevent inadvertent operation of the aircraft armament system.

Where necessary specific aircraft system integration criteria are included. However, more general system integration issues (HF, EMC, Electrical etc.) are covered elsewhere in the handbook.

When designing store equipment, the general air worthiness criteria for aircraft equipment and systems, as well as the overall aircraft flight control and flight performance should always be considered. Some verify criteria in this chapter are supported in the text by examples of specific considerations. These examples are by no means to be considered as exhaustive. All criteria should at least be verified for:

- All normal and emergency (failure) conditions.
- All configurations to be certified, including those with other stores, and including all download configurations.

Where an information source is highlighted, in general it is implied that any higher level requirement on the same subject stated in the parent paragraphs is applicable too, as well as each lower level requirement contained in any subparagraph. The links to references are by no means to be considered as exhaustive.

TYPICAL CERTIFICATION SOURCE DATA

- 1. User requirements and design requirements and validation results
- 2. Design studies and analyses
- 3. Design, installation, and operational characteristics
- 4. Component and functional level SOF, qualification and certification tests
- 5. Electromagnetic environmental effects
- 6. Plume ingestion/propulsion compatibility tests and plume/gun gas impingement test.
- 7. Failure modes, effects, and criticality analysis/testing (FMECA/FMET)
- 8. Hazard analysis and classification including explosive atmosphere analysis/test
- 9. Safety certification program
- 10. Computational, theoretical and/or semi-empirical prediction methods
- 11. Configuration: aerodynamic design and component location
- 12. Wind tunnel test results and correction methods
- 13. Mathematical representation of system dynamics

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 620 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

14. Loads analysis, wind tunnel and flight test results

15. Flutter, mechanical stability, aeroelastic, aeroservoelastic and modal analyses, wind tunnel and flight test results

- 16. Performance analysis
- 17. Environmental compatibility analysis and tests including gun fire vibration analysis/test
- 18. Interface control documents
- 19. Store separation models, wind tunnel and flight test results
- 20. Flight manual
- 21. Flight test plan and test results

22. MIL-HDBK-1763, Aircraft/Stores Compatibility: Systems Engineering Data Requirements and Test Procedures

- 23. MIL-HDBK-244, Guide to Aircraft/Stores Compatibility
- 24. MIL-STD-1760, Aircraft/Store Electrical Interconnection System

25. MIL-A-8591, Airborne Stores, Suspension Equipment and Aircraft-Store Interface (Carriage Phase);

General Design Criteria for

- 26. SEEK EAGLE engineering data
- 27. American National Standard for Safe Use of Lasers (ANSI Z136.1)
- 28. Nuclear Certification Impact Statement (NCIS)
- 29. Aircraft monitor and control (AMAC) and surveillance tests
- 30. Nuclear safety analysis report (NSAR)
- 31. Mechanical compatibility data
- 32. Electrical compatibility data
- 33. Certification requirements plan (CRP)
- 34. Operational flight program (OFP) source code
- 35. Systems integration lab data/results
- 36. Cooling analysis and ground/flight test results
- 37. MIL-STD-1530 Aircraft Structural Integrity Program
- 38. ASC/EN Stores Integration practice
- 39. Human factors to consider
- 40. Crew egress paths to consider
- 41. Aircraft weight and balance
- 42. Environmental analysis and test results
- 43. Store drawings including store mass properties (STAMP sheet)
- 44. Safety assessment report
- 45. Airworthiness qualification plan (AQP) (Army unique)
- 46. Airworthiness qualification specification (AQS) (Army unique)

CERTIFICATION CRITERIA

17.1 GUN/ROCKET INTEGRATION AND INTERFACE.

17.1.1 Gun/rocket induced environments.

The installation and integration of guns/rockets shall not adversely affect the operational function or safety of the aircraft. This includes the environment induced by operation of the gun/rocket with respect to

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 621 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

muzzle blast and over-pressure, recoil, vibro-acoustics, cooling, egress, human factors and structural loads.

Consideration of the following shall be given to the location of such installations:

a. The effect of flammable gas as a hazard to the aircraft.

b. The effects of gun firing directly on the engines, structures and other systems and indirectly, by changes to rotorcraft or equipment permanent magnetism, on compass detector units.

c. The effects of the installation on the aircraft aerodynamics and the safety of the aircraft, crew or maintenance personnel.

d. The installation purging system.

e. The temperature conditions in the gun and ammunition compartments shall permit the aircraft to utilise its full flight envelope without restrictions caused by exceeding the max/min. permissible temperatures of the gun and ammunition.

f. With the exception of the designed openings in the installation, the gun and ammunition compartments shall be sealed to prevent the ingress of contaminants, particularly when the rotorcraft is on or near the ground.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Verification is accomplished by initial installation testing, qualification testing, physical fit checks, static ground fire testing, safety analysis, safe separation testing, and live fire testing.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|---------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-HDBK-516B 17.1.1 MIL-HDBK-244: para 5.1.6, 5.1.9.1, 5.1.9.2, 5.1.9.2.4, 5.1.10, 5.2.5, 5.3, 5.3.12.2 (unverified - Expanded 516 and NL516) MIL-HDBK-1763: para 4.1.4. 2, 4.1.4.7, 4.1.4.10; test 160, 270 (unverifed- NL516) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P13 3.1.5* 00-970 P13 3.2.3* 00-970 P13 3.2.18* 00-970 P13 3.2.36 00-970 P13 3.3.1 00-970 P13 3.3.2* 00-970 P13 3.3.7 00-970 P13 3.3.8* 00-970 P7 L709 2.2.2 00-970 P7 L709 8 *(unverified- NL516) |
| FAA Doc: | | STANAG Reference: EASA CS | AIR 2004E (unverified- FR) REACH Process (unverified- FR) |
| TAA DOC. | | Reference: | |

17.1.2 Gas and plume hazards.

Gun/rocket gases and plume shall not adversely affect the safety of the aircraft, aircrew and maintenance personnel.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 622 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

Consideration should be given to:

a. SOF hazards, including:

i. The location of gun/rockets to avoid high temperature efflux impinging on the engine or other stores and release systems.

ii. The location of gun/rockets to avoid contamination of the engine or other stores and release systems.

iii. The muzzle velocity, firing rate and type of propellant used in the gun ammunition or rocket.

iv. The ability of the engine to tolerate ingested gases to suitable limits to be agreed and verified.

v. The effect of metallic particles in the plume on aircraft sensors and stores and release systems.

vi. The design of the engine intake.

vii. Tolerable ingestion of gases or pressure waves caused by gun/rocket operation.

b. Impingement on the aircraft structure/skin and/or stores, including:

i. Unacceptable degradation leading to a reduction in structural integrity

ii. Undesirable aerodynamic characteristics

iii. The location of stores to avoid efflux from gun/rocket gases.

iv. The ventilation/purge system shall not allow the flammable gas concentration to exceed suitable limits to be agreed and verified

c. A ventilation / purge system, to prevent the accumulation of flammable gas to an explosive level, including:

i. Gun gases purging flow shall be established before firing commences.

ii. Ventilation of any tank in which empty cases are collected.

iii. Purging of gases shall happen as close to the source as possible.

iv. The ventilation/purge system shall not allow the flammable gas concentration to exceed suitable limits to be agreed and verified.

v. The ability to withstand without damage any localised ignition that may occur below the suitable limits to be agreed and verified.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Verification is accomplished by initial installation testing, qualification testing, physical fit checks, static ground fire testing, safety analysis, safe separation testing, and live fire testing.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-HDBK-516B 17.1.2, 17.1.3, 17.1.4 MIL-HDBK-244A: para 5.1.9, 5.1.10.9 (unverified NL516) MIL-HDBK-1763 4.1.4.7.2, 4.1.4.7, 4.1.4.10; test 160, 270 (unverified NL516) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 6.9.25* 00-970 P13 3.1.5* 00-970 P13 3.2.3* 00-970 P13 3.2.18 00-970 P13 3.2.19 00-970 P13 3.2.36* 00-970 P13 3.2.37 00-970 P13 3.3.2* 00-970 P13 3.3.2* 00-970 P13 3.3.8* 00-970 P13 3.3.12* 00-970 P13 L5 5.1 00-970 P13 L5 5.1 00-970 P13 S4 L6 00-970 P13 S4 L7 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 623 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|----------|-------------------|------------|----------------------|
| | | | 00-970 P1 L710/4 |
| | | | 00-970 P7 L709 8 |
| | | | 00-970 P9 UK587c |
| | | | 00-970 P11 S4.5 |
| | | | |
| | | | *(unverified- NL516) |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

17.1.2.1 Sensor hazards.

Munitions gases and plume shall not create an unsafe condition by obscuring primary sensor or weapons designation systems (e.g., laser, radar, etc.) when employing munitions.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Effects on weapons designation system caused by blast effects, debris and weapons rate of fire.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Verification is accomplished by initial installation testing, qualification testing, physical fit checks, static ground fire testing, safety analysis, safe separation test certification, and live fire testing.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-HDBK-244 | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P13 3 |
| | | Reference: | 00-970 P9 UK587c |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

17.1.3 Merged with 17.1.2

17.1.4 Merged with 17.1.2

17.2 STORES INTEGRATION.

17.2.1 Store clearance.

The installation, integration and interface of the aircraft and stores, shall not create unsafe conditions during ground and flight operations, including the position of store stations and creation of an unsafe environment for maintenance personnel.

The following considerations are to be made when designing stores installations and the location of store installations:

a. Clearance between stores and surroundings.

b. Store loading and unloading procedures.

c. The armament system shall be such that no single point failure shall adversely affect the safety of the aircraft. The use of differently sized/oriented connectors to avoid misconnection.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 624 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

d. The installation of stores shall allow for their safe jettison, or deactivation if required, in order to protect the aircraft or for operational/safety reasons following a malfunction.

e. The possibility to release mechanically any store and/or its jettisonable carrier without entering the cockpit (Particularly for Rotorcraft);

f. The store shall not become armed until it has successfully separated from its release unit or launcher.

g. The environment induced by the stores on the aircraft, and by the aircraft on the store during carriage and launch/separation/jettison.

h. The effect of high temperature efflux from engines, rockets or missiles impinging on the store or its release system.

i. Contamination of stores and release systems by engine exhaust, fuels, oil or any substance which could adversely affect the armament system.

j. Unacceptable aircraft flying qualities result from the release of stores.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Stores/aircraft interface is verified by test.

2. Stores loading/unloading procedures are verified by demonstration using the stores loading manual.

| Inf | ormation Sources | | |
|-----------------------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| Comm'I Doc: DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-HDBK-516B 6.3.1.5, 17.2.1, 17.2.2, 17.2.3 MIL-HDBK-1763 para 4.1.4.6, 4.1.4.7, 4.1.4.8, 4.1.4.10, 4.1.4.11; test 140, 150, 160, 220 (unverified NL516) MIL-HDBK-244A para 5.1.8.1, 5.1.8.2, 5.1.8.3, 5.1.8.4, 5.1.10.3, 5.1.10.4, 5.1.10.5.2, 5.1.10.9, 5.3.12.1, 5.3.12.2, 5.3.12.3, 5.4.3 (unverified NL516) MIL-STD-1289D MIL-STD-1289D MIL-STD-464 MIL-HDBK-1760A MIL-STD-1760D MIL-STD-27733 MIL-STD-27733 MIL-STD-27733 MIL-STD-8591 JSSG-2001: 3.3, 10.1.1, 3.4.2.1.5, and 3.4.2.2 for the testing methodology. JSSG-2001A 4.1.1.2, 4.4.1.1, 4.4.1.2, App C 4.4, 4.5 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 1.1.33* 00-970 P1 1.1.34* 00-970 P1 2.6.7 00-970 P1 2.7.8 00-970 P1 2.23.5* 00-970 P1 2.23* 00-970 P1 2.24.2 00-970 P1 2.24.18 00-970 P1 3.3.1.4 00-970 P13 3.1.4 00-970 P13 3.2.1 00-970 P13 3.2.6 00-970 P13 3.2.8 00-970 P13 3.2.8 00-970 P13 3.2.10 00-970 P13 3.2.10 00-970 P13 3.2.17 00-970 P13 3.2.18 00-970 P13 3.2.18 00-970 P13 3.2.18 00-970 P13 3.2.19 00-970 P13 3.2.20 00-970 P13 3.2.34* 00-970 P13 3.2.34* 00-970 P7 L100 16 *(unverified- NL516) |
| | ·····-,··-,···,··· | | 3109 3230 |
| | | Reference: | 3230 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 625 /675 |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
|---|------------------|----------------------|

17.2.2 Safe separation.

Both internal and external stores shall separate safely from the aircraft throughout the aircraft and store launch/release/jettison flight envelope. The successful separation of stores shall not impart any adverse flying qualities (including excessive pilot workload) or result in any dangerous flight conditions.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Release of internally carried stores shall not be possible until the bomb bay doors are fully open.

b. Indication to the crew in the event of a failed separation (hangfire or misfire).

c. An appropriate release mechanism shall be used (i.e. Ejector Release Unit (ERU) or an Electromagnetic Release Unit (EMRU)).

d. Operation sequencing (i.e. undercarriage travel)

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Safe separation is verified by Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) models, wind-tunnel testing, safe separation flight testing.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-1289 MIL-HDBK-516B 6.3.1.5, 17.2.1, 17.2.2, 17.2.3 MIL-HDBK-244A: para 5.1.1.2, 5.1.9, 5.3.2, 5.3.6, 5.3.7, 5.3.10 (unverified NL516) MIL-HDBK-1763: para 4.1.4.5, 4.1.4.7, 4.1.4.10; test 110, 140, 160, 270, 280 (unverified NL516) JSSG-2000A 6.3.25 JSSG-2001A 4.1.1.2, 4.4.1.1, 4.4.1.2, App C 4.4, 4.5 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 2.6.7 00-970 P1 2.7.8 00-970 P1 2.24.2 00-970 P1 2.24.17 00-970 P1 2.24.18 00-970 P13 3.1.5* 00-970 P13 3.2.11* 00-970 P13 3.2.12* 00-970 P13 3.2.17* 00-970 P13 3.2.24* 00-970 P13 3.2.24* 00-970 P13 3.2.24* 00-970 P13 3.2.31* 00-970 P13 3.2.34* 00-970 P13 3.3.13* 00-970 P13 3.2.1 00-970 P13 3.2.17 00-970 P13 3.2.17 00-970 P7 L710 5.2 |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 626 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

17.2.3 Store, suspension and release equipment structural integrity.

The aircraft, store and release equipment installations shall meet the strength and stiffness requirements for operating safely within the aircraft/Store carriage flight envelope.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Taxiing with stores.
- b. Carriage.
- c. Operation.
- d. Release.
- e. Landing with stores.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Store and suspension/release equipment structural integrity are verified by Finite Element Models (FEM), Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) models, wind-tunnel testing, captive carriage flight testing and ejection/jettison testing.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-HDBK-516B 17.2.3 | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 2.23 |
| | MIL-HDBK-244A: para 5.1.6, | Reference: | 00-970 P13 3.1.5 |
| | 5.1.10.2, 5.1.10.3, 5.1.10.4, | | 00-970 P13 3.3.1* |
| | 5.1.10.6, 5.2.5, 5.3.10, 5.3.11, | | 00-970 P7 L710 3.1 |
| | 5.3.16 (unverified NL516) | | |
| | MIL-HDBK-1763: para 4.1.4.2, | | *(unverified- NL516) |
| | 4.1.4.4; test 110, 120, 130, | STANAG | |
| | 140, 160, 200, 210, 250, 260, | Reference: | |
| | (unverified NL516), Test 131 | | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

17.2.4 Electrical interfaces.

Electrical interfaces in the armament system shall not cause unsafe stores operation, including uncommanded jettison, or unsafe interactions with the aircraft in all possible stores configurations.

Consideration should be given to:

a. It shall not be possible to make incorrect mechanical or electrical connections.

b. Failure to make any connection properly shall not create a situation where damage to the aircraft can occur during carriage or after release of the store.

c. Static lines, umbilicals, electrical fuse arming leads, fuse arming cable assemblies, shear wire assemblies and lanyards shall not become crossed or entangled with the lines of other stores.

d. The use of a Built-In-Test (BIT) facility.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Aircraft electrical/logical interfaces are verified by System Integration Laboratory test, EMI/EMC test, Hazards of Electromagnetic Radiation to Ordnance (HERO) test and flight test.

| In | formation Sources | |
|-------------|-------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 627 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Ini | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-HDBK-516B 17.2.4 | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P13 3.1.4* |
| | MIL-HDBK-244A para 5.1.3, | Reference: | 00-970 P13 3.1.5* |
| | 5.1.4, 5.2.2, 5.2.3, 5.2.4, 5.3.9, | | 00-970 P13 3.2.1, |
| | 5.4.2 (unverified NL516) | | 00-970 P13 3.2.2* |
| | MIL-HDBK-1760 | | 00-970 P13 3.2.4, |
| | MIL-HDBK-1763: para | | 00-970 P13 3.2.5* |
| | 4.1.4.6.2.g (unverified NL516) | | 00-970 P13 3.2.6* |
| | | | 00-970 P13 3.2.7* |
| | | | 00-970 P13 3.2.23 |
| | | | 00-970 P13 3.2.24 |
| | | | 00-970 P13 3.2.35* |
| | | | 00-970 P13 3.4.4* |
| | | | 00-970 P13 3.4.5* |
| | | | 00-970 P9 UK1351c |
| | | | |
| | | | *(unverified- NL516) |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

17.2.5 Merged with 17.2.1

17.2.6 Safe store operations.

The combination of stores fitted to the aircraft shall not reduce safety by adversely affecting flight control.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. The most extreme asymmetric loading of stores (including symmetric thrust);
- b. Store hang-up;
- c. Sequencing of stores release and jettison;
- d. Adverse effects on stability, handling and rolling characteristics.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Verification is accomplished by physical fit and function, loading/installation procedures, aeroelastic ground vibration test, wind tunnel tests, effects of aircraft on captive stores/suspension equipment, effects of stores/suspension equipment on aircraft, environmental vibration tests, aeroacoustic test, HERO test, EMI/EMC, ballistic tables, temperature extremes and thermal test, and SIL.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-HDBK-1763 para 4.1.4.3; test 140, 230, 250 (unverified NL516) MIL-HDBK-244A para 5.1.1.1.2, 5.1.10.6.7, 5.1.10.8, 5.3.7 (unverified NL516) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 2.6.7 00-970 P1 2.7.8 00-970 P1 2.9.7 00-970 P1 2.13.7 00-970 P1 2.14.9 00-970 P1 2.16.12 00-970 P1 2.20.11 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 628 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|----------|--------------------------------|------------|----------------------|
| | MIL-STD-1289D | | 00-970 P1 2.24.12* |
| | JSSG-2001: 3.3, 10.1.1, | | 00-970 P1 2.24.17* |
| | 3.4.2.1.5, and 3.4.2.2 for the | | 00-970 P1 2.24.18* |
| | testing methodology. | | 00-970 P1 6.9.22* |
| | MIL-STD-464 | | 00-970 P13 3.1.5* |
| | MIL-HDBK-1760A | | 00-970 P13 3.2.11* |
| | MIL-STD-1760D | | 00-970 P13 3.2.20* |
| | MIL-STD-331 | | 00-970 P13 3.2.3* |
| | | | 00-970 P13 3.3.1* |
| | | | *(unverified- NL516) |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

17.2.7 Store configurations.

All cleared stores configurations for the aircraft shall be documented in the aircraft document set.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Safe release envelopes and flight limits
- b. Proper loading procedures
- c. Appropriate store checklists
- d. Correct employment data for operational employment planning.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Validation/Verification of technical publications (e.g., flight manuals, maintenance manuals) accomplished by maintainers to ensure proper loading/unloading procedures.

2. Round testing to verify all store configurations.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|---|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-HDBK-1763 para 4.4.2.3; test 100, 240 9unverified NL516) MIL-HDBK-244A para 5.1.7.2.4 (unverified NL516) MIL-STD-1289D JSSG-2001: 3.3, 10.1.1, 3.4.2.1.5, and 3.4.2.2 for the testing methodology. MIL-STD-464 MIL-HDBK-1760A MIL-STD-1760D MIL-STD-331 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P1 7.1.7* 00-970 P1 7.5.3 *(unverified- NL516) |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 629 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

17.2.8 Merged with 17.2.1

17.2.9 Lost link.

A lost-link condition during a weapons engagement shall be considered and hazards minimized and/or mitigated.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring that weapon system transitions to a predetermined state and mode in the event of loss or corruption of the command and control link.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Verification is accomplished by analysis (e.g., fault tree analysis, system safety analysis), avionics tests, and ground and flight demonstrations and tests.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | ADS-44-HDBK | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P9 USAR U1613 |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | 4671 USAR.U1613 |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

17.3 LASER INTEGRATION AND INTERFACE.

17.3.1 Crew exposure.

Crew and maintenance personnel shall be protected from laser radiation (direct and reflected).

Consideration should be given to:

a. The level of laser protection shall ensure exposure is below the limits, which shall be defined and proved.

b. For UAVs, this shall include ensuring approaching ground staff can be notified should the UAV be laser energised.

c. Adequate protection of aircrew from 3rd party (including friendly forces) lasers.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Minimum crew and maintenance personnel exposure to laser radiation is verified by analyses (e.g., laser safety analysis), laser characteristics tests, laser control system (e.g., power on, weight on wheels) inspection/demonstration, accessibility checks, wire verification, ground test equipment checks, loading procedures checks, identification of safety equipment and inspection of training procedures.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | ANSI Z 136.1, Safe Use of Lasers, for the safety design requirements of laser systems. | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-1425 for the safety design requirements of laser systems. | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P13 3.11.4 00-970 P13 3.11.5 00-970 P13 3.11.26 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 630 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|----------|--|------------|--------------------|
| | MIL-HDBK-828 | | 00-970 P13 3.11.27 |
| | AR-11-9, "The Army Radiation | | 00-970 P13 3.11.30 |
| | Safety Program" | | 00-970 P7 L717 |
| | AFOSH STD 48-139, Laser | | 00-970 P9 UK601d |
| | Radiation Protection Program | STANAG | 4671.1829 |
| | RCC 316-98, Laser Range | Reference: | |
| | Safety | | |
| FAA Doc: | 21CFR Part 1040, | EASA CS | |
| | Performance Standards For Light-Emitting Products | Reference: | |

17.3.2 Induced environment.

The installation, integration and operation of all lasers shall not adversely affect the safety of the aircraft.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The environment induced by laser operations with respect to the aircraft's limitations for vibroacoustics, thermal loads, and structural loads of the aircraft.

b. The effects from both the laser chemical and any resultant exhaust gases

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Laser operation compatibility is verified by analyses (e.g., structural, stress, mechanical load, electrical load, acoustical), explosive environment test and ground and flight demonstrations/tests.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|---|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | ANSI Z 136.1, Safe Use of Lasers, for the safety design requirements of laser systems | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-STD-1425 for the safety design requirements of laser systems AFOSH STD 48-139, Laser Radiation Protection Program RCC 316-98, Laser Range Safety | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 00-970 P13 3.11.26 00-970 P13 3.11.27 00-970 P7 L717 00-970 P9 UK601d |
| FAA Doc: | 21CFR Part 1040, Performance Standards for Light-Emitting Products | EASA CS Reference: | |

17.3.3 Merged with 17.3.2

17.3.4 Operation and direction.

The installation of all lasers shall:

- Only allow operation and direction to be controlled by the crew;
- Not result in an unsafe (radiating) condition following failure or malfunction;
- Allow the crew to determine when the laser is operating and also to discern the direction of the beam.

For UAS, consideration shall be given to:

a. Providing an indication in the UAS Control Station which shows the safety status of the UAS so approaching ground staff can be notified if the UAS is in an unsafe state (e.g. radiation hazard present, laser energized, etc.)

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 631 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

b. Providing means to ensure lasers do not adversely affect safety following the loss a UAS control link.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Laser boresighted alignment, pointing accuracy and display are verified by installation tests, SIL testing, and ground and flight demonstrations/tests.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|---|-----------|
| Comm'l Doc: | ANSI Z 136.1, Safe Use of Lasers, for the safety design requirements of laser systems | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | Refer to MIL-STD-1425 for the safety design requirements of laser systems MIL-HDBK-828 AFOSH STD 48-139, Laser Radiation Protection Program RCC 316-98, Laser Range Safety | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | 4671.1829 |
| FAA Doc: | 21CFR Part 1040, Performance Standards for Light-Emitting Products | EASA CS Reference: | |

17.3.5 Merged with 17.3.4

17.3.6 Airframe contact.

The installation of the laser shall prevent the beam from contacting any part of the aircraft.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Laser software and/or hardware inhibitors

b. Ensuring laser energy is not reflected back into the eyes of the pilot, operator, crew, or personnel.

c. Peripherals (i.e., stores, sensors etc.).

Consideration to AMC:

1. Verification is accomplished by initial installation tests, SIL testing, ground and flight test, and laser operating procedures.

| Int | ormation Sources | | |
|--------------|------------------|-----------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

17.3.7 Ground lasing.

The installation of the laser shall prevent inadvertent lasing when the aircraft is on the ground.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Procedures for ground crew.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 632 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

b. Redundant hardware (e.g., interlocks, interlock switches/weight on wheels), and software (e.g., armament, sensor).

For UAS, consideration shall be given to:

a. Providing an indication in the UAS Control Station which shows the safety status of the UAS so approaching ground staff can be notified if the UAS is in an unsafe state (e.g. radiation hazard present, laser energized, etc.)

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Verification is accomplished by initial installation tests, SIL testing, ground and flight test, and laser maintenance and operating procedures.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | 4671.1829 |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

17.4 Safety interlocks.

Appropriate design measures shall be in place to prevent the unsafe operation of stores.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Prevention of armament release whilst the aircraft is on the ground;

b. The use of switch guards and system interlocks;

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Verification is accomplished by initial installation testing, qualification testing, physical fit checks, static ground fire testing, safety analysis and safe separation test certification,

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-HDBK-516 Section 15 MIL-HDBK-244A: 5.1.5.1, 5.1.5.1.2 MIL-STD-1425 ADS-62-SP ADS-65-HDBK | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P13 3.1.4* 00-970 P13 3.1.5* 00-970 P13 3.2.2* 00-970 P13 3.2.3 00-970 P13 3.2.12* 00-970 P13 3.2.22 00-970 P13 3.2.25 00-970 P13 3.2.26* 00-970 P13 3.2.27* 00-970 P13 3.2.38* 00-970 P13 3.2.39* 00-970 P13 3.3.5* 00-970 P13 3.3.6 00-970 P7 S1 L107 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 633 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|----------|-------------------|-----------------------|--|
| | | STANAG Reference: | *(unverified- NL516) 3441 3558 3605 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 634 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

SECTION 18 - PASSENGER SAFETY

This section covers the provision of safety features and design requirements in order to ensure the safety of passengers during flight and during emergency situations such as crash landing, ditching etc. Safety requirements for crew stations normally used for aircrew and mission essential personnel are located in section 9, Crew Systems.

CERTIFICATION CRITERIA

18.1. SURVIVABILITY OF PASSENGERS.

18.1.1 Passenger seating and restraint systems.

Seats with restraints shall be provided for each passenger. Restraints shall be designed to apply body loads in a distributed fashion and location that do not cause serious injury in an emergency landing. Each seat/restraint system shall be designed to protect each occupant during an emergency landing provided the restraints are used properly. Each passenger restraint system shall have a single point release to permit passenger evacuation.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Ensuring the harness applies restraint to strong parts of the body (e.g., pelvis and chest).
- b. The problems of submarining and of dynamic overshoot (or whiplash effect).
- c. Multi-directional forces acting singly or together up to the level of human tolerance.
- d. Ensuring there are enough seat and restraint systems for all passengers.
- e. The anthropometric range of passengers, and maximum weight.
- f. Preventing major injuries, such as internal organ damage or skeletal fractures.

g. Providing means to secure each restraint system when not in use to prevent interference with rapid egress in an emergency.

h. Maximum expected loads in each direction during emergency landings.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail that the seating restraint system meets crash load requirements and that there are seat and restraint systems for all passengers.

2. Rig and ground tests should demonstrate that Static and dynamic loads do not exceed appropriate limits, taking into account the comfort of passengers.

3. Declaration of Design Performance (DDP) should detail the maximum allowed occupant weight.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010-7: 3.7.3.2.2 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.15.11 00-970 P1 4.16.11 00-970 P1 4.21.2-4.21.6 00-970 P7 S1 L111 |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 635 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|-----------|--|-----------------------|--|
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 25.785, 23.2, 23.562, 23.785, 25.562 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.562 CS 23.785 CS 25.562 CS 25.785 CS 27.562 CS 27.785 CS 29.562 CS 29.785 |

18.1.2 Merged with 18.1.1

18.1.3 Stowage compartment structure.

Each stowage compartment shall be designed to contain the maximum weight of its contents; and shall have means to prevent its contents from becoming a hazard due to shifting, under the most critical load distributions and ultimate inertia forces (i.e. during an emergency landing).

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ultimate inertia forces acting separately relative to the surrounding structure.

b. The type and classification of the aircraft ;

c. The maximum allowed baggage or cargo weight for the compartment.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail all aircraft stowage compartments, the maximum weight of their contents and the means provided to prevent their contents becoming a hazard due to shifting.

2. Rig and ground testing and analysis with simulated landing and in-flight load conditions verify that contents do not cause injury or other passenger hazards.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-A-8865B No information available in | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.22.44 00-970 P1 4.22.46 |
| | current JSSG. Information to | STANAG | |
| | be included in next revision of | Reference: | |
| | JSSG | | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 25.561, | EASA CS | CS 23.561 |
| | 25.787, 25.789, 23.787 | Reference: | CS 23.787 |
| | | | CS 25.561 |
| | | | CS 25.787 |
| | | | CS 25.789 |
| | | | CS 27.561 |
| | | | CS 27.787 |
| | | | CS 29.561 |
| | | | CS 29.787 |

18.1.4 External doors.

Each passenger carrying area shall have at least one adequate and easily accessible external door that is operable from both the inside and outside. Each external door shall be located to avoid hazardous

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 636 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

external areas when appropriate operating procedures are used. There shall be a means to safeguard each external door against inadvertent opening during flight by persons, by cargo, or as a result of mechanical or electrical failure.

If a crew member cannot see an entrance or check that it is correctly secured, a 'doors locked/unlocked' indicator shall be fitted in the cockpit. Means shall be provided to ensure that cabin pressurisation cannot be initiated unless the doors or hatches are properly closed, latched and locked.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Inspection procedures and/or detection systems to ensure doors are fully locked in flight.

b. Ensuring doors are not located in areas likely to be blocked after an emergency gear up landing.

c. Ensuring doors are reasonably free from jamming as a result of fuselage deformation in an emergency landing.

d. Prevent the entry of unauthorised persons.

e. Hazardous external areas such as proximity to rotors, propellers, engine intakes and exhausts.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail all aircraft doors, highlighting that each passenger compartment with a seat and restraint system has an external exit with a door that can be opened internally and externally, and that there is clear indication of a locked or unlocked condition.

2. Rig and ground tests should demonstrate the ability to operate doors internally and externally.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010-7: 3.7.5.3.1 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.20.6 00-970 P1 4.20.7 00-970 P1 4.23.10 |
| | | | 00-970 P7 L102 3 |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 25.783 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.783 CS 23.807 CS 25.783 CS 25.807 CS 27.783 CS 27.807 CS 29.783 CS 29.807 |

18.1.5 Exit locking mechanisms.

All exits in passenger areas shall be lockable by aircrew trained to do so, simple to open, and shall not open in flight unless mission requirements necessitate this function.

A positive means shall be provided to retain the doors, hoods or hatches in an open position.

Consideration should be given to;

a. Ensuring all exits are uncomplicated to open such that no training is required for operation.

b. Means to drain overboard any water which might run off doors, hoods or hatches secured in the open position.

c. Operation of exits in all expected environmental conditions.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 637 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail exit locking mechanisms, highlighting that all exits in passenger areas are lockable by aircrew, simple to open without training, and will stay locked in flight when not opened for mission need.

2. Rig and ground tests should demonstrate the expected passenger population's abilities to operate exits.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010-7: 3.7.5.3.1 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.20.3-4.20.6 00-970 P1 4.23.10 00-970 P7 3.7 00-970 P7 L102 3.8.2 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 25.813, 25.809, 23.807, 25.813 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.807 CS 23.813 CS 25.807 CS 25.809 CS 25.813 CS 27.807 CS 29.807 CS 29.809 CS 29.813 |

18.1.6 Provisions for passenger evacuation.

Each non-over-wing emergency exit more than 1.8 m (6 feet) from the ground (with the aircraft on the ground and the landing gear extended), shall have means to assist passengers to the ground quickly and safely. For exits opening to wing areas, provisions shall be incorporated to safely assist passengers from the wing surface to ground level. It shall be possible to use any decent device without passenger training but with the assistance of aircrew members.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Self-supporting slides or equivalent assisting means for each passenger emergency exit.

b. Rope or any other assisting means demonstrated to be suitable for the purpose for air crew emergency exits.

c. Provision of footholds, handholds and ladders to facilitate passage to the exits.

d. Conducting emergency egress demonstrations using non-trained personnel, representative of the expected passenger population to verify the ability to safely exit and descend to the ground.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail passenger evacuation routes, highlighting the exits which are more than 1.8 m (6 feet) above the ground and any non-over-wing exits of that set which have a means for passenger descent.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 638 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

2. Rig and ground tests should demonstrate emergency egress using non-trained passengers, representative of the expected passenger population, to verify the ability to safely exit and descend to the ground.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010-7: 3.7.5.3.2 JSSG-2010-13: 3.13.5 pg 67, | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.23.3 00-970 P7 S1 L102 2.3 |
| | 68 | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 25.810, 121.31a | EASA CS Reference: | CS 25.810 CS 29.809 |

18.1.7 Exit weight and actuation.

The weight of each removable passenger exit, and its means of opening shall be conspicuously marked.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should show that each hatch door is clearly marked with its means of opening and weight.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010-13: 3.13.5 pg 66 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.23.5 00-970 P13 1.6.15.3 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 25.811 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.811 CS 25.811 CS 27.807 CS 29.811 |

18.1.8 Emergency lighting system.

An emergency lighting system, independent of the main lighting system, shall provide sufficient illumination and guidance for passenger and crew emergency evacuation; and shall include illumination of each exit and its exterior surrounding. The energy required to supply emergency lighting shall be sufficient to allow complete egress of all passengers and crew before diminishing.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring no beam of light is directed into occupants' eyes in such a way as to compromise their ability to escape.

b. Emergency escape illumination is continually lighted or automatically energised when an emergency occurs.

c. Ensuring sufficient luminance is maintained at all exits and in the centre of aisle-ways leading to exits measured at seat arm rest height and in all aircrew stations and passenger compartments.

d. Ensuring all exit signs, arrows and placards are electrically lighted or self- luminous to the required levels.

e. Use of floor proximity emergency escape path marking.

f. Compatibility with low light enhancing systems (e.g. NVG).

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 639 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the positioning of the lighting system, both internal and external to the cockpit/crewstations as well as the duration of the emergency lighting.

2. Rig and ground test should demonstrate effective emergency egress and should include evaluation of the aircraft in night time lighting conditions.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010-13: 3.13.5 pg 62, 65 MIL-PRF-85676 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P7 L102 4.1 00-970 P7 L714 2.1.5 00-970 P13 1.6.11.5 |
| | | STANAG | 3870 |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 25.812, 23.812, 25.1351, 25.1353, 25.1355, 25.1357, 25.1363 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.812 CS 23.1351 CS 23.1353 CS 25.812 CS 25.1351 CS 25.1353 CS 25.1363 CS 27.1351 CS 27.1355 CS 27.1355 CS 29.812 CS 29.1351 CS 29.1353 |

18.1.9 Emergency exit signs.

The location of each passenger emergency exit shall be indicated by a sign visible to occupants approaching along the main passenger aisle (or aisles). The quantity and location of each emergency exit sign shall enable each seated passenger to recognise at least one during adverse conditions that may occur following a crash. Each emergency exit sign shall be self-illuminated or independently, internally electrically illuminated.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring exit location indications are also apparent when not lighted under normal flight conditions.

b. Means to assist the occupants in locating the exits in conditions of dense smoke and water.

c. The identity and location of each passenger emergency exit must be recognisable from a sufficient distance, typically the distance equal to the width of the cabin.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

 System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the location and type/size of emergency exit signs, and the operating configurations and conditions under which emergency exit signs must be functional.
 Rig and ground tests should demonstrate that emergency exit signs are appropriate for all operating configurations and conditions.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 640 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010-13: 3.13.5 pg 68 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.23.5 00-970 P7 L714 2.1.5 00-970 P13 1.6.15.3 |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 25.812, 23.812, 25.811 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.811 CS 23.812 CS 25.811 CS 25.812 CS 27.807 CS 29.811 CS 29.812 |

18.1.10 Public address system power.

If required for the category of aircraft, a public address system shall be installed that is powerable when the air vehicle is in flight or stopped on the ground, including after the shutdown or failure of all engines and auxiliary power units, or the disconnection or failure of all power sources dependent on their continued operation.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring the public address system works as required for all approved operating configurations and conditions.

b. Ensuring a time duration of at least 10 minutes, including an aggregate time duration of at least 5 minutes of announcements made by flight and cabin crew members, considering all other loads which may remain powered by the same source when all other power sources are inoperative.

c. Ensuring an additional time duration in its standby state appropriate or required for any other loads that are powered by the same source and that are essential to safety of flight or required during emergency conditions.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the type of public address system installed, and the operating configurations and conditions under which the system must be functional.

2. Rig and ground tests should demonstrate that the public address system is appropriate for all operating configurations and conditions.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--|-----------------|--------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | No information available in | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 6.6.6(e) |
| | current JSSG. Information to be included in next revision of JSSG. | Reference: | 00-970 P1 S6 L1 |
| | | STANAG | |
| | 3356. | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 25.1423 | EASA CS | CS 25.1423 |
| | | Reference: | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 641 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

18.1.11 Public address system accessibility.

The public address system shall be accessible for immediate use by all aircrew, such that it is capable of operation within 3 seconds from the time a microphone is removed from its stowage. The system shall be intelligible at all passenger seats, lavatories, and flight attendant seats and work stations; and shall be designed so that no unused, unstowed microphone will render the system inoperative. The system shall be capable of functioning independently of any required crewmember interphone system and is readily accessible to the crewmember designated to make announcements.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring the public address system works as required for all approved operating configurations and conditions.

b. Ensuring the public address system has a microphone which is readily accessible to seated air crew, for each required floor-level passenger emergency exit which has an adjacent air crew member seat.

c. One microphone may serve more than one exit, provided the proximity of the exits allows unassisted verbal communications between seated cabin crew members.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail provisions for operation of the public address system by each member of the aircew.

2. Rig and ground tests should demonstrate that the public address system is appropriate for all operating configurations and conditions.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010-13: 3.13.5 pg 55 | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 S6 L1 |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 25.1423 | EASA CS | CS 25.1423 |
| | | Reference: | |

18.1.12 Marking of safety equipment controls.

Each safety equipment control to be operated by the crew in emergency, such as controls for automatic liferaft releases, shall be plainly marked as to its method of operation. Each liferaft shall have obviously marked operating instructions. Approved survival equipment shall be marked for identification and method of operation.

Considerations should be given to:

a. Human factors analysis to verify the ability of control markings to be clearly discerned.

b. The use of illustrations, and pictorial representations to convey operation of critical safety controls where passenger language abilities vary or are unknown.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should include details of the markings provided for controls of safety equipment.

2. Rig and ground tests should demonstrate that the marking of safety equipment is appropriate for all intended passengers.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 642 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010-11: 3.11.7.3 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 7.4.13 00-970 P7 L103 5 00-970 P7 L721 4 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 25.1561, 23.1561, 23.1415 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1561 CS 25.1561 CS 27.1561 CS 29.1561 |

18.1.13 Marking of safety equipment storage.

Each location, such as a locker or compartment, that carries any fire extinguishing, signalling, or other lifesaving equipment shall be marked accordingly. Stowage provisions for required emergency equipment shall be conspicuously marked to identify the contents and facilitate the easy removal of the equipment.

Considerations should be given to:

a. Human factors analysis to verify the ability of control markings to be clearly discerned.

b. Co-location of fire extinguishing, signalling, or other lifesaving equipment.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the markings indicating stowage locations of life saving equipment.

2. Rig and ground tests demonstrate the ability of passengers to discern markings identifying and instructing methods of removal for safety equipment.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010-11: 3.11.7.3 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.15.59 |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 25.1561, 23.1561, 23.1415 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1561 CS 25.1561 CS 27.1561 CS 29.1561 |

18.1.14 Flotation devices.

At least one approved, individual flotation device / means (such as removable seat flotation cushions or under seat life preservers) shall be provided for each occupant, for aircraft flying missions over water. Each individual floatation device shall be easily accessible by each seated passenger.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The functionality of flotation devices, and the ability to deploy, inflate or provide buoyancy.

b. The ability of each passenger to access a flotation device during emergency evacuation.

c. Whether or not the aircraft is certified for ditching.

d. Provision of life lines if required.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 643 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the availability and stowage provisions of approved flotation devices.

2. Rig and ground tests demonstrate the ability of passengers to access flotation devices. Emergency egress demonstrations should verify the ability of each passenger to access a flotation device during emergency evacuation.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.22.21 00-970 P7 L721 |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 25.1411, 25.1415 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1411 CS 23.1415 CS 25.1411 CS 25.1415 CS 27.1411 CS 27.1415 CS 29.1411 CS 29.1415 |

18.1.15 Emergency equipment.

The aircraft shall be outfitted with equipment to deal with in-flight, ground, and ditching emergencies.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring the emergency equipment is tailored for the intended mission of the aircraft.

b. Provision of emergency equipment such as: emergency and floatation equipment, hand-held fire extinguishers, crash axe, megaphones, medical kits and supplies, automatic external defibrillators, portable oxygen supply systems, means for emergency evacuation, specialised tools or fracturing equipment, survival aids and equipment, weapons, communication equipment, signalling and locator devices, and portable lights.

c. The adequacy of medical kits and supplies for treatment of injuries, medical events, or minor accidents.

d. Different emergency equipment configurations and specified content requirements for different mission needs.

e. The accessibility of emergency equipment.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail emergency equipment provisions.

2. Rig and ground tests should demonstrate the functional capabilities of equipment, showing that they are appropriate for their intended purpose.

| Information Sources | | |
|---------------------|--------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010-11 | 00-970 P1 4.15.66 00-970 P1 4.23 00-970 P7 L105 17 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 644 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| | | | 00-970 P7 L105 20 00-970 P7 L105 21 |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 121.309, 121.310 | EASA CS Reference: | |

18.1.16 Signs and placards in passenger compartments.

Signs and placards shall be provided in the passenger compartment to meet the following requirements: a. Where smoking is prohibited, signs shall be provided that are legible to each passenger.

b. If smoking is allowed, signs stating when it is prohibited shall be installed and operable from either pilot's seat and visible under all probable conditions of cabin lighting to each person seated in the cabin.

c. Signs stating when seat belts are to be fastened shall be installed and operable from either pilot's seat and visible under all probable conditions of cabin lighting to each person seated in the cabin.

d. Placards shall be placed on, or adjacent to, the door of each waste receptacle indicating that the disposal of cigarettes etc is prohibited.

e. Lavatories shall have 'No Smoking' placards adjacent to each ashtray.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the signs and placards provided in each passenger compartment.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--|-----------------|---------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 Pt 1 Sect 4 paras: |
| | | Reference: | 4.26.53, 4.26.54 |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 25.791 |
| | | Reference: | |

18.2. FIRE RESISTANCE.

18.2.1 Ignition source isolation.

Sources of ignition within cargo compartments shall be located and/or designed to prevent contact with cargo.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Cargo clearances and preventive means of contacting ignition sources, i.e. shielding and insulation.

b. Ensuring all components within the cargo compartments are certified for operation in an explosive atmosphere.

c. Preventing cargo from breaking loose.

d. Means to prevent cargo or baggage from interfering with the functioning of the fire protective features of the compartment.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 645 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail sources, locations, and configurations of possible ignition sources.

2. Rig and ground tests should demonstrate the inability of components and systems to ignite flammable materials, and therefore preclude ignition of an explosive atmosphere.

3. System Safety Assessments (SSA) should detail cargo clearances and preventive means of contacting ignition sources.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | No information available in current JSSG. Information to be included in next revision of | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.26.58 00-970 P7 L712 |
| | JSSG. | STANAG | 4671.787 |
| | AFMAN 24-204(I) identifies | Reference: | 4671.850 |
| | flammability limits for | | |
| | transported cargo. | | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 25.787, | EASA CS | CS 23.787 |
| | 25.789, 23.787 | Reference: | CS 23.855 |
| | | | CS 25.787 |
| | | | CS 25.855 |
| | | | CS 27.787 |
| | | | CS 27.855 |
| | | | CS 29.787 |
| | | | CS 29.855 |

18.2.2 Oxygen equipment installation.

Oxygen equipment and lines shall not be located in any designated fire zone; nor routed with electrical wiring. They shall be protected from heat that may be generated in, or escape from, any designated fire zone and be installed so that escaping oxygen cannot cause ignition of grease, fluid, or vapour accumulations present in normal operation or as a result of failure or malfunction of any system.

Oxygen pressure sources and lines between the sources and shut-off means shall be protected from unsafe temperatures. Lines carrying flammable liquids shall be positioned at as great a distance as practical from the oxygen installation. Precautions shall be taken to prevent fluid impinging on the oxygen or oxidant system.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Design precautions to minimise hazards due to damage.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the location and routing of oxygen lines for criteria compliance.

2. Rig and ground tests should demonstrate that adequate heat protection is provided for oxygen equipment.

3. System Safety Assessments (SSA) should show identification and acceptability of ignition/explosive hazards through Failure Mode and Effects Criticality Analysis and a System Safety Hazard Analysis.

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|--|
| | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 646 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010-7: 3.7.3.4, 3.10, 4.10 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.26.14 00-970 P1 4.26.41-4.26.45 00-970 P1 6.13 00-970 P7 L712 00-970 P13 1.4 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 25.869 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1451 CS 25.869 |

18.3. PHYSIOLOGY REQUIREMENTS OF OCCUPANTS.

This section covers equipment and systems specific to the physiological requirements of crew and passengers during flight.

18.3.1 Oxygen.

Aircraft capable of flying above 10,000 feet mean sea level (MSL) shall have means to provide supplemental oxygen, and shall be capable of delivering it to each passenger. There shall be an individual dispensing unit for each passenger for whom supplemental oxygen is to be supplied.

For each passenger, the minimum mass flow of supplemental oxygen required at various cabin pressure altitudes shall not be less than the flow required to maintain, during inspiration and while using oxygen equipment (including masks) provided, the required mean tracheal oxygen partial pressures.

Oxygen quantities shall be sufficient for the duration of time that passengers may be exposed to the cabin altitudes indicated.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Supplementary oxygen provided from the aircraft, or from a stand-alone system.

b. Minimum mass flow requirements for different cabin pressure altitudes.

c. Ensuring dispensing units provide for effective utilisation of the oxygen being delivered to the unit, are capable of being readily placed into position on the face of the user (over nose and mouth if required) and are equipped with a suitable means to retain the unit in position on the face.

d. Requirements to satisfy Extended Range Twin Operations (ETOPS) where appropriate.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the supplemental oxygen system and the quantity of oxygen available to each passenger.

2. Rig and ground tests should demonstrate the ability of the supplemental oxygen system to provide necessary oxygen quantities, duration, and flow rates.

3. System Safety Assessments (SSA) should show the integrity of the oxygen system by identification of hazards through Failure Mode and Effects Criticality Analysis and a System Safety Hazard Analysis.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | SAE ARP4761 | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2010-10: 3.10.1, 4.10.1 | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 6.13 |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 647 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|-----------|---|-----------------------|--|
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 25.1439, 23.1441, 23.1443, 23.1445, 25.1447, 23.1449, 23.1450, 25.1441, 25.1443, 25.1445, 25.1449, 25.1450, 25.1453 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.1441 CS 23.1443 CS 23.1445 CS 23.1447 CS 23.1449 CS 23.1450 CS 23.1450 CS 23.1453 CS 25.1441 CS 25.1443 CS 25.1445 CS 25.1445 CS 25.1449 CS 25.1450 CS 25.1453 |

18.3.2 First aid.

Approved emergency medical kit(s) shall be installed in the aircraft; and shall be capable of providing medical support for the designed mission.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. The adequacy of medical kit contents for treatment of injuries, medical events, or minor accidents.
- b. Different medical kit configurations and specified content requirements for different mission needs.
- c. The accessibility of the medical kit(s).

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. System Description Documents (SDD) should detail the installation and availability of emergency medical kits.

2. Rig and ground tests should demonstrate the accessibility of medical kits.

3. System Safety Assessments (SSA) should show that any emergency medical kits have been have been assessed as appropriate for the aircaft role(s).

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | No information available in current JSSG. Information to | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.15.66 00-970 P7 L105 17 |
| | be included in next revision of JSSG. | ion of STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | 121.339, 121.310 | Reference: | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 648 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

SECTION 19 - MATERIALS

This section covers material selection, application and specification for the entire flight vehicle including aircraft structure, aircraft subsystems, propulsion systems, electrical power systems, mission systems, crew systems, and armament/stores systems.

Included within the scope of this section are:

- Material properties and process;
- Corrosion prevention and control;
- NDI requirements;
- Wear and erosion prevention.

Some criteria in this chapter are supported in the text by examples of specific considerations. These examples are by no means to be considered as exhaustive.

• Use of standard engineering methods and formulas, in conjunction with full scale tests, and experience of the product;

• Ensuring adequate accessibility to areas that may be subject to wear in order to conduct maintenance and inspection.

TYPICAL CERTIFICATION SOURCE DATA

- 1. Design criteria
- 2. Materials properties data and analysis
- 3. Environmental effects data and analysis
- 4. Galvanic compatibility data and analysis
- 5. Effects of defects data and analysis
- 6. Hazardous materials data
- 7. Material trade study results
- 8. Design of experiments results
- 9. Statistical process control data
- 10. Nondestructive inspection (NDI) criteria
- 11. NDI plan and records
- 12. NDI probability of detection data
- 13. Preproduction verification test data
- 14. First article destructive test data
- 15. Wear and erosion data
- 16. Material specifications
- 17. Process specifications
- 18. Finish specifications
- 19. Metallic materials properties development and Standardization (MMPDS)
- 20. MIL-HDBK-17, Polymer Matrix Composites
- 21. Material safety data sheets
- 22. Contractor policies and procedures
- 23. Quality records
- 24. Defect/failure data
- 25. Fracture control plan
- 26. Fracture critical parts list

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 649 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

CERTIFICATION CRITERIA

19.1 PROPERTIES AND PROCESSES.

19.1.1 Material property evaluation.

Appropriate material selection shall be conducted in order to assure adequate structural properties. Material property evaluations shall be performed using a combination of recognized and standardized analyses, tests, inspections, and examinations.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Use of standard engineering methods and formulas which are known to produce acceptable results, in conjunction with full scale tests (ground and/or flight tests) and experience of the product;

b. Ensuring that material properties are adequate and sufficient for all required missions and service usage;

c. The classification of the structure;

d. The consequence of failure of the structure in terms of the overall integrity of the aircraft;

e. The consequence of the failure of interior items of mass and the supporting structure to the safety of the occupants;

f. Structural properties such as strength, stiffness, fatigue, crack growth rates, fracture toughness, corrosion susceptibility.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2006, Appendix A.3.2.19, A.4.2.19 MIL-HDBK-1587 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 3.1.10 00-970 P7 L200/1 00-970 P9 USAR 613 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.307 4671.603 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.307 CS 23.603 CS 25.307 CS 25.603 CS 27.307 CS 27.603 CS 29.307 CS 29.603 |

19.1.2 Material property certification.

Material properties shall be certified as specification compliant and specification properties shall be represented as minimum values achievable using standardized processes.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--|-----------------|------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 4.5.6 |
| | | Reference: | 00-970 P7 L200/1 2.2.1 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 650 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|----------|--------------------------|------------|--------------------|
| | | | 00-970 P9 USAR 613 |
| | | STANAG | 4671.603 |
| | | Reference: | 4671.613 |
| FAA Doc: | MMPDS | EASA CS | CS 23.603 |
| | 14CFR reference: 23.603, | Reference: | CS 23.613 |
| | 23.613, 25.603, 25.613 | | CS 25.603 |
| | | | CS 25.613 |
| | | | CS 27.603 |
| | | | CS 27.613 |
| | | | CS 27.613 |
| | | | ESDU 00932 |

19.1.3 Material design value.

Robust & recognised design & manufacturing techniques shall be established and applied to characterise the properties of Material that are suitable for purpose, and shall make adequate allowance, where applicable.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. The effects of:
- Product shape and form;
- Production representative processing and manufacturing variability;
- Effects of defects;
- Final assembly interfaces;

• Environmental conditions, such as temperature, humidity, UV, chemical, solvent, fuel, electromagnetic radiation, and airborne particulates expected in service;

• Repair.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2006: Appendix A.3.2.19.1, A.4.2.19.1 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.5.2 - 4.5.4 00-970 P9 USAR 613 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.603 4671.613 |
| FAA Doc: | MMPDS 14CFR reference: 23.603, 23.613, 25.603, 25.613 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.603 CS 23.613 CS 25.603 CS 25.613 CS 27.603 CS 27.613 CS 29.603 CS 29.613 ESDU 00932 |

19.1.4 Material specification properties.

Material design values shall be based upon acceptable design allowables to prevent structural failure due to material variability.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 651 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

Consideration should be given to:

a. Factors, such as material shape and form, anisotropy, heat treatment, affecting design allowables from recognised data sources.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 3.1.8 00-970 P1 4.1.4 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.613 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: 23.613, 25.613 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.613 CS 25.613 CS 27.613 CS 29.613 |

19.1.5 Environmental effects.

The durability of the aircraft structure and components shall support operation in all environmental conditions expected in service and shall take into account any potential material property degradation as a result.

Consideration should be given to:

a. All phases of the life cycle, to include manufacture, in-service operation and associated maintenance;

b. Moisture absorption; chemical, solvent, fuel, and lubricant exposure; hydrolytic instability; thermal exposure; electromagnetic and UV radiation;

c. Processes and joining methods applied to the materials used in the airframe.

d. Provision of slip resistant surface on floors likely to become wet during service.

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|---|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2001: 3.2.2, 4.2.2, 3.2.3, 4.2.3 JSSG-2006: Appendix A.3.2.16, A.4.2.16, A.3.11.1, A.4.11.1.2.1, A.3.11.2, A.4.11.2, A.3.11.3, A.4.11.3, A.3.11.4, A.4.11.4 MIL-HDBK-1568 MIL-HDBK-1587 MIL-STD-889 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG | 00-970 P1 4.3.2 00-970 P1 4.3.4 00-970 P1 4.3.5 00-970 P1 4.3.5 00-970 P1 4.3.11 00-970 P1 4.3.17 00-970 P1 4.3.33 00-970 P1 4.3.34 00-970 P1 4.3.84 00-970 P1 4.5.6 00-970 P1 4.5.9 00-970 P1 4.6.2 00-970 P1 4.6.2 00-970 P7 L200 1.8 00-970 P7 L200 1.8 00-970 P7 L200 4.4 00-970 P7 L403 3.2.5 00-970 P9 USAR 605 4671.603 4671.605 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 652 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Inf | formation Sources | | |
|----------|--------------------------|------------|-----------|
| | | Reference: | 4671.609 |
| | | | 4671.613 |
| | | | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: 23.609, | EASA CS | CS 23.603 |
| | 23.613, 25.609, 25.613 | Reference: | CS 23.605 |
| | | | CS 23.609 |
| | | | CS 23.613 |
| | | | CS 25.603 |
| | | | CS 25.605 |
| | | | CS 25.609 |
| | | | CS 25.613 |
| | | | CS 25.793 |
| | | | CS 27.603 |
| | | | CS 27.605 |
| | | | CS 27.609 |
| | | | CS 27.613 |
| | | | CS 29.603 |
| | | | CS 29.605 |
| | | | CS 29.609 |
| | | | CS 29.613 |

19.1.6 Critical process capability.

Critical fabrication process which require close control shall be performed under an approved process specification, which allows identification, monitoring, and control of any undesirable variation.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Fabrication processes such as heat-treating, quenching, welding, brazing, soldering, forging, gluing.

b. Substantiation of fabrication methods by a test programme.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | A.3.2.19.2, A.4.2.19.2, | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.6 00-970 P9 USAR 605 |
| | A.3.11.1, A.4.11.1.2.1 | STANAG Reference: | 4671.605 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: 23.605, 25.605 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.605 CS 25.605 CS 27.605 CS 29.605 |

19.1.7 Merged with 19.1.5

19.1.8 Damage repair.

The aircraft design shall consider and permit repair of structure and of flight and mission critical systems, following damage.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Giving preference to structural materials which are easily repairable;

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 653 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

- b. Ensuring all repairs comply with the relevant design requirements for the whole aircraft;
- c. Ensuring high or moderate maintenance items and items subject to wear must be repairable;
- d. Battle damage.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2006: Appendix A.3.2.28, A.4.2.28 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.1.41 00-970 P1 4.4.3 00-970 P1 4.4.34 - 4.4.37 00-970 P13 3.9.7 00-970 P7 L200 8.1 |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference 23.611 | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

19.1.9 Material failure modes.

Insidious failure modes (e.g., hydrogen embrittlement, crack bifurcation) shall be understood and accounted for, such that all parts of the aircraft are so designed, protected, assembled, drained and vented that when it is maintained in accordance with the servicing schedule there will be no unacceptable loss of airworthiness as a result of induced spontaneous, progressive or delayed cracking.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Where possible, selecting materials with lower susceptibilities to corrosion, corrosion fatigue, stress corrosion or hydrogen embrittlement; and

b. Avoiding unnecessarily strong but susceptible materials.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.3.11 00-970 P1 4.3.85 00-970 P7 L200/1 5 00-970 P7 L203/5 2.4 00-970 P7 L406 1.1 00-970 P7 L406/1 4.4.1 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.609 4671.613 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: 23.609 | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.609 CS 25.609 CS 27.609 CS 29.609 |

19.2 CORROSION

19.2.1 Corrosion prevention and control practices.

Adequate corrosion prevention and control practices shall be in place.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 654 /675 | 1 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|---|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|---|

Consideration should be given to:

a. Uniform surface corrosion, pitting, galvanic, crevice, filiform, exfoliation, inter-granular, fretting, high temperature oxidation (hot corrosion), corrosion fatigue, stress corrosion cracking, and microbially induced corrosion;

b. Preventing water leaking into, or being driven into, any part of the aircraft;

c. The use of various design alternatives which preclude the traditional galvanic corrosion problems created by dissimilar metal bushings (e.g., beryllium copper, aluminium bronze) installed in aluminium structure;

d. The avoidance of using removable graphite composite doors/panels fastened to aluminium alloy substructure, particularly on upper surfaces where moisture/salt spray can potentially migrate through the fastener holes and cause corrosion of the aluminium substructure.

| Information Sources | | |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.2.17 00-970 P1 4.3.2 00-970 P1 4.3.4 00-970 P1 4.3.5 00-970 P1 4.3.8 00-970 P1 4.3.11 |
| | | 00-970 P1 4.3.17 00-970 P1 4.3.33 00-970 P1 4.3.34 00-970 P1 4.3.84 00-970 P1 4.6.2 00-970 P7 L402 7.6 00-970 P7 L405/1 00-970 P7 407 |
| | STANAG Reference: | 4671.571 4671.609 |
| FAA Doc: | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.573 CS 23.609 CS 25.571 CS 25.609 CS 27.571 CS 27.573 CS 27.609 CS 29.571 CS 29.573 CS 29.573 CS 29.609 |

19.2.2 Corrosion prevention systems.

Corrosion prevention systems shall remain effective during the service life, including the mitigation of environmentally assisted cracking. Specific corrosion prevention and control measures, procedures, and processes shall be identified and established commensurate with the operational and maintenance capability.

| | Information Sources | | | |
|---|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| _ | | | | |
| | Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 655 /675 |

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.4.3 00-970 P7 L405/1 00-970 P7 L406/1 00-970 P7 L407 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.571 4671.609 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.609 CS 25.571 CS 25.609 CS 27.571 CS 27.573 CS 27.609 CS 29.571 CS 29.573 CS 29.573 CS 29.609 |

19.2.3 Non-metallic corrosion control.

Adequate prevention and control practices shall be in place for non-metallic materials degradation.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Preventing galvanic corrosion which occurs where surfaces of composites containing carbon fibres are in contact with metals.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.3.87 - 4.3.101 00-970 P7 L408 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.609 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.609 CS 25.609 CS 27.609 CS 27.573 CS 29.609 CS 29.573 |

19.2.4 Protective finishes.

Finish systems shall provide adequate corrosion protection for the airframe and its components, in order to maintain the specified operational capability of the aircraft, and ensure it is not degraded because of finish breakdowns / failures.

Each specific surface treatment, inorganic and organic coating, and other protective finish used for corrosion prevention and control shall be identified and established.

a. Finishes for all specific parts, surfaces of similar and dissimilar materials, and attaching parts and fasteners etc.;

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 656 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

b. Treatment / finishing of non-corrosion resisting steels and other metals, e.g. cleaning, painting etc.;

c. Ensuring the selection and application of all organic and inorganic surface treatments and coatings complies with air quality requirements;

d. Ensuring exterior surfaces remain aerodynamically smooth;

e. Preventing the use of organic coatings (other than fire insulating paints) for temperature control in inaccessible areas.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2001: 3.2.3, 4.2.3 | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 4.3.77 |
| | JSSG-2006: Appendix | Reference: | 00-970 P7 407 |
| | A.3.2.20, A.4.2.20, A.3.11.2, | STANAG | 4671.609 |
| | A.4.11.2 | Reference: | |
| | MIL-HDBK-1568 | | |
| | MIL-STD-7179 | | |
| | MIL-STD-889 | | |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR references: 23.603, | EASA CS | CS 23.609 |
| | 23.609, 25.603, 25.609 | Reference: | CS 25.609 |
| | | | CS 27.609 |
| | | | CS 29.609 |

19.3 NONDESTRUCTIVE INSPECTION.

19.3.1 Defect characterization and detection.

Prior to conducting non-destructive testing and inspection (NDT/I), the nature of those defects which are critical to material integrity shall be characterized, and any effects on the probability of detection shall be assessed.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Nature of defects such as: size, shape, location, orientation, and any other properties which will affect detectability with the methods to be used;

b. Detailed structural analysis to identify structurally critical locations, load paths, and quality criteria necessary for meeting performance and life requirements.

| Inf | ormation Sources | | |
|--------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 4.4.4 |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | 4671.575 |
| | | Reference: | 4671.611 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.611 |
| | | Reference: | CS 25.611 |

19.3.2 NDI assessment criteria.

Non-destructive inspection (NDI) accept/reject criteria shall be validated and correlated with 'effects of defects' testing.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 657 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | 4671.575 |
| | | Reference: | 4671.613 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | CS 25.611 |

19.3.3 NDI manuals.

Non-destructive inspection (NDI) manuals shall be developed to accompany the aircraft, in order to provide an audit trail of the adequacy, thoroughness, and completeness of NDT/I engineering and application efforts.

NDI manuals shall include:

a. When, how often, and how the system is to be inspected for service induced damage;

b. Valid NDT/I methods and their application.

Consideration should be given to:

a. NDT manuals, which may not be type specific.

| Inf | ormation Sources | | |
|--------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 S4 L16 |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

19.3.4 Inspection intervals.

Initial and recurring non-destructive inspection (NDI) intervals shall be established, in order to identify and characterize specific defect types, sizes, and locations critical to material integrity.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring inspection means for each item are practicable for the inspection interval for the item, such that:

i. For inspections repeated at short intervals (such as pre-flight or daily inspections) the means of inspection should be simple, e.g. visual with the aid of easily removable or hinged access panels;

ii. For inspections required only a few times, for example once or twice in the lifetime of the aircraft some disassembly of structure, e.g. de-riveting a small skin panel is acceptable.

| Int | ormation Sources | | |
|--------------|---------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2006: Appendix | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 4.7.2 |
| | A.3.11.6, A.4.11.6 | Reference: | |
| | MIL-HDBK-6870 | STANAG | 4671.573 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 658 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | ormation Sources | | |
|----------|-------------------------|------------|-----------|
| | | Reference: | 4671.575 |
| | | | 4671.611 |
| | | | 4671.613 |
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference: 23.611 | EASA CS | CS 23.573 |
| | | Reference: | CS 23.611 |
| | | | CS 25.571 |
| | | | CS 25.611 |
| | | | CS 27.571 |
| | | | CS 27.573 |
| | | | CS 29.571 |
| | | | CS 29.573 |

19.4 WEAR AND EROSION.

Specific wear and erosion prevention practices, measures, procedures, and processes shall be identified and established, commensurate with the operational and maintenance capability, on applicable surfaces of metals, polymers, elastomers, ceramics, glasses, carbon fabrics, fibres, and combinations or composites of these materials.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Wear mechanisms such as abrasion, fretting, corrosion, and thermal wear, and combinations thereof;

b. Erosion mechanisms such as impinging fluid, solid particles (e.g. sand, dust etc.) and other environmental conditions (e.g. high sunlight/heat).

c. Eliminating / minimizing combinations of erosive, corrosive, and thermal effects on structures near heater and engine bleed air, engine exhaust, rocket and missile exhaust, and in the wake of such exhaust gases;

d. Preventing direct flame impingement from missiles and rockets on aircraft surfaces unless such surfaces are suitably protected by a coating or device;

e. Applying erosion prevention practices to all surface areas including leading edges, radomes, housings, and other protrusions as well as to surfaces exposed to particle impingement during take-offs and landings;

f. The adequacy of practices in protecting against corrosion in the environment in which the parts will operate, and their effects upon fatigue life;

g. Ensuring adequate accessibility to areas that may be subject to wear in order to conduct maintenance and inspection;

h. Applying wear prevention practices to all load bearing and load transfer interfaces;

i. Provisions for lubricating of all parts subject to wear;

j. Ensuring items subject to wear are repairable;

k. Limiting the use of dissimilar metals in contact to applications where similar metals cannot be used due to peculiar design requirements.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 659 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

SECTION 20 - OTHER CRITERIA

This section covers those equipments which may be used on or with an aircraft but which are not necessarily part of it, such as mission or role equipment, or carry-on equipment. These equipments should be assessed and authorised for use on each aircraft that they are required for and any limitations associated with that use clearly recorded. It also covers those pan-platform criteria that potentially affect multiple systems and consequently need greater visibility to ensure they are given adequate consideration. These include ETOPS and flight in, or near, volcanic ash clouds.

TYPICAL CERTIFICATION SOURCE DATA

- 1. Design criteria
- 2. Design studies and analyses
- 3. Design, installation, and operational characteristics
- 4. Design approval and system compatibility tests
- 5. Component and system level qualification and certification tests
- 6. Electromagnetic environmental effects
- 7. Hazard analysis and certification
- 8. Failure modes and effects analysis
- 9. Avionics integration tests and results
- 10. System/subsystem self-test design and capabilities
- 11. Qualification test plans, procedures, and results
- 12. Ground test results
- 13. FCA and PCA data
- 14. Flight manual
- 15. Software development plan
- 16. Software development and product specifications
- 17. Software test plans, test procedures, and test reports
- 18. Software configuration control/management plan and procedure
- 19. Flight test reports
- 20. Environmental analysis and test results

CERTIFICATION CRITERIA

20.1 AIR TRANSPORTABILITY AND AIRDROP.

Air transport and airdrop are aircraft capabilities that enable an aircraft to perform cargo transport as a prime mission. Cargo includes transported and airdroppable objects and personnel (e.g., passengers and parachutists). These capabilities involve primary and secondary aircraft structure, size and shape of the cargo carrying compartment, and aircraft interactions with the cargo mass and weight, especially if cargo is airdropped during flight.

Included within the scope of this section are:

- The design, size and layout of cargo compartments;
- NAVAIR NATOPS/cargo-loading manuals;
- Cargo restraint mechanisms (i.e. tie-down rings);
- The effects of cargo on aircraft C of G;
- · Cargo preparation, handling, carriage, and delivery procedures;
- Personnel airdrop systems;

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 660 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

• Jettisonable cargo.

Some criteria in this chapter are supported in the text by examples of specific considerations. These examples are by no means to be considered as exhaustive.

Verification should at least consider:

- The maximum mass to be carried, and its location within or on the aircraft;
- The largest, and heaviest load combinations;
- Different shapes of cargo;
- Air transportation of hazardous materials.

20.1.1 Aircraft structure.

It shall be shown that the aircraft structure can support all loads (internal or external, as applicable) imposed by the transported items during operational usage.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Identification of the maximum mass to be carried, and its location within or on the aircraft;

b. Floor loadings should be considered along with transfer of the loads to the structure;

c. Cargo tie-down, or restraint mechanism, loadings and attachments to structure should be considered;

d. The means by which compliance should be demonstrated- whether full scale model testing or mathematical simulation.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

Analysis and structural testing of subsystems or complete structures should be performed. Structural testing should verify analytical results such that an acceptable margin of safety is attained for the design condition.

| Int | ormation Sources | | |
|--------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2000: 3.1.7.2 | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P1 3.1 |
| | JSSG-2001B: 3.4.5 | Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.22.49 |
| | JSSG-2001B: 3.4.6.2 | | 00-970 P1 4.22.62-4.22.64 |
| | JSSG-2006-3.3.4 | STANAG | 4671.787 |
| | JSSG-2006-3.3.3.2 | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | 23.787 |
| | | Reference: | 23.1557 |
| | | | 23.1583 |
| | | | 25.787 |
| | | | 25.1557 |
| | | | 25.1583 |
| | | | 27.787 |
| | | | 27.865 |
| | | | 27.1557 |
| | | | 27.1583 |
| | | | 29.787 |
| | | | 29.865 |
| | | | 29.1557 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 661 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|---------|
| | 29.1583 |

20.1.2 Clearances.

There shall be passageways of sufficient width between properly loaded cargo and the aircraft structure to enable aircrew access and passenger egress during flight-critical and emergency functions.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Dimensions of largest permissible cargo to be established;
- b. Producing cargo load plans showing possible locations for largest, and heaviest load combinations;
- c. Sufficient width to be judged with worst possible, but allowable, cargo configuration;
- d. Movement of cargo during crash/ditching.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

Acceptable clearance should exist for aircrew and support personnel access during ground operations and flight of all required cargo items. Acceptable clearance should exist for passenger egress on flights required to carry passengers. NOTE: Passenger egress clearances may be different from aircrew and support personnel access clearances.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2000: 3.1.7.2 MIL-HDBK-1791 illustrates the minimum acceptable aircrew access clearances for C-130 aircraft. AFI 11-2C-130 Vol 3, addenda A, defines C-130 passenger | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P13 1.6.15.1 00-970 P1 1.1.2 00-970 P1 4.26.56 00-970 P1 4.22 00-970 P7 L100 1.1 00-970 P7 L307 00-970 P13 1.6.15.1 |
| | safety aisle requirements MIL-STD-1472 defines | STANAG | 3400 |
| | anthropometric data | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.813 CS 23.815 CS 25.813 CS 25.815 CS 25.819 CS 25.857 CS 29.813 CS 29.815 |

20.1.3 Cargo loading limits.

The aircraft cargo-loading manuals for the aircraft shall include shear, bending, crushing, or puncture load limits such that the cargo does not impart excessive loads into the aircraft structure during any phase of the loading process.

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|--|
| | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 662 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|---|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2000: 3.1.7.2 JSSG-2001: 3.4.5, 3.4.63 NATOPS, TO 1C-XX-9, the aircraft loading manuals include cargo loading limits in the desired formats. | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: STANAG Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | |

20.1.3.1 Restraint system structure.

For internal loads, the cargo floor tie-down rings, or other restraint mechanisms, and the supporting structure shall be suitably strong, and the load limits shall be included in applicable operators and maintenance manuals.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring strength levels equal to or in excess of the tiedown devices and are capable of withstanding specified loads.

b. Ensuring repair of tiedown rings is included in the maintenance manuals.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. The attachment hardware, pan assemblies, and supporting airframe structure should be verified to withstand pulling forces greater than the rated capacities of the restraint system.

2. Ring assemblies should be tested in vertical up, lateral, and longitudinal directions plus other directions as dictated by the analysis.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2006 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4 00-970 P5 UK25.301a 00-970 P5 UK25.301b 00-970 P7 L200 |
| | | STANAG | 3400 |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | 23.561 23.787 23.1583 25.561 25.787 25.1583 27.561 27.787 27.1583 29.561 |
| | | | 29.787 29.1583 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 663 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

20.1.4 Aircraft weight and balance limits.

Correctly positioned cargo shall meet the weight and balance requirements of the aircraft to establish and maintain safe flight.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The maximum mass to be carried, and its location within or on the aircraft, to be identified, and published in the appropriate manual.

b. Cargo load plans to be produced showing possible locations for largest, and heaviest load combinations.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

Aircraft weight and balance limits should be verified by analysis, simulation, flight testing and any combination of methods, conducted at critical and extreme points of the aircraft gross weight, cargo locations and operating envelope.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | NATOPS, TO 1C-XX-1, TO 1C-XX-9, TO 1C-xx-5 contain approximate permissible cargo centre of gravity graphs (chimney curves) for mission equipped aircraft. JSSG-2000: 3.1.7.2 | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 2.1.23 00-970 P1 2.1.26 00-970 P1 3.3.14 00-970 P1 4.16.24 00-970 P5 UK25.143b 00-970 P7 L600 3.3.1 |
| | JSSG-2001: 3.4.5 | STANAG | 4671.23 |
| | | Reference: | 4671.787 |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.23 CS 23.787 |
| | | | CS 23.1557 |
| | | | CS 23.1583 |
| | | | CS 23.1589 |
| | | | CS 25.23 CS 25.25 |
| | | | CS 25.25 CS 25.27 |
| | | | CS 25.787 |
| | | | CS 25.1557 |
| | | | CS 25.1583 |
| | | | CS 27.25 |
| | | | CS 27.27 |
| | | | CS 27.787 |
| | | | CS 27.1557 |
| | | | CS 27.1583 |
| | | | CS 27.1589 |
| | | | CS 29.25 |
| | | | CS 29.27 |
| | | | CS 29.787 |
| | | | CS 29.1557 |
| | | | CS 29.1583 |

Edition Number: 3.1Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018Status: endorsedPage 664/675

| Information Sources | |
|---------------------|------------|
| | CS 29.1589 |

20.1.5 Restraint system function during aerial delivery operations.

With the exception of items designated for airdrop, properly loaded and restrained cargo shall not change the position of the aircraft's CofG during flight.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring cargo items are secured against movement in all directions.

b. Secure cargo for crash and other severe flight conditions.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Restraints should be verified by structural analysis and test of restraining systems

2. Restraint devices and other applicable cargo delivery systems should be verified for use with the pallets/platforms that will be used in the loading of cargo.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2000: 3.1.7.2 JSSG-2001: 3.4.5, MIL-HDBK-1791, restraint | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4 00-970 P5 UK25.143b 00-970 P7 L600 3.3.1 |
| | criteria for transported cargo | STANAG | 3400 |
| | MIL-A-8865B, restraint criteria | Reference: | 4761.787 |
| | for transported cargo | | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.561 |
| | | Reference: | CS 23.787 |
| | | | CS 25.561 |
| | | | CS 25.787 |
| | | | CS 27.561 |
| | | | CS 27.787 |
| | | | CS 29.561 |
| | | | CS 29.787 |

20.1.6 Capacity and quantity of cargo restraint provisions.

There shall be restraints of sufficient capacity provided in sufficient quantity to restrain all items of cargo safely.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The quantity of restraints shall be sufficient for the entire cargo, or combination of cargoes;

b. The capacity of the restraints shall be sufficient to restrain the payload to the specified level of force/acceleration in all directions;

c. Aircraft with crew, passengers and cargo located in the same cabin or on the same deck.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

Through analysis and demonstration, the quantity of restraint devices should be shown to be sufficient to restrain various mass quantities of cargo items. The strength of the restraints should be of a standard or otherwise approved value.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 665 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Int | formation Sources | | | |
|--------------|---|----------|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2000: 3.1.7.2 JSSG-2001: 3.4.5 JSSG-2006-3.4.2.11 MIL-T-25959, restraint devices | standard | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 4.22.49 00-970 P1 4.22.50 00-970 P5 UK25.301a 00-970 P5 UK25.301b 00-970 P7 L200 |
| | MIL-PRF-27260, restraint devices | standard | STANAG Reference: | STANAG 3400 |
| FAA Doc: | | | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.787 CS 25.787 CS 25.789 CS 27.787 CS 29.787 |

20.1.7 Manuals.

All the operator and maintenance manuals (e.g. T.O.'s) shall be accurate, consistent with the aircraft data, and provide the cargo preparation, handling, carriage, and normal and emergency procedures necessary for safe ground and flight operations.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Identifying and validating platform specific aircrew manuals;
- b. Identifying and validating platform specific ground crew manuals;
- c. Identifying and validating applicable National operating rules or manuals;
- d. Identifying and validating applicable International or Treaty manuals.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

It should be demonstrated that draft copies of the operator, maintenance and loading manuals can be be successfully used by properly trained crewmembers to perform necessary functions.

| Int | ormation Sources | | |
|--------------|--------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | JSSG-2000: 3.1.7.2 | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | JSSG-2001: 3.4.5 | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 23.1583 |
| | | Reference: | CS 23.1589 |
| | | | CS 25.1583 |
| | | | CS 27.1583 |
| | | | CS 27.1589 |
| | | | CS 29.1583 |
| | | | CS 29.1589 |

20.1.8 Cargo compartment dimensions.

The aircraft shall be designed to allow enough room to load, transport, and, where required, airdrop required items safely.

| Edition Number: 3.1Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018Status: endorsedPage 666/675 |
|--|
|--|

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring that sufficient clearance is provided between all cleared cargo loads and the aircraft structure and fittings;

b. Cargo volume envelope excludes crew and passenger access and escape paths;

c. Ensuring that aircraft manuals reflect the largest allowable dimensions for cargo.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

Selected cargo loading demonstrations and analysis of loaded cargo via drawings should indicate that the clearance envelope is maintained throughout the loading and flight activities.

| In | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-HDBK-1791: 4.2, 5.2 JSSG-2009: Appendix J | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 1.1.2 00-970 P7 L100 1.1 |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

20.1.9 Cargo or CG movement in flight.

The aircraft shall be loaded with cargo ready for air-dropping without damage to the airframe; and aircraft flight safety shall not be hazardously affected by movement of its C of G due to air-dropping of that cargo.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The need to provide external stability struts to prevent the aircraft from settling on its tail while being loaded;

b. The maximum mass to be carried, and its location within or on the aircraft, to be identified and published in the appropriate manual;

c. Cargo load plans shall be produced showing possible locations for largest, and heaviest load combinations;

d. Cargo load plans shall identify the order in which the loading should occur to enable subsequent safe air-drop.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

Analysis and test should verify flight safety during airdrop of the designated payload weight at required airspeeds. Stability and control analyses and testing performed in Section 6 should demonstrate the ability to maintain safe flight during the exit of the heaviest payloads. Loading demonstrations should verify that the aircraft has sufficient stability in ground mode to present a stable platform for loading operations.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-HDBK-1791: 4.2, 5.2 JSSG-2009: Appendix J | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 2.1.23 00-970 P1 2.1.26 00-970 P1 3.3.14 00-970 P1 4.16.24 |
| | | STANAG | 4671.23 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 667 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | | |
|---------------------|------------|------------|
| | Reference: | 4671.1519 |
| | | 4671.1583 |
| | | 4671.1589 |
| FAA Doc: | EASA CS | CS 23.23 |
| | Reference: | CS 23.787 |
| | | CS 23.1519 |
| | | CS 23.1583 |
| | | CS 23.1589 |
| | | CS 25.23 |
| | | CS 25.25 |
| | | CS 25.27 |
| | | CS 25.787 |
| | | CS 25.1519 |
| | | CS 25.1583 |
| | | CS 27.25 |
| | | CS 27.27 |
| | | CS 27.787 |
| | | CS 27.1519 |
| | | CS 27.1583 |
| | | CS 27.1589 |
| | | CS 29.25 |
| | | CS 29.27 |
| | | CS 29.787 |
| | | CS 29.1519 |
| | | CS 29.1583 |
| | | CS 29.1589 |

20.1.10 Personnel airdrop system structure.

The aircraft personnel air-drop systems shall be able to withstand the loads imposed by personnel during air-drop, and possible malfunctions of personnel air-drop equipment.

Consideration should be given to loads associated with:

a. The location of air-drop attachment points and supporting structure;

b. Effects of opening any door or ramp for egress; this would include the use of air deflectors;

c. Mechanisms used to retrieve paratroopers who have jumped but not separated from the aircraft.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

Analysis of structural loads verified with instrumented results from flight testing should demonstrate that the aircraft structure and subsystems are not adversely affected by personnel airdrop and retrieval operations under a worst case scenario.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-HDBK-1791: 4.2, 5.2 | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | JSSG-2009: Appendix J | Reference: | |
| | JSSG-2006-6.1.2, 6.1.6 | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 668 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|----------|-------------------|------------|--|
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

20.1.11 Towed jumper retrieval capability.

The aircraft shall provide the capability to safely recover a paratrooper who has jumped but not separated from the aircraft.

Consideration should be given to:

a. The required force to retract the static line, taking account of:

i. The weight of the paratrooper and their equipment,

ii. The weight and number of Static Lines and Deployment Bags already attached to the retrieval system,

iii. The aerodynamic forces acting on the parachutist and Static Lines and Deployment Bag.

b. The amount of time required to recover the paratrooper.

c. Storage and availability of retrieval equipment.

d. Risk to paratroopers introduced by equipment and other protrusions both inside and on the exterior of the aircraft.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|---|-----------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | Refer to technical point of | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | contact for this discipline (listed in section A.2) | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

20.1.12 Personnel airdrop operations.

For airdrop operations the aircraft's aerodynamics shall be designed to ensure that the risk to paratroopers is minimised, including paratrooper collision, adverse vortex interaction and adverse multi-ship formation effects.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Defining the acceptable risk level for the activity;

b. Determining paratrooper exit spacing/timing to minimise risk;

c. Determining acceptable aircraft proximities during multi-ship formation air-drops;

d. Ensuring that National rules and regulations, or operational procedures, reflect any required safety limitations.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Verification means may include design documentation, risk assessment and computational modelling of aircraft vortex interaction.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | Refer to technical point of contact for this discipline (listed in section A.2) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 2.17 00-970 P1 2.17.6 00-970 P1 2.17.8 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 669 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| Information Sources | | |
|---------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | | 00-970 P1 2.17.28 |
| | | 00-970 P1 2.17.32 |
| | | 00-970 P1 2.24.19 |
| | STANAG | |
| | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | EASA CS | |
| | Reference: | |

20.1.13 Cargo jettison capability.

For authorised air-drop or jettisonable cargo, the loaded items shall be dropped or jettisoned safely during flight.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Defining the acceptable risk level for the activity;

b. Proximity of dropped or jettisoned cargo to aircraft structure after the cargo leaves the aircraft. This should account for any induced motion such as tumbling;

c. Determining, where necessary, spacing or timing gaps between multiple items of cargo to minimise risk;

d. Different weights and shapes of cargo;

e. Configuration of aircraft for air-drop or jettison procedures. For example, account should be taken of flaps and undercarriage position and their effect on airflow;

f. Ensuring that National rules and regulations, or operational procedures, reflect any required safety limitations.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. The capability to airdrop the specified types and sizes of cargo should be defined and substantiated through analysis and flight testing.

2. The ability to jettison items of palletized cargo should be demonstrated and documented.

3. Extensive flight testing should define the range of hardware items and the required parameters necessary to perform preplanned airdrop and unplanned jettisoning of cargo loads.

4. The range of testing should include maximum and minimum weights, locations, airspeeds, and other limitations as needed for technical input into the operational manuals.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-HDBK-1791: 4.2, 5.2 JSSG-2009: Appendix J | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 1.1.28 00-970 P1 1.1.29 00-970 P1 1.1.30 00-970 P1 2.17.1 00-970 P1 2.17.6 00-970 P1 2.17.8 00-970 P1 2.17.32 00-970 P1 2.24.19 00-970 P5 UK25.3.1.1 00-970 P7 L100 16 |
| | | STANAG | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 670 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| In | formation Sources | | |
|----------|-------------------|------------|--|
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

20.1.14 In-flight movement

Any necessary in-flight movement or operation of transported items or role equipment shall not adversely affect aircraft flight systems or cause injury to aircrew or passengers.

Consideration should be given to:

a. All in-flight movements or operation of transported items shall be fully justified;

b. If moved during flight, transported items must remain under strict control at all times;

c. Transported items, if moved, must remain within the weight and balance limits for the aircraft. See Line 9.8.4 for details;

d. Transported items, if moved, must remain within the designated cargo volume of the aircraft keeping the crew access and passenger escape routes clear at all times. See Line 9.8.2 for details;

e. Transported items, if operated mechanically, must remain within the aircraft weight and balance limits, and the designated cargo volume. See Lines 9.8.2 and 9.8.4 for details;

f. Transported items, if operated electrically, must be electro-magnetically compatible with the aircraft. See Section 13 for details;

g. In-flight movement or operation of transported equipment shall only be in accordance with National rules or operational procedures.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Analysis and testing should verify that operation or movement of equipment does not put the aircraft out of established balance limits if it is relocated or used anywhere within operational possibilities.

2. Transported equipment which, in a dynamic situation, could impose risks to personnel should only be moved in a manner that affords control of the object at all times.

3. Items with components or materials that could pose a hazard should be drop tested to verify safety of possible post drop configurations and any release of hazardous materials.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | MIL-HDBK-1791: 4.2, 5.2 | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | JSSG-2009: Appendix J | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

20.1.15 Mission-specific equipment installation.

In-flight operation of mission-specific equipment shall not adversely affect the safety of the aircraft system.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Ensuring that all other equipment used in association with mission equipment that is not part of the aircraft installation, such as lifting strops and spreader bars, is:

i. Tested and trialled appropriately and authorised for use on that aircraft.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 671 /675 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|

ii. The aircraft documentation explicitly records which mission-specific equipment is authorised for use on that aircraft and any operational limitations associated with its use (i.e. operational restrictions such as speed, height, or weather).

b. Ensuring that any other limitations associated with this equipment, such as allowable weights, strop angles, use with other equipment, or lifing requirements including re-test should be clearly recorded;

c. Mission specific (cargo and personnel) equipment such as cargo hooks, rescue slings and hoist, H-Bar and FRIES bar.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Hazard analysis and/or test data to verify that no additional safety hazards to the aircraft are induced by the installation and function of mission specific equipment.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|--|-----------------|------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | DoD/MIL Doc: Refer to technical point of contact for this discipline (listed in section A.2) | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 P7 L205/1 |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | 4671.1481 |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 27.865 |
| | | Reference: | CS 29.865 |
| | | | CS 23.1309 |
| | | | CS 25.1309 |
| | | | CS 27.1309 |
| | | | CS 29.1309 |

20.2 MISSION/TEST EQUIPMENT OPERATIONS AND INSTALLATION.

20.2.1 In-flight operations.

The following items shall not adversely affect the primary SOF functionality of the aircraft:

- a. Special non-SOF mission or test equipment and software including instrumentation and wiring.
- b. Non-SOF mission-specific equipment and software.
- c. Non-essential mission equipment (hardware and software).
- d. Carry-on/carry-off equipment that will be operated in flight.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Structural capability, flying and handling qualities, electronic compatibility;

b. Ensuring that all items of equipment intended for use on the aircraft, but not part of the aircraft, are authorised for use in their intended role.

c. Ensuring that all items of equipment authorised for use on the aircraft, but not part of the aircraft, are clearly documented, along with any limitations to that use such as during particular phases of flight.

d. The impact that any special, essential, or non-essential mission or test equipment might have on the aircraft or its systems. Particular, but not exclusive, attention should be given to equipment:

i. Floor or rack loading limits.

ii. Power requirements, and any effect that may be reflected back into the aircraft power supply (i.e spikes etc)

iii. EMC and EMI effects.

iv. Impact or changes to aircraft overall weight or centre of gravity.

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 672 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

v. Potential fire or explosion risks.

e. Ensuring that carry-on/carry-off equipment intended for use or operation in flight is appropriately authorised and any necessary limitations to that use highlighted and recorded. Particular attention should be paid to transmitting equipment including Portable Electrical Devices (PEDs) such as laptops, ipads, mobile phones and other personal electronic devices.

f. Reviewing Section 9.8 ' Air Transportability and Airdrop'.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Hazard analysis and/or test data is to verify that no additional safety hazards to the aircraft are induced by the installation and function of non-SOF equipment.

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | 4671.1481 |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | |
| | | Reference: | |

20.2.2 Installation safety.

Carriage of cargo or payload shall not adversely affect safety of the aircraft system.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Provision of suitable cargo or payload restraint mechanisms.

b. Physical size and weight of cargo or payload, and its floor loading, in comparison to vehicle hold or compartment.

c. Potential impact on the aircraft overall weight and centre of gravity.

- d. Interference with vehicle control systems.
- e. Obstruction of crew or passenger exits.
- f. Potential fire or explosion risks.
- g. Reviewing Section 9.8 ' Air Transportability and Airdrop'.

Considerations for preparation of AMC:

1. Hazard analysis and/or test data verifies that no additional safety hazards to the aircraft are induced by the installation and function of cargo and/or payload.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | Refer to technical point of contact for this discipline (listed in section A.2) | Def-Stan 00-970 Reference: | 00-970 P1 3.9.20 00-970 P1 4.26.56 00-970 P1 4.26.57 00-970 P7 L203 3.3 |
| | | STANAG Reference: | 4671.685 4671.787 4671.1481 |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 673 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

| <u>In</u> | formation Sources | | |
|-----------|--|-----------------------|--|
| FAA Doc: | 14CFR reference sections corresponding to Structural and Installation requirements; and systems as applicable, i.e., Electrical. 20.1.3 Verify that in-flight operation of mission-specific personnel and cargo equipment (e.g., cargo hooks, rescue slings and hoists, H-bar and FRIES bar) does not adversely affect safety of the air vehicle system. | EASA CS Reference: | CS 23.685 CS 23.787 CS 25.685 CS 25.787 CS 27.685 CS 27.787 CS 29.685 CS 29.787 |

20.3 PAN-PLATFORM CRITERIA.

20.3.1 Volcanic Ash.

The ability of any aircraft to operate in, or in the vicinity of, a volcanic ash cloud shall be clearly understood and detailed in the aircraft operating manuals. It is understood that military operational imperatives may override this regulatory criteria as necessary.

Consideration should be given to:

- a. Engine abrasion corrosion;
- b. Blockage of engine cooling ducts/vents or paths;
- c. Aircraft skin and transparency abrasion;

d. Damage to systems from ingestion of particles (air conditioning, electronic cooling, contamination of surfaces or fluids, etc.)

e. Blockage of air data system (pitot or static systems);

| Int | formation Sources | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 25.1593 |
| | | Reference: | |

20.3.2 ETOPS.

Where twin-engined civil derived military aircraft, or civil aircraft operated on the military register, are required to carry out extended range operations they should be suitable certified for ETOPS. However, it is recognised that military operational requirements may override this regulatory requirement as necessary. Moreover, it is also recognised that national military airworthiness/aviation authorities may determine that specific regulatory requirements may not need to be applied to a specific platform.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Aircraft configuration;

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 674 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|

- b. Aircraft duration;
- c. Air to air refuelling capabilities;
- d. Crew workload and operational implications;

e. Crew and passenger physiological needs including provision of: fluids, food, and suitable toilet facilities

| Inf | ormation Sources | | |
|--------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | 00-970 Pt 11 3.E.1040 |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 25.1535 |
| | | Reference: | CS-E 1040 |

20.3.3 Level ground position.

There must be means for determining when the aeroplane is in a level position on the ground.

Consideration should be given to:

a. Embarked Operations on Ships Vessels etc.

| Information Sources | | | |
|---------------------|--|-----------------|-----------|
| Comm'l Doc: | | | |
| DoD/MIL Doc: | | Def-Stan 00-970 | |
| | | Reference: | |
| | | STANAG | |
| | | Reference: | |
| FAA Doc: | | EASA CS | CS 25.871 |
| | | Reference: | |

| Edition Number: 3.1 | Edition Date: 25 Sep 2018 | Status: endorsed | Page 675 /675 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|